The Efficacy & Molecular Mechanisms of a Terpenoid Compound Ganoderic Acid C1 on Corticosteroid-Resistant Neutrophilic Airway Inflammation: In vivo and in vitro Validation
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Introduction: Neutrophil predominant airway inflammation is associated with severe and steroid-resistant asthma clusters. Previously, we reported efficacy of ASHMI, a three-herb TCM asthma formula in a steroid-resistant neutrophil-dominant murine asthma model and further identified Ganoderic Acid C1 (GAC1) as a key ASHMI active compound in vitro. The objective of this study is to investigate GAC1 effect on neutrophil-dominant, steroid-resistant asthma in a murine model.

Methods: In this study, Balb/c mice were systematically sensitized with ragweed (RW) and alum and intranasally challenged with ragweed. Unsensitized/PBS challenged mice served as normal controls. Post sensitization, mice were given 4 weeks of oral treatment with GAC1 or acute dexamethasone (Dex) treatment at 48 hours prior to challenge. Pulmonary cytokines were measured by ELISA, and lung sections were processed for histology by H&E staining. Furthermore, GAC1 effect on MUC5AC expression and on reactive oxygen species (ROS) production in human lung epithelial cell line (NCI-H292) was determined by qRT-PCR and ROS assay kit, respectively. Computational analysis was applied to select potential targets of GAC1 in steroid-resistant neutrophil-dominant asthma. Molecular docking was performed to predict binding modes between GAC1 and Dex with TNF-α.

Results: The result of the study showed that chronic GAC1 treatment, significantly reduced pulmonary inflammation (P < 0.01–0.001 vs Sham) and airway neutrophilia (P < 0.01 vs Sham), inhibited TNF-α, IL-4 and IL-5 levels (P < 0.05–0.001 vs Sham). Acute Dex treatment reduced eosinophilic inflammation and IL-4, IL-5 levels, but had no effect on neutrophilia and TNF-α production. GAC1 treated H292 cells showed decreased MUC5AC gene expression and production of ROS (P < 0.001 vs stimulated/untreated cells). Molecular docking results showed binding energy of complex GAC1-TNF was −10.8 kcal/mol.

Discussion: GAC1 may be a promising anti-asthma botanical drug for treatment of steroid-resistant asthma.
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Introduction

Patients with severe asthma account for approximately 10% of the total asthma population but represent a high proportion of asthma morbidity and health care costs.¹ Presence of neutrophils in airway inflammation is associated
with the most severe asthma clusters as defined by recent the Severe Asthma Research Program (SARP) findings.\textsuperscript{2–4} Neutrophil-dominant asthma, which occurs in 15–25\% of the asthma cases, represents a severe clinical problem. It is steroid-resistant\textsuperscript{5–8} and is not addressed by recent novel biologic therapies, which mostly target eosinophilic (T2 high) asthma.\textsuperscript{9,10} It has been reported that steroid-resistant neutrophil dominant asthma may be associated with tumor necrosis factor \( \alpha \) (TNF-\( \alpha \)), which is a pleiotropic cytokine that can promote mucus secretion, airway inflammation and enhance airway smooth muscle cells (ASMCl) contractility.\textsuperscript{11–13} TNF-\( \alpha \) elevation and mutations in tumor necrosis factor receptor (TNF-R) are associated with asthma exacerbations and severe asthma.\textsuperscript{14,15} It has been reported that TNF-\( \alpha \) is involved in the recruitment of neutrophils and eosinophils in the inflammatory response during asthma.\textsuperscript{16} TNF-\( \alpha \) increased endogenous TNF-\( \alpha \), interleukin-6 (IL-6), interleukin-1\( \beta \) (IL-1\( \beta \)), interleukin-8 (IL-8), thymic stromal lymphopoietin, and pro-matrix metalloprotease 9 release in severe asthmatic airways.\textsuperscript{17} TNF-\( \alpha \) reduces the responsiveness to steroid treatment and TNF\( \alpha \) blockade restores the therapeutic effects of glucocorticoids (GCs) in the steroid-resistant model.\textsuperscript{18} Clinical trials of anti-TNF biologics in carefully selected patients are efficacious. However, serious adverse effects include increased susceptibility to infections, cancer and suicide.\textsuperscript{9,19} Most anti-TNF biologics are injectable and not indicated for use in children. Thus, safer and more patient-friendly alternatives to treatment of T2-low asthma are desirable.

