Intravesical foreign bodies: a case report and a review of the literature
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Aim: We report a case of intravesical polyvinyl-coated electric wire in the urinary bladder.
Case report: A 34-year-old man presented in our clinic with irritative lower urinary tract symptoms. Three weeks earlier he had seen and felt a wire in his urethra of which he was unaware of the origin. Radiologic evaluation revealed an electric wire completely coiled up in the urinary bladder. It was removed through a suprapubic cystotomy.

Discussion: Patients are usually too ashamed to admit the cause of an intravesical foreign body, which is often sexual or erotic in origin. Radiologic evaluation usually reveals the nature and size of the foreign body in the bladder of patients evaluated for recurrent urinary tract infection. Open surgery or an endoscopic approach may be used for their extraction.
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Introduction

Most organs of the genitourinary system, except for the male external genitalia, are well protected by the surrounding structures.1 For instance, the bladder lies directly behind the pelvic bone and anterior to the rectum, which makes it relatively inaccessible. The bladder functions in the storage and intermittent evacuation of urine with a capacity of about 450–750 mL. The urethra, through which the contents are evacuated, measures about 16–20 cm in males, which makes the presence of a foreign body in the male urinary bladder an enigma.2

Foreign bodies in the urinary bladder may occur by self-insertion or migration from the neighboring organs.3 They vary from tissue originating from adjacent organs4 to metallic objects such as electric wires.5 The reasons for their presence in the urinary bladder also vary from sexual and erotic manipulations6 to iatrogeny7 and complimentary and alternative medicine practice.8

A foreign body in the urinary bladder, according to Jung et al4 is a rare occurrence. Martinez-Valls et al9 describe the condition as being exceptional and not a common emergency. From a MedLine search, most cases of foreign bodies in the urinary bladder in Nigeria have been due to migration of intrauterine contraceptive devices and have been presented as case reports. We report the case of a 34-year-old man with polyvinyl chloride (PVC)-coated electric wire in the lumen of the urinary bladder, followed by a review of the literature.

Case report

A 34-year-old man presented in our clinic with a 2-week history of frequency, urgency, and dysuria. The diagnosis of urinary tract infection was made, and he was requested...
Foreign bodies localized to the urogenital tract represent a relatively rare pathology and, according to Mischianu et al in many cases, have legal implications. This is particularly so for the urinary bladder, as the urethra and ureters may be catheterized for a reasonable period because they are only conduit pipes. Their presence in the urinary tract predisposes to infection, calculus formation, and bladder outlet obstruction, all of which may lead to renal impairment.

Foreign bodies in the urinary bladder may occur by self-insertion or migration from the neighboring organs. This patient did not volunteer a reasonable history to enable a fair assertion of the method of insertion. That is not unusual, as, according to Aliabadi et al most patients are usually too ashamed to admit they had inserted an object for autoerotic, psychiatric, therapeutic, or any other reasons. Patients present when symptoms develop or there are complications. The length of the male urethra makes it impossible to assume that a foreign body found its way into the bladder unknown to the patient, unlike in females in a primitive agricultural setting. According to van Ophoven and deKernion the most common motive associated with the insertion of foreign bodies into the genitourinary tract is sexual or erotic in nature. In adults, they opine that it is commonly caused by insertion of objects used for masturbation, which is frequently associated with a mental health disorder. Such patients are also known to be prone to genital self-emasculation injuries, so they need to be identified and have psychiatric assessment. Our patient refused this.

The variety of foreign bodies inserted into, or attached externally to, the genitourinary tract defies imagination and includes all types of objects. They vary with societies, levels of development, the aim of insertion, and cause. Osca et al reported eight cases of self-inserted foreign bodies in the lower urinary tract treated from 1976 to 1990, of which five were intravesical. Objects found include electric cable, tweezers, a hair pin, a drawing pin, pebbles, and a paper clip. Kochakarn and Pummanagura studied 78 patients, and objects inserted included cotton swabs, tampons, paper clips, and pen casing. According to Kochakarn and Pummanagura, as most of Thailand is an agricultural environment, some small living organisms, such as leeches, could be found as intravesical foreign bodies in females. Rafique has identified the intravesical foreign bodies as copper wire, a carrot, a lead pencil, an intrauterine device, surgical gauze, pieces of Foley catheter, and a Teflon beak of resectoscope sheath. There is clearly a remarkable variation in objects in relation to intravesical foreign bodies and their causes in different societies.

