Keratoconus Diagnosis and Treatment: Recent Advances and Future Directions

Anh D Bui, Angeline Truong, Neel D Pasricha, Maanasa Indaram

Department of Ophthalmology, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA; School of Medicine, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA; Francis I. Proctor Foundation, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

Correspondence: Maanasa Indaram, Wayne and Gladys Valley Center for Vision, UCSF Department of Ophthalmology, 490 Illinois Street, San Francisco, CA, 94158, Tel +1 415 476-2896, Email Maanasa.Indaram@ucsf.edu

Abstract: Keratoconus is a disorder characterized by progressive corneal thinning and steepening that may result in significant visual impairment secondary to high astigmatism, corneal scarring, or even corneal perforation. Early detection and screening of keratoconus are essential for effective management and treatment. Several screening methods, such as corneal topography and tomography, corneal biomechanics, and genetic testing, are being developed to detect keratoconus at an early stage. Once detected, prevention of progression is the mainstay of keratoconus management. Corneal collagen cross-linking is a minimally invasive treatment option that can slow or halt the progression of keratoconus. Additionally, recent studies have investigated the potential use of copper sulfate eye drops (IVMED-80) and extracellular vesicles to prevent the progression of keratoconus as non-invasive treatment options. For visual rehabilitation, currently available treatments include scleral lenses, intracorneal ring segments, corneal allogenic intrastromal ring segments, and deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty. The safety and efficacy of these emerging treatment options for keratoconus are currently being investigated.
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Introduction

Keratoconus is a bilateral but often asymmetric disease of the cornea, in which progressive corneal thinning and steepening lead to irregular astigmatism and vision loss. The prevalence of keratoconus has been estimated to be between 0.2 and 4790 per 100,000 persons, with individuals of Middle Eastern and Asian ethnicities being most affected.

Keratoconus typically presents in early adolescence and progresses into the second or third decades of life. However, it may present earlier in childhood or continue to progress beyond the third decade. The major risk factors for keratoconus include eye rubbing, history of atopy (allergy, asthma, and eczema), and family history of keratoconus. Debate remains as to whether keratoconus is associated with inflammation; though typically not considered an inflammatory disease, some recent research has demonstrated increased levels of inflammatory cytokines and free radicals in the tears of patients with keratoconus.

Early detection of keratoconus is tantamount to its proper treatment as timely intervention with progression prevention strategies, such as corneal collagen cross-linking (CXL), may help avoid more invasive treatments, such as full or partial thickness corneal transplantation. Though the prevalence of keratoconus in the overall population is low, screening for keratoconus within high-risk populations may be helpful. However, early diagnosis can be challenging using corneal topography as multiple parameters for diagnosis are often required. In this review, we discuss emerging innovations and needs surrounding keratoconus screening, prevention of disease progression, and treatment.

Screening for Keratoconus

Corneal Tomography

Corneal tomography is a technique that allows for three-dimensional visualization of the anterior and posterior corneal surfaces, along with assessment of corneal thickness (Figure 1). It has emerged as a promising screening tool in the identification of...
Figure 1  (A) Scheimpflug image in a keratoconus patient. (B) Axial (power) map, anterior and posterior elevation maps, and global pachymetry map in a keratoconus patient.

candidates for early corneal collagen cross-linking to prevent disease progression and more invasive surgical interventions. A recent study by Kong et al reported that while nearly 50% of patients receive corneal topography at their initial visit, the use of corneal tomography at a patient’s first visit has increased significantly since 2015, with pediatric patients more likely to receive corneal tomography compared to adult patients. Furthermore, patients who received corneal tomography were more likely to undergo CXL over more invasive corneal surgeries.9

