Nanovaccine for leishmaniasis: preparation of chitosan nanoparticles containing *Leishmania* superoxide dismutase and evaluation of its immunogenicity in BALB/c mice

Mohammad Ali Danesh-Bahreini^{1,5}

Javad Shokri^{1,2}

Afshin Samiei³

Eskandar Kamali-Sarvestani⁴

Mohammad Barzegar-Jalali¹

Soliman Mohammadi-Samani⁴

¹Department of Pharmaceutics,

²Research Center for Pharmaceutical Nanotechnology, School of Pharmacy, Tabriz University of Medical Science, Tabriz, Iran; ³Autoimmune Diseases Research Center, School of Medicine,

⁴Pharmaceutical Sciences Research Center, School of Pharmacy, Shiraz University of Medical Science, Shiraz, Iran; ⁵Research and Development Department, Exir Pharmaceutical Company, Borujerd, Iran

Background: Leishmaniasis is a protozoan disease, affecting 12 million people in different regions of the world with a wide spectrum of diseases. Although several chemotherapeutic agents have been used for treating the disease, long-term therapy, limited efficacy and the development of drug-resistant parasites remain the major limitations.

Methods: To develop a new nanovaccine for leishmaniasis, recombinant *Leishmania* superoxide dismutase (SODB1) was loaded onto chitosan nanoparticles by the ionotropic gelation method. Size and loading efficiency of the nanoparticles were evaluated and optimized, and an immunization study was undertaken on BALB/c mice. The mice received phosphate buffer saline (PBS), superoxide dismutase B1 (SODB1) in PBS and nanoparticles via subcutaneous injection. Soluble *Leishmania* Antigens (SLA) and complete Freund's adjuvant (CFA) were also injected subcutaneously three times every three weeks (some groups received only a single dose). Three weeks after the last injection, blood samples were collected and assessed with ELISA to detect IgG2a and IgG1.

Results: Immunological analysis showed that in single and triple doses of SODB1 nanoparticles, IgG2a and IgG2a/IgG1 were significantly higher than the other groups ($P<0.05$).

Conclusion: The results revealed that formulations of SODB1 in biodegradable and stable chitosan nanoparticles can increase the immunogenicity toward cell-mediated immunity (T_H1 cells producing IgG2a in mice) that is effective in *Leishmania* eradication and could be presented as a single dose nanovaccine for leishmaniasis.

Keywords: chitosan nanoparticles, leishmaniasis, vaccine, superoxide dismutase

Introduction

Leishmaniasis is a vector-transmitted protozoan disease distributed throughout the world's tropical and subtropical regions. Leishmaniasis is caused by 20 different species which are pathogenic to humans, and belong to the genus *Leishmania*, an intracellular parasite transmitted by the bite of the phlebotomine sandfly. Leishmaniasis currently threatens 350 million people in 88 countries around the world. Two million new cases are considered to occur annually, with an estimated 12 million people presently infected.^{1–3} There is also a growing number of cases of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)/leishmaniasis coinfection. Leishmaniasis is an important opportunistic infection in HIV patients, which is potentially fatal, even when treated appropriately. HIV infection can also increase the risk of development of visceral leishmaniasis by 10–100-fold in endemic areas.⁴ Leishmaniasis is a parasitic disease with a wide range of clinical

Correspondence: Soliman Mohammadi-Samani
Pharmaceutical Sciences Research Center, School of Pharmacy, Shiraz University of Medical Science, Shiraz, Iran
Tel +98 711 242 4126
Fax +98 711 242 6070
Email smsamani@sums.ac.ir

presentations, including cutaneous, mucocutaneous, and visceral. The most dangerous form of leishmaniasis is kala-azar which, if untreated, has a fatality rate as high as 100% within two years in developing countries.⁵ Although several therapeutic agents, including paromomycin, antimony-containing compounds, amphotericin B, fluconazole, and pentamidine, are used as drug therapy in leishmaniasis, the need for prolonged regimens, drug-induced adverse effects, limited efficacy, development of drug-resistant parasite species, and scarring the skin remain important limitations of leishmaniasis drug therapy programs.² On the other hand, immunotherapy could be able to prevent the infection, and an effective vaccine for leishmaniasis would be an effective means of eradication of this infectious protozoan disease.

