Factors Associated with Elderly Health-Related Quality of Life in Tibet: A Cross-Sectional Study from a Health Ecological Perspective
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Introduction

The issue of aging has been a subject of continuous concern in developed countries. 1–3 Recently, attention has also been directed towards developing countries, including China. 4,5 The health status of the elderly population is related to many factors, including physical activity. 6 Meanwhile, it was also reported that different age groups and living situations of the older adults had distinct types of sports and activities, which might affect their quality of life. 7 It holds significant implications for public health and social welfare. 8,9 However, despite improvements in the health of elderly individuals reported in various regions of China, 10,11 limited research has focused on the health-related quality of life (HRQoL) of the elderly population in Tibet.

Tibet, renowned for its exceptional cultural diversity and geographical intricacies, is nestled within the expanse of the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. This unique region is distinguished by its elevated terrains, averaging over 4000 meters in
elevation, as depicted in Figure 1. The topography gives rise to challenges, marked by low atmospheric pressure and a tenuous atmosphere, leading to diminished densities of oxygen and carbon dioxide in the air, as evidenced by lower levels of these gases. These distinct geographical and climatic features pose considerable challenges to the health and well-being of the elderly population in the region. Therefore, understanding the factors influencing the HRQoL of the elderly in Tibet is essential in developing targeted healthcare and social support interventions to enhance their overall well-being.

The World Health Organization (WHO) defines quality of life as an individual’s perception of their position in life, considering cultural and value systems, goals, expectations, standards, and concerns. HRQoL focuses on health-related aspects, daily functioning, and fulfillment. HRQoL is essential for the aging population because it is influenced by physical, emotional, and mental health, social support, and community engagement.

In 1977, Bronfenbrenner et al proposed an ecology of human development theory that viewed child development in interrelated systems between individuals and surrounding environments. Later, this theory was further developed into a health ecological model representing a new research field in preventative medicine and public healthcare. Drawing upon ecological theory from the biological sciences, this model offers a comprehensive framework for understanding the complex interactions between individuals and their environments. Departing from single-factor explanations, the health ecological model embraces a spectrum of factors across diverse tiers, encompassing personal characteristics, lifestyle, interpersonal interaction, environmental context, and policy provisions. (Figure 2). This holistic perspective enables a comprehensive understanding of HRQoL. Consequently, investigations that examine correlates or determinants across multiple levels are anticipated to yield more profound insights into behaviors, surpassing the scope of single-level studies. Therefore, applying the health ecological model seems rational and feasible in this context.

This research aimed to evaluate the HRQoL of elderly individuals aged 60 and over in Tibet, situated on China’s southwest frontier, guided by the health ecological model framework. Using the EQ-5D-3L and EQ-VAS tools, we gauged their HRQoL and identified correlated determinants. The primary goal was to furnish crucial insights to facilitate the creation of tailored policies and strategies to enhance the HRQoL of Tibet’s elderly population.

**Methods**

**Study Design and Setting**

This was a cross-sectional study conducted in Tibet in 2018, which is primarily a Tibetan-speaking area and covers an expanse of 1.2028 million square kilometers, approximately constituting one-eighth of China’s total land area. Tibet is

![Tibet map with elevation. It was created in ArcGIS (Esri, California, USA). Data on elevation were obtained from https://www.gscloud.cn.](https://doi.org/10.2147/JMDH.S436486)
situated on the largest and highest plateau in the world. The elderly aged 60 and above account for 8.52% of the population in Tibet (according to the Seventh National Population Census Report).

Study Participants and Protocol
The National Health Service Survey (NHSS) is an extensive health assessment of residents utilizing health services in China, conducted quinquennially since 1993. The NHSS employs a multi-stage stratified random cluster sampling approach to designate households for the survey.

