Enzyme replacement therapy for pancreatic insufficiency: present and future
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Abstract: Pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy is currently the mainstay of treatment for nutrient malabsorption secondary to pancreatic insufficiency. This treatment is safe and has few side effects. Data demonstrate efficacy in reducing steatorrhea and fat malabsorption. Effective therapy has been limited by the ability to replicate the physiologic process of enzyme delivery to the appropriate site, in general the duodenum, at the appropriate time. The challenges include enzyme destruction in the stomach, lack of adequate mixing with the chyme in the duodenum, and failing to deliver and activate at the appropriate time. Treatment is begun when clinically significant malabsorption occurs resulting in steatorrhea and weight loss. Treatment failure is addressed in a sequential fashion. Current research is aimed at studying new enzymes and delivery systems to improve the efficiency of action in the duodenum along with developing better means to monitor therapy.
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Introduction
Normal pancreatic function ensures effective digestion and absorption of nutrients. Clinical exocrine pancreatic insufficiency occurs when secretions of the pancreas do not maintain normal digestive function, resulting in nutrient malabsorption and other symptoms such as diarrhea, which in turn affect quality of life and eventually result in malnutrition.1-3 The leading cause of pancreatic insufficiency is chronic pancreatitis, which is estimated to affect 0.4% to 5% of the world population.4 In children, however, the most common cause of pancreatic insufficiency is cystic fibrosis. The reported prevalence of exocrine pancreatic insufficiency in chronic pancreatitis and cystic fibrosis is 30% to 40% and 80% to 90% respectively.5 The use of oral therapy pre-dates the creation of the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 1938, and currently enzyme replacement is the mainstay of therapy in patients diagnosed with malabsorption secondary to pancreatic insufficiency.

In order to manage patients effectively with malabsorption related to exocrine pancreatic insufficiency, an understanding of normal pancreatic physiology and pathophysiology is required. This review provides an overview of normal pancreatic function and the biochemistry of lipid digestion, along with the pathophysiologic mechanisms of disease that lead to malabsorption. Enzyme replacement therapy is reviewed including the pharmacokinetics, various preparations, dosing, and side effects. The inadequacy of current therapy has led to ongoing research, which is reviewed in
detailed to examine how this has improved our understanding of lipid digestion and also the therapy we have to offer.

**Normal exocrine pancreatic physiology**

**Composition and regulation of pancreatic fluid secretion**

The exocrine pancreas plays a key role in the digestive function through the secretion of pancreatic juice consisting of numerous enzymes and of aqueous solution rich in sodium bicarbonate. Postprandial secretion can increase up to 1 to 2 L per day.\(^6\) Three main types of enzymes are secreted to digest the ingested macronutrients: proteins (trypsinogen 1, 2, and 3, chymotrypsinogen, proelastase 1 and 2, protease E, kallikreinogen, procarboxypeptidase A1, A2, B1, and B2), starchy foods (α-amylase), and lipids (Table 1).\(^9\)–\(^21\) While lipases and amylase are secreted in the active form, proteases are secreted as pro-enzymes. Trypsinogen is converted to its active form trypsin in the duodenum by enterokinase, a protease secreted by the enterocytes, and trypsin in turn activates the other pancreatic proteases.

Enzyme content of pancreatic fluid changes according to different factors including age, gender, and diet. The pancreas reaches mature function by 2 years of age,\(^22\) and enzyme secretion is lower in full-term newborns and young infants than in adults.\(^22\)–\(^25\) Pancreatic secretion in the elderly is decreased, with as little as 56% of young adult function maintained, but the clinical impact is controversial.\(^26\),\(^27\) Men may have higher levels of secretion than women but the physiological relevance is not documented.\(^27\) Pancreatic fluid composition varies highly among individuals.\(^28\)–\(^31\) Amount and type of lipids consumed might explain in part this individual variability. Indeed, a 2-week high-fat/low-carbohydrate diet is associated with a 4-fold higher pancreatic enzyme output in healthy humans compared with a diet rich in carbohydrates or proteins.\(^32\) Pancreatic lipase levels in the human duodenum vary with the quantity and the type of lipids ingested during a single meal.\(^29\),\(^30\),\(^33\)–\(^36\) The rate of pancreatic enzyme degradation in the small intestinal lumen also varies among individuals.\(^37\) As a result of these variables, determining the exact enzyme level secreted by the normal human pancreas is difficult. Furthermore, varying methods used for collection and measurement of activity result in data that are not directly comparable. Pancreatic or duodenal juice is collected using solid or liquid meal,\(^7\),\(^22\)–\(^28\),\(^30\)–\(^34\),\(^36\)–\(^38\),\(^39\) or after direct hormonal stimulation\(^22\),\(^23\),\(^40\)–\(^41\) or through baseline.\(^24\),\(^39\),\(^42\)–\(^43\) Enzyme activity measurements are in general done under optimized assay conditions and do not indicate the real activity in vivo.\(^28\),\(^38\) Data are available as enzyme activities or output expressed as Units (U) per minute or U per hour, U per milliliter, or as milligrams of secreted enzymes (Tables 2 and 3).\(^7\),\(^8\),\(^22\)–\(^24\),\(^29\)–\(^31\),\(^34\)–\(^36\),\(^40\)–\(^45\) To make the comparisons easier, enzyme activities are generally expressed as International Units (IU). One IU is defined as the amount of enzyme required to release 1 µmole of product from the used substrate per mL per min under the standard assay conditions.

There is a specific orchestration of the pancreatic fluid secretion during the fed state leading to appropriate enzyme delivery on demand in the duodenum. During the cephalic phase, orosensory perception of lipids seems to increase pancreatic secretion.\(^46\)–\(^49\) During the gastric phase, digestion of proteins by pepsin and of triglycerides by gastric lipase generates amino acids and free fatty acids, respectively.\(^50\),\(^51\) When delivered through the pylorus, they become powerful stimulants of the cholecystokinin hormone (CCK) produced by the duodenal endocrine cells which stimulates pancreatic enzymes secretion and controls the gastric emptying rate.\(^40\) The acidic pH of the chyme entering the duodenum stimulates the release of secretin, which increases the secretion of water and bicarbonate ions from the pancreas.\(^49\) This gastric phase of digestion represents an important aspect in the overall postprandial regulation of pancreatic secretion that becomes abnormal after gastric surgery when gastric digestion and emptying are altered.\(^52\),\(^53\)

