¹²⁵I Intracavitary Irradiation Combined with ¹²⁵I Seeds Implantation for Treatment of Locally Advanced Pancreatic Head Cancer: A Retrospective Analysis of 67 Cases

Shuai Zhou
Chao Zhu
Shi Lei Chen
Jin Ang Li
Kang Lin Qu
Hao Jing
Yong Wang
Qing Pang
Hui Chun Liu

Department of Hepatobiliary Pancreatic Surgery, Hepatobiliary Pancreatic Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Bengbu Medical College, Anhui, 233000, People's Republic of China

Background: Pancreatic cancer is an aggressive malignant tumor of the digestive system and the fourth leading cause of tumor-related death. Intracavitary ¹²⁵I seed irradiation has been recently developed as a therapy for locally advanced pancreatic head carcinoma. However, there are still many limitations, and more investigations are needed in order to optimize this new treatment method.

Methods: Sixty-seven patients were included in our study; 41 cases treated by SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I intracavitary irradiation (SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I group) and 26 cases treated by SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I intracavitary irradiation combined with ¹²⁵I particle implantation in the tumor body (the combined group). Among the 67 patients, 43 were males and 24 were females, with an average age of 69.64±8.84 years. Tumor site size was determined based on the MRI or CT imaging scans, and the number and radius of ¹²⁵I particle placement were calculated according to a specific formula. ¹²⁵I particles were inserted into the tumor with a radius of 1.5 cm and a row spacing of 1 cm. The main postoperative biochemical indexes, imaging analysis, postoperative analgesia degree, median survival time and rate of complications were compared between the two groups.

Results: Jaundice and liver function improved in both groups after treatment for 6 months. The combined group did better. Kaplan–Meier analysis showed that patients in the combined group had a significantly better overall survival than those in the SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I group. Patients in the combined group had less complications than those in the SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I group (23.1% vs 34.1%), and the postoperative pain status of the combined group was improved (26.8% vs 53.8%).

Conclusion: Compared with the SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I intracavitary irradiation alone, the combination of ¹²⁵I seed implantation with solid tumor ¹²⁵I seed implantation had a better therapeutic effect in LAPHC patients, with improved biochemical indicators, survival prognosis, pain relief, and fewer complications.

Keywords: locally advanced pancreatic cancer, ¹²⁵I, metal biliary stent

Correspondence: Hui Chun Liu;
Qing Pang
Department of Hepatobiliary Pancreatic Surgery, Hepatobiliary Pancreatic Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Bengbu Medical College, Anhui, 233000, People's Republic of China
Email liuhdoctor@126.com;
portxiu2@126.com

Introduction

Pancreatic cancer is an aggressive malignant tumor of the digestive system for which very limited therapeutic options exist. It is the fourth leading cause of cancer death and the 5-year survival rate of patients with pancreatic cancer is about 10%.^{1,2} Patients with early stage of pancreatic cancer show no obvious clinical symptoms, and in the majority of cases, the disease has progressed to an advanced stage at the time of

presentation.³ About 40% of the patients with pancreatic cancer are diagnosed with locally advanced pancreatic head cancer (LAPHC). LAPHC is defined as pancreatic cancer with extensive local invasion, severe vascular invasion, and no distant metastasis.⁴ The opportunity for radical excision is often missed in these patients.

In patients with pancreatic cancer who cannot be treated surgically, biliary stents can significantly relieve symptoms and improve their quality of life.⁵ However, stent implantation alone does not seem to significantly improve stent patency time and patient survival.⁶ ¹²⁵I seeds have been shown to be effective in killing tumor cells without causing significant damage to the surrounding normal tissues.⁷ ¹²⁵I seeds and postoperatively chemotherapy treatment in locally advanced pancreatic cancer has a better effect than chemotherapy alone. Patient complications and the OS life cycle increased.⁸ In comparison with the traditional surgery group, the effect of ¹²⁵I implantation in the treatment of advanced local malignant pancreatic cancer is feasible.⁹ Biliary stent implantation alone for the treatment of LAPHC still has many limitations, since the rapid progression of the tumor can easily result in biliary obstruction again.¹⁰ SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I has been used to treat LAPHC in many hospitals. However, so far, no literature has reported the comparison between SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I and SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I combined with intratumoral implantation of ¹²⁵I seeds in the treatment of LAPHC patients. Here, we investigated the effect of combined treatment with intratumor implantation ¹²⁵I seeds based on SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I versus SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I seeds alone in patients with LAPHC.