In Tibet, to maintain uniformity and precision in data collection, all researchers engaged in this study underwent rigorous training by faculty from the Medical College of Tibet University, who had been part of national training initiatives. Trained investigators then carried out one-on-one, in-person interviews with the selected respondents. This extensive survey spanned all seven prefectures and cities within Tibet, reaching out to 24 counties, 60 townships (or communities), and 161 villages (or neighborhood committees). In each selected village or neighborhood committee, a random sample of 20 to 60 households was chosen to participate. The cross-sectional survey encapsulated a total of 4234 households, representing 13,102 individuals. For this research, the emphasis was on the elderly segment, specifically those aged 60 and above.

Data Collections
The data of the independent and dependent variables were obtained through a questionnaire (Table 1). The independent variables were selected from five health ecological model categories: Personal characteristics, Lifestyle variables, Interpersonal interaction variables, Environmental context variables, and Policy provisions variables. The dependent variable was the outcome measurement on HRQoL, which was assessed by the EuroQol 5 Dimensions Questionnaire-visual analog scale (EQ-5D-VAS).

Independent Variables Selected Based on the Health Ecological Model
Personal Characteristics Variables
Year of birth was used to calculate the participants’ age at the time of the survey, recorded as a continuous variable. Sex information was collected as “Male” or “Female”. Ethnicity data was categorized based on self-identification as “Tibetan” or “Non-Tibetan”. The level of education was regrouped into three categories: “Tertiary” (including “University” “college”, and “postgraduate”), “Secondary” (including “High school”, “Secondary technical school”, and “Technical school”), and “Elementary or None” (including “Elementary School” and “No degree”). Self-reported chronic conditions, including hypertension, diabetes, rheumatoid arthritis, and others, were included in the analysis based on the number of reported chronic diseases. Participants were asked to self-report any chronic conditions, and the total
count of reported chronic diseases was used as a variable in the study. Data on vision and hearing problems were collected as binary variables, with “yes” indicating the presence of the respective problem and “no” indicating its absence. Age (in years), sex (male, female), ethnicity (non-Tibetan, Tibetan), level of education (Tertiary, Secondary, Elementary or None), number of chronic illnesses, vision problem (no, yes), and hearing problem (no, yes) were included as individual variables.

**Lifestyle Variables**

The lifestyle section included questions on smoking, alcohol intake, and physical activity. Smoking habits were regrouped into “Yes” and “No” (including “Not currently, but I was a smoker before”). Drinking habits were categorized as “Yes” (including “Yes, I have had alcohol in the last 30 days” and “Yes, I drank alcohol 30 days ago”) or “No”. Event attendance frequency in a typical week was regrouped as “Yes” (including “more than 6 times”, “3–5 times”, “1–2 times”, “no to 1 time”) or “No” (“never attend the event”). Smoking (no, yes), alcohol intake (no, yes), and physical activity (no, yes) were included as lifestyle variables.

**Interpersonal Interaction Variables**

Household headship information was collected as a binary response, categorized as “Yes” or “No”. Marital status data was categorized as “Single”, “In a civil union/de facto/partnered relationship”, “Widow or widower”, “Divorced or permanently separated from a legal spouse”, or “Others”. Employment status was assessed using a binary response format with “Yes” and “No” options. Social participation was assessed using a binary response of “Yes” for those involved in activities such as community security patrol, caring for other elderly individuals, environmental hygiene.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Dependent and Independent Variables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Independent variables</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal characteristics variables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of chronic illnesses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reported vision problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reported hearing problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifestyle variables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol intake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpersonal interaction variables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household headship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social participation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental context variables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place of residence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy provisions variables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dependent variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ-5D-VAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ-5D-index</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Italicized font represents various dimensions within the ecological model.
protection, mediating disputes, accompanying and chatting with others, volunteering for professional technical services, assisting with childcare, or other activities. Alternatively, the response was “No” for those without involvement in these activities. Household headship (no, yes), marital status (neither married nor in a factoring relationship, married or in a factoring relationship), employment status (no, yes), and social participation (no, yes) were included as interpersonal communication variables.

