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Abstract: Servant leadership has received a growing consideration among scholars and practitioners as a viable leadership model capable of bringing positive changes in the increasingly complex healthcare system. The increasing servant leadership literature in healthcare requires an integrated research work that provides a holistic picture of the existing studies. This systematic review aims to synthesize servant leadership conceptualizations, theoretical frameworks, measurement tools, and nomological networks (antecedents, mediators, outcomes, and moderators) associated with prior research in healthcare. A systematic synthesis of 55 pertinent healthcare-specific conceptual and empirical studies demonstrated that servant leadership assumes a crucial role in developing a committed workforce that contributes towards the achievement of performance excellence in healthcare. The review uncovers that the Global Servant Leadership Scale is the most utilized measure of servant leadership in sector-specific studies in healthcare. Moreover, social exchange theory is the dominant underpinning mechanism explaining the influence of servant leadership on specific variables of interest. The findings further revealed that servant leadership has a positive relationship with a range of valued individual and organizational outcomes in healthcare. Our review contributes to the development of servant leadership theory and practice through ascertaining sector-specific studies in the territory of healthcare. We finally conclude by providing a detailed panorama for future healthcare-specific servant leadership research in terms of potential topics, methodological rigor, and less explored variables in prior studies.
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Introduction

Servant leadership has attracted the attention of healthcare leaders and other stakeholders looking for mechanisms to achieve excellence in areas of leadership, management, service, and professional growth.1–3 The inherent servant nature of healthcare creates a fertile ground for the implementation of servant leadership in healthcare settings.4 Servant leadership is a moral-based leadership primarily driven by the idea that serving is a natural component (“altruistic calling”) or inner conviction of the servant leader where the focus is on the personal growth and well-being of others.5,6 In essence, servant leadership offers a leadership style that transcends self-interest to serve the needs of others.

Servant leaders assumed to bring the heart into everyone’s work in healthcare organizations.7 The theory of servant leadership uniquely embraces a combined motivation to be a leader with a strong conviction to serve.7,8 Research reveals that servant leadership has moral and professional alignment with the core values underpinning the healthcare working environment.9–12 Servant leadership offers a supportive supervisory experience for employees,13 shows caring and compassion for patients,14 and improves the safety performance of hospitals,11 which makes the theory congruent with the healthcare landscape.

Servant leadership has been consistently studied within multiple industrial and cultural contexts including healthcare.5,15 It continues to demonstrate positive relationships with valuable outcomes, such as patient satisfaction,16 service quality,17 quality of leader-follower relationships,18 and organizational performance.19 With a growing body of scientific publications demonstrating relationships between servant leadership and outcomes in healthcare, there is...
a compelling need to integrate the important details of existing studies in a systematic review. To the best of our knowledge, there is no comprehensive systematic review of studies that develops nomological networks of prior servant leadership research in healthcare.

Existing healthcare-specific reviews provide valuable insights into the leadership literature.\(^4,20,21\) Yet, there is a lack of integrated research work in the literature that provides a holistic picture of the journey of sector-specific servant leadership studies in healthcare. Our review ventures to advance research through a systematic analysis of theoretical frameworks, measurement tools, and nomological networks (antecedents, mechanisms, outcomes, and moderators) associated with servant leadership research in the domain of healthcare. We thus aim to provide a comprehensive picture of the value and positioning of servant leadership theory in healthcare through synthesizing and evaluating sector-specific conceptual and empirical studies that have explored servant leadership theory and research.

This review will be guided by the following research questions:

1. How is servant leadership conceptualized in the healthcare literature?
2. Which underpinning theories guide servant leadership research in healthcare?
3. Which methodologies are used in studying servant leadership in healthcare?
4. What antecedents, mediating mechanisms, outcomes, and boundary conditions of servant leadership are investigated in healthcare research?

The paper is structured as follows. First, a discussion of servant leadership theory and its position in the healthcare literature are provided. Next, a description of the review methods detailing search procedure and analysis approach is offered. Then, the results of the analysis are presented, along with mapping the nomological network of prior sector-specific servant leadership studies in healthcare. Subsequently, the discussion section synthesizes the main findings and presents an agenda for future research.