### Table 1 Human pancreatic enzymes involved in lipid digestion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme name</th>
<th>Specific substrate</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatic lipase</td>
<td>Triglycerides (lipid-droplet)</td>
<td>10,16,19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatic lipase related protein 1</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>11, 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatic lipase related protein 2</td>
<td>Triglycerides (milk-lipid-droplet)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carboxyl ester lipase</td>
<td>Esters of lipid-soluble vitamins</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carboxyl ester lipase</td>
<td>Esters of cholesterol</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carboxyl ester lipase</td>
<td>Triglycerides, diglycerides, monoglycerides</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carboxyl ester lipase</td>
<td>Phospholipids</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carboxyl ester lipase</td>
<td>Ceramides</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phospholipase A2</td>
<td>Phospholipids</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations**: HPL, human pancreatic lipase; TG, triglycerides.
Table 2 Baseline and postprandial activity of the main pancreatic enzymes in healthy humansa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity (Units)b</th>
<th>Collection condition</th>
<th>Method of measurement</th>
<th>Age, number, references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Full-term infants</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipase</td>
<td>1061 ± 101</td>
<td>CCK + secretin</td>
<td>Olive oil emulsion, pH 9, 25°Cc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>982 (49–978)</td>
<td>CCK + secretin</td>
<td>Olive oil emulsion, pH 9.1, 37°Cc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20/50/600</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Olive oil emulsion, pH 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20/50/1400</td>
<td>CCK</td>
<td>Olive oil emulsion, pH 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1071 ± 143</td>
<td>CCK + secretin</td>
<td>Olive oil emulsion, pH 9.1, 37°Cc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phospholipase</td>
<td>16.7 ± 1.9</td>
<td>CCK + secretin</td>
<td>Egg-yolk lipoprotein, pH 8, 40°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trypsin</td>
<td>451 (27–1328) µg</td>
<td>CCK + secretin</td>
<td>BAEE, pH 8, 25°Cc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>180/325/180</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>BAPNA, pH 8.2, 25°Cc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>170/280/400</td>
<td>CCK</td>
<td>BAPNA, pH 8.2, 25°Cc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chymotrypsin</td>
<td>93 ± 9</td>
<td>CCK + secretin</td>
<td>ATEE, pH 7.9, 25°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5–7.5–12.5</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>BTEE, pH 7.2–8.0, 30°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7–9–25</td>
<td>CCK</td>
<td>BTEE, pH 7.2–8.0, 30°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amylase</td>
<td>22 (2–37)</td>
<td>CCK + secretin</td>
<td>Starch, pH 6.9, 25°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Baseline or CCK</td>
<td>Starch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>225</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Starch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td>CCK</td>
<td>Starch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adults</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipase</td>
<td>1454 ± 95</td>
<td>CCK + secretin</td>
<td>Olive oil emulsion, pH 9, 25°Cc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>839 ± 429</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Olive oil emulsion, pH 9, 25°Cc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>74–1674</td>
<td>Test mealc</td>
<td>Olive oil emulsion, pH 9.1, 37°Cc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>84–1127</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Tributyrin, pH 8, 25°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>750–1125</td>
<td>Test mealc</td>
<td>Tributyrin, pH 8, 25°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1200 ± 459</td>
<td>CCK + cerulein (PPJ)c</td>
<td>Olive oil emulsion, pH 9, 25°Cc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>977 ± 282</td>
<td>CCK + cerulein</td>
<td>Olive oil emulsion, pH 9, 25°Cc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1969 ± 241</td>
<td>Test mealc</td>
<td>Olive oil emulsion, pH 9, 25°Cc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1020 ± 128</td>
<td>CCK + secretin</td>
<td>Olive oil emulsion, pH 9.1, 37°Cc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200–2400</td>
<td>Test mealc</td>
<td>Tributyrin, pH 8.0, 37°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>600–1400</td>
<td>Test mealc</td>
<td>Tributyrin, pH 9.0, 37°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200–1000</td>
<td>Test mealc</td>
<td>Tributyrin, pH 7.5, 27°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>772,000 ± 200,000/240 min</td>
<td>Test mealc</td>
<td>Olive oil emulsion, pH 8.8, 30°Cc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1105 ± 360</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Tributyrin, emulsion, pH 9, 25°Cc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4740 ± 800</td>
<td>Test mealc</td>
<td>Tributyrin, emulsion, pH 9, 25°Cc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1500–4000</td>
<td>Test mealc</td>
<td>Tributyrin, pH 8.0, 37°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2000–7000</td>
<td>Test mealc</td>
<td>Tributyrin, pH 8.0, 37°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEL</td>
<td>3.9–29.5</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>p-nitrophenyl acetate, pH 7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10–15</td>
<td>Test mealc</td>
<td>p-nitrophenyl acetate, pH 7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phospholipase</td>
<td>24.44 ± 1.53</td>
<td>CCK + secretin</td>
<td>Egg-yolk lipoprotein, pH 8, 40°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trypsin</td>
<td>13–138</td>
<td>Test mealc</td>
<td>TAME, pH 7.7, 37°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25–50</td>
<td>Test mealc</td>
<td>BAEE, pH 7.9, 27°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chymotrypsin</td>
<td>147 ± 11</td>
<td>CCK + secretin</td>
<td>ATEE, pH 7.9, 25°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>65 ± 17</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>ATEE, pH 7.9, 25°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
During the intestinal phase, enterohormones, such as CCK, together with neurotransmitters and neuropeptides further stimulate pancreatic secretion. Thus, digestive pancreatic enzymes and their output are affected by the composition of the meal. Table 3 shows the postprandial output of the main pancreatic enzymes in the duodenal lumen in healthy adult humans. The output is expressed as total mg of proteins measured by immunoassay or specific ELISA test or estimated using the known specific activity of enzymes (for pure human pancreatic lipase 8000 IU/mg on tributyrin or 1785 IU/mg on triolein), and for lipase activity measurement the authors used a different concentration and type of bile salts that was not reported in the "Method of measurement." Test meals: ‘Lundh Borstström test meal (500 mL, 5% proteins [P], 6% lipids [L], 15% carbohydrates [C]); “Test meal (450–850 mL of cow milk plus cream for a lipid load of 1 g/kg body weight); ‘Lundh test meal (300 mL, 5% P, 6% L, 15% C); ‘Formula Shak iso (500 mL, 14 g P, 12.5 g L, 52 g C); ‘Liquid meal (400 mL, 1 egg, 1 egg white, 70 g olive oil, 70 g sucrose); ‘Liquid meal (200 mL, 8.5 g P, 7 g L, 26.5 g C); Formula 10% Intralipid (500 mL, 550 kcal); ‘Formula (300 mL, 11 g P, 10 g L, 41 g C); ‘Formula (500 mL, 34 g P, 50 g L, 50 g C); jFormula 10% Intralipid (500 mL, 550 kcal); kFormula (500 mL, 34 g P, 50 g L, 50 g C); lMixed solid/liquid meal (700 mL, 80 g string beans, 90 g beef meat, 70 g fried potatoes, 10 g butter, 15 mL olive oil); Substrate: ‘Olive oil emulsion stabilized by Arabic gum; ‘Triolein emulsion stabilized by Arabic gum; ‘Olive oil emulsion stabilized by ascac gum. The table highlights the importance of pancreatic enzymes in lipid bioavailability. Pancreatic juice plays a key role in the digestion of all macronutrients, but is most crucial for lipid digestion. Protein digestion begins in the stomach with the concomitant action of hydrochloric acid and pepsin, continues with pancreatic proteases in the duodenum, and finishes with numerous brush border peptidases located all over the small intestine. Starch digestion begins in the mouth with salivary amylase, continues with pancreatic amylase, and ends with several intestinal brush border oligosaccharidases. In contrast, the majority of lipid digestion and absorption occurs between

### Table 2 (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity (Units)</th>
<th>Collection condition</th>
<th>Method of measurement</th>
<th>Age, number, references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amylase 167</td>
<td>CCK + cerulein (PP)*</td>
<td>ATEE, pH 7.9, 25°C</td>
<td>29–58 yr, n = 7, Escourrou8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipase 54.9</td>
<td>CCK + cerulein (PP)*</td>
<td>ATEE, pH 7.9, 25°C</td>
<td>29–58 yr, n = 7, Escourrou8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–100</td>
<td>Test meal</td>
<td>ATEE, pH 7.5, 37°C</td>
<td>21–25 yr, n = 6, Carrière43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–75</td>
<td>Test mealh</td>
<td>ATEE, pH 7.9, 27°C</td>
<td>38–58 yr, n = 18, Yago26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amylase 31–840</td>
<td>Test mealh</td>
<td>Starch, pH 7, 30°C</td>
<td>nd, n = 15, Braganza7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161 ± 68</td>
<td>CCK + cerulein (PP)*</td>
<td>Starch</td>
<td>29–58 yr, n = 7, Escourrou8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143 ± 36</td>
<td>CCK + cerulein (PP)*</td>
<td>Starch</td>
<td>29–58 yr, n = 7, Escourrou8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5–4.2</td>
<td>Test mealh</td>
<td>Starch, pH 6.9</td>
<td>38–58 yr, n = 18, Yago26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5–16</td>
<td>Test mealh</td>
<td>Starch, pH 6.9, 30°C</td>
<td>21–25 yr, n = 6, Carrière43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3 Postprandial output expressed as amount of the main pancreatic enzymes in the duodenal lumen in healthy adult humans