Methods

Patients

Patients with a diagnosis of LAPHC who were admitted to the First Affiliated Hospital of Bengbu Medical College between January 2015 and January 2020 were retrospectively analyzed. Inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) clinical and pathological diagnosis of LAPHC via imaging; 2) inability or unwillingness to undergo radical resection; 3) poor age or general condition, accompanied by serious co-morbidities, and unable to accept surgical treatment; 4) no previous treatments with SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I or SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I combined with intratumoral implantation of ¹²⁵I seeds; 5) an expected survival time of more than 3 months. Exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) patient had received chemotherapy, radiotherapy or other treatment; 2) other stages of pancreatic head cancer (not locally advanced stage); 3) incomplete or lost follow-up

data after surgery; 4) distant tumor metastasis or extensive abdominal cavity metastasis.

Our study complied with the Helsinki Declaration,¹¹ and was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Bengbu Medical College. Signed informed consent prior to treatment was obtained from all patients.

Physical Characteristics of ¹²⁵I Seeds and Biliary Stents

The initial dose of ¹²⁵I seeds (Beijing Atomic Energy High-tech Nuclear Technology Application Company, Beijing, China) was of 11.1–37 Mbq. The half-life of ¹²⁵I seeds was 59.43 days and the main radiation was 31.4/27.4 keV X-ray and 35.5 keV ray. The diameter, length and wall thickness of ¹²⁵I seeds were 0.8 mm, 4.5 mm and 0.05 mm, respectively. The metal biliary stent (Nanjing Minimally Invasive Medical Technology Co., Ltd) is made of nickel–titanium memory alloy. The specifications of the metal biliary stent were 8–10 mm in diameter and 4–10 cm in length. The intracavitary ¹²⁵I seed donor catheter (P-type catheter) is a disposable double-chamber biliary catheter independently developed by our hospital, in which the ¹²⁵I example is placed in the leading tube, while the side tube is used for external drainage.

Surgical Procedures

SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I percutaneous transhepatic cholangial drainage (PTCD) was performed 1 week before surgery in LAPHC patients with biliary obstruction and malignant jaundice to reduce postoperative complications (such as biliary bleeding, biliary leakage, biliary infection, etc). If the digital subtraction angiography (DSA) results showed that the stent expansion was adequate, then the p-type tube was implanted for the implantation of ¹²⁵I seeds in the lumen, otherwise DSA examination or balloon dilatation was repeated 1–2 weeks later. When expansion was found to be adequate, ¹²⁵I seeds were implanted in the P-type canal cavity. The number of ¹²⁵I seeds was calculated according to the pre-operative image data of the patient and to the degree of stenosis measured by contrast. Then, ¹²⁵I seeds were placed into the p-type tube, into the spacing of 0.4–0.6 cm; the spacing between each seed was maintained with sterile plastic tubes for medical use. If the tumor was too large, we adjusted the number of ¹²⁵I seeds according to the tumor volume. After implantation of the last ¹²⁵I particles, a long plastic spacer tube was placed to the end seal in order to prevent the particles from shifting and falling off. To

determine the position of the particles and whether the spacing was appropriate, a P-type tube was placed under the prospective probe. The P-type tube was sent through the lateral lumen guide wire and placed in the treatment site inside the stent cavity. The outer end of the tube was sutured and fixed on the skin, the main lumen was closed, and finally the lateral lumen was connected with the anti-reflux drainage bag.