Although many efforts have been made to formulate a *Leishmania* vaccine during the last decade, effective immunotherapy against leishmaniasis has not yet been achieved.^{3,6} First-generation vaccines against leishmaniasis consisted of dead parasites. A vaccine comprising a single dose of whole-cell autoclave-killed *Leishmania major* was mixed with Bacillus Calmette–Guérin (BCG) vaccine and compared with BCG vaccine alone against leishmaniasis in Bam, Iran.⁷ However, this vaccine was shown to have low efficacy (54%). Second-generation vaccines used the antigen subunits of the parasite which were naive fractions purified from parasites or synthetic antigens made by DNA recombinant technology. Third-generation vaccines include genes coding for a protective antigen and cloned into a vector containing a eukaryotic promoter. Recombinant second-generation vaccines and third-generation DNA vaccines achieved mean parasite load reductions of 68% and 59%, respectively, in laboratory animal models, but their success in field trials has not yet been reported.⁸ The first recombinant antigen used to vaccinate against leishmaniasis was leishmaniolyisin (gp63), a membrane protease present in the promastigotes of all species, but its immunogenic properties in clinical trials were shown to be limited.⁹

In the present study, recombinant *Leishmania* superoxide dismutase B1 (SODB1), an antigen cloned in Iran, was tested as another potential antigen for immunotherapy.¹⁰ *L. major* SODB1 is a 195-amino acid protein with a molecular weight of 21287 Da and an isoelectric pH of 6.31. Superoxide dismutases are a group of metalloenzymes that eliminate superoxide radicals by dismutation into hydrogen peroxide and molecular oxygen. Typically, eukaryotes, including mammals, have Cu/ZnSOD in the cytosol and MnSOD in the mitochondrial matrix, whereas FeSODs have been found in prokaryotes and protozoa, and in the chloroplasts of

plants and algae. Two closely related FeSODs (ie, SODB1 and SODB2), have been identified in *Leishmania chagasi*, localized within the glycosomes in high levels, and have been found to play an important role in the survival and growth of *Leishmania* within human macrophages.^{11,12}

Unfortunately most protein and peptide vaccines show only low immunological activity when administered alone. Incorporation of antigens with adjuvants can improve the immunological response. Previous studies confirm that use of adjuvants increases the efficacy of purified antigens by up to 82% in vaccines.⁸ However, the most effective adjuvants, eg, Freund's adjuvant, generally cause severe inflammation, which may preclude their use in humans because of unacceptable side effects.¹³

Particulate delivery of antigen is effective for increasing the immunogenicity of vaccine subunits used in combination with an adjuvant. Moreover, phagocytosis of the particles by macrophages are important for induction of T_H1 and T_H2 responses, probably by affecting initial antigen uptake, processing, and presentation.¹⁴

In the present study, chitosan was used as an adjuvant nanoparticulate delivery system for the SODB1 vaccine subunit. Chitosan, $\alpha(1-4)2$ -amino 2-deoxy β -D glucan, is a deacetylated form of chitin, a polysaccharide present in abundance in the shells of crustaceans.¹⁵ The cationic nature of chitosan has been conveniently exploited for the development of particulate drug delivery systems. In addition to its ability to complex with negatively charged polymers, an interesting property of chitosan is its ability to form a gel on contact with specific polyanions. Ionotropic gelation of chitosan with tripolyphosphate for drug encapsulation was first reported by Bodmeier et al,¹⁶ although their approach aimed at designing chitosan-tripolyphosphate beads rather than nanoparticles.

Another benefit of nanoparticulate delivery of SODB1 is sustained release of the antigen and ongoing stimulation of the immune system. Therefore, the possibility of developing a single-dose vaccine could be examined. Also, the main problem with antigens, ie, lack of stability and loss of potency during handling and transportation, suggesting potentially severe problems with future scale up of recombinant vaccine production, could be overcome by the increased stability of a nanoparticle formulation. Therefore, scale up of this formulation and subunit vaccines with GMP compliance could be possible.

