The Association Between Obesity Measures and Metabolic Syndrome Risk in Korean Adolescents Aged 10–18 Years
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Introduction: Childhood obesity is strongly linked to an increased risk of metabolic syndrome (MetS), type 2 diabetes, and cardiovascular disease in adulthood, endangering the global healthcare system. No single tool for detecting MetS is suitable for all pediatric populations. This study aimed to investigate the association between obesity measures and MetS in Korean children and adolescents.

Method and Materials: The data were obtained from 1663 children and adolescents aged 10–19 years (771 girls) who participated in the Fourth and Fifth Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys. Body mass index (BMI), waist circumference (WC), and waist-to-hip ratio (WHtR) were included as obesity measures. Fasting blood glucose, triglycerides, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and resting blood pressure were included as metabolic risk factors. MetS was defined as the clustering of metabolic risk factors such as elevated fasting blood glucose, elevated mean arterial pressure, elevated triglycerides, and low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol.

Results: Regardless of the obesity measures used, obese children and adolescents were more likely to have a clustering of metabolic risk factors compared to their non-obese counterparts: BMI-based obesity (odds ratio, OR=4.151, 95% confidence interval, CI=2.763–6.238, \(p<0.001\)), WC-based obesity (OR=1.917, 95% CI=1.066–3.446, \(p=0.010\)), and WHtR-based obesity (OR=2.160 and 95% CI=1.203–3.878, \(p=0.003\)). A receiver operating curve analysis showed that BMI (area under the curve, AUC=0.849, 95% CI=0.827–0.871) outperformed WC (AUC=0.833, 95% CI=0.809–0.856) and WHtR (AUC=0.794, 95% CI=0.767–0.821) in detecting the presence of MetS.

Conclusion and Recommendation: Study findings suggest that obese teenagers are more likely to have metabolic syndrome than non-obese teens. Concerning accuracy, convenience, and ease of use and calculation, BMI is the best screening tool for detecting MetS in Korean children and adolescents.
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Introduction

A significant proportion of obese children and adolescents in lower-, middle-, and high-income countries become obese adults who have an increased risk of metabolic disorders such as type 2 diabetes (T2D) and cardiovascular disease (CVD), which threatens the global healthcare system. Early detection of metabolic complications associated with overweight and obesity is critical for developing a therapeutic strategy to combat the clinical and economic burden of adult obesity.

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) in adults is defined as a clustering of elevated blood glucose, elevated resting blood pressure, elevated triglycerides, decreased high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and central or abdominal obesity. MetS is a preclinical stage of metabolic disorders such as T2D and CVD. Because there is no universal or uniform definition of MetS, many studies involving children and adolescents use adult definitions with a modified cutoff point for each component of MetS. Nonetheless, the prevalence of metabolic syndrome among children and adolescents is rising globally, notably in high-income countries. Recent population-based studies involving Korean children and adolescents showed that the prevalence of
MetS increased from 3.9% in 2007 to 4.78% in 2022 based on the National Cholesterol Education Program definition, or from 2.29% in 2007 to 3.10% in 2022 based on the International Diabetes Federation definition.\(^8,9\)

Anthropometric measures, including body mass index (BMI), waist circumference (WC), waist-to-hip ratio (WHtR), and others, have all been used as a diagnostic tool for detecting MetS among children and adolescents. However, the prevalence of MetS varies depending on which obesity measures and MetS definitions are used.\(^10,11\) BMI is a limited anthropometric marker of pediatric metabolic risk due to the scarcity of data on this population. Meanwhile, WC and WHtR are central adiposity measures that can be used in research and clinical settings.\(^12\) In particular, WC has become a useful measure of childhood and adolescent obesity that can predict fat mass as well as or better than BMI, and it assesses total adiposity, a measure that is closely related to intra-abdominal fat.\(^13,14\)

In South Korea, the rising prevalence of MetS in children and adolescents poses a threat to the healthcare system.\(^15\) Detecting MetS in teens is a primary measure against metabolic disorders in adulthood. However, little is known about the accuracy of BMI, WC, and WHtR as obesity indexes for detecting MetS in Korean pediatric populations. The purpose of this study was to examine the accuracy of obesity indicators in estimating MetS risk in a representative sample of Korean adolescents aged 10 to 18 years.