Environmental Context Variables
The living environment, specifically the altitude of the village or neighborhood committee, was assessed in meters above sea level using data from the official website. The residential area was categorized as “rural” or “urban”. The altitude (< 3499 meters, 3500–3999 meters, 4000–4499 meters, > 4500 meters) and residential area (rural, urban) were included as working and living environment variables.

Policy Provisions Variables
The only policy-related variable included in the health model was the type of medical insurance. In China, medical insurance options vary, with coverage available through employers or resident insurance for the unemployed. Additionally, the analysis marked individuals who opted not to obtain medical insurance as “missing” and excluded. The insurance type (Residents’ and Employees’ medical insurance) was included as policy provisions variables.

Outcome Variables
The EQ-5D-VAS instrument, developed by the Euroqol group, was used to assess HRQoL. This widely used international instrument comprises two standardized scales, EQ-5D-3L and EQ-VAS, for measuring health status. Its high reliability, ease of use, universal applicability, and simplicity make it a popular choice for evaluating HRQoL. The EQ-VAS is a visual analog scale that evaluates an individual’s perception of health and is used as a quantitative measure of a person’s perception of health. It is represented by a VAS score ranging from 0 to 100, similar to a thermometer, where the endpoints signify the worst (score 0) and best (score 100) imaginable states of health.

The descriptive questionnaire of the EQ-5D-3L consists of 5 dimensions: mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort, and anxiety/depression. Each dimension allows respondents to choose from a 3-level response of severity, ranging from 1—no problems, 2—some problems, to 3—severe problems, reflecting potential health states.

The Chinese valuation algorithm was utilized to convert different states into EQ-5D-index. The formula for calculating the EQ-5D-index is as follows:

\[
\text{EQ-5D-index} = 1 - (0.039 \times \text{mobility}^2 + 0.105 \times \text{self-care}^2 + 0.074 \times \text{usual activities}^2 + 0.092 \times \text{pain/discomfort}^2 + 0.086 \times \text{anxiety/depression}^2 + 0.246 \times \text{mobility}^3 + 0.208 \times \text{self-care}^3 + 0.193 \times \text{usual activities}^3 + 0.236 \times \text{pain/discomfort}^3 + 0.205 \times \text{anxiety/depression}^3 + 0.022 \times N^3).
\]

Here, mobility2, self-care2, usual activities2, pain/discomfort2, and anxiety/depression2 represent the levels of mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort, and anxiety/depression at level 2, respectively. Similarly, mobility3, self-care3, usual activities3, pain/discomfort3, and anxiety/depression3 represent the levels at level 3. N3 indicates that at least one of the five dimensions is level 3. Furthermore, when all five dimensions are at level 1, the corresponding utility value pair results in HRQoL = 1, indicating a perfect health state. The EQ-5D-index value ranges from –0.149 to 1.

Statistical Analysis
Data analysis was conducted using R 4.3.1 software (R Development Core Team, 2023). Descriptive statistics were computed for the EQ-5D-3L dimensions and all independent variables. Categorical variables, such as sex and education, were presented as frequencies and percentages, while continuous variables, including age and the number of chronic illnesses, were reported as medians with interquartile ranges (IQR) due to the non-normal distribution of the data for all groups.

The non-parametric tests were applied for the non-normal distributed variables. The Mann–Whitney U or Kruskal–Wallis tests were used for two independent variables or more than two independent groups, respectively. In addition, for continuous variable age and the number of chronic illnesses, Spearman correlation coefficient was employed to assess its association with HRQoL, providing a non-parametric measure of the strength and direction of the relationship.
To address potential ceiling effects observed in previous studies using the EQ-5D-3L during the general population surveys, Tobit-regression modeling was employed, which is well-suited for correcting inference in the presence of ceiling effects. Before conducting the Tobit regression, the underlying assumptions of linear modeling were thoroughly assessed, and the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) was used to examine multicollinearity among independent variables. All statistical tests were conducted at a significance level of 0.05.