Materials and methods

Materials

Chitosan (high, medium, and low molecular weight), and complete Freund's adjuvant were purchased from

Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO). Tripolyphosphate, bovine serum albumin, Coomassie Brilliant Blue G250, and glacial acetic acid were purchased from Merck Chemicals (Darmstadt, Germany). Recombinant SODB1 was kindly donated by the Autoimmune Diseases Research Center of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences. Rabbit antimouse IgG1 horseradish peroxidase conjugate and goat antimouse IgG2a horseradish peroxidase conjugate were purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). Tetramethylbenzidine was purchased from Zymed Laboratories (South San Francisco, CA). Ultrapure water was used during the all experiments (Direct Q3; Millipore Iberica, Madrid, Spain). All other chemicals were of pharmaceutical grade.

Female BALB/c mice (4–6 weeks of age) were purchased from the Razi Research Institute for Vaccine and Serum in Iran. Each group of mice was kept in a separate cage, with access to water and food under stress-free conditions, in the animal house of the Shiraz University of Medical Sciences.

Preparation of chitosan nanoparticles

Chitosan nanoparticles were prepared according to the ionotropic gelation process.^{17,18} Low, medium, and high molecular weight chitosan was dissolved in 0.25% (v/v) acetic acid solution (by mixing at 1500 rpm for two hours) to make chitosan concentrations of 0.05, 0.10, 0.20, 0.30, 0.50, and 0.60% (w/v). Different concentrations of tripolyphosphate solutions in purified water (from 0.02%–2.5%) were added dropwise to the chitosan solution under magnetic stirring at room temperature. Formation of the nanoparticles was the result of interaction between the negatively charged groups of tripolyphosphate and the positively charged amino groups of the chitosan. The nanoparticle suspensions were gently stirred for 60 minutes at room temperature before being subjected to further analysis or application.^{19,20} Selected concentrations of chitosan and tripolyphosphate which produced appropriate nanoparticles were used to fabricate SODB1-loaded nanoparticles by the same method, except that SODB1 solution was incorporated into tripolyphosphate solution prior to being added to the chitosan solution. In each SODB1 loading experiment, 1 mL of solution containing 2 mg SODB1 was added to tripolyphosphate solution. The zeta potential of the nanoparticles was assessed (Zetasizer; Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK) in optimized SODB1-loaded chitosan nanoparticles. The preparation procedure and administration of the vaccine in the animal model are presented schematically in Figure 1.

Figure 1 Schematic representation of nanoparticle production and assessment procedures.

Characterization of nanoparticles

A laser defraction particle size analyzer (SALD 2101; Shimadzu, Tokyo, Japan) was used to measure the mean diameter and size distribution of the nanoparticles. Morphological examination of the nanoparticles was performed by transmission electron microscopy (CM10; Philips, Eindhoven, The Netherlands). The samples were stained with 2% (w/v) phosphotungstic acid and placed on copper grids with Formvar films, to be viewed by transmission electron microscopy.

Evaluation of protein-loading in nanoparticles

The nanoparticles were separated from the solution by ultracentrifugation at 50,000 *g* and at 10°C for 30 minutes. Supernatant from the centrifugation was carefully separated, and the protein content in the supernatant was analyzed with ultraviolet spectrophotometry at 595 nm using the indirect Bradford protein assay. A calibration curve was plotted with five different concentrations of bovine serum albumin as the standard protein for constructing the standard curve. Triplicate samples were analyzed at each time interval, and the loading efficiency in chitosan-loaded nanoparticles was calculated from the calibration curve. The loading efficiency of the SODB1 nanoparticles was calculated according to the following formula:

$$\%LE = \frac{\text{SODB1 (total)} - \text{SODB1 (free amount in supernatant)}}{\text{SODB1 (total)}} \times 100$$

Immunization study

Sixty female BALB/c mice were divided into 12 groups, and 5 µg/50 µL of SODB1 was injected subcutaneously via the scruff of the neck in three doses at three-weekly intervals based on guidelines provided in other papers describing similar research.^{21,22} All of the injections were prepared under aseptic conditions under laminar air flow, and put into insulin syringes (31 gauge, 12.7 mm in length). The different groups of animals receiving the vaccine are summarized in Table 1.