**Materials and Methods**

**Data Source and Study Participants**

As shown in Figure 1, we initially included 4598 boys and girls aged 10 to 18 years (2432 boys and 2166 girls) who participated in the Fourth and Fifth Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys (KNHNES) in South Korea from 2008 to 2011. The participants with no anthropometric or body composition data (1540 boys and 1395 girls) were then excluded. The final analyses included a total of 1663 participants (892 boys and 771 girls). Additional detailed information about the 2008–2011 KNHNES is available through the national public database (https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/about_nhanes.htm; accessed on October 10, 2023).

**Variables**

**Measurement of Anthropometrics and Body Composition**

A stadiometer was used to determine height and weight to the nearest 0.1 kg and 0.1 cm, respectively. BMI was calculated as weight divided by the square of height (kg/m\(^2\)). The waist and hip circumferences were measured to 0.1 cm using a tape ruler placed at the midpoint between the lowest rib and the iliac crest and at the greatest part of the hips, respectively. WHtR was then calculated as the waist divided by the hip.

---

**Figure 1** Selection of study participants.

**Abbreviation:** KNHNES, Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey.
Definition of Clustered Metabolic Risk Factors

Fasting blood glucose (FBG), total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG), and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDLC) were measured with a Hitachi Automatic Analyzer 7600 (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan). Systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) were measured in a seated position with a mercury sphygmomanometer, and the last two of the three measurements were averaged. Mean arterial pressure (MAP) was calculated using the formula: MAP = DBP + 1/3 (SBP-DBP).

A Z-score method was used to calculate the standardized continuous metabolic syndrome (cMetS) value for each of the MetS components. The Z-score for HDL-C was derived by multiplying by −1 because it is inversely related to MetS risk. The Z-score for each MetS component was used to calculate the cMetS values using the formula [FBG + TG + MAP - HDLC], where a higher value indicates a comparatively higher risk of MetS. The cMetS values were then dichotomized at the cutoff value plus one standard deviation (1 SD) to identify children and adolescents who had or did not have clustered metabolic risk factors. The cut-point of 1 SD was chosen to roughly correspond with the prevalence of clustered metabolic risk factors, which is assumed to be a biological phenomenon unrelated to chance.

Covariates

The covariates were age (years); gender (male vs female); and nutrient intake of carbohydrates (g/day), fats (g/day), and proteins (g/day). The Korean version of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire-Short Form (https://sites.google.com/view/ipaq) was used to monitor moderate to vigorous physical activity in terms of duration (min per session) and frequency (days per week), and activity was quantified in units of min per week.

Statistical Analyses

Quantile-quantile plots were used to verify the normality of the data distribution before statistical analyses. Analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Tukey’s post hoc test if necessary, and Chi-square test were used to test mean group differences of continuous (in mean and standard deviation) and discontinuous (in number and percentage) variables, respectively. One-way ANOVA was used to test incremental linear trends in cMetS according to the number of MetS components. Binary logistic regression was used to calculate the odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) of obesity measures for high cMetS with low cMetS as a reference (OR=1). ROC curve analysis was performed to determine how well obesity measures discriminate between individuals with and without clustered metabolic risk factors. Statistical significance was tested at p = 0.05 using SPSS-PC software (version 27, SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Table 1 represents the descriptive statistics of the study participants. Boys had higher values than girls for BMI (p<0.001), WC (p<0.001), WHtR (p=0.005), SBP (p<0.001), DBP (p<0.001), fasting blood glucose (p<0.001), and cMetS scores (p<0.001), but had lower HDLC (p<0.001). Furthermore, boys consumed more proteins (p<0.001), fats (p<0.001), and carbohydrates (p<0.001) than girls.