### Theoretical Background

#### Servant Leadership

Greenleaf\(^6\) pioneered to set the foundation for the emergence of theories, frameworks, and models that enhance our understanding of servant leadership. He conceptualized servant leadership as a way of life that “begins with the natural feeling that one wants to serve, to serve first. Then conscious choice brings one to aspire to lead” (p. 7). Spears\(^22\) established ten essential characteristics of servant leadership: listening, empathy, healing, awareness, persuasion, conceptualization, foresight, stewardship, commitment to the growth of people, and building community. A proliferation of studies continued portraying different variations of these servant leadership characteristics.\(^5,8,9,23–25\)

Eva et al’s\(^5\) comprehensive review provides a conceptual clarity of servant leadership vis-à-vis transformational leadership, authentic leadership, and ethical leadership. The authors defined servant leadership using the characteristics of motivation (“other-oriented approach to leadership”), mode (“one-on-one interactions between leaders and followers”), and mindset (“an overarching concern towards the wellbeing of others”). Yet, there is no consensus on the definition of servant leadership in the literature, and as a result, miscellaneous measurement tools were developed,\(^15,26\) which raises issues on the validity of empirical findings.

#### Servant Leadership in Healthcare

Appointment of healthcare leaders merely based on medical degree or technical skills is no more feasible in modern-day healthcare organizations.\(^27\) The presence of various disease areas, multidirectional goals, and complex non-linear interactions of multidisciplinary staff make the task of leadership challenging in healthcare.\(^28,29\) Mahon\(^13\) states that servant leadership may act as a “universal leadership language” justifiable in an increasingly over-burdened multicultural healthcare settings. A servant leadership mindset is believed to be a natural healthcare cultural-match\(^21,30–32\) relevant to addressing challenges in the complex healthcare sector.
While the leadership literature has observed a proliferation of healthcare-specific servant leadership studies, only little has been done in terms of establishing meaningful integrations. One of the prominent work here is Aij and Rapsaniotis’s review that integrated the evidence of existing studies on lean and servant leadership in healthcare. The review suggested that both are promising models that can contribute to the delivery of high-value patient care. This lays the impetus for the current systematic review to offer new insights into servant leadership theory through a comprehensive synthesis of sector-specific studies in healthcare.

**Review Methods**

**Search Procedure**

To ensure a replicable, scientific, and transparent process, the present review was conducted according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) 2020 statement. A systematic literature search of five scholarly electronic databases (Embase, Medline/PubMed, Science Direct, Scopus, and Web of Science) was conducted to identify standard published studies relevant to investigating leadership in healthcare.

The search was conducted using keywords grouped using the AND/OR Boolean terms. All research articles that include the words “servant leadership” AND (“health” OR “healthcare” OR “medical” OR “clinical” OR “pharmaceutical” OR “pharmacy” OR “nursing” OR “patient” OR “hospital” OR “community care” OR “primary care” OR “secondary care”) in the title were identified. These keywords were selected based on the literature to ensure a comprehensive coverage of relevant scientific literature across diverse settings in healthcare.

For an article to be included in this systematic literature review, it must (i) be published before April 2023; (ii) be written in the English language, and (iii) have an emphasis on servant leadership in the context of healthcare. Articles that explored servant leadership out of healthcare context and grey literature were excluded. The resulting articles in the search process were checked on titles and abstracts to ensure that they were focused on servant leadership in healthcare. Then, studies that were relevant by title and abstract were accessed in full text to settle on final articles relevant for addressing the predefined research questions of the current study.

**Analysis Approach**

The reviewed articles were coded on article title, year of publication, study setting (country), study design (conceptual, empirical), sample (size, type), and findings. The parameters for the corresponding articles were summarized into Excel spreadsheet to offer a systematic synthesis of healthcare-specific servant leadership studies.

We conducted an in-depth content analysis of the articles on the conceptualization of servant leadership in the healthcare literature. Krippendorff underlines that content analysis enables to provide “replicable and valid inferences from texts (or other meaningful matter) to the contexts of their use” (p. 18). We thus started with generating first-order codes that describe servant leadership characteristics (eg, “soul of service”) using an inductive approach. Then, related first-order codes were clustered into themes (N = 23) that more generally denote servant leadership behaviors (eg, “exhibits humility and respect for others”). Finally, these themes (second-order codes) were condensed into nine general categories, including “ensuring autonomy”, “caring for others”, and “developing employees”.