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output</th>
<th>Collection condition</th>
<th>Method of measurement</th>
<th>Age, number, references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lipase</td>
<td>54.9 ± 10.7*d</td>
<td>Liquid test mealh</td>
<td>22–42 yr, n = 15, Carrière44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88.2 ± 25*c</td>
<td>Liquid test mealh</td>
<td>Tributyrin, pH 8.0, 37°C</td>
<td>22–42 yr, n = 15, Carrière44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>245 ± 22*a</td>
<td>Liquid test mealh</td>
<td>Tributyrin, pH 8.0, 37°C</td>
<td>22–42 yr, n = 15, Carrière44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>442 ± 87*f</td>
<td>Liquid test mealh</td>
<td>Tributyrin, pH 8.0, 37°C</td>
<td>22–42 yr, n = 15, Carrière44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>253 ± 95*</td>
<td>Liquid test mealh</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>23–30 yr, n = 3, Borovicka22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203 ± 96*</td>
<td>Liquid/solid test mealh</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>23–30 yr, n = 3, Borovicka22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>416 ± 142*a</td>
<td>Liquid/solid test mealh</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>23–30 yr, n = 3, Borovicka22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chymotrypsin</td>
<td>133 ± 50*a</td>
<td>Liquid/solid test mealh</td>
<td>23–25 yr, n = 6, Carrière44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amylase</td>
<td>167 ± 133*a</td>
<td>Liquid/solid test mealh</td>
<td>23–25 yr, n = 6, Carrière44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes:
- *The majority of the samples were duodenal aspirates except for ‘ which were pure pancreatic juice (PP). ‘Units are expressed per mL of fluid except otherwise indicated.
- I/U of lipase activity corresponds to 1 µmol free fatty acid released/min; I/U of phospholipase activity corresponds to 1 NaOH equivalent liberated/min; I/U of CEL activity corresponds to 1 µmol p-nitrophenol produced/minute; I/U of amylase activity corresponds to 1 µmol of maltose equivalent released/minute; I/U of trypsin activity corresponds to 1 µmol of substrate hydrolyzed/min or ‘I U corresponds to 1 µg/ml or ‘I U corresponds to 1 nanomol of p-nitroaniline produced/minute; I/U of chymotrypsin activity corresponds to 1 µmol of substrate hydrolyzed/min.
- For lipase activity measurement the authors used also different concentration and type of bile salts that was not reported in the “Method of measurement.”
- Test meals: ‘Lundh Borstström test meal (500 mL, 5% proteins [P], 6% lipids [L], 15% carbohydrates [C]); “Test meal (450–850 mL of cow milk plus cream for a lipid load of 1 g/kg body weight); ‘Lundh test meal (300 mL, 5% P, 6% L, 15% C); ‘Formula Shak iso (500 mL, 14 g P, 12.5 g L, 52 g C); ‘Liquid meal (400 mL, 1 egg, 1 egg white, 70 g olive oil, 70 g sucrose); ‘Liquid meal (200 mL, 8.5 g P, 7 g L, 26.5 g C); Formula 10% Intralipid (500 mL, 550 kcal); ‘Formula (300 mL, 11 g P, 10 g L, 41 g C); ‘Formula (500 mL, 34 g P, 50 g L, 50 g C); jFormula 10% Intralipid (500 mL, 550 kcal); kFormula (500 mL, 34 g P, 50 g L, 50 g C); lMixed solid/liquid meal (700 mL, 80 g string beans, 90 g beef meat, 70 g fried potatoes, 10 g butter, 15 mL olive oil); Substrate: ‘Olive oil emulsion stabilized by Arabic gum; ‘Triolein emulsion stabilized by Arabic gum; ‘Olive oil emulsion stabilized by ascac gum.
- Abbreviations: ATEE, N-acetyl-L-tyrosine ethyl ester; BAEE, N-benzoyl-L-arginine ethyl ester; BAPNA, benzoyl-DL-arginine-p-nitroaniline; BTIEE, N-benzoyl-DL-tyrosine ethyl acetate ester; CEL, carboxyl ester lipase; CCK, cholecystokinin; TAME, p-toluene sulfonyle-L-arginine methyl ester; PPJ, pure pancreatic juice.

### Role of pancreatic enzymes in lipid bioavailability

Pancreatic juice plays a key role in the digestion of all macronutrients, but is most crucial for lipid digestion. Protein digestion begins in the stomach with the concomitant action of hydrochloric acid and pepsin, continues with pancreatic proteases in the duodenum, and finishes with numerous brush border peptidases located all over the small intestine. Starch digestion begins in the mouth with salivary amylase, continues with pancreatic amylase, and ends with several intestinal brush border oligosaccharidases. In contrast, the majority of lipid digestion and absorption occurs between
the pylorus and the ligament of Treitz. Prior to this step, 5% to 40% of the dietary triglyceride acyl chains are released in the stomach by gastric lipase, which continues its action in the duodenum together with pancreatic lipase until these enzymes are degraded by pancreatic proteases. The human pancreatic lipase (HPL) specifically cleaves the outer sn-1 and sn-3 esters on the triglyceride molecules and generates two free fatty acids and a 2-monoglyceride. This lipase needs a specific cofactor, colipase, to anchor at the lipid–droplet surface containing phospholipids and surrounded by bile salts (bile salts and phospholipids). Although a pH of 8 to 9 appears to be optimal for this lipase activity in vitro, bile salts allow the enzyme to work efficiently at a pH of 6 to 6.5 in vivo. HPL is responsible for the hydrolysis of 40% to 70% of triglycerides. The pancreatic lipase-related 1 protein (hPLRP1) has no known lipolytic activity but inhibits to some extent lipolysis of milk triglycerides by HPL. The pancreatic lipase-related 2 protein (hPLRP2) exhibits a broad substrate specificity hydrolyzing milk triglycerides in a synergistic effect with HPL, phospholipids, galactolipids, and esters of lipid-soluble vitamins. Carboxyl ester lipase (CEL) (also secreted by mammary gland cells as bile salt-stimulated lipase, (BSSL)) will hydrolyze triglycerides, diglycerides, phospholipids, and esters of lipid-soluble vitamins and of cholesterol. Of note, hPLRP2, which is highly expressed in early life, plays an important role in lipid digestion in infants fed human milk, along with BSSL. Phospholipase A2 hydrolyzes phospholipids to lysophospholipids which is essential for an optimal absorption of lipid nutrients. Products generated during lipolysis are solubilized in bile salts–mixed micelles and liposomes (vesicles) which allow absorption across the intestinal villi. Once absorbed, the digested lipids are converted back to triglycerides, phospholipids, and esters of cholesterol and of lipid-soluble vitamins, then packaged as chylomicrons and transported through the thoracic duct into the systemic circulation for delivery to various sites throughout the body.

**Clinical presentation and diagnosis**

Exocrine pancreatic insufficiency (EPI) is largely a clinical diagnosis. A patient with a known cause of pancreatic insufficiency who presents with weight loss and fatty diarrhea is usually begun on treatment without extensive testing. As up to 20% of patients with chronic pancreatitis resulting in insufficiency will present with no history of pain suggestive of pancreatitis, steatorrhea may be the presenting complaint. The diagnostic options include indirect measures (ie, 72-hour fecal fat and fecal elastase) or direct measures (ie, secretin–cerulein or secretin–pancreozymin tests). Steatorrhea is classically defined as at least 7 g of fecal fat over 24 hours, in the context of a 72-hour stool test while on 100 g of fat daily, however, quantification of fecal fat is inconvenient and difficult. With reasonable clinical suspicion, a positive spot stool test may be adequate to detect steatorrhea but will not allow monitoring of response to therapy. Fecal elastase testing may be used to demonstrate a lack of endogenous enzyme. It has been found that fecal elastase is 72% sensitive for severe pancreatic insufficiency and 90% specific. It does have lower sensitivity with milder steatorrhea, and is not as useful in diabetics, as fecal elastase decreases with increased duration of diabetes. In contrast to the above indirect measurements of pancreatic function, direct measurements with the secretin–cerulein or secretin–pancreozymin tests are the gold standard for accurate assessment of the exocrine function of the pancreas. However, the limitations of the direct functional tests are that they are usually performed only at specialized centers, and they are time consuming and expensive.