In previous studies, we showed that ASHMI, a three-herb TCM asthma formula comprised *Ganoderma lucidum*, *Glycyrrhiza uralensis* and *Sophora flavesence*, suppressed IgE, Th2 cytokines and eosinophilic inflammation (characteristics of T2 high asthma) in a clinical trial\textsuperscript{20} and in an eosinophilic ovalbumin (OVA)-asthma murine model.\textsuperscript{21} Subsequently, we showed that ASHMI was also effective in neutrophil-dominant asthma using a murine ragweed asthma model characterized by neutrophil dominant mixed granulocytic inflammation with involvement of TNF-\( \alpha \) and IL-8 (mimicking human T2 low asthma).\textsuperscript{22} Acute steroid treatments in this model failed to reduce neutrophilic airway inflammation or airway hyperresponsiveness (AHR).\textsuperscript{22,23} Various steroid-resistant murine asthma models insensitive to acute Dex treatment have been developed. Chronic aerosol OVA exposure of OVA/alum sensitized BALB/c mice displayed steroid-resistant neutrophilic inflammation. Acute Dex treatment did not suppress neutrophil accumulation and development of airway hyperresponsiveness.\textsuperscript{23} OVA/complete Freund’s adjuvant (CFA)-based sensitization followed by allergen challenge elicited a neutrophilic pulmonary inflammation in C57BL/6 mice, which was poorly controlled by acute DEX treatment.\textsuperscript{24} Chlamydia infection induced steroid-insensitive neutrophilic allergic airway disease using BALB/c mice. Both inflammation and AHR were insensitive to acute Dex treatment.\textsuperscript{25} These models provided useful tools to study steroid-resistant asthma in association with neutrophilic airway inflammation.

Ganoderic acid C1 (GAC1), a lanostane triterpenoid isolated from ASHMI constituent *Ganoderma lucidum*, shows marked anti-inflammatory activities by inhibiting the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and the expression of p21 and p16 proteins.\textsuperscript{22} We reported GAC1 as a potent suppressor of TNF-\( \alpha \) production in lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-stimulated macrophages in vitro, human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from asthma patients and biopsy samples from inflammatory bowel disease subjects.\textsuperscript{26,27} These findings prompted us to hypothesize that GAC1 may be an effective therapy for severe asthma endotypes featuring a prominent role for TNF-\( \alpha \), such as neutrophil-dominant and steroid-resistant asthma. Considering the complexity of pathological mechanisms affected by GAC1 on neutrophil-dominant asthma, computational analysis – system pharmacology and in silico molecular docking – was applied to estimate the potential targets of GAC1 on asthma and glucocorticoid resistance.

In the current study, using a ragweed-induced T2 low asthma model published previous,\textsuperscript{22} we showed that oral chronic GAC1 therapy significantly reduced the percentage of neutrophils and eosinophils in airways and alleviated asthma pathology associated with suppression of TNF-A, in addition to suppressing Th2 cytokines and increasing interferon-gamma (IFN-\( \gamma \)). In contrast, acute Dex treatment only reduced eosinophils but showed no reduction in neutrophils, tissue pathology or TNF-\( \alpha \). Downstream regulation of TNF-\( \alpha \) might be key contributors in treating steroid-resistant neutrophil-predominant asthma. This work further implies that levels of TNF-\( \alpha \) are closely associated with steroid-resistant neutrophil predominant airway inflammation. GAC1 is a potentially promising therapy for steroid-resistant neutrophil predominant asthma by targeting TNF-\( \alpha \).
Materials and Methods

GAC1 Isolation and HPLC

ASHMI and *G. lucidum* (GL) aqueous extracts were manufactured by the Sino-Lion Pharmaceutical Company (a GMP certified facility in Weifang, China) as previously described. GAC1 (chemical structure shown in Figure 1A) was isolated from GL aqueous extracts as previously described. High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) chromatogram of GAC1, ASHMI and GL are shown in Supplementary E–Figure 1.

Animal Studies

Female BALB/c mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME) and maintained in pathogen-free facilities at the Mount Sinai vivarium in accordance with standard guidelines for the care and use of animals. All animal procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. This project has been approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee, IACUC, at Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York (IACUC#:LA11-00082).