To map the nomological network of servant leadership research in healthcare (cf), we extracted study variables (antecedents, mechanisms, outcomes, and moderators), along with servant leadership measures and underpinning theoretical lenses utilized from the subsample of quantitative articles (N = 32).

**Results**

The electronic database search procedure resulted in a subset of 162 published scientific records. After removing duplicate records (n = 93), 69 articles were subject to the screening process. The screening process yields a sample population of 55 eligible scientific manuscripts to be included in the qualitative synthesis (Figure 1).
Characteristics of the Sample Healthcare-Specific Servant Leadership Studies

The sample population* was composed of seventeen conceptual papers and reviews (eg, Aij & Rapsaniotis,20 Neville et al),12 five qualitative (interview, case, and ethnographic) studies (eg, Sturm,1 Vanderpyl),38 thirty-two quantitative studies (eg, Hosseini et al),39 and one study that employed a mixed methods design.40

Some conceptual papers emphasized the association of servant leadership with various variables, such as creativity and innovation,10 equity and diversity,41 and sustainable research capacity.42 Other conceptual papers discussed the importance of servant leadership in building hospital-physician relationships,43 effective medical missions,44 and positive coping in medical school leadership roles.45 Review papers presented servant leadership as a prominent model in healthcare46 that enables employees to become effective lean leaders.20 Moreover, a few conceptual papers10,12 noted that servant leadership is not widely taught in healthcare leadership education programs.

Empirical research on servant leadership in healthcare has been conducted across sixteen countries, with the majority (23.7%) coming from the USA, followed by Pakistan and Indonesia (15.8%). In terms of continental distribution, the largest number of articles originated from Asia (n=23). North America had the second largest number of published articles (n=10), followed by Africa (n=3), and Europe (n=2). Table 1 presents the geographic distribution and research design of the reviewed empirical studies.

---

*The sample population included seventeen conceptual papers and reviews, five qualitative studies, and thirty-two quantitative studies. One study used a mixed methods design.

**Characteristics of the Sample Healthcare-Specific Servant Leadership Studies**

The sample population was composed of seventeen conceptual papers and reviews, five qualitative studies, and thirty-two quantitative studies. Some conceptual papers emphasized the association of servant leadership with variables such as creativity and innovation, equity and diversity, and sustainable research capacity. Other conceptual papers discussed the importance of servant leadership in building hospital-physician relationships, effective medical missions, and positive coping in medical school leadership roles. Review papers presented servant leadership as a prominent model in healthcare that enables employees to become effective lean leaders. Moreover, a few conceptual papers noted that servant leadership is not widely taught in healthcare leadership education programs.

Empirical research on servant leadership in healthcare has been conducted across sixteen countries, with the majority coming from the USA, followed by Pakistan and Indonesia. In terms of continental distribution, the largest number of articles originated from Asia. North America had the second largest number of published articles, followed by Africa, and Europe.

Table 1 presents the geographic distribution and research design of the reviewed empirical studies.

---

**Figure 1** PRISMA-2020 review process.

The qualitative healthcare-specific servant leadership studies (n = 5) employed case study and ethnographic design (participant observation, interview, document analysis). The sample sizes considered in the qualitative studies ranged from (n = 8) to (n = 24) comprising nurses, physicians, residents, supervisors, chief executive officers, practitioners, managers, administrators, and other staff members. Qualitative papers discussed the importance of servant leadership in supporting personal and professional growth, organizational recovery, and innovation. The only mixed-method research published on servant leadership in healthcare examined the role of servant leadership in promoting training effectiveness. The reviewed survey-based quantitative studies on servant leadership (n = 32) analyzed data measured at different levels in multiple healthcare settings, which confirms the heterogeneity of the sample. Two of these studies collected data from employees and supervisors to scrutinize dyadic relationships through structural equation modeling techniques. Four of the reviewed studies used multiple time points (two waves of survey with a one-week interval, a ten-day interval, a two-week interval, and a two-month interval) to test their research models. The samples considered in the survey-based quantitative studies ranged from 66 to 1713 participants (Mean = 360, Median = 312).