Patients usually will present for evaluation when <10% of exocrine pancreatic function remains, which results in lipid malabsorption. Steatorrhea (frothy, foul smelling, buoyant stools), weight loss, abdominal discomfort, and abdominal swelling are the common presenting symptoms and are related to the inadequate lipid digestion. Even with significant pancreatic insufficiency, protein and starch digestion are usually maintained at a normal physiologic level. However, once pancreatic insufficiency progresses, lipid malabsorption becomes the overriding problem and cause of many of the clinical symptoms and nutritional deficiencies. Consequences of abnormal lipid digestion lead to malnutrition, with malabsorption of lipid-soluble vitamins (A, D, E, K), depleted micronutrients, and decreased circulating lipoproteins. Exocrine pancreatic insufficiency itself can cause or exacerbate motility disorders. There are alterations in neurohormonal regulation in gastrointestinal motility; specifically, the production of CCK and of pancreatic polypeptide are adversely affected by undigested food in the intestines, which can lead to rapid gastric emptying and altered antroduodenal and gallbladder motility. In untreated EPI, patients are noted to have shorter fed patterns, and a faster small intestinal transit that is largely reversed with enzyme therapy. The severity of such motility disorders is often related to the severity of the pancreatic insufficiency, and this can often be corrected with enzyme replacement therapy.
Causes of lipid maldigestion and malabsorption

There are various causes of pancreatic insufficiency resulting in malabsorption (Table 4), and for some the status of pancreatic enzyme levels has been documented (Table 5).23,24,35,40,42,44,45,71 Chronic pancreatitis (CP) and cystic fibrosis (CF) are the most common causes of irreversible pancreatic insufficiency. Diabetes may also result in exocrine insufficiency.81 In contrast, decreased production of pancreatic lipase without glandular destruction is associated with Celiac sprue, Crohn’s disease, and Shwachman–Diamond syndrome.2,71 Reversible pancreatic insufficiency has been reported in premature infants due to developmental immaturity.22–25 The pancreas will gain complete function in infants at 2 years of age.22 Other causes of lipid maldigestion are blockage of the pancreatic duct, and surgical resection.2,5,71

Motility disorders that result in rapid gastric emptying and decreased small intestinal transit time may also be associated with malabsorption due to inadequate lipid digestion. Rapid transit causes poor mixing of food together with bile and pancreatic enzymes, further reduces contact time with the small intestine leading to impaired digestion and absorption, and affects the stimulation of pancreatic function.5,71 For instance, gastrectomy alters gastric emptying, which reduces lipase production because chyme rapidly bypasses the duodenum resulting in reduced stimulation of duodenal hormones that normally stimulate the pancreas to release enzymes.5 Of note, some studies of steatorrhea after gastrectomy have not demonstrated a benefit of pancreatic enzyme supplementation.82

It is also important to be aware that diabetic patients may also suffer from exocrine insufficiency as a result of endocrine failure, presenting as either classic steatorrhea or more subtly as brittle diabetes.83 Studies have reported that exocrine dysfunction occurs in up to 43% of insulin-dependent diabetics, but its severity is typically mild to moderate, and only 1% of these patients require therapy.83,84 Mild to moderate exocrine insufficiency was reported in 30% of type 2 diabetics, 19% of whom were suffering from severe insufficiency.83 These studies raised the question of the clinical significance of EPI in diabetic patients, which would potentially raise health care costs to these patients if expensive enzyme replacement therapy were required. A thorough investigation studied pancreatic function in type 1 diabetic patients with a secretin–cerulein test, fecal fat stimulation, and 2 fecal elastase tests to determine the accuracy of previous studies and define the clinical significance. In this study, 33% of type 1 diabetics were found to have mild to moderate pancreatic insufficiency, but none of the patients had lipase levels <10% of normal, which would necessitate enzyme replacement. It was concluded that fecal elastase or fecal fat levels were not reliable diagnostic tools for diabetes. Moreover, the majority of these patients had steatorrhea not related to pancreatic function, but rather to bacterial overgrowth.69 Although diarrhea and steatorrhea are often multifactorial in diabetics, and the significance of exocrine insufficiency in diabetics is still under study, physicians should be aware of the association and have a low threshold to test for this entity in diabetics.81

The pathophysiology of EPI caused by glandular destruction in CP and CF

Irreversible pancreatic insufficiency is mainly observed in CP and CF.45 In CP, long-standing inflammation with fibrosis results in destruction of acinar cells. A variety of proposed pathogenic mechanisms has been described. Alcohol is a common cause of chronic pancreatitis, and it has been shown to be directly toxic to the pancreatic acinar cells. It produces cytoplasmic lipid accumulation ultimately leading to fibrosis and gland failure.57 Moreover, chronic use of alcohol can cause pancreatic secretions to be more lithogenic, which results in stone formation and pancreatic duct obstruction. The contact of stones with the duct lumen will ultimately lead to a cascade of events, which includes ulceration, scarring, stasis, further stone formation with eventual atrophy, and fibrosis.86,87 In contrast to de novo stone formation as a result of alcohol consumption, repeated attacks of acute pancreatitis from various causes including alcohol will result in peri-ductular scarring, which leads to duct obstruction.

Table 4 Etiologies of exocrine pancreatic insufficiency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mechanism</th>
<th>Etiology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decreased lipase production and delivery</td>
<td>Chronic pancreatitis, cystic fibrosis, diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatic duct obstruction</td>
<td>Periampullary tumor, pancreatic head cancer, IPMN, benign tumors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased endogenous lipase stimulation and</td>
<td>Celiac disease, Crohn’s disease, Shwachman–Diamond syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>production</td>
<td>Gastrectomy, gastric bypass, extensive small bowel resection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motility disorders (decrease contact time</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and interaction with chyme, decrease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stimulation of pancreatic enzymes)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: IPMN, intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm.
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Table 5 Baseline or postprandial activity of the main pancreatic enzymes in transitory or irreversible pancreatic insufficiency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity (Units)</th>
<th>Collection condition</th>
<th>Method of measurement</th>
<th>Age, number, references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Preterm infants</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>149–586</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Tributyrin, pH 8, 25°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50–100</td>
<td>Test meala</td>
<td>Tributyrin, pH 8, 25°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.4–15.1</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Tripelein, pH 7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEL</td>
<td>12.2–32.9</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>p-nitrophenyl acetate, pH 7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trypsin</td>
<td>2.5–9</td>
<td>Test meala</td>
<td>p-nitrophenyl acetate, pH 7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>292 (0–682)</td>
<td>CCK + secretin</td>
<td>BAEE, pH 8, 25°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amylase</td>
<td>5.2–8.6</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>TAME, pH 8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CF infants</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipase (PS)</td>
<td>7 ± 3</td>
<td>CCK + secretin</td>
<td>Olive oil emulsion, pH 9.1, 37°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(PI)</td>
<td>6300–28,200 IU/kg/h</td>
<td>CCK + secretin</td>
<td>Tributyrin, pH 8.5, 37°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phospholipase (PS)</td>
<td>25–33 mmol/kg/h</td>
<td>CCK + secretin</td>
<td>Tributyrin, pH 8.5, 37°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(PI)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>CCK + secretin</td>
<td>Tributyrin, pH 8.5, 37°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adult Mild-EPI</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipase</td>
<td>458 ± 83</td>
<td>Test meala</td>
<td>Olive oil emulsion, pH 9, 25°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2000–6000</td>
<td>Test meala</td>
<td>Tributyrin, pH 8.0, 37°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adult Severe-EPI</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipase</td>
<td>52 ± 31</td>
<td>Test meala</td>
<td>Olive oil emulsion, pH 9, 25°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>480 ± 125</td>
<td>CCK + secretin</td>
<td>Olive oil emulsion, pH 9.1, 37°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>160</td>
<td>CCK + secretin</td>
<td>Tributyrin, pH 8.0, 37°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Samples are duodenal aspirates; Units are expressed per mL of fluid except otherwise indicated. 1 IU of lipase activity corresponds to 1 jmol free fatty acid released/min; 1 U of phospholipase activity is expressed as nmols of lysophosphatidylcholine produced/kg body weight in total volume of duodenal juice secreted for 1 hour; 1 IU of CEL activity corresponds to 1 jmol p-nitrophenol produced/min; 1 IU of amylase activity corresponds to 1 jmol of maltose equivalent released/min; 1 IU of trypsin activity corresponds to 1 jmol of substrate hydrolyzed/min (TAME) or 1 U of trypsin activity corresponds to 1 jmol (BAEE); For lipase activity measurement the authors used also different concentration and type of bile salts that was not reported in the “Method of measurement”. Test meals: aHuman milk, bLundh test meal (300 mL, 5% proteins [P], 6% lipids [L], 15% carbohydrates [C]); cMixed solid/liquid meal (700 mL, 80 g string beans, 90 g beef meat, 70 g fried potatoes, 10 g butter, 15 mL olive oil); dOlive oil emulsion stabilized by Arabic gum.