Table 2 represents the prevalence of MetS components in this study population. Decreased HDLC (33.5%) and hypertension (29.2%) accounted for the greatest proportion of MetS components in the total group, with boys having a higher proportion of hypertension (33.2% vs 24.6%, p<0.001) and girls having a higher proportion of decreased HDLC (49.8% vs 19.4%, p<0.001). Additionally, as shown in Figure 2, there was a linear, incremental trend in cMetS values as the number of MetS components increased, with boys having a higher cMetS than girls regardless of MetS component count (F (7,3) = 3.074, p=0.027).

Table 3 represents the ORs and 95% CIs for clustered metabolic risk factors by obesity status. Regardless of the obesity measures used, obese children and adolescents were at an increased risk for having clustered metabolic risk factors compared to their non-obese counterparts: BMI-based obesity (OR=4.151, 95% CI=2.763–6.238, p<0.001), WC-based abdominal obesity (OR=1.917, 95% CI=1.066–3.446, p=0.010), and WHtR-based upper body obesity (OR=2.160 and 95% CI=1.203–3.878, p=0.003). The increased risk of BMI- (OR=3.699, 95% CI=2.361–5.794, p<0.001) and WHR-based...
Obesity (OR=2.252, 95% CI1.196~4.241, \(p=0.012\)) remained statistically significant even after adjustments for potential covariates, including age, gender, physical activity, dietary intake of macronutrients, and serum vitamin D.

As shown in Figure 3, ROC curve analysis was performed to determine how well obesity measures discriminate between those with and without clustered MetS risk factors. In the total group, BMI (AUC=0.849, 95% CI=0.827~0.871) outperformed WC (AUC=0.833, 95% CI=0.8090.856) and WHtR (AUC=0.794, 95% CI=0.7670.821) in detecting clustered MetS risk factors in the total group (A). The same pattern was observed in boys (B) and girls (C).

**Discussion**

Anthropometric measures of obesity have been used as a diagnostic tool to detect cMetS in children and adolescents, but no single tool is appropriate for all populations. This cross-sectional study examined the relationship between obesity measures and cMetS in Korean children and adolescents. As expected, obese children and adolescents are at an increased risk of having higher cMetS values compared to their non-obese counterparts. Metabolic risk factors are more likely to cluster as the number of metabolic syndrome components increases. As a screening tool, BMI outperformed WC and WHtR for detecting cMetS in this study population.

In agreement with the study findings, BMI is one of the most widely used tools for diagnosing MetS in children and adolescents. Radetti et al conducted a cross-sectional study with 2664 children and adolescents to determine the efficacy of various obesity measures, including BMI, BMI standard deviation score, fat-free mass index, fat mass index, tri-ponderal mass index (TMI), and WHtR, in detecting MetS. They concluded that BMI outperformed other measures in