Implantation of ^{125}I Particles in the Tumor of the Pancreatic Head

Two to three days after SEMS-CL- ^{125}I surgery, the size of the tumor was measured again via B-ultrasound or computed tomography. The location of the tumor and the surrounding vascular system were identified. The number of particles implanted was calculated according to the size of the tumor. After anesthesia, a special puncture needle was inserted into the tumor body, avoiding the main blood vessels, pancreatic duct and other tissues, and while checking for bleeding by back pumping. Then, the particles were implanted into the tumor body. The needle was removed about 1 cm at a time, and the particles were released in turn.

Perioperative Data and Data Tracking

Baseline and perioperative characteristics of LAPHC patients were obtained by querying case data and include: patient gender; age; tumor size; length of stay; hospitalization costs; and serum indicators. Serum test indicators included: total bilirubin (TBIL); direct bilirubin (DBIL); alanine aminotransferase (ALT); aspartate aminotransferase (AST); alkaline phosphatase (ALP); albumin (ALB); carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA19-9); carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA); and cancer antigen 125 (CA-125).

Imaging Data

Tumor size was calculated according to the results of MDCT images before and 3 months after surgery. The evaluation indicators (according to the WHO solid tumor evaluation criteria) were based on the comparison of the product of the maximum diameters of the two perpendicular tumors shown on MDCT, and were categorized as follows: 1) complete response (CR): the tumors completely disappeared and no residual tumor was found on imaging examinations, or only particle residues were found; 2) partial response (PR): tumor size was reduced by more than 50%; 3) no change (NC): tumor size was reduced by less than 50%; 4) progressive disease (PD): the

sum of the maximum diameter of target lesions increased by at least 20%, or new lesions appeared. We used the following calculation formula: $(\text{CR} + \text{PR})/\text{total} \times 100\% = \text{effective rate}$.

Assessment of Pain Status

Pain was classified according to VRS method as follows: Level 0: no pain; Level 1: mild or tolerable pain, normal life and sleep cycles; Level 2: moderate or obvious pain, need to use analgesics, sleep is affected; Level 3: severe pain.

All patients were followed-up until January 2020 or until death. Data obtained during follow-up included: 1, 3, and 6 months of serum test indicators (including TBIL, DBIL, AST, ALT, ALP, ALB); multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) data; and color Doppler ultrasound data. If complications or other conditions are found in the patient during follow-up, follow-up treatment will be performed.

Because the half-life of ^{125}I seeds is of 59.43 days, a reduced effect after 6 months is anticipated. For this reason, patients were re-admitted to hospital 6 months after surgery, and the P-type tube and ^{125}I seeds used for intracavitary irradiation were replaced. Discarded ^{125}I seeds were sent to the nuclear supply's treatment center.

Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables consistent with normal distribution are expressed as mean $\pm$ SD and verified by *T*-test. If a variable did not conform with normal distribution, then the median (maximum–minimum) was used for verification, and the Wilcoxon test was used. Overall survival (OS) was calculated using the Kaplan–Meier method and the Log rank test. Only single factor analysis with $P < 0.05$ were included in the multifactor analysis model. Statistical significance was considered only when $P < 0.05$. All data were analyzed using the SPSS statistical software (version 22).

Results

Patient Characteristics

The basic information of all patients is shown on Table 1. This study evaluated eligibility of 112 LAPHC patients admitted in our hospital from January 2015 to January 2020. Sixty-seven of 112 (59.8%) patients met the inclusion criteria. Among them, 43 (64.2%) were males and 24 (35.8%) were females. There were 41 patients in the SEMS-CL- ^{125}I group and 26 patients in

Table 1 Basic Characteristics of Patients with Locally Advanced Pancreatic Head Carcinoma (n=67)