While significant correlations between average keratometry measures taken by corneal topography versus tomography were shown in the aforementioned study, others have found discrepancies in steep keratometry, flat keratometry, and average keratometry measurements between topography versus tomography in eyes with keratoconus.7,10 Further research is needed to explain the utility of corneal tomography over topography for earlier detection of keratoconus. Tunç et al found excellent reliability using the Sirius device, which includes Scheimpflug tomography and Placido-disc topography, for most keratoconus screening indices except keratoconus vertex back.11 Using spectral-domain optical coherence tomography (OCT) to detect keratoconus, Yang et al reported 100% specificity and variable sensitivities depending on keratoconus stage: 97.8% for manifest keratoconus, 100% for subclinical keratoconus, and 73.7% for forme fruste keratoconic eyes.12 Furthermore, Kim et al found that anterior segment swept-source OCT could effectively differentiate keratoconus from normal corneas and suspected keratoconus using indices such as posterior and anterior elevations.13 In patients with highly asymmetric keratoconus, researchers have found that individual metrics from combined Dual Scheimpflug/Placido imaging performed poorly in distinguishing normal corneas from clinically normal eyes of patients with asymptomatic keratoconus, though combinations of metrics (particularly pachymetry values) were more useful.14 Thus, although the Amsler-Krumeich keratoconus classification system traditionally relies on anterior surface topography, further research is warranted to explore the use of a classification system that may rely on aspects of both corneal topography and tomography.15 Finally, the new Belin ABCD keratoconus staging system was also recently introduced and defined keratoconus according to (A) anterior curvature 3 mm from the thinnest corneal pachymetry, (B) posterior curvature 3 mm from the thinnest corneal pachymetry, (C) thinnest corneal pachymetry, (D) best corrected distance visual acuity.16 Each of these four items is scored on a scale of 0–4, where 4 represents the highest deviation from normal.16 This system’s advantages include its ability to independently describe each corneal layer and its utilization of thinnest corneal pachymetry rather than central apical readings.16

Finally, recent studies have also explored the role of corneal epithelial thickness mapping to evaluate keratoconus progression. One study used Fourier-domain optical coherence tomography and determined that it was effective in mapping corneal and sublayer thickness changes in subclinical keratoconus.17 Another study investigated corneal and epithelial thickness profiles in patients diagnosed with stable or progressive keratoconus, but found no significant differences in full corneal mapping between stable and progressive keratoconus, other than thinning of the inferior paracentral region of the corneal epithelium amongst progressive keratoconus compared to stable keratoconus.18

**Corneal Biomechanics**

Minute changes in corneal shape can lead to clinically significant differences in optical measures of the eye. Corneal biomechanics attempts to characterize and predict changes in the corneal structure over time, and how these changes may impact vision. Corneal biomechanics has been important in understanding how surgery and other interventions impact the cornea and also may prove to be critical in improving screening and diagnosis of keratoconus.19 Tian et al found that corneal elastic modulus calculated from corneal visualization on Scheimpflug imaging was able to distinguish forme fruste keratoconus patients from healthy participants.20 Another study found that Scheimpflug-derived biomechanical parameters were able to differentiate between clinically frank keratoconus and normal corneas, and recent research has emerged to suggest that corneal biomechanical indices may be able to help detect keratoconus before topographical changes occur.21,22

**Genetic Screening**

There have been recent advances in genetic testing that determines an individual’s risk of keratoconus. AvaGenTM (Avellino Lab USA Inc., Menlo Park, CA) uses a buccal swab to evaluate a panel of 75 genes associated with keratoconus and several thousand of their variants to calculate a keratoconus risk score.23 There are several ongoing studies evaluating the role of individual gene variants in the development of keratoconus. In evaluating Chinese and Greek families with keratoconus, Chen et al identified five
variants in VSX1 and TGFBI that are associated with the development of keratoconus through an autosomal dominant pattern of inheritance. Furthermore, they found that asymptomatic relatives also presented with findings consistent with forme fruste keratoconus on the Belin/Ambrosio Enhanced Ectasia Displays of Pentacam corneal tomography, suggesting that genetic testing may be used to identify family members with forme fruste disease.24 Chakravarty et al found novel variants in the LOX gene in keratoconus patients from Assam, India, while Li et al found two single nucleotide polymorphisms located near the COL5A1 gene linked to the central corneal thinning associated with keratoconus.19,20 On the other hand, a study of Brazilian patients with keratoconus found no pathogenic variants in VSX1, SOD1, TIMP3, or LOX and another study of Polish patients found a large degree of genetic heterogeneity when investigating keratoconus-related sequence variants of VSX1, TGFBI, and other gene candidates.22,25 Thus, further research is needed to identify which gene variants should be included in keratoconus gene panels and to determine which patients would be the best candidates for genetic screening for keratoconus.