**Table 1** Descriptive Statistics of Study Participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Total (n=1664)</th>
<th>Boys (n=893)</th>
<th>Girls (n=771)</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>(\eta^2)</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>13.9±2.5</td>
<td>13.9±2.5</td>
<td>13.9±2.5</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>20.6±3.6</td>
<td>20.9±3.8</td>
<td>20.2±3.4</td>
<td>14.916</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>&gt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC (cm)</td>
<td>69.1±9.8</td>
<td>71.0±10.4</td>
<td>66.9±8.5</td>
<td>75.192</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>&gt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR</td>
<td>0.43±0.05</td>
<td>0.43±0.06</td>
<td>0.43±0.05</td>
<td>8.067</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>106.5±10.7</td>
<td>108.9±11.2</td>
<td>103.8±9.3</td>
<td>101.142</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>&gt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>66.6±9.3</td>
<td>67.3±10.2</td>
<td>65.7±8.0</td>
<td>12.145</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>&gt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBG (mg/dL)</td>
<td>89.0±9.3</td>
<td>89.6±6.2</td>
<td>88.4±6.4</td>
<td>14.182</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>&gt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC (mg/dL)</td>
<td>157.8±26.9</td>
<td>153.7±27.8</td>
<td>162.6±25.1</td>
<td>45.479</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>&gt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (mg/dL)</td>
<td>85.0±52.2</td>
<td>84.0±51.0</td>
<td>86.2±53.5</td>
<td>0.649</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.408</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDLC (mg/dL)</td>
<td>49.5±9.9</td>
<td>48.4±9.4</td>
<td>50.7±9.5</td>
<td>24.761</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>&gt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cMetS</td>
<td>0.06±2.87</td>
<td>0.47±2.94</td>
<td>−0.42±2.72</td>
<td>40.586</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>&gt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPA (min/week)</td>
<td>1775±3206</td>
<td>1716±3190</td>
<td>1800±3226</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.592</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPA (min/week)</td>
<td>1759±3204</td>
<td>1724±3187</td>
<td>1800±3226</td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.630</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRO (g/day)</td>
<td>55.1±35.2</td>
<td>60.6±36.8</td>
<td>48.9±32.1</td>
<td>42.008</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>&gt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAT (g/day)</td>
<td>330.4±126.9</td>
<td>363.9±129.0</td>
<td>292±113.2</td>
<td>127.684</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>&gt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome Components

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components of MetS</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Boys</th>
<th>Girls</th>
<th>(X^2)</th>
<th>(\eta^2)</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal obesity</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>1.778</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperglycemia</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertriglyceridemia</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>14.584</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased HDLC</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>171.695</td>
<td>0.321</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** WC: ≥90th percentiles (adjusted for age, sex, and height); fasting glucose: ≥100 mg/dL; triglycerides: ≥150 mg/dL; HDLC: <40 mg/dL in boys and <50 mg/dL in girls; BP: ≥90th percentiles (adjusted for age and sex).

**Abbreviation:** MetS, metabolic syndrome.

obesity (OR=2.252, 95% CI1.196~4.241, \(p=0.012\)) remained statistically significant even after adjustments for potential covariates, including age, gender, physical activity, dietary intake of macronutrients, and serum vitamin D.

As shown in Figure 3, ROC curve analysis was performed to determine how well obesity measures discriminate between those with and without clustered MetS risk factors. In the total group, BMI (AUC=0.849, 95% CI=0.827~0.871) outperformed WC (AUC=0.833, 95% CI=0.8090.856) and WHtR (AUC=0.794, 95% CI=0.7670.821) in detecting clustered MetS risk factors in the total group (A). The same pattern was observed in boys (B) and girls (C).

**Discussion**

Anthropometric measures of obesity have been used as a diagnostic tool to detect cMetS in children and adolescents, but no single tool is appropriate for all populations. This cross-sectional study examined the relationship between obesity measures and cMetS in Korean children and adolescents. As expected, obese children and adolescents are at an increased risk of having higher cMetS values compared to their non-obese counterparts. Metabolic risk factors are more likely to cluster as the number of metabolic syndrome components increases. As a screening tool, BMI outperformed WC and WHtR for detecting cMetS in this study population.

In agreement with the study findings, BMI is one of the most widely used tools for diagnosing MetS in children and adolescents. Radetti et al conducted a cross-sectional study with 2664 children and adolescents to determine the efficacy of various obesity measures, including BMI, BMI standard deviation score, fat-free mass index, fat mass index, tri-ponderal mass index (TMI), and WHtR, in detecting MetS. They concluded that BMI outperformed other measures in
detecting MetS and had additional advantages such as being simple to use, quick to calculate, and low in cost. In a systematic review and meta-analysis of ten published articles on the relationship between anthropometrics and MetS in children and adolescents, Sun et al showed that TMI and BMI performed similarly in detecting MetS.\textsuperscript{20} The diagnostic value of BMI for screening MetS is reported in Japanese patients with schizophrenia.\textsuperscript{21} In a follow-up study of 17.3±0.4 years involving 2,454,693 teenagers in Israel, Twig et al found that BMI in late adolescence is positively related to CVD mortality risk in adulthood.\textsuperscript{22} A recent review study by Khanna et al concluded that even with some limitations, BMI has a diagnostic role in predicting various health conditions such as diabetes, hypertension, and disease-related mortality.\textsuperscript{23} Taken together, the findings from the current and previous studies support the clinical importance of BMI as a screening tool for MetS in children and adolescents.