Table I Nature and composition of the different materials injected into BALB/c mice to assess type and severity of immune response

Group	Injection type	Injections (n)	Aim of injection
1	PBS	3	Negative control
2	SLA + CFA	3	Positive control
3	Empty nanoparticles	3	Immunogenicity of chitosan nanoparticles
4	CFA	3	Comparison with chitosan adjuvant
5	SLA	3	Comparison with SODBI immunogenicity
6	SODBI	3	Immunogenicity of SODBI
7	CFA + SODBI	3	Immunogenicity of SODBI with Freund's adjuvant
8	Nanoparticles containing SODBI	3	Immunogenicity of SODBI in nanoparticles
9	Nanoparticles containing SLA	3	Comparison with nanoparticles containing SODBI
10	Nanoparticles containing SODBI	1	Comparison with three nanoparticle doses – ability for sustained release
11	CFA + SODBI	1	Comparison with single dose of nanoparticles containing SODBI
12	SODBI	1	Comparison with single dose of nanoparticles containing SODBI

Abbreviations: CFA, complete Freund's adjuvant; SODBI, superoxide dismutase B1; SLA, soluble *Leishmania* antigen; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline.

Three weeks after the final injection, blood samples were collected using the retro-orbital collection method.²³ A heparinized microhematocrit tube was inserted carefully into the eye cavity, and a 0.2 mL blood sample was taken from each mouse. Serum was separated by centrifuging at 5000 rpm for 5 minutes and stored at -70°C for further analysis.

Soluble *Leishmania* antigens were prepared from stationary-phase promastigotes of *L. major* (IR75), harvested after five passages, washed three times in ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; pH 7.2), and finally suspended in PBS buffer at a concentration of 1×10^7 cfu/mL. The suspension was sonicated five times, each step for 30 seconds and, between each step, the samples were kept for 30 seconds on ice and the lysate centrifuged at 12,000 g for 15 minutes at 4°C . After centrifuging, the supernatant was collected and the protein concentrations were estimated by the Bradford method. The extracted proteins were kept at -70°C and used as a positive control as needed.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay

In this study, 96-well flat-bottomed Maxisorb plates (NUNC, Denmark) were coated at 4°C overnight with 50 μL /well of SODBI at 2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ in PBS. The plates were washed with 300 μL of PBS containing 0.05% Tween 20 (PBS/T20) three times. They were then blocked for 1.5 hours at 37°C with 200 μL /well of 1% bovine serum albumin in PBS. Plates were washed with PBS/T20 twice. After that, serum samples were diluted to 1:500 with PBS (according to the

initial setup) and applied to plates. The plates were incubated at 37°C for two hours and washed with 300 μL of PBS/T20 four times. Horseradish peroxidases-conjugated antibodies were then added for one hour at 37°C using a 1:10000 dilution for rabbit antimouse IgG1 and a 1:2000 dilution for goat antimouse IgG2a. The plates were washed with 300 μL of PBS/T20 six times, 100 μL of tetramethylbenzidine substrate was added, and the plate was kept in the dark until the blue color of the PBS wells (negative control) began to appear. The reaction was stopped with 50 μL of 1 N sulfuric acid, and the plates were read at 450 nm in a microplate reader (Model Synergy; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the Student's *t*-test. Differences were considered significant at $P < 0.05$.