Variables	Overall	SEMS-CL- ¹²⁵ I Group (n=41)	Combined Group (n=26)	p value
Gender (male/female)	43/24	26/15	17/9	0.071
Age (years)	69.64±8.84	69.29±9.62	70.19±7.6	0.688
TBIL (μmol/L)	261.8 (78.3–424.2)	233.6 (78.3–424.2)	275 (225.7–356.9)	0.01
DBIL (μmol/L)	221.5 (58.3–343.1)	179.6 (58.3–343.1)	244.35 (191.3–299.8)	<0.001
ALT (U/L)	244 (33–432)	234 (33–432)	268.5 (129–420)	0.05
AST (U/L)	245 (46–239)	206 (46–342)	270 (179–394)	<0.001
ALP (U/L)	809 (216–1580)	749 (216–2556)	947.5 (490–1580)	0.005
ALB (g/L)	33.1 (23.4–44.8)	33.1 (25.3–44.8)	34 (23.4–42.7)	0.385
CEA (ng/mL)	12.58 (0.95–115.84)	8.38 (1.52–115.84)	16.43 (0.95–43.12)	0.008
CA19-9 (ng/mL)	875.9 (97.43–1997.4)	827.43 (123.14–1785.01)	1022.065 (97.43–1997.4)	0.700
CA-125 (ng/mL)	12.9 (5.4–392)	17.2 (5.4–392)	10.8 (5.5–292.8)	0.236
Mean tumor diameter (mm)	39 (28–57)	38 (28–55)	42 (34–57)	0.002
Cost (CNY)	43,821.48 (27,026.16–58,712.88)	40,135.07 (27,026.16–58,712.88)	46,991.745 (42,104.95–52,829.58)	<0.001
Survival time (months)	11 (7–25)	9 (7–21)	17 (9–25)	<0.001
Hospitalization period (months)	13 (7–18)	13 (7–18)	12.5 (7–17)	0.529

Note: The bold part is $P < 0.05$.

Abbreviations: TBIL, total bilirubin; DBIL, direct bilirubin; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; ALB, albumin; CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; CA19-9, carbohydrate antigen 19-9; CA-125, cancer antigen 125.

the combined treatment group. There were not statistically significant differences in gender, age, CA19-9, CA-125 and ALB between the two groups ($p > 0.05$). However, TBIL, DBIL, ALT, AST, ALP and CEA values, and the mean tumor diameter of the SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I group were lower than in the combination group ($p < 0.05$). No statistically significant differences in the length of hospital stay between the two groups were observed, although the hospitalization costs in the SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I group were lower than those in the the combined group (median: 40135.07 CNY vs 46991.745 CNY; $p < 0.01$).

Perioperative Outcomes

All patients had successful implantation of ¹²⁵I seeds and metal biliary stent. Jaundice, pain and other clinical symptoms have been partially improved. Liver function indexes at 1, 3 and 6 months after surgery decreased significantly in the SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I group compared with the preoperative level ($P < 0.05$), although postoperative ALB values

showed no difference ($P > 0.05$). In contrast, TBIL, DBIL, ALT, AST and ALP were significantly reduced in the combined group ($p < 0.05$) (Figure 1).

Comparative Evaluation of Clinical Effects and Postoperative Complications

Three months following surgery, CT imaging (Figure 2) suggested that the overall effective rate was 79.1% (53/67). The SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I group 75.6% (31/41) was lower than that of the combined group 84.6% (22/26) ($P = 0.018$). Fifty-eight of 67 (86.6%) patients achieved a painless state or a state of mild pain without the need for analgesics. The combined group achieved better pain relief 3 months after surgery than the SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I group ($P = 0.035$). Preoperative and postoperative pain scores were compared between the two groups, and the results showed that both methods improved postoperative pain ($P < 0.001$) (Table 2). In the SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I group, there were three cases of biliary obstruction, five cases of P-type tube displacement,

Figure 1 Comparison of liver function between the two groups (SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I and the combined group) before and after operation. Changes of (A) TBIL, (B) DBIL, (C) ALT, (D) AST, (E) ALP and (F) ALB at 1 month, 3 months and 6 months postoperatively (* $p < 0.05$ compared with preoperative values in the SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I group; # $p < 0.05$ compared with preoperative values in the combined group).