However, the ability of obesity indicators to detect MetS varies by population. For example, WHtR outperformed MetS in detecting metabolically unhealthy Spanish obese adolescents,\textsuperscript{24} as well as MetS in Egyptian obese adolescents,\textsuperscript{25} Brazilian adolescents,\textsuperscript{26} and Taiwan adolescents.\textsuperscript{10} In a cross-sectional study involving 1687 Colombian collegiate

**Figure 2** The relationship between the number of metabolic syndrome components and continuous metabolic syndrome score.

**Table 3** Continuous Metabolic Syndrome Scores According to the Number of Metabolic Syndrome Components

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MetS Components</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Boys</th>
<th>Girls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>cMetS</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>(41.3)</td>
<td>−0.79±1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>(37.3)</td>
<td>−0.15±2.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>(15.4)</td>
<td>1.27±2.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>(6.0)</td>
<td>3.44±2.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1663</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.02±2.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Values are mean (SD) and % where indicated.

**Abbreviation:** cMetS, continuous metabolic syndrome scores.
students (63.4% women), Ramírez-Vélez et al showed that percent body fat and fat mass index performed moderately well in predicting MetS. In a systematic review of 10 cross-sectional studies involving 17,764 American, Asian, African, and European teens aged 10–19 years, Lima Borges et al investigated how well anthropometric measures of obesity could detect high blood pressure. They found that BMI, WC, and WHtR were not very good at detecting high blood pressure in adolescents.

Differences in the accuracy of obesity measures in detecting MetS between populations may be due to a variety of factors, including age, gender, race, nutritional status, different socioeconomic and cultural factors, and lifestyle choices. In this study, we also found a gender difference in cMetS values: boys have higher cMetS than girls. In agreement with this, a gender difference in MetS risk has been reported in recent studies involving Korean children and adolescents and treatment-seeking European adolescents with severe obesity. Concerning gender difference, MetS is more common in girls in pre- or early puberty than in boys in middle- or late puberty. Hormonal changes during puberty and their impact on insulin resistance may have distinct effects on metabolic components by gender and age. Additionally, during adolescence, girls are more concerned with body image and self-identity than boys. Physical, psychological, social, and cultural factors appear to play a role in gender-specific body image and self-identity. A cohort study focusing on those factors will be required to assess the accuracy of anthropometric measures in detecting MetS risk in children and adolescents.

Strength and Limitations

The strength of this study is that a representative sample of Korean children and adolescents aged 10–18 years was used to investigate the prognostic role of the three most common indicators of obesity, such as BMI, WC, and WHtR, in detecting the presence of MetS. This study has some limitations. First, the cross-sectional nature of the study does not allow us to provide any cause-and-effect explanation about the relationship between obesity measures and MetS. A longitudinal study should be conducted to better understand the role of obesity measures in MetS risk stratification in a pediatric population. Second, the accuracy of obesity measures in detecting the presence of MetS can vary depending on the definition of MetS used. An additional study is guaranteed to determine whether the accuracy of the prognostic tools for detecting the presence of MetS varies according to the definitions used. Third, additional covariates not included in the current study could improve the accuracy of obesity measures as a screening tool for the presence of MetS in pediatric populations.

Conclusions and Recommendation

In conclusion, the current study findings suggest that obese teenagers are more likely to have metabolic syndrome than non-obese teens. In terms of accuracy, convenience, and ease of use and calculation, BMI outperforms WC and WHtR in detecting the presence of MetS in Korean children and adolescents.
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