Results and discussion

Physicochemical characterization and peptide association

Chitosan nanoparticles were developed using a simple and straightforward technique involving the addition of tripolyphosphate solution to chitosan solution at room temperature. The nanoparticles were formed by an ionotropic gelation method. This gelation happens immediately upon mixing of the two phases through intermolecular and intramolecular linkage between negatively charged tripolyphosphates (pH 8) and positively charged chitosan amino groups (pH 4).²⁴

Because the isoelectric pH of SODBI is 6.31,¹⁰ SODBI is better associated with the nanoparticles when dissolved in alkaline sodium tripolyphosphate solution. This is attributed to the ionic interaction between the negatively charged protein in the sodium tripolyphosphate solution and chitosan upon mixing. Other forces, including hydrogen bonding and hydrophobic forces, could be involved in the association process. The conditions for formation of high yield nanoparticles of a particular nanometric size may vary significantly depending on the purity, acid salt, and molecular weight of the chitosan used. Consequently, the formulation parameters should be optimized for each individual chitosan type.²⁵ We examined high-, medium-, and low-molecular-weight chitosan for nanoparticle preparation and found that low molecular weight chitosan was able to make appropriately sized nanoparticles, so this was subsequently used to prepare nanoparticles within an appropriate size range. After several experiments based on similar studies,^{17,18} and preparation of more than 31 formulations containing different ratios of chitosan and tripolyphosphate, formulation with an adequate size range of approximately 250–300 nm was considered for further studies. Therefore, formulation 15 in Table 2 was chosen for our loading study. It has been claimed that antigen-containing nanoparticles greater than 225 nm in diameter tend to induce T_H1 cytokines, whereas nanoparticles less than 155 nm are more likely to induce T_H2-cytokines.¹⁴ Therefore, the size of the nanoparticles should be adjusted to 250–300 nm, so that they can be effectively phagocytosed by macrophages after injection, and migrate to their target (ie, the lysosome of the macrophage) to stimulate the immune system against the

parasite. The association efficiency was then measured according to the formula described in the Methods section. To ensure that chitosan showed no interference with the results of the protein assay using the Bradford method, protein-unloaded nanoparticles (containing chitosan and tripolyphosphate) were used as a blank solution in the spectrophotometric assay. The results are presented in Table 2.

SODBI-loaded nanoparticles showed a positive zeta potential of about +17 mV. This positive charge is in favor of the vaccine formulation, and the chances of positively charged nanoparticles are higher than negative charged or neutral nanoparticles for phagocytosis.²⁶ Transmission electron microscopy of the nanoparticles identified 250–300 nm nanoparticles, the morphology of which is shown in Figure 2. In summary, chitosan nanoparticles obtained by ionic cross-linking with tripolyphosphate display some attractive features which render them promising carriers for the delivery of antigens. These features include ease of formation, a very homogeneous and adjustable size from 200 nm up to 1 μ m, a positive surface charge that can be conveniently modulated, a good capacity for association with peptides, proteins, vaccines, oligonucleotides, and plasmids, release of the associated molecules at different rates depending on the composition of the particles, and, finally, retention of integrity and activity of the associated compounds following freeze-drying and reconstitution.²⁵

Immunogenicity

Because leishmaniasis is an intracellular infection, it should be controlled by cell-mediated immunity. T cell

Table 2 Concentration and volume ratios of chitosan and tripolyphosphate and resulting nanoparticle sizes and loading efficiency

Formulation	Chitosan concentration (%)	Chitosan volume (mL)	TPP volume (mL)	Particle size (nm)	LE (%)
1	0.1	7	2.5	180 + 56	56 + 8
2	0.2	3	1.2	433 + 188	22 + 4
3	0.2	6.5	2.5	200 + 44	68 + 7
4	0.2	6.5	2.5	59 + 22	51 + 11
5	0.2	6.5	2.5	600 + 214	73 + 6
6	0.2	6.5	2.5	102 + 43	42 + 9
7	0.3	7.5	2.5	140 + 22	62 + 5
8	0.3	8	4	332 + 102	64 + 6
9	0.3	8	4	401 + 62	33 + 5
10	0.3	8	4	386 + 188	27 + 7
11	0.3	6.5	2.5	850 + 164	69 + 5
12	0.3	6.5	2.5	670 + 157	53 + 14
13	0.3	6.5	2.5	800 + 253	64 + 15
14	0.6	7.5	5	298 + 73	75 + 9
15*	0.6	7.5	5	255 + 45	72 + 12

Note: *Formulation selected for further study.

Abbreviations: LE, loading efficiency; TPP, tripolyphosphate.