### Table 1 Geographic Distribution and Research Design of Empirical Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Quantitative</th>
<th>Qualitative</th>
<th>Mixed</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cross-Sectional</td>
<td>Time-Lagged</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>UAE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The qualitative healthcare-specific servant leadership studies (n = 5) employed case study and ethnographic design (participant observation, interview, document analysis). The sample sizes considered in the qualitative studies ranged from (n = 8) to (n = 24) comprising nurses, physicians, residents, supervisors, chief executive officers, practitioners, managers, administrators, and other staff members. Qualitative papers discussed the importance of servant leadership in supporting personal and professional growth, organizational recovery, and innovation. The only mixed-method research published on servant leadership in healthcare examined the role of servant leadership in promoting training effectiveness. The reviewed survey-based quantitative studies on servant leadership (n = 32) analyzed data measured at different levels in multiple healthcare settings, which confirms the heterogeneity of the sample. Two of these studies collected data from employees and supervisors to scrutinize dyadic relationships through structural equation modeling techniques. Four of the reviewed studies used multiple time points (two waves of survey with a one-week interval and three waves of survey with; a ten-day interval, a two-week interval, and a two-month interval) to test their research models. The samples considered in the survey-based quantitative studies ranged from 66 to 1713 participants (Mean = 360, Median = 312).

### Servant Leadership Conceptualization in the Healthcare Literature

To provide a synthesis of servant leadership conceptualizations in the healthcare literature, we extracted themes that subsequently distilled into categories representing servant leadership descriptions across the reviewed sector-specific studies (Table 2).

Our content analysis produced second-order themes (N = 23), eventually clustered into nine categories (“ensuring autonomy”, “fostering relationships”, “caring for others”, “giving recognition”, “promoting equity”, “nurturing collaboration”, “prioritizing others’ needs”, “developing employees”, and “involving in decision-making”). These broad themes (categories) deemed to sufficiently represent servant leadership descriptions in the reviewed healthcare-specific studies.

### Servant Leadership Measures in Healthcare

Servant leadership studies in healthcare employed both self-assessment (eg, Page & Wong) and follower-assessment (eg, Irving) measures of servant leadership characteristics (Table 3). In the table, the percent of usage of corresponding servant leadership measures in healthcare research is provided.
Theories Utilized in Servant Leadership Research in Healthcare

The theoretical frameworks for empirical research on servant leadership in healthcare draw from different theories including social-based theories, resource-based theories, and motivational theories (Table 4). In the table, we provide a description of theoretical lenses employed and conceptual frameworks tested in the corresponding empirical studies.

Nomological Network of Servant Leadership Research in Healthcare

We mapped our sample of quantitative healthcare-specific servant leadership studies in terms of antecedents, mediating mechanisms, outcomes, and boundary conditions. In the nomological network, variables associated with employees (eg, voice behavior), teams (eg, collaboration), leaders (eg, perceived leadership effectiveness), and organizations (eg, organizational justice) are portrayed (Figure 2). Arrows indicate the direction of relationships among the considered variables of interest. In addition, the (+) and (-) signs indicate whether a positive or negative relationship was found in the reviewed healthcare-specific quantitative studies, respectively.

Table 2 Themes of Servant Leadership in the Reviewed Healthcare-Specific Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Second-Order Themes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ensuring autonomy</td>
<td>Ensures independence of employees at work (eg, Alahbabi et al)53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Allows employees to express their ideas freely (eg, Hidayati &amp; Zainurossalamia)44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fostering relationships</td>
<td>Exhibits humility and respect for others (eg, Aij &amp; Rapsaniotis)20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ensures the experience of a higher level of trust (eg, Alahbabi et al)53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caring for others</td>
<td>Promotes healing and well-being (eg, Neville et al)12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cherishes employees’ feelings of psychological safety (eg, Ma et al)51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promoting equity</td>
<td>Shows care and concern for others (eg, Johanson)44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurturing collaboration</td>
<td>Promotes the ethical use of power (eg, Fahmi et al)55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fosters equity and diversity (eg, Mezu-Ndubuisi)61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giving recognition</td>
<td>Engenders collaboration among employees (eg, Murphy et al)56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Facilitates teamwork (eg, Fahmi et al)55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prioritizing others’ needs</td>
<td>Recognizes employee achievements (eg, Alahbabi et al)53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Emphasizes valuation of employees (eg, Downs)57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing employees</td>
<td>Passionate about prioritizing the needs of followers (eg, Malak et al)11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Concerned about the welfare of individuals (eg, Sirihattakul &amp; Jermsittiparsert)58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Involving in decision-making</td>
<td>Stimulates development of subordinates (eg, Pranee)60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gives support for personal and professional growth (eg, Sturm)1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Boosts environmental awareness of employees (eg, Yadav et al)61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Encourages employees to take risks in decision-making (eg, Ma et al)51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Involves all members in decision-making process (eg, Fahmi et al)55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Listens to the opinions of others (eg, Ma et al)51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 The Measurement of Servant Leadership in Healthcare Research