Figure 2 CT images of the combined group and the SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I group preoperatively and 3 months postoperatively. (A and B) CT comparison between preoperative and postoperative reexaminations at 3 months in the SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I group. (C and D) CT comparison between preoperative and postoperative review at 3 months in the combined group (white and red arrows indicate the location of the tumor).

two cases of biliary infection, and one case of postoperative pancreatitis. In the combined group, there were two cases of biliary obstruction, two cases of P canal displacement, one case of biliary tract infection, one case of needle canal metastasis, and one case of gastrointestinal discomfort (Table 3).

The overall postoperative complication rate was 29.9% (20/67), with no significant differences between the two groups ($P = 0.601$). There were no serious complications such as bleeding, pancreatic leakage or particle displacement in either of the groups (Table 3).

Patients with biliary obstruction improved after biliary stent implantation. Patients with p-tube displacement were handled under the guidance of DSA. Patients with biliary tract infection were considered cured after 3–7 days of open P-type tube drainage and antibiotics. Patients with postoperative pancreatitis were treated with somatostatin and symptomatic treatment. The subcutaneous ¹²⁵I seed implantation was effectively controlled in patients with needle-passage metastasis. Symptoms of digestive tract discomfort were improved upon administration of gastric protectors and antiemesis.

Table 2 Comparison of Pain Indexes (Based on VRS Scores) in the SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I Group and the Combined Group Preoperative and Postoperative

Group	Preoperative	Postoperative	Z Value	P value
SEMS-CL- ¹²⁵ I group (n=41)				
Level 0	6	11	-5.477	<0.001
Level 1	12	24		
Level 2	17	5		
Level 3	6	1		
Combined group (n=26)				
Level 0	4	16	-4.334	<0.001
Level 1	9	7		
Level 2	11	2		
Level 3	2	1		

Notes: Level 0, painless; Level 1, mild pain, tolerable, normal life and sleep; Level 2, moderate pain, significant pain, need to use analgesics, sleep disturbance; Level 3, severe pain, frequent use of analgesics, sleep seriously affected. The bold part is $P < 0.05$.

Table 3 Imaging Assessment, Pain Index and Complications Related Information of Postoperative Patients

Characteristics	SEMS-CL- ¹²⁵ I Group (N=41)	Combined Group (N=26)	p value
Imaging assessment			
CR	8	14	0.018
PR	23	8	
NC	7	3	
PD	3	1	
Postoperative pain relief (3 months after surgery)			
Level 0	11	16	0.035
Level 1	24	7	
Level 2	5	2	
Level 3	1	1	
Complications			
Biliary obstruction	4	2	0.601
P-tube shift	7	3	
Biliary tract infection	1	0	
Pancreatitis	1	0	
Needle metastasize	0	1	
Digestive discomfort	1	0	

Notes: Level 0: no pain; Level 1: mild pain; Level 2: moderate pain; Level 3: severe pain. The bold part is $P < 0.05$.

Abbreviations: CR, complete response; PR, partial response; NC, no change; PD, progressive disease.

Overall Survival (OS)

As of the last follow-up in January 2020, 38 (56.7%) patients had died and 29 (43.3%) were alive. In the SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I group (n=26), 11 (16.4%) patients had died and 15 (22.4%) were alive. In the combined group (n=41), 27 (40.3%) patients died and 14 (20.9%) were alive. Compared with the SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I group, the combined group has a higher OS (median: 9 vs 17, $p < 0.001$). Similarly, the 1-year survival rate of the SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I group was lower than that of the combined group

(1-year survival rate: 72.7% vs 94.3%, $P < 0.001$) (Figure 3).