Keratoconus Screening for Pediatric and Developmentally Delayed Patients

There is a pressing need for improved screening of pediatric and developmentally delayed patients for keratoconus. CXL under general anesthesia has been found to be efficacious and safe for developmentally delayed pediatric patients with keratoconus who could not tolerate the procedure with topical anesthesia.26 However, this study also found that compared to patients without developmental delay, developmentally delayed patients were diagnosed and treated for keratoconus at a later age, waited longer between diagnosis and surgery, and had a higher incidence of corneal scarring and vision loss at the time of surgery, suggesting a disparity in keratoconus screening and management that must be addressed for this particular patient population.26

One may consider the use of portable corneal topography, such as the EyeSys Vista (EyeSys Vision LLC, Houston, TX) as a potential means to expand screening to all populations. Using this system, ALGarzaie et al reported significant differences in astigmatism between children with autism spectrum disorder and age-matched neurotypical participants, suggesting that patients with autism may be at a higher risk of developing keratoconus.27

In evaluating socioeconomic disparities in the care of pediatric keratoconus patients, Ahmad et al found that parents with a high-school education, limited English proficiency, lower income level, and Medicaid insurance had a poorer understanding of keratoconus. In addition, lower levels of education amongst parents were significantly correlated with steeper keratometry readings.28 Likewise, a French study conducted between 2004 and 2015 found regional disparities in indications, techniques, and waiting periods for corneal transplantation, largely attributable to variability between transplant centers and eye banks.29

Preventing Progression of Disease

As the choice between the various treatment modalities for keratoconus largely depends on the level of disease severity, preventing keratoconus progression is the preferred management strategy.

Epithelium-off and Epithelium-on Corneal Cross-Linking

CXL is a minimally invasive procedure in which riboflavin, a photosensitizer, and ultraviolet-A light are used to induce the formation of strong chemical bonds between collagen fibrils in the cornea, thereby rendering it to be stiffer and less susceptible to ectatic changes. Originally developed in the 1990 as the “Dresden protocol”, in this procedure, riboflavin solution is placed on the cornea after the central epithelium (7–9 mm diameter) is removed. The cornea is then irradiated with ultraviolet A light for 30 minutes, from a distance of 1 cm (Figure 2).30 In 2003, Wollensak et al demonstrated that keratoconus progression could be slowed with this procedure, namely with a reduction in steep keratometry and astigmatism measures.31–33 As such, this original “epithelium-off” (epi-off) procedure has become established as a mainstay of keratoconus treatment.

Since its inception, further innovations on epi-off corneal cross-linking have been developed, including an accelerated corneal cross-linking protocol, in which a higher-intensity light is used to reduce procedure times. While the traditional Dresden protocol calls for a UV-A irradiance of 3 mW/cm² for 30 minutes, studies on porcine corneas have suggested that a higher-intensity light of 10 mW/cm² for 9 minutes could have similar outcomes in terms of corneal rigidity.34–36 In vivo studies on human eyes showed that the accelerated protocol (10 mW/cm² for 9 minutes) had no statistically significant differences in post-op corneal stiffness compared to the standard protocol (3 mW/cm² for 30 minutes).34
A study of 21 patients treated with accelerated corneal-cross linking also showed improvements in visual acuity and keratoconus progression.\textsuperscript{34,37} However, there are mixed results regarding sequelae to the corneal endothelium with the accelerated protocol.\textsuperscript{34,38,39} Xing and Oyang et al found an increase in the number of apoptotic endothelial cells after accelerated collagen cross-linking in \textit{in vivo} rabbit studies.\textsuperscript{39} In a study where 36 patients with keratoconus underwent the accelerated cross-linking protocol, Cingu et al found significant differences in endothelial cell density and percentages of hexagonality up to 1 month after the procedure, although these changes returned to preoperative values 3–6 months after cross-linking.\textsuperscript{38} Thus, although there is evidence that accelerated corneal cross-linking is safe and effective in human eyes, there may be changes to the endothelial cells immediately after the procedure and further research is warranted to better understand the long-term effects of these changes. Furthermore, knowledge about accelerated corneal cross-linking is limited by the use of differing protocols across medical centers, as well as the relative paucity of large, randomized clinical trials investigating this technique.\textsuperscript{34}