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Measure</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>No. of Items</th>
<th>Usage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Servant Leadership Survey</td>
<td>Van Dierendonck et al,63 van Dierendonck and Nuijten25</td>
<td>18/30</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Servant Leadership Scale</td>
<td>Liden et al23,64</td>
<td>7/28</td>
<td>43.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Servant Leadership Questionnaire</td>
<td>Barbuto and Wheeler9</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Servant Leadership Scale</td>
<td>Association of Catholic Colleges and Universities (ACCU)65</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Assessment of Servant Leadership Profile</td>
<td>Page and Wong24, Dennis and Winston66</td>
<td>20/100</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose in Leadership Inventory (PLI)</td>
<td>Irving62</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Servant Leadership Assessment Instrument</td>
<td>Dennis and Bocarnea57</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>Not clearly mentioned in the studies</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4 Underpinning Theories Governing Healthcare-Specific Servant Leadership Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theory</th>
<th>Relationships Addressed in the Literature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Social exchange theory58</td>
<td>The moderation of prosocial motivation between servant leadership and upward voice behavior69 The mediation of leader-member exchange between servant leadership and proactive behavior48 The relationship between leader purposefulness and work-related outcomes70 The moderation of servant leadership between innovative behavior and job performance71 The impact of servant leadership dimensions on leader-member exchange18 The mediations of servant leadership and ethical leadership between voice behavior and cultural intelligence54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empowerment theory74</td>
<td>Describing and comparing employees’ attitudes towards collaboration and servant leadership73 The impact of servant leadership on job satisfaction58 The mediating effect of trust in the leader and the moderation of psychological empowerment between servant leadership and hospital performance76 The mediations of green self-efficacy and green perceived organizational support between environment-specific servant leadership and employees’ energy-related pro-environmental behavior49 The mediation of servant leadership between corporate social responsibility at the employee’s level (CSR-E) and innovative work behavior77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social learning theory75</td>
<td>The relationship-centered care model72 The mediation of servant leadership between innovative behavior and job performance71 The mediation of servant leadership between green human resource management and environmental awareness61 The mediation of organizational identification between servant leadership and turnover intentions81 The mediation of servant leadership between CSR-E and employee innovative work behavior77 The mediation of psychological safety between servant leadership and burnout51 The moderated-mediation of eudaimonic well-being and workplace civility climate between servant leadership and workplace outcomes83 The sequential mediation of psychological contract fulfillment and psychological ownership between servant leadership and job embeddedness52 The moderation of servant leadership between work-life balance programs and psychological well-being50 The moderation of workplace civility climate between servant leadership and eudaimonic well-being83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-determination theory78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socio-cognitive theory79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social identity theory80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservation of resources theory82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job demands-resources model84,85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Antecedents of Servant Leadership in Healthcare Research

Personal and professional attributes such as age, gender, educational attainment, length of service as a manager, position, and rank were antecedents of servant leadership disposition.59 Administrators who are senior in age and position appeared higher in servant first orientation and instructive transformative relating. Corporate social responsibility at the employee level,77 cultural intelligence,54 and green human resource management61 were also studied as antecedent variables of servant leadership. Moreover, Garber et al73 showed a weak positive correlation between collaboration and servant leadership.

