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Dear editor

We deeply appreciate your interest in our study, “Perceptions and Knowledge of Public Towards Emerging Human Monkeypox in Yemen: A Cross-Sectional Study.” and we extend our gratitude to Fikri for the insightful comments highlighting the study’s significance in shaping public health measures and intervention strategies.1

Fikri has rightly pointed out certain limitations in our study, such as the sampling methodology via social media, the concentration on adult participants in Sana’a, and the potential desirability bias inherent in our design. We want to emphasize that we have acknowledged these limitations in our published manuscript.2

To address the specific points raised by Fikri, the content of the first paragraph parallels the sentiments from our abstract’s conclusion, with points 1, 2, and 3 directly reflecting statements from our manuscript’s strengths and limitations section. Likewise, the second paragraph echoes the content of our “Study Strengths and Limitations” section, with each point mirroring specific content from that section.

In summary, while we recognize and value Fikri’s feedback, it largely mirrors the strengths and limitations we already acknowledged in our published work. We remain open to further clarifications or concerns.
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