Figure 2 Transmission electron microscopic image of chitosan-superoxide dismutase B1-tripolyphosphate nanoparticles.

responses and cytokine-induced macrophage activation are determinants of cell-mediated immunity.²⁷ Resistance to leishmaniasis is associated with the activation of T_H1 CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, leishmanial antigens which can be picked up by antigen-presenting cells (dendritic and Langerhans cells) that transfer to CD4+ and CD8+ T cells inducing production of different cytokines (IL22, IFN- γ , and granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor), thereby activating macrophages to destroy intracellular parasites (cell-mediated immunity) through a nitric oxide-mediated mechanism.² Conversely, the activation of T_H2 cells (humoral immunity) results in increased parasite survival and exacerbation of lesions because of the macrophage-suppressive actions of T_H2 cytokines, notably IL-4.²⁸ In mice, IgG2a produced from T_H1 cells indicates cell-mediated immunity, and IgG1 produced from T_H2 cells indicates humoral immunity.²⁹ Therefore, the balance between T_H1 and T_H2 cells (T_H1/T_H2 ratio) that influences the outcome of leishmaniasis can be represented as the IgG2a/IgG1 ratio.

The results of the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay performed on sera from the 12 groups of mice are presented and compared in Figures 3 and 4. These show that SODBI is immunogenic (significantly different from negative controls,

Figure 3 Immunologic responses in animal model on different groups.

Abbreviations: PBS, phosphate-buffered saline; SLA, soluble Leishmania antigen; CFA, complete Freund's adjuvant; SODBI, superoxide dismutase B1; Nanop, nanoparticles.

Figure 4 IgG2a/IgG1 ratios in 12 different groups of animals that received the same volumes of different antigenic materials and PBS.

Abbreviations: PBS, phosphate-buffered saline; SLA, soluble Leishmania antigen; CFA, complete Freund's adjuvant; SODBI, superoxide dismutase B1; Nanop, nanoparticles.

$P < 0.05$), and are in agreement with the theory that virtually any parasite protein may act as an antigen, regardless of its location in the parasite, because T cells are able to recognize peptides derived from cytosolic proteins bound in the major histocompatibility complex Class I groove or peptides derived from the lysosomal compartment bound in the major histocompatibility complex Class II groove on the antigen-presenting cell surface.¹³ Comparison of the immunogenicity of SODBI and soluble *Leishmania* antigens showed that, although SODBI is less immunogenic than soluble *Leishmania* antigens, the pathway that SODBI stimulates in the immune system is towards cellular immunity derived from the IgG2a/IgG1 ratio. SODBI immunogenicity should be directed towards cellular immunity that is effective against leishmaniasis. The finding that chitosan nanoparticles containing SODBI induce a stronger response towards cellular immunity and parasite eradication than SODBI alone in PBS and other control groups is logical. A significant difference ($P < 0.05$) was observed in the amount of IgG2a in comparison with the other groups, except for the soluble *Leishmania* antigen-loaded nanoparticle group. The IgG2a/IgG1 ratio was significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) for the SODBI-loaded nanoparticle group compared with the other groups.

Chitosan not only acts as an adjuvant and activates the immune system, but also increases antigen size, and activates the immune system more strongly by its ability to produce nanoparticles. This indicates that it would be a good substitute for a simple antigenic vaccine (purified or recombinant).

The ability of chitosan nanoparticles to sustain the release of SODBI is evident when comparing triple doses and single doses of SODBI nanoparticles. Statistical analysis showed that there was no significant difference between these two dose regimens ($P > 0.05$), and that both can stimulate the immune system efficiently toward cellular immunity against leishmaniasis. This property induces long-term activation of

the immune system, which suggests that a single dose vaccine is feasible. Comparison of a single dose of SODB1 alone with a single dose of SODB1 nanoparticles indicates that the pure peptide cannot stimulate an adequate immune system response. Furthermore, comparison of single dose Freund's adjuvant + SODB1 with SODB1 nanoparticles showed that the nanoparticles stimulate cellular immunity more effectively ($P < 0.05$). Moreover, chitosan is a biodegradable, safe, and a relatively low-cost polymer, so that it is a promising candidate for vaccine delivery.