Mediators of Servant Leadership in Healthcare Research

Servant leadership enhances job performance through the mediation of employee happiness53 and increases employees’ intention to stay in the organization through empowerment.60 Servant leaders also promote proactive behavior through enhancing the quality of exchange relationships58 and elevates organizational performance through instilling trust.76 Further, studies reveal that a servant leader with environmental inclinations could significantly improve the energy-specific pro-environmental behavior of hospital employees through the mediating mechanisms of green self-efficacy and green perceived organizational support.49
Moreover, servant leadership reduces workplace deviance through organizational justice and decreases burnout through psychological safety. In addition, organizational identification mediated the negative impact of servant leadership on the turnover intentions of employees in healthcare.

Psychological contract fulfilment and psychological ownership sequentially mediated the relationship between servant leadership and job embeddedness. Moreover, hindrance stressors, burnout, and job satisfaction mediated the relationship of servant leadership and performance and turnover. Servant leadership positively influences workplace outcomes...
(task performance, innovative work behavior, and work engagement), partially through eudaimonic well-being, and this mediation varies across different levels of workplace civility climate.\textsuperscript{83} Finally, servant leadership mediated the relationship between green human resource management and environmental awareness by promoting employee learning and engagement in pro-environmental behaviors.\textsuperscript{61} Servant leadership also partially mediated the relationship between CSR-E and employee innovative behavior in times of crisis.\textsuperscript{77}

\section*{Moderators of Servant Leadership in Healthcare Research}
Employee-related moderators of psychological empowerment and prosocial motivation influence the effectiveness of servant leadership. The relationship between servant leadership and nurses’ upward voice was found stronger for those lower in prosocial motivation than for those higher in prosocial motivation.\textsuperscript{69} The relationship between follower trust in the leader and the performance of the hospital organization has been found stronger when follower psychological empowerment is high.\textsuperscript{76} Further, servant leadership had a stronger relationship with eudaimonic well-being when the workplace civility climate was high.\textsuperscript{83}

In addition, Kul and Sonmez\textsuperscript{71} demonstrated that servant leadership behaviors of nurse managers strengthen the positive relationship between nurses’ innovative behaviors and their job performance. However, servant leadership did not have a moderating role between work-life balance programs and psychological well-being.\textsuperscript{50}

\section*{Outcomes of Servant Leadership in Healthcare Research}
Servant leadership can successfully foster employee commitment,\textsuperscript{70,88,89} job embeddedness,\textsuperscript{52} servant motivation,\textsuperscript{40} psychological well-being,\textsuperscript{50} voice behavior,\textsuperscript{69} person-organization fit,\textsuperscript{70} proactive behavior,\textsuperscript{48} and satisfaction at work.\textsuperscript{70,86,87,90} Furthermore, servant leadership is positively associated with innovative behavior,\textsuperscript{71} job performance,\textsuperscript{53,71,86} and pro-environmental behavior.\textsuperscript{49} The findings also showed that servant leadership reduces workplace deviance,\textsuperscript{86} burnout,\textsuperscript{51,86} and turnover intentions.\textsuperscript{81,86}

Hanse et al\textsuperscript{18} demonstrated the presence of significant correlations between servant leadership dimensions and leader-member exchange among healthcare professionals. Similarly, Irving and Berndt\textsuperscript{70} showed the effect of leader purposefulness within servant leadership (follower perspectives on servant leadership, leader follower-focus, leader goal orientation, and leader purposefulness) on work-related outcomes (job satisfaction, organizational commitment, person-organization fit, and perception of leadership effectiveness).

Moreover, servant leadership is linked with organizational performance,\textsuperscript{76,91} market performance,\textsuperscript{92} and the human resources management system\textsuperscript{93} of healthcare facilities. Further, servant leadership is found to increase spirituality\textsuperscript{39,94} and organizational support of nurses,\textsuperscript{39} which in turn improve healthcare effectiveness.

Servant leadership is also studied along with transformational leadership,\textsuperscript{58} ethical leadership,\textsuperscript{54} green leadership and digital leadership,\textsuperscript{55} and corporate social responsibility practices.\textsuperscript{60} The findings revealed the unique contribution of servant leadership to organizational performance and job satisfaction,\textsuperscript{58} market performance,\textsuperscript{55} and employees’ intention to stay in the organization.\textsuperscript{69} However, servant leadership style did not have a role in the relationship between cultural intelligence and voice behavior.\textsuperscript{54}

\section*{Discussion}
The present comprehensive systematic review adds to the leadership literature through synthesizing conceptual and empirical sector-specific servant leadership studies in the healthcare domain. Our discussion below addresses research design, servant leadership conceptualizations, measurement tools, theoretical mechanisms, and nomological networks in prior healthcare-specific servant leadership studies.