Antigen Sensitization, Challenge, and Treatment Protocols

RW sensitization, challenge and treatment protocols were similar to those described previously. The endotoxin level of RW extract (low-endotoxin source material, Greer Laboratories, Lenoir, North Carolina) was measured as previously described and verified to be 9 pg/mL. As shown in Figure 1B, mice were sensitized by intraperitoneal (i.p.) injections of RW (100 µg) in 0.4 mL PBS containing 2 mg alum as adjuvant (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA) on days 0 and 7 according to the protocol in Figure 1. Mice then received an intranasal (i.n.) RW challenge (100 µg in 50 µL PBS) on day 14 (RW mice). One day later, RW-sensitized mice received 400 µg GAC1 (20 mg/kg, RW/GAC1 mice) in 0.5 mL of water or water alone as the sham treatment (RW/sham mice) twice daily by intragastric administration (i.g.) from day 15 to day 42. Another group of RW-sensitized mice was given oral Dex (1 mg/kg, D4902, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), which was dissolved in 0.5 mL water at 24 hours and 2 hours prior to the second RW challenge. After completing treatment, a second set of intranasal challenges consisting of 2 consecutive daily doses (days 43 and 44) with RW (150 µg) in 50 µL PBS was administered. Naïve mice without treatment (naïve group) served as negative controls.

Evaluation of BALF Cells, Cytokines

BALF was collected by lavaging airways as previously described. BALF was centrifuged at 1200 rpm for 15 minutes at 4 °C to isolate cells. After counting, approximately 4 × 10^4 cells were cytospun onto glass slides for differential analysis by HEMA-3 staining. Eosinophils, neutrophils and macrophage numbers per 500 cells were counted using standard criteria. Cytokine levels in BALF supernatants were assayed by commercial ELISA kits according to the manufacturer’s instructions (BD Biosciences, San Diego, CA) for TNF-α, IL-4, IL-5 and IFN-γ.

![Figure 1](https://doi.org/10.2147/JIR.S433430)

**Figure 1** Chemical Structure of GAC1 and Experimental Design. (A) Chemical structure of Ganoderic acid C1. (B) Experimental design. Mice sensitized with RW (and alum) intraperitoneally on days 0 and day 7 received intranasal RW on day 14. GAC1 (20 mg/kg) were given in 0.5 mL of water twice daily by intragastric administration from D15 to D42. Dex (1 mg/kg, dissolved in 0.5 mL water were given at 24 hours and 2 hours prior to the second RW challenge. Mice in all groups except Naïve group were intranasally challenged with RW on days 43 and 44. Naïve mice were challenged with PBS. Terminal analysis was performed on day 46. D= Day, i.p.= intraperitoneal, i.n.= intranasal.
Scoring of Pulmonary Inflammation

Formalin fixed paraffin-embedded lung sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin stain. Inflammation scores were assigned using criteria described previously.22 Briefly, peribronchial and perivascular inflammations were evaluated on a scale from 0 to 4 as follows. 0 – No inflammation was detectable around the airway or blood vessel; 1 - Occasional presence of inflammatory cells around airway or blood vessel; 2 – Most airways/blood vessels were surrounded by a ring of inflammatory cells that were one cell layer deep; 3 – Most airways/blood vessels surrounded by a ring of inflammatory cells that were two to four cells deep; 4 – Most airways/blood vessels were surrounded by a thick layer of inflammatory cells (more than four cells deep). Morphometric analysis was performed in a blinded fashion.

Measurement of MUC5AC in Human Lung Epithelial Cell Line

NCI-H292 cells, a human lung epithelial cell line, were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA). The cells were cultured as previously described.30 Briefly, cells were serum deprived, washed twice with PBS and confluent cells (5 x 10⁵ cells/well in 24-well) were cultured in RPMI 1640 with 0.2% fetal bovine serum (FBS) for 24 hrs. After 24 hrs. of serum deprivation, cells were pre-treated with GAC1 (40 μg/mL) for 30 mins, after which the cells were stimulated with Phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA, 10 ng/mL) for 24 hrs. After 24 hrs., the cells were lysed in buffer RLT (Qiagen), and RNA was extracted using Qiagen RNA extraction kit as per manufacturer protocol. The total RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA using RevertAid RT kit (Thermo Scientific, MA) as per manufacturer’s instructions. For each qRT-PCR, a 25 μL reaction was run with 12.5 μL maxima SYBR Green/ROX qPCR Master Mix 2x (Thermo Fisher, MA), 1.8 μL of 0.3 μM assays on demand primer, and 300 ng of RNA. The qRT-PCR was performed at 40 cycles of 25 °C for 5 mins, 42 °C for 60 mins and 70 °C for 15 mins. The Ct values for each gene were normalized by subtracting the Ct values for the housekeeping gene GAPDH (ΔCT). The relative fold change in mRNA expression between groups was calculated and expressed as 2⁻ΔΔCT. The following primers were used as previously described.31