Because SODB1 has a similar structure to many protozoans, it could be used as a potential vaccine for other protozoan infections, including malaria, trypanosomiasis, trichomoniasis, and toxoplasmosis. The structure of protozoan superoxide dismutase is different from that of human superoxide dismutase, so there would be no risk of an autoimmune response.

From the pharmaceutical point of view, mass production of recombinant particulate antigen is safer and more accurate with modern recombination techniques, and better controlled with Good Manufacturing Practice rules than mass production of killed or dangerously modified micro-organisms. For example, active substance assay (for dose adjustment) is possible for recombinant antigens and macromolecules (nanoparticles) by new chemical/biotechnological instrumentation, while for weak or dead microbes, the assay is complicated and imprecise.

Conclusion

Having demonstrated the efficacy of nanoparticulate delivery of a vaccine subunit, we formulated SODB1 in chitosan nanoparticles. Our data show that chitosan is able to increase SODB1 immunogenicity in BALB/c mice, not only by increasing its size and better stimulation of the immune system, but also by the adjuvant properties of chitosan. Both single-dose and triple-dose vaccination with SODB1 chitosan-loaded nanoparticles showed identical results, and were found to be more effective in inducing cell-mediated immunity (T_H1 cells that is responsible for *Leishmania* eradication and could be indicated by IgG2a in mice) than control and other groups which received the soluble form of SODB1 antigen. The present findings also confirm the possibility of a single dose nanovaccine for leishmaniasis and similar protozoan diseases. Moreover, chitosan nanoparticles can improve protein stability in production, transportation, and administration, and a potentially longer shelf life. Also, chitosan is safe, biodegradable, and cost-effective. Finally, the ionotropic gelation method is suitable for protein loading in nanoparticles which exhibited high loading efficiency in this study.

Acknowledgment

The authors acknowledge the financial support of Exir Pharmaceutical Company, the Iran Nanotechnology Initiative Council, Tabriz Research Center for Pharmaceutical Nanotechnology, and the Shiraz University of Medical Sciences.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

1. Soong L. Modulation of dendritic cell function by leishmania parasites. *J Immunol.* 2008;180:4355–4360.
2. El-On J. Current status and perspectives of the immunotherapy of leishmaniasis. *Isr Med Assoc J.* 2009;11:623–627.
3. World Health Organization. Leishmaniasis. The disease and its epidemiology. Available at: www.who.int/leishmaniasis.disease_epidemiology/en/index.html. Accessed on January 25, 2011.
4. Daher EF, Fonseca PP, Gerhard ES, Leitao TM, Silva Junior GB. Clinical and epidemiological features of visceral leishmaniasis and HIV co-infection in fifteen patients from Brazil. *J Parasitol.* 2009;95:652–655.
5. Marr J, Nilsen T, Komuniecki R. *Molecular Medical Parasitology*. San Diego, CA: Academic Press; 2003.
6. Okwor I, Uzonna J. Vaccines and vaccination strategies against human cutaneous leishmaniasis. *Hum Vaccin.* 2009;5:291–301.
7. Sharifi I, FeKri AR, Aflatonian MR, et al. Randomised vaccine trial of single dose of killed *Leishmania major* plus BCG against anthroponotic cutaneous leishmaniasis in Bam, Iran. *Lancet.* 1998;351:1540–1543.
8. Palatnik-de-Sousa CB. Vaccines for leishmaniasis in the fore coming 25 years. *Vaccine.* 2008;26:1709–1724.
9. Spitzer N, Jardim A, Lippert D, Olafson RW. Long-term protection of mice against *Leishmania major* with a synthetic peptide vaccine. *Vaccine.* 1999;17:1298–1300.
10. Yeganeh F, Barkhordari F, Omidi M, et al. Cloning and expression of *Leishmania major* superoxide dismutase B1: A potential target antigen for serodiagnosis of Leishmaniasis. *Iran J Immunol.* 2009;6:130–140.
11. Dufernez F, Yernaux C, Gerbod D, et al. The presence of four iron-containing superoxide dismutase isozymes in trypanosomatidae: Characterization, subcellular localization, and phylogenetic origin in *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Free Radic Biol Med.* 2006;40:210–225.
12. Ghosh S, Goswami S, Adhya S. Role of superoxide dismutase in survival of *Leishmania* within the macrophage. *Biochem J.* 2003;369(Pt 3):447–452.
13. Handman E. Leishmaniasis: Current status of vaccine development. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 2001;14:229–243.
14. Kensil CR, Mo AX, Truneh A. Current vaccine adjuvants: An overview of a diverse class. *Front Biosci.* 2004;9:2972–2988.
15. Shahidi F, Abuzaytoun R. Chitin, chitosan, and co-products: Chemistry, production, applications, and health effects. *Adv Food Nutr Res.* 2005;49:93–135.
16. Bodmeier R, Chen HG, Paeratakul O. A novel approach to the oral delivery of micro- or nanoparticles. *Pharm Res.* 1989;6:413–417.
17. Calvo P, Remunan-Lopez C, Vila-Jato JL, Alonso MJ. Chitosan and chitosan/ethylene oxide-propylene oxide block copolymer nanoparticles as novel carriers for proteins and vaccines. *Pharm Res.* 1997;14:1431–1436.
18. Vila A, Sanchez A, Janes K, et al. Low molecular weight chitosan nanoparticles as new carriers for nasal vaccine delivery in mice. *Eur J Pharm Biopharm.* 2004;57:123–131.
19. Gan Q, Wang T. Chitosan nanoparticle as protein delivery carrier – systematic examination of fabrication conditions for efficient loading and release. *Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces.* 2007;59:24–34.