Discussion

Current treatment options for patients with pancreatic cancer are very limited. About 40% of patients with pancreatic cancer have locally advanced pancreatic cancer at the time of diagnosis, with a 5-year survival rate less than 5%.¹ Standard of care has limited benefits for patients with LAPHC, and the majority of these patients will eventually

Figure 3 Kaplan–Meier cumulative survival curves detected the survival prognosis of the two groups. Compared with the SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I group, the combined group had a better survival prognosis. The median survival of the SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I group (9 months) was much lower than that of the combined group (17 months).

develop distant metastasis.¹² Multidisciplinary therapy may be effective in improving patients' clinical symptoms and induce local tumor shrinkage.¹³

LAPHC is a relatively large type of cancer, which often compresses the bile duct and obstructs bile flow, causing jaundice, hyperbilirubinemia and other clinical manifestations. Self-inflating metal biliary stent implantation can rapidly reduce biliary pressure and reduce the level of total bilirubins, thus helping to maintain the metabolic function of the liver and increase its oxygen supply, to enhance the function of the digestive system, and overall to improve the diet and life quality of patients.¹⁴ However, stents themselves cannot inhibit the tumor progression. Due to the continuous tumoral growth and invasion, the incidence of stent re-obstruction is relatively high, which is a high risk factor affecting the prognosis.¹⁵ The efficacy of ¹²⁵I seeds implanted in pancreatic cancer has been confirmed recently.¹⁶ ¹²⁵I seeds continuously release low doses of X-rays and gamma rays, which can break the DNA double helix of cancer cells, resulting in their permanent and irreparable damage.¹⁷ Clinical studies have confirmed that metal biliary stents combined with ¹²⁵I seeds brachytherapy can resist mucosal and intraluminal growth and kill tumor cells, delaying the recurrence of obstructive jaundice and extending patient survival.^{18,19} Treatment of pancreatic cancer by biliary stent combined with ¹²⁵I seeds intraluminal irradiation has been previously shown to have promising therapeutic effects.⁸ In summary, ¹²⁵I can treat pancreatic cancer, but the radiation radius of ¹²⁵I was only 17–20 mm, and the

size of local advanced pancreatic cancer was relatively large. Therefore, ¹²⁵I seeds in the biliary tract could not effectively irradiate the tumor, reducing the overall therapeutic effect.

Our study found that compared with SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I alone, the combination of SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I with intratumor implantation of ¹²⁵I seeds had a better effect in treating LAPHC (imaging data revealed a better effect, although no significant differences in stent patency time between the two groups were observed). Patients in the combined group had a better survival prognosis (median: 9 vs 17 months, $P < 0.001$). At the same time, the indexes of TBIL, DBIL, ALT, AST and ALP in the two groups were significantly decreased ($P < 0.05$) when comparing the indexes of liver function in 1, 3 and 6 months after surgery. Compared with patients in the SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I group, patients in the combined group had a higher painless rate 3 months after surgery (26.8% vs 53.8%, $P < 0.001$). The pain index before and after surgery was compared, and the postoperative pain was relieved in both groups ($p < 0.001$). These results suggest that SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I intracavity irradiation combined with ¹²⁵I intracavity seed implantation is a promising approach to eliminate or alleviate compression or invasion of the tumor on the abdominal nerve plexus, resulting in overall better patient quality of life.

Importantly, intratumorally insertion of ¹²⁵I seeds did not increase the risk of complications. Complication rates of the SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I group and the combination group were 34.1% (14/41) and 23.1% (6/26), respectively. One case of pancreatitis in the SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I group showed improvement of symptoms and gradual recovery of diet within 3 days after active symptomatic treatment to stimulate acid-inhibitory enzyme production. In six cases of biliary obstruction (four in the SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I group vs two in the combined group), successful recanalization through timely opening of p-type tube side flushing and drainage, combined with intracavity perfusion and chemotherapy, was performed, except for one patient who required stent implantation. Ten cases of p-type tube shift were reset under the guidance of digital subtraction angiography. There was one case of biliary tract infection in the SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I group, which was associated with biliary tract obstruction. This case was well controlled by timely opening of p-type lateral cavity drainage combined with the use of antibiotics. One patient had abdominal wall needle passage metastasis, which was effectively controlled after tumor particle implantation. One patient experienced digestive tract adverse reactions which

improved after drug intervention. No serious complications such as bleeding, pancreatic leakage, or particle displacement occurred in either of the groups.