h-MUC5AC (forward) 5’-TGATCATCCAGCAGGGCT-3’ and (reverse) 5’-CCGAGCTCAGAGGAACATATGGG-3’; h-GAPDH (forward) 5’-CAAAGGTCATGCTCTG-3’ and (reverse) 5’-CCTGCTTCAACCACCTTTTG-3’.

Evaluation of Intracellular ROS Levels

Intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) levels were measured using the DCFDA/H2DCFDA-Cellular ROS assay kit (Abcam, MA) as per the manufacturer protocol. Briefly, 25,000 NCI-H292 cells were serum starved for 24 hrs. and seeded on a dark and clear bottom 96-well microplate overnight to allow the cells adhere. After 24 hrs., the cells were washed with PBS twice and pre-treated with GAC1 (40 μg/mL) for 30 mins after which the cells were stimulated with PMA (10 ng/mL). Twenty-four hours later, DCFDA (20 μM) was overlayed on top of the treated cells for 45 mins at 37° C in the dark after which the plate was read in an end point setting using a fluorescent reader (with excitation and emission wavelength of 485 and 535 nm, respectively). Data are represented as relative fluorescence unit (RFU).

Computational Analysis

Targets of GAC1 were mined from published databases including Swiss Target Prediction,32,33 Similarity Ensemble Approach,34,35 PubChem,36,37 PharmMapper,38 and DrugBank.39,40 The relevant human genes of asthma and glucocorticoid resistance were obtained from various databases including Therapeutic Target Database,41,42 Malacards,43 GeneCards,44,45 and Open Targets Platform.46,47 The shared targets of GAC1 with asthma and glucocorticoid resistance were selected. Pathways and GO were conducted by mapping targets to KOBAS 3.048,49 and DAVID database,50,51 respectively. Protein–Protein interaction (PPI) was constructed from String database.

Molecular Docking Analysis Predicts the Binding Modes of GAC1 with TNF-α

Molecular docking was performed on GAC1 and Dex with TNF-α (pdb: 2AZ5) by AutoDock Vina52 to further explore and predict their binding modes. Protein crystal structures of STAT6 were downloaded from RCSB protein data bank. The structure of GAC1 and Dex was directly downloaded from PubChem53,54 without further optimization. TNF-α and Dex were prepared by AutoDockTools (v1.5.6).54 The three dimensional molecular graphics were prepared by PyMOL.
system (http://www.pymol.org) and Discovery Studio. Generally, all hydrogens and Gasteiger charges were added to protein and ligands.

Statistics Analysis
Data were analyzed by GraphPad Prism (San Diego, CA) using analysis of Variance (One way ANOVA) followed by a Bonferroni t-test for all pair-wise comparisons of all normally distributed data. The differences between groups were analyzed using the Wilcoxon Signed Rank test, where data were not normally distributed. The significant differences between groups for MUC5AC expression and ROS production were analyzed by Student’s t-test followed by Tukey’s post-test. P values ≤0.05 were considered significant.