Tobit regression modeling was used to examine the influence of independent variables on both the EQ-5D-index and EQ-VAS. Only the variables selected through the Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator (LASSO) regression, deemed significant predictors based on the health ecological model, were included in the Tobit regression model.

Three to five Tobit models were fitted for model selection to explore the most suitable one. Model 1 included only personal characteristics as independent variables. Model 2 incorporated personal characteristics and lifestyle variables, while Model 3 included personal characteristics, lifestyle variables, and interpersonal interaction variables. Model 4 expanded to encompass personal characteristics, lifestyle, interpersonal interaction, and environmental context variables. Finally, Model 5 included all variables selected through LASSO regression. The best models were determined based on the Log-likelihood, Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), and Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC).

Results
HRQoL Descriptive results
A total of 1604 elderly individuals completed the survey and were included in the final analysis. The most frequently reported issue was pain/discomfort (40.27%). The mean score of EQ-VAS was 56.15 (SD=21.15, range 0–100; skewness −0.37), while the mean score of EQ-5D-index was 0.78 (SD=0.25, range −0.149 to 1; skewness −1.4). The descriptive results of the number and percentage of respondents reporting each severity level for each dimension in the EQ-5D-3L scale are presented in Table 2.

Descriptive Results of Factors Associated with HRQoL by Levels of the Ecological Model

Personal Characteristics Variables
The study included participants with a mean age of 69.08 (SD=7.33) years old. Among them, 57.04% were female, and a significant majority of 97.51% belonged to the Tibetan ethnicity. Education levels revealed that 98.07% of individuals had only elementary education or no formal degree. In addition, 36.66%, 20.39%, and 11.53% had one, two, and three or more chronic conditions, respectively. Notably, 45.57% of participants reported vision problems, while 42.83% indicated hearing impairments (Table 3).

Lifestyle Variables
In the study, 5.99% of participants reported having a smoking habit, 23.38% indicated having an alcohol intake habit, and 45.82% reported engaging in regular physical activity (Table 3).

Table 2 EQ-5D-3L Frequencies and Proportions by Dimension and Level Among Elderly Individuals in Tibet. n (%)
Interpersonal Interaction Variables
There were 50.12% of participants in household headship, 66.58% in a de facto marriage, 39.53% under employment, and 60.85% with a history of social participation (Table 3).

Table 3: Participant Characteristics of Elderly Individuals in Tibet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>Median (IQR)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personal characteristics variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (in years)</td>
<td>69.00 (64.00, 74.00)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (Female)</td>
<td>915 (57.04)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity (Tibetan)</td>
<td>1564 (97.51)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertiary</td>
<td>7 (0.43)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>24 (1.50)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary/No education</td>
<td>1573 (98.07)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of chronic illnesses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>504 (31.42)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>588 (36.66)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>327 (20.39)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>140 (8.73)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>43 (2.68)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2 (0.12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reported vision problems (Yes)</td>
<td>731 (45.57)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reported hearing problems (Yes)</td>
<td>687 (42.83)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifestyle variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (Yes)</td>
<td>96 (5.99)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol intake (Yes)</td>
<td>375 (23.38)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity (Yes)</td>
<td>735 (45.82)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household headship (Yes)</td>
<td>804 (50.12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>1068 (66.58)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widow or widower</td>
<td>441 (27.49)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>62 (3.87)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced or permanently separated from legal spouse</td>
<td>17 (1.06)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>16 (1.00)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment status (Yes)</td>
<td>634 (39.53)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social participation (Yes)</td>
<td>976 (60.85)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental context variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altitude</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 3499 meters</td>
<td>222 (13.84)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3500–3999 meters</td>
<td>800 (49.88)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4000–4499 meters</td>
<td>375 (23.38)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 4500 meters</td>
<td>207 (12.90)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place of residence (Rural)</td>
<td>1237 (77.12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy provisions variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residents’ medical insurance</td>
<td>1494 (93.14)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees’ medical insurance</td>
<td>92 (5.74)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>18 (1.12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Except for age, all other data are presented as numbers with percentages. Age is presented as median (IQR) due to the non-normal distribution of the data. Italicized font represents various dimensions within the ecological model.