Abbreviations: BAEE, N-benzoyl-L-arginine methyl ester; CCK, cholecystokinin; CEL, carboxy ester lipase; PI, pancreatic insufficient; PS, pancreatic sufficient; TAME, p-toluene sulfonyl-L-arginine methyl ester.

with stasis and a similar cascade of events that will lead to glandular fibrosis.89,130 Oxidized byproducts of metabolism are produced in the liver and secreted in bile, and it is proposed that this results in oxidative stress as the bile is refluxed into the pancreatic ducts. Oxidative stress has also been attributed to high levels of dietary lipids or alcohol.90–92 Also described is an immunologic response that results in an attack on the ductal epithelium, an autoimmune-like reaction, resulting in scarring and fibrosis.31 A recently proposed theory, the SAPE (sentinel acute pancreatitis event) hypothesis, combines a number of the above-described pathogenic mechanisms leading to chronic pancreatitis. It suggests an initial event of acute pancreatitis that leads to an inflammatory response secondary to an insult such as alcohol or oxidative stress. If this insult is removed, tissue repair occurs. If the insult is not removed, proinflammatory cytokines activate pancreatic stellate cells resulting in chronic pancreatitis with fibrosis and tissue destruction. This ultimately leads to reduced secretion of adequate amounts of lipase, giving rise to pancreatic insufficiency.94,95

In CF, the mutation of the CFTR (cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator) protein results in abnormal sodium and chloride transport. Normally, luminal chloride is exchanged for bicarbonate, which allows for an alkaline environment within the lumen, allowing highly concentrated proteins to remain in the soluble state. With mutant CFTR protein, the net result is abnormally viscous secretions and an acidic lumen, resulting in ductal obstruction. Prolonged obstruction results in tissue destruction by retained proteolytic enzymes, fibrosis, fatty replacement, cyst formation, and eventual exocrine insufficiency.2,96

The initial effect of acinar destruction is decreased pancreatic enzyme production (Table 5). However, lipid digestion can be maintained to reach an absorption rate of 20% to 80% due to the action of gastric lipase secreted by the fundic mucosa of the stomach;31 indeed this lipase output is normal or higher in CF and CF patients,35,51,97 and its action take place both in the stomach and in the duodenum, being favored by a low level of pancreatic proteases and low intraduodenal pH.51 But the lipolysis rate reached by the gastric lipase is
not always sufficient to make up for the lack of pancreatic lipase. As continued glandular destruction occurs in CP and CF, the ductules are affected causing inadequate bicarbonate production and resulting in an inability to neutralize acidic chyme. Along with decreased pancreatic bicarbonate secretion, gastric acid production is increased in certain conditions associated with pancreatic insufficiency, notably advanced CP and CF. Consequently the intraduodenal pH will be acidic (3 to 5) and will lead to enzyme inactivation and bile salt denaturation. The bile salt pool is even more reduced by decreased enterohepatic circulation of bile secondary to impaired ileal mucosa absorption. As bile salts are required to solubilize the lipolytic products within the intestinal lumen, this process further impairs lipid digestion and absorption.

Pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy

Formulations and pharmacokinetics

The goal of supplemental enzyme therapy in EPI is to minimize nutrient malabsorption, especially of lipids, and to do this it is important to achieve an adequate concentration of active pancreatic enzymes in the duodenum at the same time that food is delivered. Attempting to replicate this physiologic process requires resistance to gastric inactivation and delivery of active enzyme at the site where digestion is required, the duodenum. The composition and various formulations of pancreatin and pancrelipase affect their use and ability to deliver appropriate amounts of active enzyme to the duodenum. Pancreatin, a crude mixture, is derived from swine or ox pancreas, and each milligram contains no less than 2 USP (United States Pharmacopeia) units of lipase and 25 USP units of amylase and protease activity. Pancrelipase is obtained from swine pancreas and is a more concentrated and purified enzyme preparation. Each milligram contains no less than 24 USP units of lipase and 100 USP units of amylase and protease activity. Because of its higher enzyme content, pancrelipase formulations are favored over pancreatin preparations.

A variety of delivery agents has been developed in attempts to increase resistance to destruction, and enable delivery to sites where lipid digestion is physiologic, ie, the duodenum. The uncoated formulations are susceptible to acidic breakdown in the stomach and are currently used largely in clinical practice to treat the pain of chronic pancreatitis and not malabsorption. Enteric-coated preparations were designed to avoid inactivation in the stomach, as the enzyme is protected from the acidic environment by the coating, and then dissolves in the duodenum when pH exceeds 5 to 5.5. A wide range of polymers, natural (carboxymethyl or succinate high amylose starch) or synthetic (methacrylate copolymers, polymer cellulose acetate phthalate, hydroxyl propyl methyl cellulose phthalate), with different pH sensitivity, have been examined as excipients to circumvent the gastric inactivation of enzymes and to control the timing and location of their release in enteric-coated preparations. They are supposed to allow uniform mixing in the stomach without releasing their content and timely delivery to the duodenum for digestion to proceed. But effective delivery and release are difficult to achieve because the pH in the stomach can fluctuate from 6, owing to the buffering capacity of the meal, down to 2 during the fed state, and the duodenal pH ranges from 4 to 6 with high individual variability. In fact, dissolution characteristics of excipients are quite different in terms of optimum pH (5 or 5.8 for example) and time (49 to 71 minutes for the half-time of release). As a result, enteric-coated microspheres are not bioequivalent in vitro, and probably not in vivo, depending on the pH of the duodenal content.

Early enteric-coated formulations did not empty into the duodenum as quickly as smaller food particles, impairing their ability to aid in digestion. Newer formulations use the enteric-coated microsphere technology that allows a smaller, yet stable delivery system. Studies have demonstrated that the size of the particles or the microspheres affect the delivery to the duodenum, and that particles of smaller size empty more quickly, with 1.4 mm being the optimal size. In theory, along with delivering adequate amounts of lipase to the duodenum at the same time as the ingested food, microsphere technology should allow more adequate mixture with the postprandial chyme. Studies of labeled capsules suggest that even with varying sizes of microspheres, the ingested lipid may enter the duodenum in advance of the pancreatic enzyme. When, where and at how much enzyme is released is not very well studied in humans. The new FDA rules in terms of pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy (PERT) have instructed companies to set up clinical trials in order to fill this gap. The results of recent studies of delivery of pancrelipase should be informative and could help to ameliorate coating materials.
medications has been summarized in other reviews.\textsuperscript{3,76} A major focus of regulation of these products has been on the active enzyme content and accuracy of packaging. While instability of the enzymes results in delivery medications that contain less than the packaged amount of enzyme, the practice of “overfilling” in an effort to address enzyme degradation may result in excess enzyme content, resulting in formulations that deliver inadequate or excess amounts of enzyme. In Europe, historically these products have been regulated, resulting in more standard enzyme content. In the United States, until recently, because of lack of stringent regulation, studies showed marked variation in the enzyme content of the various formulations, generic products being of greatest concern.\textsuperscript{111} The marketing of products containing pancreatic enzymes extracted from animal tissue preceded the creation of the FDA in 1938, so that these products were historically not regulated by the FDA. In 2004, in response to concerns FDA issued a statement requiring that all manufacturers of PERT submit New Drug Applications. Eventually, the FDA set a deadline of April 28, 2010 for approval of all pancreatic enzyme products, and at the time of submission of this article, only 3 pancrelipase preparations have been approved for use in the United States:\textsuperscript{112} Creon (Abbott, IL, USA), EUR-1008 or Zenpep (Eurand, Milan, Italy), and Pancreaze (Johnson & Johnson, NJ, USA). All these formulations have been demonstrated to be safe and effective in improving lipid malabsorption and the symptoms of maldigestion. The most studied of the approved enzymes, Creon, is an enteric-coated formulation of pancrelipase delivered in the form of minicrospheres.\textsuperscript{113–115} Zenpep is an enteric-coated bead preparation.\textsuperscript{116} To counteract the problem of non-uniform drug delivery raised by the FDA, this formulation of pancrelipase enteric-coated microtablet is manufactured with label-claimed lipase content being zero-overfilled.\textsuperscript{116} Clinical Phase III trials demonstrated an improvement in coefficient of fecal fat absorption (CFA) (88.3% vs 62.8% in placebo group) and lipid-soluble vitamin levels.\textsuperscript{116} Pancreaze is an enteric-coated microtablet.\textsuperscript{112}