Results
Treatment with GAC1 reduced airway neutrophil and eosinophil recruitment in RW sensitized and challenged mice
RW sensitization and challenge were performed as described in Figure 1B. Total BALF cell numbers (Figure 2A) in the Sham group (2.87 × 10^5 ± 0.28 × 10^5) was significantly elevated compared to the naïve group (6.00 × 10^4 ± 0.19 × 10^4, P < 0.0001 vs Sham); however, acute Dex treatment did not affect total BALF cell counts (2.85 × 10^5 ± 0.21 × 10^5). Chronic GAC1 treated mice significantly decreased total BALF cell count (1.94 × 10^5 ± 0.35 × 10^5, P < 0.001 vs Sham).
Neutrophil numbers (Figure 2B) were similar in RW/Sham (0.97x10^5 ±0.25 x10^5/mL) and RW/Dex (1.12 x10^5 ± 0.112 × 10^5) but significantly reduced in the RW/GAC1 group (0.26 × 10^5 ± 0.10 × 10^5, P < 0.0001 vs RW/Sham and RW/Dex). Eosinophil numbers (Figure 2C) were significantly reduced in RW/GAC1 (0.11 × 10^5 ± 0.10 × 10^5 P < 0.05 vs RW/Sham) and RW/Dex (0.14 × 10^5 ± 0.02 × 10^5, P < 0.05 vs RW/sham) when compared to RW/Sham mice (0.53 × 10^5 ± 0.24 × 10^5). Macrophage numbers (Figure 2D) were similar across RW/Sham, RW/GAC1 and RW/Dex groups. Together, these findings demonstrated that RW challenge of RW-sensitized mice induced a predominantly neutrophilic airway inflammation, and whereas acute Dex treatment only suppressed eosinophilic inflammation, GAC1 suppressed neutrophilic as well as eosinophilic inflammation in this asthma model.

GAC1 Treatment Downregulated Th2 and Innate BALF Cytokines
Consistent with the anti-inflammatory profile of GAC1, we found significant inhibition of TNF-α, IL-4 and IL-5 in BALF from chronic GAC1 treated mice with inhibition rates over 60% (Figure 3A–C), however IFN-γ levels (Figure 3D) were elevated suggesting GAC1 regulates Th2/Th1 innate immune responses without global immune-suppression. Acute Dex treatment was associated with reduction of IL-4 and IL-5 but no effect on TNF-α and IFN-γ.

Mice Treated with GAC1 but Not Dex Showed Decreased Pulmonary Inflammation
Examination of lung histology showed peribronchial and perivascular inflammation in mice sensitized and challenged with RW, which was absent in lung sections from naïve mice (Figure 4A). Perivascular inflammation in particular was very pronounced with organized inflammatory lesions that were many cell layers deep (Figure 4A lower panels). No change in either peribronchial or perivascular inflammation was observed in RW-sensitized mice treated with Dex. In contrast, such inflammatory lesions were markedly reduced and affected fewer airways (P < 0.01 vs Sham) and blood vessels (P < 0.001 vs Sham) in RW-sensitized mice treated with GAC1 (Figure 4B and C).

GAC1 Suppressed the Expression of MUC5AC and Decreased Intracellular ROS Levels
Mucin5ac (MUC5AC), as predominant mucin in asthma, has been associated with reduced pulmonary function and asthma exacerbation. Moreover, oxidative stress has been identified as a main reason for this respiratory inflammation and plays a critical role in the pathogenesis of asthma. To provide direct evidence whether GAC1 suppresses mucus cell hyperplasia and oxidative stress, we next test GAC1 effect on MUC5AC gene expression and ROS production using lung epithelial cell line NCI-H292 in response to PMA stimulation. Significant inhibition of GAC1 on MUC5AC expression
Figure 5A) was identified, which indicated that GAC1 may inhibit mucus production by regulating MUC5AC transcription. Moreover, intracellular ROS levels generated in response PMA stimulated H292 cells were suppressed significantly after GAC1 treatment (Figure 5B).

**Computational analysis revealed that TNF-α might be critical target of GAC1 in relieving neutrophil-predominant airway inflammation**

The compound-target-disease network in Figure 6 shows that 8 targets including TNF, AKT1, STAT6, HDAC2, IL17A, IFNG, NOS2 and NR3C1 are closely associated with GAC1 and both asthma and glucocorticoid resistance. Additionally, 21 other targets of GAC1 are considered as potentially regulated medium for asthma. PI3K-Akt response was associated with TNF-α levels, which play an important role in the pathogenesis of experimental and clinical steroid-resistant asthma. Pro-inflammatory factors such as IL17A, and IFNG undoubtedly participated in the regulation of TNF-α. Moreover, it’s
Figure 3 Treatment with ASHMI and GAC1 Inhibited Th2 and Increased Th1 Responses. BAL fluid TNF-α (A), IL-4 (B), IL-5 (C) and IFN-γ (D) were measured by ELISA. Data expressed as Mean ± SD. N=3-5 mice/group. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, compared with RW/Sham group; #P<0.05 compared with RW/Dex group.
widely known that the STAT6 and HDAC2 can regulate the expression and effects of TNF-α. Considering the extensive downstream regulated effects of TNF-α, it might be a promising therapeutic target in steroid-resistant asthma.\(^{59}\)