Despite the widely reported efficacy of the procedure, epi-off corneal cross-linking has also been associated with various side effects, including ocular pain, risk of viral keratitis reactivation, corneal melting, corneal haze, infectious ulcers, and stromal scarring – all presumably secondary to corneal epithelial removal.\textsuperscript{32,40} Thus, attempts have been made to reduce some of these side effects by eliminating the epithelium debridement portion of the procedure. This newer protocol, dubbed the “epithelial-on” (epi-on) CXL technique is a variation of the Dresden protocol which leaves the corneal epithelium entirely intact in order to reduce pain and complications of surgery. However, due to the hydrophilicity of the riboflavin molecule, various approaches have been developed to increase the penetration of riboflavin into the stroma in order to perform corneal cross-linking through a trans-epithelial approach.\textsuperscript{32}

\textbf{Figure 2} Schematic depiction of the Dresden protocol: removal of corneal epithelium, instillation of riboflavin solution, and application of a UV-A beam of 370 nm wavelength.\textsuperscript{30} 

Notes: Reprinted from \textit{J Drug Deliv Sci Technol}, 63, Aytekin E, Pehlivan SB. Corneal cross-linking approaches on keratoconus treatment. 102524, Copyright 2021, with permission from Elsevier.\textsuperscript{30}
One such approach is iontophoresis-assisted transepithelial CXL, in which a small electrical current is used to increase riboflavin absorption into the corneal stroma. In a study comparing iontophoresis-assisted epi-on versus iontophoresis-assisted epi-off CXL, there were no significant differences in maximum keratometry, mean keratometry, flat keratometry, or steep keratometry values. Furthermore, iontophoresis-assisted epi-off techniques were associated with reduced surgical times and fewer complications.

In a systematic review of 15 randomized controlled trials comparing epi-on to epi-off CXL, the authors concluded that there were no significant differences in uncorrected distance visual acuity, maximum keratometry, central corneal thickness, or endothelial cell density between the two procedures. They did find, however, improved postoperative corrected distance visual acuity, increased patient comfort, faster epithelial healing, and a decreased risk of persistent stromal haze in those who underwent epi-on protocols. On the other hand, others have found that post-operative pain scores between the two were not significantly different after a few days post-operation. In terms of clinical efficacy, other studies evaluating postoperative outcomes after epi-on corneal CXL demonstrated that progression of keratoconus in a pediatric population was halted at five years of follow-up for 90% of patients.

It is important to note that protocols for the epi-on corneal CXL technique have varied considerably between medical centers and research trials. Various techniques have been used to induce epithelial permeability, including the use of benzalkonium chloride with tetracaine, benzalkonium chloride and hydroxypropyl methylcellulose, 0.1% riboflavin in 20% dextran, riboflavin soaking with iontophoresis, and iontophoresis device alone. Thus, although there are several randomized controlled trials investigating the “epi-on” procedure, comparing the outcomes of these trials remains difficult due to high variability in protocols between medical centers.

However, recent randomized controlled trials suggest the superiority of standard cross-linking for pediatric keratoconus. A multicenter randomized controlled trial in Egypt compared long-term outcomes of standard cross-linking, accelerated cross-linking, and transepithelial cross-linking in 97 pediatric patients. This trial reported that standard cross-linking and accelerated cross-linking were both superior to transepithelial cross-linking, with standard cross-linking being the most efficient method and resulting in smoother corneal remodeling. The same conclusions were reported by a two-year randomized controlled trial of 136 children.

**IVMED-80 Cross-Linking**

In addition to the light-induced CXL therapies, a new avenue for halting keratoconus progression is based on the idea of leveraging the cornea’s self-repair abilities. In this therapeutic approach, eyedrops containing synthetic biomolecules that activate physiological pathways involved in corneal integrity and healing are applied to the cornea. One promising therapy making use of this idea is IVMED-80 (iVeena Delivery Systems, Inc., Salt Lake City, UT), a drug whose active component is copper sulfate which is a necessary cofactor for lysyl oxidase. Lysyl oxidase is an important enzyme for extracellular matrix epistasis, which converts lysine into reactive aldehydes. Reactive aldehydes in turn cause the formation of cross-links between extracellular proteins such as collagen or elastin.