The presentation of intravesical foreign bodies from iatrogenic causes requires a high index of suspicion for diagnosis, as the foreign body may remain in the body for a long period before symptoms develop. This duration is the period of migration from the primary site of introduction to the bladder lumen. For instance, Izumi et al reported a case of an acupuncture patient with a bladder stone of 2 cm with a needle core who had symptoms of benign prostatic hyperplasia for 10 years. Another example is the case report by Irisawa et al of a 66-year-old male who underwent a rectal operation 6 years earlier and subsequently presented with a surgical needle in the bladder. It is supposed that it took the needle about 6 years to migrate from the perirectal tissue to the bladder. Far more common are case reports of the migration of intrauterine contraceptive devices. The need for a high index of suspicion is reinforced by the rarity of this condition.

The symptoms of intravesical foreign body are remarkably constant and are usually as a result of bladder irritation and, when the foreign body is large, reduced bladder capacity. Hematuria may occur from trauma due to self-manipulation.
or rough objects that injure the bladder wall. These symptoms are most commonly irritative but may also be obstructive if there is an extension of the foreign body into the urethra and this is usually accompanied by copious bloody discharge. Suprapubic pain, waste pain, and fever may occur in long-standing cases.

A wide variety of complications may follow the presence of foreign bodies in the urinary bladder. Foreign bodies in the urinary tract increase the risk of urinary tract infection. Such infections are often recurrent as a result of bacteria persistence within or on the foreign body and sometimes as a result of poor drainage. The risk of endotoxemia should always be borne in mind in the process of extraction of the foreign body. Complications such as calculus formation have been widely reported in association with migrated intrauterine contraceptive devices and surgical needles.

Life-threatening complications of intravesical foreign bodies are rare but do occur. Ito et al reported in 2009 a case of intravesical foreign body with a vesical rupture and peritoneal invasion. To the authors’ knowledge, there had been only 10 similar cases in Japan at the time of their report. Severe infection and obstruction may lead to renal insufficiency, whereas urethral injury during insertion or self-extraction may predispose to urethral stricture formation.

Radiologic images are next only to a high index of suspicion in the evaluation of patients with intravesical foreign bodies. The extent and modality required depends on the type of foreign body and the presence or otherwise of complications. Radiologic evaluation is necessary to determine the exact size, number, and nature of the foreign body. Ultrasonography is usually able to localize the foreign body to the bladder and determine the exact size and number but is unable to evaluate the exact nature (Figure 1). Plain abdominal X-ray is, however, able to classify the objects into radiopaque and radiolucent, and therefore to a large extent determine their nature, eg, radiopaque metals (Figure 2). In the presence of bladder perforation, computed tomographic cystography is a reliable diagnostic tool where enhancement of the bladder contents is necessary. Cystoscopy confirms the diagnosis, and some foreign bodies are successfully removed during the process.

Foreign bodies in the urinary bladder represent a urologic challenge that requires prompt management and should be treated as emergencies. The wide variety of techniques for...
removal of intravesical foreign bodies indicates the ingenuity with which urologists have approached the subject. Broadly, these are classified into endoscopic and open surgical approaches, the method used usually depending on the nature and size of the object and the available expertise and equipment. Endoscopic and minimally invasive techniques should be encouraged. However, in many cases, management cannot be endoscopic and it is necessary to use the classical method.

The simplest endoscopic approach to extraction of an intravesical foreign body is cystoscopy and retrieval with biopsy forceps. This is suitable for small objects such as intrauterine contraceptive devices, as reported by Olaore et al\(^{19}\) Sharma et al\(^{11}\) and Forde et al\(^{30}\) in their different works. A more complex cystoscopic approach has been described by Reddy and Daniel.\(^{31}\) The cystoscope acts as the optical device and a laparoscope port is introduced suprapublically under vision for extraction of complex foreign bodies while the bladder is insufflated with carbon dioxide. Laparoscopic approach to removal has also been described.\(^{4}\) When there is encrustation or stone formation on the foreign body, internal lithotripsy or stone forceps may be used to crush the stone in order to facilitate extraction of the foreign body. Sometimes, open surgery is required because the foreign body is too large or its nature makes this imperative, as in the case of our patient. This may also be done when the manpower or equipment is not available.

**Conclusion**

The presence of a foreign body in the urinary bladder is rare and exceptional and therefore requires a high index of suspicion for diagnosis. Presentation is sometimes delayed with complications that may be life-threatening. It should be considered in young patients with recurrent urinary tract infection and poor response to antibiotic therapy. Such patients should have radiologic evaluation in order to facilitate diagnosis and treatment. Where an underlying psychological abnormality is suspected, psychiatric assessment should be carried out.
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