In Europe availability of preparations varies by country and they are regulated nationally and not by the European Medicines Agency. Many regulatory agencies provide information on their website and information on available enzyme preparations may be sought through the national regulatory agency, the pharmaceuticals, or pharmacy references. In products in which the enzyme content has been standardized, there is still a marked variability in particle size, release, and, for some, acid stability, which may result in differences in clinical effect.\textsuperscript{106,117,118}

Dosing and schedule of administration

The typical indications for starting enzyme replacement therapy are progressive weight loss and steatorrhea, defined as at least 7 to 15 g of fecal fat per day, but there are no substantial data to support these guidelines. Since steatorrhea does not typically occur until >90% of pancreatic lipase activity is lost, 10% enzyme activity is the initial goal for therapy. Dosing is adjusted based on the amount of lipase in the supplements, and the initial dose aims at supplying 40 to 60 IU/minute of lipase activity within the duodenal lumen. To achieve this goal in adults, approximately 25,000 to 40,000 IU of lipase is required to digest a typical meal, and about 5000 to 25,000 IU of lipase per snack. However, is not recommended to exceed 10,000 IU of lipase per kg of body weight per meal.\textsuperscript{13,71,76} Pediatric dosing is detailed in the products’ respective package inserts. Ultimately 50,000, 100,000 and 150,000 IU of lipase per day will decrease steatorrhea by 45%, 60%, and 70%, respectively.\textsuperscript{119} Ideally the correct amount of lipase should be divided and administered through the course of a meal or immediately after a meal, and dose adjustments made after several days to allow for sufficient time for the enzymes to work.\textsuperscript{3} A recent study compared three different administration schedules using enzyme replacement before meals, during meals, or after meals. It was found that lipid digestion was better when giving enzymes during or after meals, and patient preference did not differ.\textsuperscript{120} Currently, none of the approved enzyme supplements are specifically designed for administration via percutaneous gastrostomy tubes. In patients who cannot swallow large capsules, and infants, opening the capsules into a small amount of acidic food (ie, apple sauce) is an acceptable way to administer the medication.

Monitoring therapy

Currently there are no guidelines in clinical practice for monitoring the efficacy of enzyme replacement therapy and determining a need for dose adjustment. In research studies, a commonly used method to monitor therapy is the use of the CFA and coefficient of nitrogen absorption. The CFA uses a 72-hour stool collection comparing the amount of lipid ingested with that excreted.\textsuperscript{121–123} The cumbersome nature of stool studies limits their use in the outpatient setting. Commonly, determining the efficacy of therapy is performed by clinically assessing the patient’s weight loss or gain and diarrhea. Unfortunately, clinical assessment correlates poorly with the patient’s nutritional status.\textsuperscript{124,125} In a recent study, approximately two-thirds of the study subjects, when asymptomatic, had evidence of nutritional
deficiencies as measured by retinol-binding protein, ferritin, and pre-albumin. The authors concluded that monitoring of weight loss and diarrhea is an inadequate measure of nutritional deficiencies in EPI. Various breath tests have been developed, using various substrates labeled with $^{13}$C including mixed triglycerides, triolein, and cholesteryl octanoate. As an example, the $^{13}$C-labeled mixed triglyceride breath test uses a labeled substrate with two molecules of stearic acid and octanoic acid. Lipolysis is associated with measured release of labeled carbon dioxide. This test was shown to be accurate in estimating pancreatic exocrine function and has been shown to correlate with nutritional markers when estimating response to enzyme supplementation. Because stool collection is often time consuming and difficult, and breath tests are not readily available in all locations or fully validated as a clinical tool, following patients clinically is the common approach in determining the efficacy of PERT. As the degree of symptoms and symptom improvement do not always correlate with the patient’s nutritional status, in the future we would hope for more clinically relevant and widely available tests to monitor therapy, most likely in the form of a breath test or a spot stool test.  

**Efficacy**

Although the efficacy of enzyme replacement therapy is presumed, the data are not as robust as one might hope. Although numerous studies have evaluated the response to treatment for outcomes such as steatorrhea and fecal fat, surprisingly few have studied improvement in nutritional status, even in weight gain. Enzyme supplements have been found to improve lipid malabsorption compared with placebo in numerous trials, for both adults and children younger than 7 years old. They have also been shown to improve symptoms related to pancreatic insufficiency such as abdominal pain, flatulence, stool consistency, and improve overall global impression of disease symptoms. A systematic review of the randomized trials of PERT identified only two studies that evaluated weight as an outcome, and the difference was not significant. It has been reported that in patients who were considered to be on acceptable replacement therapy, with reduction in steatorrhea, markers of significant malnutrition still persisted as measured by retinol-binding protein, transferring, and prealbumin. In a prospective, non-placebo controlled study, this group has also reported that increasing enzyme therapy to normalize $^{13}$C-mixed triglyceride breath test did significantly improve key markers of nutritional status at 1 year. As such, although it is presumed, the reduction in stool fat achieved by PERT has not been proven by rigorous research to be correlated with a complete correction of nutritional deficiency in patients with pancreatic insufficiency, preventing our overall assessment of the efficacy of this therapy. In the future, demonstration of long-term outcomes would also be of interest.

**Safety and side effects**

In general, PERT is regarded as safe with few side effects, and adverse events are comparable to those with placebo. Supplemental enzymes act within the lumen of the intestine, and this is considered an intraluminal and not a systemic therapy. The most commonly reported side effects for recently approved enzymes are headache (6%), dizziness (6%), abdominal pain (9%), and flatulence (www.micromedex.com). Historically, hyperuricemia, and hyperuricosuria, which leads to dysuria and uric acid crystalluria, have been reported in cystic fibrosis patients with older formulations. Folic acid deficiency has been associated with use of pancreatin extracts which may form insoluble complexes with folate. Irritation of the oral mucosa may be avoided by not chewing the medications, or mixing them in foods with a pH > 4.5 (package inserts). A theoretical risk exists of xenotic viral infections, although such cases have not been reported. As more concern is focused on the effect of phthalates, which are commonly present in the polymer coating, the impact of this component will likely be evaluated further. The most concerning adverse effect associated with enzyme replacement is fibrosing colonopathy, which has been described in cystic fibrosis patients receiving >24,000 IU of lipase/kg daily. It results in submucosal collagen deposition with fibrosis and varying degrees of stricturing. Some studies suggest that the acid-resistant coating of enzyme preparations may be responsible for the fibrosing colonopathy, as it has also been demonstrated with other medications that use the same methacrylic copolymer coating. In addition, as these cases largely occurred with high-dose enzyme therapy (>50,000 IU/kg daily), limits are suggested for maximum dosage and delivery. Caution should be used when doses exceed 2500 IU/kg per meal. Most formulations are considered pregnancy class C, as there are insufficient data to evaluate their safety, and no data are available on their secretion in breast milk.