Although the mechanistic details are not fully understood, evidence suggests that the loss of corneal integrity and abnormal stromal collagen structures observed in keratoconus may be caused by dysregulation of pathways involved in the maturation of corneal collagen. For example, mRNA levels of lysyl oxidase as well as those of several collagen genes (collagen I and IV) are reduced in the corneal epithelium of patients with keratoconus. Furthermore, the activity of lysyl oxidase, as measured directly by fluorometric assays from tear samples, has been found to inversely correlate with disease severity in patients with keratoconus. Thus, upregulation of lysyl oxidase can potentially trigger natural cross-linking of corneal collagen fibers and improve corneal integrity.

Initial studies of IVMED-80 have yielded promising results. In vivo studies in rabbits showed that 7 weeks of twice-daily dosing of IVMED-80 induced central keratometric flattening and increased corneal cross-linking, as evidenced by higher levels of lysylnorleucine, a biomarker of lysyl oxidase activity. Ex vivo human cadaveric cornea studies showed that application of IVMED-80 increased lysyl oxidase activity and corneal stiffness. Following these studies, IVMED-80 went on to human clinical trials, completing a Phase 1/2a trial. It was found to be safe and well tolerated by patients with no serious ocular adverse events, including changes in intraocular pressure, inflammation, or corneal scarring. Initial results from this trial showed that compared to the placebo group, twice-daily dosing with IVMED-80 in the keratoconus...
group significantly reduced the baseline-adjusted mean maximum central keratometry. IVMED-80 is a relatively new treatment for keratoconus, and further research is needed to fully understand its long-term effects and safety. However, the current evidence suggests that it may be a promising non-surgical option for patients with keratoconus and an alternative to epi-off and epi-on light-induced CXL with better tolerance and fewer side effects.

Extracellular Vesicles in Corneal Reconstruction

Another promising technology for corneal tissue reconstruction in keratoconus involves the use of extracellular vesicles (EVs). EVs are naturally secreted small membrane-bound structures containing a cargo of proteins, lipids, and nucleic acids that are released by cells and are playing increasingly recognized roles in cell-to-cell communication, inflammation, tissue repair, and homeostasis. Among the different subtypes of EVs, exosomes are a subtype with sizes ranging from 50 to 150 nm, which are most often considered for therapeutic applications. Exosomes gained traction as a therapeutic platform when it was realized that they have similar therapeutic properties as stem cell-based therapies in a variety of disease models. The current paradigm is that the “secretome” of specific cell types could potentially serve as a cell-free-based regenerative therapy for human tissues.

In the context of corneal diseases, the exosomes from corneal stromal mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are of particular interest. MSCs are a population of non-hematopoietic stem cells distributed in the anterior part of the corneal stroma near the limbal stem cells. These cells are important for tissue regeneration due to their self-renewal and ability to differentiate into keratocytes. In an in vitro scratch assay using cultured human corneal epithelial cells and in a mouse epithelial mechanical injury model, Samaeekia et al found that corneal stromal MSC-derived exosomes were taken up by corneal epithelial cells and promoted epithelial wound healing.

Exosomes from other cell types, such as corneal epithelial cells, have also been studied. In a study using a mouse model of corneal epithelium debridement, Han et al found that exosomes were released by corneal epithelial cells and could induce proliferation and activation of keratocytes into myofibroblasts. This activation is thought to be an important part of the injury repair process as myofibroblasts are responsible for extracellular matrix reorganization and wound healing.

While the number of studies on EVs and exosomes in keratoconus remains limited, there is evidence that EVs and exosomes may have therapeutic potential for keratoconus. Hefley et al compared the composition of exosomes of keratoconus and healthy patients and found specific differences in the expression of tetraspanins, which are scaffold proteins found on the cell membrane.

Overall, EVs represent a promising approach for the treatment of keratoconus and other corneal diseases. However, further studies are needed to elucidate the underlying mechanisms and evaluate the safety and efficacy of EVs in human subjects.

Methods of Visual Rehabilitation

Visual rehabilitation and improvement in patients with keratoconus varies depending on the severity of the disease. These strategies include refractive correction with glasses and contact lenses and surgical options including intracorneal ring segments, corneal allogenic intrastromal ring segments (CAIRS), and deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty (DALK).