This study has several limitations. Firstly, due to the small sample and single-center retrospective design, the findings could be considered as preliminary and therefore more multicenter data should be collected in order to confirm our findings. Secondly, relevant indicators (such as tumor markers) were not collected in a dynamic fashion, and therefore we were not able to assess the effect of these therapies on the biological behavior of tumors. Thirdly, in this retrospective study, no analysis on the correlation with combined chemotherapy was performed; such analysis would have reflected better the comprehensive treatment effects on the tumor. In addition, there may be residual confounding given that patients treated in the combination group had higher TBIL, DBIL, ALT, AST, ALP, CEA, and mean tumor diameter. Therefore, further studies to address the above-mentioned limitations and confirm the safety and efficacy of this novel therapeutic option are needed.

Conclusions

In conclusion, compared with the SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I intracavity imaging alone, SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I intracavity irradiation combined with ¹²⁵I intracavity particle implantation improved the stent patency rate, resulted in better control of tumor progression, prolonged patient survival, and improved the general physical condition and quality of life of LAPHC patients. Since the ¹²⁵I particles irradiated in the lumen can be replaced and renewed repeatedly with the P-type tube, and the ¹²⁵I particles in the tumor can be supplemented and implanted several times according to the development of the tumor, the combination of the two methods can achieve longer treatment times and result in less pain for the patients with no increased rate of complications. Overall, based on our results, SEMS-CL-¹²⁵I intracavity irradiation combined with ¹²⁵I intracavity particle implantation appears safe and effective, and merits further clinical investigation.

Data Sharing Statement

The raw datasets generated during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Ethical Considerations

This study was complied with Helsinki Declaration and was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First

Affiliated Hospital of Bengbu Medical College. All patients signed informed consent prior to treatment.

Author Contributions

All authors made a significant contribution to the work reported, whether that is in the conception, study design, execution, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation, or in all these areas; took part in drafting, revising or critically reviewing the article; gave final approval of the version to be published; have agreed on the journal to which the article has been submitted; and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2019. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2019;69(1):7–34. doi:10.3322/caac.21551
2. Mizrahi JD, Surana R, Valle JW, Shroff RT. Pancreatic cancer. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10242):2008–2020. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30974-0
3. Christenson ES, Jaffee E, Azad NS. Current and emerging therapies for patients with advanced pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: a bright future. *Lancet Oncol*. 2020;21(3):e135–e145. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(19)30795-8
4. Philip PA, Lacy J, Portales F, et al. Nab-paclitaxel plus gemcitabine in patients with locally advanced pancreatic cancer (LAPACT): a multicentre, open-label Phase 2 study. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2020;5(3):285–294. doi:10.1016/S2468-1253(19)30327-9
5. Payne M, Burmeister EA, Waterhouse M, et al. Biliary Stenting in Patients With Pancreatic Cancer: results From a Population-Based Cohort Study. *Pancreas*. 2018;47(1):80–86. doi:10.1097/MPA.0000000000000960
6. Li W, Wang X, Wang Z, et al. The role of seed implantation in patients with unresectable pancreatic carcinoma after relief of obstructive jaundice using ERCP. *Brachytherapy*. 2020;19(1):97–103. doi:10.1016/j.brachy.2019.08.010
7. Li Q, Tian Y, Yang D, Liang Y, Cheng X, Gai B. Permanent Iodine-125 Seed Implantation for the Treatment of Nonresectable Retroperitoneal Malignant Tumors. *Technol Cancer Res Treat*. 2019;18:1533033819825845. doi:10.1177/1533033819825845
8. Li CG, Zhou ZP, Jia YZ, Tan XL, Song YY. Radioactive I25I seed implantation for locally advanced pancreatic cancer: a retrospective analysis of 50 cases. *World J Clin Cases*. 2020;8(17):3743–3750. doi:10.12998/wjcc.v8.i17.3743
9. Zheng Z, Xu Y, Zhang S, Pu G, Cui C. Surgical bypass and permanent iodine-125 seed implantation vs. surgical bypass for the treatment of pancreatic head cancer. *Oncol Lett*. 2017;14(3):2838–2844. doi:10.3892/ol.2017.6495
10. Qiu H, Ji J, Shao Z, et al. The Efficacy and Safety of Iodine-125 Brachytherapy Combined with Chemotherapy in Treatment of Advanced Lung Cancer: a Meta-Analysis. *J Coll Physicians Surg Pak*. 2017;27(4):237–245.
11. General Assembly of the World Medical Association. World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki: ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. *J Am Coll Dent*. 2014;81(3):14–18.