**GAC1 Binding with TNF-α to Relieve Neutrophilic Airway Inflammation**

Chronic GAC1 therapy significantly inhibited the level of TNF-α, while acute Dex showed no regulation in the level of TNF-α. To investigate the mechanism of such differentiation, molecular docking was applied to predict the binding modes of GAC1 and Dex with TNF-α, respectively. The binding energy of complex GAC1-TNF and Dex-TNF are \(-10.8\) kcal/mol and \(-8.3\) kcal/mol, respectively (Table 1), indicating higher stability of the GAC1-TNF complex. The conformations and binding interactions of GAC1-TNF and Dex-TNF are illustrated in Figure 7. Both GAC1 and Dex can recognize and bind with inhibited pocket of TNF-α (Figure 7A–C). For GAC1, hydrogen bonds with TYR151 and the hydrophobic force with TYR59, and HIS15 stabilize the conformation of GAC1-TNF (Figure 7B–D). On the other
hand, only hydrophobic force with TYR119 and TYR59 was formed in complex Dex-TNF. The binding affinity of GAC1 with TNF-α is higher than Dex with TNF-α. With higher binding affinity, GAC1 might be a stronger inhibitor for the TNF-α.

**Figure 5** GAC1 Suppressed the Expression of MUC5AC and Decreased Intracellular ROS Levels. (A) Serum-deprived NCI-H292 cells were pretreated with GAC1 (40 ug/mL) for 30 mins followed by stimulation with PMA (10 ng/mL) and the relative expression of MUC5AC was measured. (B) GAC1 pre-treatment reduces PMA induced intracellular ROS production by H292 cells. After treatment, serum-starved cells were overlayed with DCFDA and the fluorescence intensity was evaluated. Data represents means ± SD. ***p<0.001 vs PMA stimulation group. N= three independent experiments performed in triplicate.

**Figure 6** The compound-target-disease network. Triangle, circle and diamond indicate GAC1, key targets and disease, respectively. Lines represent interactions between nodes.
Discussion

Stimulated by TNF-α, ROS is generated from multiple cells in lung such as macrophages. Moreover, mucous is secreted from epithelial cells regulated by TNF-α. TNF-α, ROS, mucous, and other cytokines will help recruit neutrophiles to migrate to lung. In addition, the neutrophile activation and oxidative stress of ROS will further stimulate the generation of TNF-α, ROS, and mucous, which aggravate lung damage. By inhibiting the downstream signal TNF-α, GAC1 will improve neutrophilic inflammation by relieving oxidative stress, neutrophil recruitment, and mucous secretion (Figure 8).

Neutrophilic airway inflammation is observed in the majority of patients belonging to the most severe asthma clusters, as defined in the SARP study. Recent reports have described a functional role for neutrophils in asthma pathology via the release of pro-inflammatory mediators and formation of Neutrophil Extracellular Traps (NETs), which lead to epithelial cell dysfunction and degradation. Persistence of neutrophils has been observed in adult and pediatric patients with severe asthma. However, treatment of patients with neutrophil-dominant asthma is still limited by the availability of few therapeutic options.

Neutrophil-predominant murine asthma models are helpful for exploring possible treatments for T2 low severe asthma. We previously generated an RW-sensitized and RW-challenged murine asthma model characterized by neutrophil-predominant, mixed granulocytic airway inflammation. We demonstrated that ASHMI™ and refined ASHMI (ASHMIII) could significantly suppress both neutrophil and eosinophil inflammation, regulate Th1/Th2/innate immune responses, and reduce AHR and RW specific IgE. In the present study, we found that GAC1 isolated from *Ganoderma lucidum*, a component herb of ASHMI, has similar ability to suppress not only eosinophilic but also neutrophilic inflammation in the RW asthma model. We were the first to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Gene Name</th>
<th>Affinity (Kcal/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>GAC1</td>
<td>-10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dex</td>
<td>-8.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Molecular Docking Results Between Compounds with TNF-α

![Figure 7](https://doi.org/10.2147/JIR.S433430)