Scleral Contact Lenses

Among the type of contact lenses available, scleral lenses are rigid, large-diameter contact lenses that rest on the conjunctiva overlying the sclera, vaulting over the cornea. They are particularly effective for patients with advanced keratoconus who have failed to achieve satisfactory visual outcomes with glasses or traditional contact lenses.

There are several advantages of scleral lenses over soft contact lenses, including the improvement of visual acuity by correcting higher-order aberrations which cause visual distortions in patients with keratoconus. Scleral lenses also create a tear-filled reservoir between the scleral lens and the cornea, which provides protection of the ocular surface. Furthermore, they provide a more stable fit compared to traditional contact lenses, which are more prone to movement on an irregularly shaped cornea of a patient with keratoconus. A prospective study examining
the effects of mini-scleral lenses on the vision of 50 patients with keratoconus found that mini-scleral lenses significantly improve the visual acuity and vision-related quality of life as assessed with the National Eye Institute Visual Functioning Questionnaire.\textsuperscript{69}

Despite the advantages of increased comfort and improved visual acuity, scleral lenses involve a higher level of maintenance and care by the patient, more time and skill to insert and remove, as well as more expertise by the practitioner for proper fitting.\textsuperscript{70,71} Approximately 30\% of scleral lens users also experience fogging due to the accumulation of particulates in the tear reservoir between the lens and the ocular surface.\textsuperscript{70,72}

**Intracorneal Ring Segments**

Placement of intracorneal ring segments is a surgical option to reshape the corneal morphology and thus improve visual acuity in patients with mild-to-moderate keratoconus. The procedure involves the implantation of one or two curved segments of polymethacrylate material into the corneal stroma to reshape its curvature (Figure 3).\textsuperscript{73} They are appropriate in cases with transparent corneas with a thickness greater than 450 mm at the site of insertion.\textsuperscript{74,75}

Intracorneal ring segment implantation offers several benefits. It is a minimally invasive surgery which does not require removal of corneal tissue, is reversible, and may prevent or delay the need for corneal transplantation. Studies have shown that intracorneal ring segments can improve visual acuity, reduce the level of astigmatism, and reduce refractive aberrations, improving the quality of life in patients with keratoconus.\textsuperscript{75–78} Implantation of intracorneal ring segments may also restore contact lens tolerance by creating a more regular corneal shape.\textsuperscript{77}

The correction effect of the intracorneal ring segments depends partially on the corneal axis of the implantation. Several authors consider the steepest keratometric axis as the best location to place the vertical incision to implant the segments.\textsuperscript{79} However, keratoconus frequently induces significant coma-like aberrations, and other studies have reported good outcomes when intracorneal ring segment implantation is guided by the comatic axis.\textsuperscript{80,81} In cases where the keratometric and comatic axes coincide, the implantation approach can address both astigmatism and comatic aberration.\textsuperscript{82} However, if the axes do not align, it becomes challenging to decide on the optimal approach.

Intracorneal ring segment complications include infection, corneal melting, and segment extrusion or exposure.\textsuperscript{76} Unfortunately, while intracorneal ring segments have been found to be effective in mild-to-moderate cases of keratoconus, this treatment does not halt disease progression, especially in more aggressive cases.\textsuperscript{83}

Corneal Allogenic Intrastromal Ring Segments

A relatively new procedure, called corneal allogenic intrastromal ring segments (CAIRS), was developed by Jacob et al, which is based on the concept of intrastromal corneal ring segments in combination with UV crosslinking, but which replaces synthetic implants with allogenic corneal grafts (Figure 4). In this procedure, donor corneal buttons are prepared into two semicircular ring segments and smeared with 0.1% riboflavin in 20% dextran. The ring segments are then implanted into the recipient channels created using a femtosecond laser at mid-stromal depth in the 6.5 mm optic zones. The success of CAIRS depends on proper segment placement. To facilitate the visualization of the donor segments within the recipient stroma, the segments can be prestained with trypan blue. Following implantation, conventional accelerated light-induced corneal CXL can be performed to introduce chemical bonding between the segments and the corneal collagen fibers.