**Treatment failure**

Despite optimal dosing of enzymes and clinical assessment, treatment failures are common. A systematic approach is beneficial in determining the reason for treatment failure (Figure 1). The first step is to assess compliance, diet, and...
Other treatment modifications may include reduction in lipid intake to 50–75 g/day. If this is not successful, dietary habits may need modification, in particular, a reduction in fiber intake, avoidance of alcohol, and minimizing calcium- and magnesium-containing antacids, if small intestinal bacterial overgrowth, giardiasis, blind loop syndrome, malabsorption of other etiology.

Timing of administration. Studies have demonstrated that efficacy is maximum if medications are taken during and after a meal, and such a schedule may need to be detailed with the patient. Although wide variation has been noted between products, at this point there is inadequate information to recommend changing formulation if the medication is not working, although this is not an unreasonable practice, given the fact that there are documented differences in delivery and release among the marketed products. Certainly, in areas in which generic medications are available, if the medication loses its clinical effect in an individual, it should be determined if the medication has been changed to a generic form, and changed to brand name medication if appropriate. If compliance is in question, a fecal chymotrypsin test can be performed to confirm compliance. If these possibilities are eliminated as primary causes of loss of clinical effect, and secondary causes of diarrhea are less likely by history and exam, the first option is to increase the amount of lipase given to see if response improves. If this is not successful, dietary habits may need modification, in particular, a reduction in lipid intake to 50 to 75 g per day. Other treatment modifications may include reduction in fiber intake, avoidance of alcohol, and minimizing calcium- and magnesium-containing antacids, if these can all increase steatorrhea. The next step is usually to administer acid-suppressing medications, either histamine-2 receptor blockers or proton pump inhibitors. Studies have shown improved lipid digestion and absorption with administration of acid-suppressing medications to nonenteric-coated formulations. Furthermore, it has been shown that acid suppression in addition to enteric-coated formulations will improve steatorrhea, and may enable the use of lower amounts of lipase. Finally, if all the above steps fail, diagnostic evaluation for other etiologies for continued steatorrhea is warranted, including small intestinal bacterial overgrowth, giardiasis, blind loop syndrome, and other absorptive problems.

**Future developments for an optimal therapy**

**The challenges of current obstacles to effective therapy**

Optimal lipid digestion and absorption is not always reached in EPI patients, which is assumed to be due largely to problems with delivery of active lipases at the appropriate intestinal site (duodenum) and time of delivery. Also, the amount and the type of enzymes administered fails to mimic normal human pancreatic secretion that is specific to age and to each individual. Treatment requires intake of multiple capsules and is expensive. Problems of allergy to porcine proteins and religious or other cultural concerns with the use of pork products also have to be taken into account. To address these issues, ongoing research is focusing on identifying new enzyme sources, more efficacious lipases, and strategies to enable improved delivery throughout the digestive process. Standardized, rapid, in vitro test systems to measure the efficacy of such lipases in near physiologic conditions need to be set up for screening and to help create more accurate PERT product labeling.

**Supplementation of duodenal bicarbonate**

Acidic degradation of exogenous pancreatic lipase and the discrepancy between an optimal pH for enzyme action and the actual intra-duodenal pH in EPI patients are major factors that prevent complete correction of steatorrhea. One answer is to increase the local duodenal pH. The use of sodium bicarbonate (1.34 g per test meal) with enteric-coated tablets of pancreatic enzymes combined with desiccated ox bile (50 mg per test meal) considerably improved lipid digestion as measured by breath-test in patients with chronic pancreatitis, without concurrent acid-suppressive therapy. More recently, the effect of administering enteric-coated buffered pancrelipase microspheres (Pancrecarb MS-8, Digestive Care Inc, PA, USA) containing 2.5 mEq or 1.5 mEq of bicarbonate per capsule was tested on CFA in CF patients. In both studies, the number of patients, lipid consumption, stated lipases units/kg per day (about 4400 USP) were similar, and patients were their own controls. A significant increase in CFA (9%) was observed only in the study providing the higher amount of sodium bicarbonate (about 6.9 g daily versus 3.1 g daily). The results of these
studies are difficult to interpret, as individual responses to the buffered PERT varied widely, probably because CF patients can secrete either normal or high levels of gastric acid postprandially.98,151 As a result, there would be marked individual variability in duodenal pH as a response to the amount of bicarbonate provided.

Alternative sources of lipases
In order to avoid the short half-life of lipolytic enzymes of pancreatic origin for replacement therapy, a variety of lipases have been tested from sources as diverse as animal gastric lipase and microbial or plant lipases.

Supplementation with gastric lipase has been evaluated because of the natural acid-resistant properties and broad pH of action (3 to 6) of the enzyme.51,152 A dog recombinant lipase, rGL, was produced to test this concept153 and was developed by the French company Meristem Therapeutics SA, Clermont-Ferrand (Merispase), that went out of business in September 2008. This approach is problematic for several reasons: gastric lipase specific activity is about 10 times lower than that of pancreatic lipase (measured on tributyrin); it is highly sensitive to trypsin proteolysis;154 and endogenous secretion of gastric lipase can be increased in patients with pancreatic insufficiency28 because of possible nutritional adaptation.98,155 However, gastric lipase supplements may still be a viable option. Indeed, during gastric lipolysis, endogenous human gastric lipase is rapidly trapped within fatty acid-rich particles generated at the surface of the lipid droplet and is no longer able to access its substrate.156 The addition of an extra dose of gastric lipase through supplementation will allow lipolysis to continue.156 Also, in vivo specific activity of gastric lipase can be close to that of pancreatic lipase especially on a solid food matrix.38 Two clinical trials conducted in CF patients showed that rGL is well tolerated and efficient when administered at the dose of 600 mg alone, or when associated with pancreatic extract (PE) (≥300,000 UPS total per day). This combination led to a significantly increased CFA compared with PE alone (84% vs 71%) in 7 of 11 patients.157 Another study showed that 250 mg rGL combined with a low dose of pancreatic extract led to at least equal CFA compared with a high dose of PE.158 Furthermore, there was a greater benefit in CF patients with low CFA, which also improved quality of life.158

Microbial lipases of fungal or bacterial origin are of potential interest because of their acid and protease-stable properties and their activity at pH 3 to 10. Rhizopus arrhizus,159 Candida cylindracea, Aspergillus niger,160,161 and Yarrowia lipolytica162,163 are some examples of the most tested fungi. The *Aspergillus* and *Yarrowia* species have better survival in the duodenal environment as shown in vitro and in rats, while the others appeared very sensitive to trypsin and to the detergent action of bile salts. Lipases derived from bacteria are more promising as they are highly resistant to both acid and alkaline inactivation, stable in the presence of both proteolytic enzymes and bile salts, and active without the need for bile salts and colipase.164 A novel, experimental type of enzyme supplement containing bacterial lipase, fungal protease, and amylase (TheraCLEC-Total or ALTU-135, Altus Pharmaceuticals, MA, USA) has demonstrated good tolerance and efficacy (20% increase in CFA).164 A Phase II clinical trial showed that a moderate dose (25,000 USP of lipase per meal) resulted in a 35% to 40% increase in the CFA of cystic fibrosis patients with 0% to 40% CFA at baseline, and that a high dose (100,000 USP lipase per meal) was necessary for a 10% increase in the CFA of patients with 41% to 80% baseline CFA, after 1 month’s treatment.165 A 1-year, multi-center, Phase III clinical trial was completed in 2009 (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT00500084) and results are pending.166 Thus, Liprotamase (formerly known as ALTU-135 and Trizytek), for which a pediatric formulation is in progress, is the first porcine-free PERT developed since the end of 2008 (Alnara Pharmaceuticals, MA, USA, acquired by Eli Lilly, IN, USA).167 A better knowledge of the microbial lipases structure and modes of action will probably help to select and design much more active enzymes in the near future.168,169

Plant acid-stable lipase, as in oats,170 and plant latex lipase extracts from euphorbia characias, fruit of babaco, or carica papaya171,172 are potential sources of lipases. At this point, kinetics have been studied only in vitro and scientific data are needed on their digestive function in physiological conditions.