Abbreviation: IQR, interquartile range.
Environmental Context Variables
The residents resided at an altitude below 3499, 3500–3999, 4000–4499, and above 4500m accounted for 13.84%, 49.88%, 23.38%, and 12.90% of survey participants, respectively. Additionally, 77.12% of elderly individuals resided in rural areas (Table 3).

Policy Provisions Variables
Regarding medical insurance, 93.14% of elderly individuals had resident statutory medical insurance, while 5.74% had employee statutory medical insurance (Table 3).

Multivariate Regression of Factors Associated with HRQoL by Levels of the Ecological Model
LASSO regression was employed to systematically select the most relevant predictor variables associated with EQ-VAS and EQ-5D-index. For EQ-VAS, a total of nine predictor variables were identified. These included variables related to Personal characteristics variables (age, sex, number of chronic illnesses, and reported hearing problems), Lifestyle variables (physical activity), Interpersonal interaction variables (employment status, and social participation), Environmental context variables (place of residence), as well as Policy provisions variables (insurance type). Similarly, for EQ-5D-index, seven predictor variables were chosen, including Personal characteristics variables (age, number of chronic illnesses, reported vision problems, reported hearing problems), Lifestyle variables (Physical activity), and Interpersonal interaction variables (employment status, social participation).

Figure 3 presents LASSO regression was used to develop a mathematical prediction model, with EQ-VAS and EQ-5D-index as the dependent variables, labeled Graph A and Graph B, respectively. These plots were generated to visualize the variable selection process and identify the most relevant predictor variables associated with EQ-VAS and EQ-5D-index, respectively. Figure 4 displays Cross-validation plots (Graphs A and B) for EQ-VAS and EQ-5D-index, respectively. These plots were used to evaluate the model’s performance during the LASSO regression process, ensuring the robustness of the final regression models.

Finally, the LASSO regression analysis identified the nine most potent factors for EQ-VAS (Age, Sex, Number of chronic illnesses, Reported hearing problems, Physical activity, Employment status, Social participation, Place of residence, and Insurance type) and the seven most powerful factors for EQ-5D-index (Age, Number of chronic illnesses, Reported vision problems, Physical activity, Employment status, and Social participation).

The Tobit regression analysis revealed significant associations influencing individuals’ EQ-VAS and EQ-5D index scores in various dimensions (Table 4). (1) Personal characteristics variables: Older age was significantly correlated with lower EQ-VAS and EQ-5D-index scores. The female sex showed a significant association with lower EQ-VAS scores. Additionally, more chronic illnesses were linked to lower EQ-VAS and EQ-5D-index scores. Vision impairment was significantly related to lower EQ-5D-index scores, while hearing impairment was associated with both lower EQ-VAS

Figure 3 LASSO regression plots. A, EQ-VAS; B, EQ-5D-index. The influencing factors associated with HRQoL were identified by the LASSO regression. These factors included the nine most powerful predictors for EQ-VAS (Age, Sex, Number of chronic illnesses, Reported hearing problems, Physical activity, Employment status, Social participation, Place of residence, and Insurance type) and the seven most powerful factors for EQ-5D-index (Age, Number of chronic illnesses, Reported vision problems, Reported hearing problems, Physical activity, Employment status, and Social participation).
and EQ-5D-index scores. (2) Lifestyle variables: Individuals who engaged in regular physical activity showed a significant positive correlation with higher EQ-VAS and EQ-5D-index scores. (3) Interpersonal interaction variables: Being employed and participating in social activities were both significantly associated with higher EQ-VAS and EQ-5D-index scores. (4) Environmental context variables: Living in rural areas significantly affected lower EQ-VAS scores. (5) Policy provisions variables: Individuals with residents’ medical insurance were significantly associated with lower EQ-VAS scores (Table 4).