12. Barenboim A, Lahat G, Geva R, et al. Neoadjuvant FOLFIRINOX for locally advanced and borderline resectable pancreatic cancer: an intention to treat analysis. *Eur J Surg Oncol*. 2018;44(10):1619–1623. doi:10.1016/j.ejso.2018.07.057
13. He C, Wang J, Zhang Y, Lin X, Li S. Irreversible electroporation after induction chemotherapy versus chemotherapy alone for patients with locally advanced pancreatic cancer: a propensity score matching analysis. *Pancreatol*. 2020;20(3):477–484. doi:10.1016/j.pan.2020.02.009
14. Tamura T, Yamaue H, Itonaga M, et al. Fully covered self-expandable metal stent with an anti-migration system vs plastic stent for distal biliary obstruction caused by borderline resectable pancreatic cancer: a protocol for systematic review. *Medicine*. 2020;99(3):e18718. doi:10.1097/MD.00000000000018718
15. Hasimu A, Gu JP, Ji WZ, Zhang HX, Zhu DW, Ren WX. Comparative Study of Percutaneous Transhepatic Biliary Stent Placement with or without Iodine-125 Seeds for Treating Patients with Malignant Biliary Obstruction. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2017;28(4):583–593. doi:10.1016/j.jvir.2016.11.038
16. Luo M, Chen J, Zhong Z, Zhang F. CT-guided 125I brachytherapy combined with chemotherapy for the treatment of unresectable or locally advanced pancreatic carcinoma. *Diagn Interv Radiol*. 2020;1:7764.
17. Zhou C, Li H, Huang Q, Wang J, Gao K. Biliary self-expandable metallic stent combined with Iodine-125 seeds strand in the treatment of hilar malignant biliary obstruction. *J Int Med Res*. 2020;48(4):300060519887843.
18. Zhu HD, Guo JH, Zhu GY, et al. A novel biliary stent loaded with (125)I seeds in patients with malignant biliary obstruction: preliminary results versus a conventional biliary stent. *J Hepatol*. 2012;56(5):1104–1111. doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2011.12.018
19. Pan T, Li MA, Mu LW, Zhu D, Qian JS, Li ZR. Stent placement with iodine-125 seeds strand effectively extends the duration of stent patency and survival in patients with unresectable malignant obstructive jaundice. *Scand J Gastroenterol*. 2020;55(1):123–128. doi:10.1080/00365521.2019.1707275

International Journal of General Medicine

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

The International Journal of General Medicine is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal that focuses on general and internal medicine, pathogenesis, epidemiology, diagnosis, monitoring and treatment protocols. The journal is characterized by the rapid reporting of reviews, original research and clinical studies

across all disease areas. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/international-journal-of-general-medicine-journal>