Recombinant human lipases
Recombinant human lipases would be expected to offer superior safety by decreasing the risk of allergic reactions. Progress in protein engineering173 and a detailed knowledge of human lipases174 will enable large-scale production and the ability to apply directed mutagenesis if necessary for improving lipase stability and activity in acidic conditions. Of note, the use of recombinant human lipases may be limited by the fact that changes in glycosylation (rates and type) and other post-translational modifications in proteins produced in the non-eukaryotic cell system used for large-scale production may interfere with enzymatic activity.175,176
A promising candidate for the treatment of lipid malabsorption is a recombinant human bile salt-stimulated lipase (rBSSL). This enzyme is naturally acid resistant and able to hydrolyze triglycerides and phospholipids. Two preparations dedicated to cystic fibrosis patients and preterm infants, Exinalda and Kiobrina, respectively, are currently under development (Biovitrum AB, Stockholm, Sweden). A Phase I clinical trial was conducted in 9 CF patients with EPI, measuring lipid uptake through breath-test. The addition of rBSSL (0.2 or 1 g) to standard pancrelipase (Creon) enabled a dose reduction of pancrelipase to 25% of normal dose. Plasma chylomicron level was significantly higher with the addition of rBSSL (34% to 48%), a clear secretion peak being reached at 3 hours after the meal, which is similar to the pattern found in normal subjects, while no real peak was observed with pancrelipase dosing alone. This can be explained by the ability of BSSL to generate lysophospholipids necessary for an efficient lipid absorption rate by the small intestine, and to participate in chylomicron assembly and secretion through its ceramidase activity.

Another study was conducted through 2009 with Exinalda in 18 CF patients with EPI in Poland and the Netherlands, but the results have not yet been published (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT00743483). Two Phase II clinical trials have been conducted in Italy and France with Kiobrina administered at a dose physiologically found in human milk (0.15 g/L) for 1 week to premature infants in formula (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT00658905) or in pasteurized breast milk (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT00659243), but results are not yet available.

Directional mutagenesis and use of electrostatic computations has also allowed generation of a human pancreatic lipase with modified pH of action (pH 4–5). Pancreatic lipase is irreversibly inactivated by gastric acid at a pH of 4.0 and below and its activity is very low at pH 5.0. Several variants of the recombinant human pancreatic lipase were generated by error-prone PCR and screened for stability and activity at a pH of 5 in vitro. Although these enzymes were more acid stable, their activity on physiologic substrates was not enhanced compared to native human pancreatic lipase.

**Delivery system strategies**

Bacterial engineering and gene transfer techniques could revolutionize the delivery of pancreatic enzymes by producing the enzymes within the host. Strains of bacteria such as *Lactococcus lactis*, genetically modified to highly express bacterial lipases, have been derived. Colonization of pigs with experimentally induced pancreatic insufficiency with lipase-producing *L. lactis* demonstrated increased CFA under a high-fat diet. The treatment occurs as the bacteria release their cellular content in response to contact with proteolytic secretions and bile acids. A gene therapy approach could be envisioned as an alternative therapeutic strategy to improve lipid digestion and absorption in EPI patients by mediating enzyme production by transduced cells within the treated patient. Indeed, the human pancreatic lipase gene has been successfully expressed in the biliary tract in rats using recombinant adenovirus.

**Strategies through substrate physicochemical properties and lipase activators**

As an alternative, creating functional dietary lipids that are more effectively digested is another frontier of treatment for pancreatic insufficiency. Triglyceride digestion is more efficient when the substrate is emulsified, ie, dispersed, in aqueous medium as lipid droplets which consist in a core of triglycerides stabilized by a layer of phospholipids or other emulsifiers. The process of emulsification creates a lipid–water interface allowing optimal action of lipases. The physicochemical properties of such an interface can modulate the rate of lipolysis. Thus, structuring food emulsions is a new concept that should improve lipid digestion and absorption in EPI patients by selecting the best lipid physicochemical properties for optimal action of lipases.

Lipid droplet size is one of the key physicochemical parameters of triglyceride digestion by gastric and pancreatic lipases, as it governs the lipid–water interface area. Droplet size is inversely related to the lipid interface area, and, theoretically, small-sized droplets should be more efficiently digested in the digestive tract offering a larger interface area that will allow the binding of more lipase molecules at the interface. Indeed, it was shown in healthy humans that the triglycerides from small-sized droplets (0.7 µm) are more efficiently digested in the stomach and in the duodenum than from larger droplets. Even though this has a potential for health, it has not been tested yet in EPI patients.

Another physicochemical key factor involved in lipid digestion is the ultrastructure, ie, droplet surface layer composition (phospholipid classes, phospholipid fatty acid profile, adsorbed proteins). Lipases bind to such a surface layer to access to triglycerides contained in the core of the droplet. The composition of the droplet surface layer plays a key role in vivo as it was shown that native human milk droplets of about 4 µm (three phospholipid layers) were
more efficiently lipolyzed in the stomach of premature babies than small-sized droplets (0.5 µm) from a formula (single layer). 56 It was shown in vitro that specific classes of phospholipids will prevent pancreatic lipase activity, 197 or enhance dramatically gastric lipase, pancreatic lipase, and BSSL activity in conditions that mimic physiology. 188, 189 Also, the dietary protein interaction within the droplet surface can improve or diminish the triglycerides lipolysis rates. 190

A third physicochemical property of lipids that is of particular importance in patients suffering from digestive and absorptive disorders is the triglyceride structure, ie, the nature of fatty acid esterified at positions sn-1, sn-2, and sn-3 of the glycerol backbone. 191–194 Indeed, this structure influences digestion, as the lipolysis rate depends on the type of fatty acid present at the sn-1 and sn-3 positions, 195 and the lipid droplet size can differ depending on the triglyceride structure. 196 Triglyceride structure also affects i) the absorption step, as the fatty acid is better absorbed when it is present as a 2-monoglyceride; 193, 197, 198 ii) the enteroctye re-synthesis step and the secretion of chylomicrons by the enteroctye, as the fatty acid of the sn-2 position is retained in chylomicron lipid particles; and iii) the lipid metabolism. 198, 199 So, the structure of triglycerides is particularly important to improve absorption of essential and very-long chain fatty acids. 193, 200

In contrast, absorption of long-chain saturated fatty acids can be dramatically decreased when present at the sn-1 and sn-2 positions of the triglyceride molecule and in the presence of high concentration of calcium or magnesium, because they form insoluble soaps. 197 Except for Betapol (Lipid Nutrition, Hogeweg, the Netherlands) used in several milk substitutes because it is a source of structured triglycerides with long-chain saturated fatty acids in sn-2 position, as in human milk, 192, 199 other kinds of structured triglycerides are not often used, probably because of their cost. 193

Functional foods are a new area of research for clinical nutrition. For EPI patients, specific, more digestible or absorbable lipid sources could be designed. The addition of specific phospholipids able to enhance lipase activity in enzyme supplements or in formula would both increase lipase activity and, in parallel, enhance lipid nutrient absorption.

Conclusion

Exocrine pancreatic insufficiency results from a wide range of medical and surgical conditions. PERT is the standard treatment for the resultant malabsorption. Therapy is in general well tolerated and efficacy is satisfactory. Although progress has been made in standardizing therapy and improving enzyme formulations, there is still room to improve in terms of fully resolving the symptoms and malnutrition related to EPI, along with convenient and accurate means for monitoring therapy. In the clinical setting, a basic understanding of the physiology of enzyme delivery assists in choosing, monitoring, and adjusting therapy. Currently the most common monitoring strategy is clinical response, as stool fat and breath testing are cumbersome and less clinically available. Dose adjustments can be made according to the amount of steatorrhea and the patient’s weight loss or gain. The common problem of incomplete response to therapy might also indicate that the mechanism of malabsorption in pancreatic insufficiency is more complex than simply a lack of pancreatic enzymes and electrolyte secretions, and further study in this area is warranted. In the future, with the advent of new technology, we look forward to delivering even better tolerated replacement therapy, which replicates more accurately the function of the native pancreas or delivers nutrition more effectively, and more convenient or available means of monitoring therapy.
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