**Discussion**

**Overview of Elderly HRQoL in Southwestern China’s Tibet Region**

In our cross-sectional survey in Tibet, an autonomous region of China, we delved into the HRQoL and its related determinants for individuals aged 60 and older. Special attention was given to socially vulnerable groups, emphasizing the pinpointing of salient influencing factors. To capture the multifaceted essence of this construct, these determinants were structured according to the tiers of the ecological model.

**Table 4** Tobit Multivariate Regression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>EQ-VAS</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>EQ-5D-Index</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Estimate</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>Estimate</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Personal characteristics variables</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>−0.189 **</td>
<td>−0.314 −0.064</td>
<td>−0.009 ***</td>
<td>−0.010 −0.007</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (Ref: Male)</td>
<td>−2.900 **</td>
<td>−3.821 −1.979</td>
<td>−1.090 ***</td>
<td>−1.126 −0.927</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of chronic illnesses</td>
<td>−5.541 ***</td>
<td>−6.444 −4.638</td>
<td>−0.109 ***</td>
<td>−0.126 −0.092</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reported vision problems (Ref: Yes)</td>
<td>−5.541 ***</td>
<td>−6.444 −4.638</td>
<td>−0.109 ***</td>
<td>−0.126 −0.092</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reported hearing problems (Ref: Yes)</td>
<td>3.434 ***</td>
<td>2.635 4.232</td>
<td>0.066 ***</td>
<td>0.029 0.104</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lifestyle variables</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity (Ref: No)</td>
<td>10.580 ***</td>
<td>9.704 11.456</td>
<td>0.155 ***</td>
<td>0.119 0.192</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interpersonal interaction variables</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment status (Ref: No)</td>
<td>8.983 ***</td>
<td>7.090 10.876</td>
<td>0.102 ***</td>
<td>0.065 0.139</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social participation (Ref: No)</td>
<td>2.727 **</td>
<td>1.413 4.041</td>
<td>0.067 ***</td>
<td>0.030 0.103</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Environmental context variables</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place of residence (Ref: Urban)</td>
<td>−5.060 ***</td>
<td>−6.392 −3.727</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy provisions variables</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance type (Ref: Employees’ medical insurance)</td>
<td>−3.609 ***</td>
<td>−4.800 −2.419</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes**: ***p<0.001, **p<0.01, ---Represents the absence of data. Ref: Reference group. Italicized font represents various dimensions within the ecological model. Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval.
The finding of the study revealed that the HRQoL of elderly individuals in Tibet was lower than that of Shandong, an eastern coastal province in China, with a higher life quality score (EQ-5D-index, 0.84).\(^47\) It also fell below the values observed in Hainan, a southern coastal province (EQ-5D-index, 0.79; EQ-VAS: 66.90),\(^48\) and was lower than the average level among elderly individuals in China during the same period (EQ-VAS, 76.01±14.99).\(^49\) These findings unveiled a concerning portrayal of the health status and health awareness among elderly Tibetans. Our results are consistent with previous research, such as the work by Liang (2022), which highlighted the relatively lower health level of elderly individuals in Tibet.\(^50\)

It is worth mentioning that, according to the WHO, the elderly is typically used for individuals aged 65 years and above. However, considering the small proportion of the older population and relatively low life expectancy in Tibet,\(^51\)\(^52\) we used an age cutoff of 60 years and over to define the elderly population in our present study.