Figure 7 Binding conformations of GAC1 and Dex with TNF-α. Binding conformation of GAC1 (A) and Dex (C) with TNF-α. The configuration and binding interactions of GAC1 (B) and Dex (D) with key amino acids. For GAC1 and Dex, carbon, oxygen and fluorine are highlighted in yellow, red and blue, respectively. For amino acids of protein, carbon, oxygen and nitrogen are displayed by green, red and blue, respectively. The green and pink lines indicate hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic interactions.
describe TNF-α reducing activity of Ganoderic acid C1 in a macrophage cell line, human PBMCs and IBD patient biopsies. Ganoderic acids are lanostane triterpenoids, and multiple isoforms have been described with varying biological activity. They are chiefly valued for their anti-cancer properties, and considerable research has been devoted to enhancing ganoderic acid content of Ganoderma species. RW is a more clinically relevant antigen than ovalbumin, but ovalbumin is more commonly used in the experimental studies than RW. Our previous study defined that RW induced neutrophilic inflammation in this model was not due to endotoxin, because RW induced a significantly higher (>10-fold) BALF neutrophil percentage than ovalbumin following a suboptimal protocol in our preliminary study, whereas the RW endotoxin level (9 pg/mL) was only 0.001% that of ovalbumin (12 ng/mL). The ability of RW to induce neutrophilic inflammation has been previously demonstrated to be attributable to intrinsic NADPH oxidases.

To investigate molecular mechanisms that would explain GAC1 efficacy in neutrophil-dominant asthma, computational analysis was utilized to predict the potential targets of GAC1 with asthma under the restriction of glucocorticoid resistance. GAC1 potential targets including TNF, AKT1, STAT6, HDAC2, IL17A, IFNG, NOS2 and NR3C1 were strongly associated with asthma and steroid resistance. A key finding of GAC1 activity in the current study is that GAC1, but not Dex, treatment reduced pulmonary TNF-α production. TNF-α is considered to play an important role in the recruitment of neutrophils and induce steroid resistance in severe asthma. TNF-α blockade has been shown to restore steroid sensitivity in a mouse model of neutrophilic-predominant inflammation. Failure to reduce TNF-α may be a major reason underlying the inability of acute Dex treatment to decrease neutrophilic inflammation in this steroid-resistant asthma model despite Dex’s ability to reduce eosinophil numbers. The binding affinities of GAC1 with TNF-α are clearly higher than with Dex. GAC1-reduction of TNF-α in vivo in the current study confirms our earlier in vitro studies showing that GAC1 could inhibit RW or LPS induced TNF-α production in a mouse macrophage cell line and PBMCs from asthma patients and decrease TNF-α production by PBMCs and inflammation in colonic biopsies from Crohn’s Disease patients.

In addition, we, for the first time, provided direct evidence that GAC1 reduced MUC5AC, a marker of mucus cell hyperplasia, and reduced ROS production, a marker of oxidative stress. It has been shown that mucus hyperplasia is one of the markers of airway remodeling, and ROS has been shown to be involved in both eosinophilic and neutrophilic inflammation, and airway remodeling. These findings further suggested that GAC1 suppression of MUC5AC and ROS may coordinate with its anti-TNF-α effect leading to protection against neutrophilic asthma. However, the effect of GAC1 on MUC5AC in vivo has not been evaluated. Further studies are needed to understand GAC1 anti-airway remodeling, and the effect of GAC1 on MUC5AC and ROS production in treating neutrophilic asthma. Moreover, the regulation of GAC1 on neutrophil survival and functions has not been investigated in this study. In the future work, we will focus on the regulation of GAC1 on neutrophils.
Conclusion
In summary, we showed for the first time that GAC1, an active compound isolated from ASHMI, inhibited neutrophil-predominant airway inflammation in vivo, using an RW sensitized and challenged steroid-resistant murine asthma model. GAC1 benefits were associated with reduction of Th2 cytokines and TNFα. GAC1 administration was via the oral route, implying satisfactory bioavailability and increasing feasibility of future development as a botanical anti-asthma drug tailored to target neutrophil-dominant asthma, which is often severe and currently underserved by advances in asthma therapeutics. The chronic DEX treatment and inflammatory cell kinetic infiltration of the model have not been investigated in this study. They will be explored to further characterize the neutrophil-predominant mouse asthma model in our future work. Moreover, the content of GAC1 is low in *Ganoderma lucidum*, which limits the application of GAC1. However, chemical synthetic GAC1 will provide an opportunity to ensure the medicinal sourcing for developing GAC1 as anti-inflammatory drug for asthma therapy.
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