In their pilot study, Jacob et al performed CAIRS in combination with CXL on 24 eyes with keratoconus and reported significant improvement in uncorrected and corrected distance visual acuity at median one year post treatment, and no evidence of keratoconus progression. Seventy percent of patients improved by at least one line in uncorrected distance visual acuity and anterior segment optical coherence tomography indicated that all segments were well-placed segments at mid-stromal depth without evidence of corneal melt or necrosis. They also reported no intra- or post-operative complications. While this study indicates that CAIRS may be a safe and effective treatment option for keratoconus to reduce the risk of keratonic progression and the complications associated with the use of synthetic intrastromal material, further studies are needed to better characterize the long-term outcomes and overall benefits of this technique.

Toric Intraocular Collamer Lens

The use of anterior chamber phakic intraocular lenses to correct refractive errors associated with keratoconus has also been explored. Alfonso et al carried out a prospective study where toric intraocular collamer lenses were implanted in 30 keratoconic eyes (21 patients). Preoperatively, the mean spherical equivalent for all eyes was −5.38 ± 3.26 D, and the

Figure 4 (A and B) Introduction of a CAIRS into an intrastromal channel. The arrows highlight the CAIRS it is being inserted (C and D) Two CAIRS within the intrastromal channel.

mean cylinder was $-3.48 \pm 1.24$ D. The authors found that 12 months postoperatively, 86.7% of the eyes were within ±0.50 diopters (D) of the attempted refraction, and all eyes were within ±1.00 D. The mean cylinder 12 months postoperatively was 0.41 ± 0.61 D, and the mean reduction in refractive cylinder from preoperatively to 12 months postoperatively was 88%. The authors reported no complications or adverse events.

Deep Anterior Lamellar Keratoplasty

For the most severe cases, DALK is another surgical procedure for visual rehabilitation. The procedure involves the replacement of the anterior portion of the cornea, leaving the patient’s Descemet membrane and corneal endothelium as well as oftentimes, the pre-Descemet’s layer, intact (Figure 5).\textsuperscript{88–90} It is an alternative to full-thickness penetrating keratoplasty for the treatment of keratoconus, and it has several advantages over penetrating keratoplasty, such as a lower risk of graft rejection and secondary endophthalmitis as well as preservation of endothelial cell density.\textsuperscript{91–95} This technique has been shown to significantly improve vision-related quality of life in patients with keratoconus.\textsuperscript{96} Some studies have reported superior visual outcomes compared to penetrating keratoplasty, while others have shown similar results.\textsuperscript{91,94,95,97–99} Overall, however, DALK is a more technically challenging procedure to perform compared to penetrating keratoplasty and requires a higher level of surgical expertise.\textsuperscript{100} DALK can also be more time-consuming and may result in longer surgical times compared to penetrating keratoplasty.

Laser-Based Treatments

Laser-based treatments for visual rehabilitation have been recently explored. A one-year study of topography-guided photorefractive keratectomy and topography-assisted photo therapeutic keratectomy with corneal cross-linking has been studied and determined that both methods had similar improvement in visual acuity amongst keratoconic patients. However, topography-guided photorefractive keratectomy led to greater decreases in keratometry, spherical aberration, and anterior defocus while also leading to more tissue ablation.\textsuperscript{101}

Conclusion

Keratoconus is a challenging disease to manage, and its progression can result in significant visual impairment and reduced quality of life for affected individuals. Early detection and screening allow for timely intervention and
management to prevent disease progression. Advances in screening methods such as corneal topography and tomography and the development of corneal biomechanics and genetic screening are continuing to significantly improve our ability to diagnose keratoconus. While CXL has become the gold standard for slowing or halting the progression of keratoconus, alternative approaches have emerged, including copper sulfate eye drops (IVMED-80), a novel medication with the potential to induce physiologic corneal cross-linking, and EVs, which have shown promising results in preliminary studies. For visual rehabilitation of patients with vision loss secondary to keratoconus, scleral lenses have demonstrated significant improvements in visual acuity and quality of life in patients. Intracorneal ring segments as well as CAIRS, which is a relatively new procedure developed as an alternative to synthetic intracorneal ring segments, have also been found to be very effective in improving vision in patients with mild-to-moderate keratoconus and improving the corneal curvature in patients. In cases of advanced keratoconus, DALK is a surgical option to improve vision. Despite these promising treatments, continued research and development are essential to improving our understanding of keratoconus and developing more effective screening and treatments to continue improving the lives of patients with keratoconus.
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