**Relationships Between Factors and HRQoL Based on Health Ecological Model**

**Personal Characteristics Variables**

As individuals age, there is an inherent deterioration in physical capabilities, frequently paralleled by heightened health issues. This underscores the essentiality of daily healthcare for the elderly, particularly those in their advanced years. While there have been commendable strides in enhancing the HRQoL of older populations in China, consistent challenges persist. These encompass deficient social security provisions, a limited spectrum of mental and cultural activities, marked disparities between urban and rural settings, evident socio-economic gradients, and region-specific disparities, especially evident in Tibet.

A demonstrable association exists between being female and diminished HRQoL,\(^41\)\(^53\) consistent with prior studies. This relationship was significantly manifest in HRQoL as gauged by EQ-VAS. Many factors might explain this difference, from heightened incidences of chronic ailments, gynecological issues, and emotional disturbances to the compounded burdens women frequently bear from both professional and domestic spheres.

The study revealed that, among elderly individuals in Tibet, a higher burden of chronic diseases was linked to an increased likelihood of lower EQ-VAS and EQ-5D index scores. Prior investigations have confirmed that chronic diseases presented significant challenges to the HRQoL and overall well-being of older adults.\(^54\) A broader spectrum of chronic non-communicable diseases (including arthritis, back pain, depression/anxiety, diabetes, hypertension, osteoporosis, and stroke) correlated with diminished HRQoL.\(^55\) Notably, individuals grappling with multiple chronic diseases in their later years displayed heightened vulnerability in both psychological and physical well-being.\(^56\)

Furthermore, the study underscored a strong correlation between visual impairment and a lower EQ-5D-index. Visual impairment negatively impacts HRQoL due to its association with increased difficulties in daily activities and overall well-being.\(^57\)\(^58\) Importantly, even minor degrees of vision loss have been linked to restricted functioning and diminished HRQoL.\(^57\)

Moreover, the research uncovered a significant link between hearing impairment and lower HRQoL, as indicated by both the EQ-VAS and EQ-5D index. Longworth et al have observed a substantial influence of hearing on evaluating EQ-5D health states.\(^59\) Furthermore, they, along with Yang et al, have proposed that the impact of hearing on HRQoL depends on the severity of health conditions and the extent of hearing-related elements.\(^59\)\(^60\)

**Lifestyle Variables**

This study has unveiled a robust correlation between physical activity and enhanced HRQoL, as evidenced by both EQ-VAS and EQ-5D index scores. These findings were in line with previous research.\(^61\)\(^62\) Adopting a healthy lifestyle is a buffer against HRQoL decline in older adults, which is unsurprising given that appropriate physical activity can yield many benefits to individuals.\(^63\)\(^64\)

Integrating physical activity into daily routines is highly beneficial, offering many health advantages. Balance-centric and functional exercises can notably curtail the incidence of falls. Additionally, indulging in a spectrum of physical activities can amplify various dimensions of physical prowess. Multifaceted activities, encompassing balance, strength, endurance, gait, and functional training, are instrumental in mitigating risks tied to fall-induced injuries. To realize maximum benefits, it is recommended that older individuals engage in moderate-intensity, multi-component exercises at least three days a week. This regimen enhances their overall physical competence and significantly reduces fall hazards. Furthermore, varied exercise regimens can fortify bone density and serve as a protective measure against osteoporosis.\(^63\)\(^64\)
Interpersonal Interaction Variables
Within the scope of this study, a thorough examination of the interplay between employment status and HRQoL was undertaken, bolstered by evidence from both the EQ-VAS and EQ-5D index. Consistent with prior research, a significant connection between HRQoL and employment status was substantiated, underscoring the pivotal role of this variable within this context. Notably, a discernible pattern emerged, revealing that gainfully employed individuals tend to manifest elevated levels of HRQoL compared to their retired counterparts.

Numerous factors contributed to this phenomenon. Chief among these was the presence of a consistent and dependable income, recognized as a critical determinant that augmented financial security for those engaged in gainful employment. Furthermore, psychological well-being and active social participation are recognized as influential contributors, with robust social engagement contributing positively to the enrichment of HRQoL.