20. Aktas Y, Andrieux K, Alonso MJ, et al. Preparation and in vitro evaluation of chitosan nanoparticles containing a caspase inhibitor. *Int J Pharm*. 2005;298:378–383.
21. Zhu B, Qie Y, Wang J, et al. Chitosan microspheres enhance the immunogenicity of an Ag85B-based fusion protein containing multiple T-cell epitopes of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Eur J Pharm Biopharm*. 2007;66:318–326.
22. Zaharoff DA, Rogers CJ, Hance KW, Schlom J, Greiner JW. Chitosan solution enhances both humoral and cell-mediated immune responses to subcutaneous vaccination. *Vaccine*. 2007;25:2085–2094.
23. Hoff J. Methods of blood collection in the mouse. *Lab Anim*. 2000;29:47–53.
24. Tsai ML, Bai SW, Chen RH. Cavitation effects versus stretch effects resulted in different size and polydispersity of ionotropic gelation chitosan-sodium tripolyphosphate nanoparticle. *Carbohydr Polym*. 2007;71:448–457.
25. Janes KA, Calvo P, Alonso MJ. Polysaccharide colloidal particles as delivery systems for macromolecules. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev*. 2001;47:83–97.
26. Zahr AS, Davis CA, Pishko MV. Macrophage uptake of core-shell nanoparticles surface modified with poly(ethylene glycol). *Langmuir*. 2006;22:8178–8185.
27. Murray HW, Berman JD, Davies CR, Saravia NG. Advances in leishmaniasis. *Lancet*. 2005;366:1561–1577.
28. Abbas AK, Lichtman AH. *Cellular and Molecular Immunology*. 5th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Saunders Co; 2003.
29. Day MJ. Immunoglobulin G subclass distribution in canine leishmaniasis: A review and analysis of pitfalls in interpretation. *Vet Parasitol*. 2007;147:2–8.

International Journal of Nanomedicine

Publish your work in this journal

The International Journal of Nanomedicine is an international, peer-reviewed journal focusing on the application of nanotechnology in diagnostics, therapeutics, and drug delivery systems throughout the biomedical field. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, MedLine, CAS, SciSearch®, Current Contents®/Clinical Medicine,

Submit your manuscript here: <http://www.dovepress.com/international-journal-of-nanomedicine-journal>

Dovepress

Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, EMBase, Scopus and the Elsevier Bibliographic databases. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.