Furthermore, the intricate connection between social involvement and HRQoL is intricately interwoven with psychological and social well-being. Interpersonal interactions, whether fostered through employment or active social engagement, are poignant reflections of an individual’s societal value. While the role of income is undeniably significant, it is imperative to acknowledge the central importance of the complex interplay between an individual’s social functioning and psychological well-being.

Environmental Context Variables
Elderly individuals residing in the rural regions of Tibet demonstrated diminished EQ-VAS scores. This trend paralleled observations from a study conducted in South Korea. This correspondence could be attributed to discrepancies in fundamental infrastructure (including transportation accessibility, cultural amenities, and medical provisions) between rural and urban locales, which might lead to a scarcity of social resources available to rural inhabitants. As a result, this divergence could potentially affect social support and the quality of healthcare services, thereby impacting the ultimate outcomes of HRQoL.

Policy Provisions Variables
While medical insurance can be categorized as an individual factor, it also falls under the purview of policy provision due to its nationwide promotion for acquisition at the national level in China. In this study, the possession of medical insurance by non-working residents exhibited a noteworthy correlation with EQ-VAS scores. Additionally, gainful employment guaranteed a stable income, upheld individuals’ social roles and self-esteem, and granted access to a broader spectrum of societal resources, including medical insurance distinct from residential coverage. This intricate interplay assumed a pivotal role in both sustaining and potentially elevating the levels of HRQoL.

In summary, based on the findings presented, it is evident that a subset of elderly individuals residing at home in Tibet encountered socio-economic disadvantages. This subgroup comprised rural-dwelling older adults who potentially faced amplified disparities due to constrained financial resources and the coexistence of multiple chronic conditions. Despite the challenging high-altitude terrain and its adverse impact on health, socio-economic factors, such as per capita GDP and income levels, appear to exert a more pronounced influence on the HRQoL of the elderly population in Tibet.

Boosting access to financial and social resources that improve living conditions can significantly affect the HRQoL of the elderly. This enhanced access can influence their capacity to preserve autonomy and age in familiar settings. While this study’s primary metric captures individual perceptions, it is crucial to recognize that elements beyond personal agency influence HRQoL. Our research in Tibet employs an ecological framework, positing HRQoL as a multifaceted entity. This framework encompasses health and social wellness, individual traits, physical engagements, interpersonal dynamics, environmental settings, and policy-driven provisions.

Limitations
The strengths of our study include its large sample size in a unique geographic high-altitude area. We analyzed the HRQoL of the elderly in the health ecological model, which was rarely reported previously. This study is not without its limitations. Primarily, there is a possibility that elderly participants who opted to partake in the survey might represent a subset that is healthier and more socially active compared to those who refrained. This potential selection bias poses challenges for the broader applicability of our results. Moreover, specific dimensions of the ecological model, such as...
chronic illness prevalence, dietary habits, physical activity patterns, and routines of daily living, were not exhaustively incorporated. This might have placed constraints on capturing a well-rounded representation of these elements within our investigative framework. Furthermore, the study’s cross-sectional nature limits our capacity to assert unequivocal causative links between the examined variables and HRQoL.

**Conclusion**

Employing the ecological model’s expansive lens has enriched our comprehension of the HRQoL of older adults. By situating them at the center of their ecosystem, the instrument utilized in this model offers a more encompassing perspective that captures a plethora of determinants influencing their well-being. To elevate the quality of life for Tibet’s elderly population, proactive initiatives are necessary. This includes rolling out specialized educational and cultural programs to bolster their spiritual and cultural horizons, potentially incorporating traditional activities such as the Tibetan Guozhuang dance. Through volunteer activities or other communal engagements, facilitating avenues for social interaction among retired individuals can further enrich their lives. A collective approach, which amalgamates local support with voluntary contributions, crafts a nuanced strategy that holistically examines individual, societal, economic, and environmental factors.
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