The conceptual and empirical studies in healthcare enabled us to obtain a deeper understanding into the construct of servant leadership. Our review shows the emergence of empirical research across the various healthcare contexts of sixteen different countries, which contributes to the cross-cultural validity of servant leadership theory.\textsuperscript{8} However, many of the empirical studies in healthcare use a cross-sectional design with the risk of common method bias and unclarity of causal directionality more likely.\textsuperscript{95,96}
The review uncovers the variety in conceptualizations of servant leadership in healthcare, which accompanying utilization of different measures. This limits across study comparisons. The emerging themes of servant leadership (ie, promoting equity, fostering relationships, giving recognition, developing employees, and involving in decision-making) share similarities with the conceptualizations of inclusive leadership.\(^9\) The overlapping themes identified here offers opportunities for further research and application.

Social exchange theory dominates the contribution in explaining the relationship between servant leadership and other variables in the healthcare literature. Further, just a few studies integrated multiple theoretical lenses. Self-determination theory helps us explain the effect of servant leadership on specific variables of interest based on employee-related intrinsic motivation factors. Self-determination posits that the satisfaction of innate psychological needs for competence, autonomy, and relatedness determine the conditions for individuals to completely realize their human potentials.\(^78\) Moreover, resource-oriented theories such as conservation of resources theory help explain the influence of servant leadership based on personal characteristics or conditions valued by the individual employee. Conservation of resources theory assumes that employees attempt to gain valued resources (eg, supportive colleagues) to defend against resource loss.\(^5,\)\(^82\)

The nomological network of this systematic review identified that variables related to individual employees (eg, voice behavior) are dominant in healthcare research, followed by organization-related (eg, hospital performance) variables. Nonetheless, scholars gave less emphasis to the investigation of team dynamics, which would give new avenues for the increasingly interpersonal context of healthcare. Antecedents and boundary conditions of servant leadership also remain less explored, leaving a knowledge gap in relation to establishing fertile grounds for the development of servant leadership behaviors in healthcare.

Servant leadership is predominantly viewed as an exogenous variable predicting multiple outcomes of interest in the context of healthcare. The results of the current review are consistent with the theory and research outcomes of servant leadership across various sectors.\(^5,\)\(^98,\)\(^99\) Further, the positive follower and organizational outcomes demonstrated in the reviewed sector-specific studies stand to witness that servant leadership is particularly promising in healthcare where adherence to moral codes of conduct is essential to providing professional healthcare services.\(^20,\)\(^21\) Servant leadership facilitates the process of shaping psychological good functioning across individual, organizational, and societal realms in the territory of healthcare.\(^47,\)\(^83\)

### Suggestions for Future Research

Research on context-specific antecedents of servant leadership is needed to further shed light on the motivations of healthcare employees to become servant leaders. In addition, considering important follower-related mediating mechanisms such as compassion and work engagement would contribute to the dynamics of servant leadership-outcome relationships in healthcare. Future studies might also investigate less explored relationships in healthcare between servant leadership and outcomes such as patient safety, employee well-being, knowledge sharing, and innovative behaviors to provide insights on the role of the theory to sustainable performance in healthcare.

Researchers should look to venture into qualitative studies to complement the quantitative investigations in servant leadership research and offer additional insights into the field. For instance, how servant leadership could foster carers motivation in establishing a patient safety culture. Furthermore, mixed-methods research involving a broad range of participants including patients would be valuable to validate actions and behaviors that drive positive outcomes in healthcare. Conducting multi-source, time-lagged studies using the Global Servant Leadership Scale would be indispensable to make results more comparable.

This systematic review had certain limitations. The search process in the current systematic literature review is restricted to journal articles published by five of the most prominent electronic databases, which might have limited the results on the servant leadership phenomenon in healthcare. Future scholars can extend the research to include other relevant servant leadership articles available in other electronic databases such as the grey literature, dissertations, and other unpublished projects (eg, hospital internal research projects) to elicit a more compelling argument for servant leadership theory in healthcare.
Implications for Practitioners
The consistent positive relationships found between servant leadership and valued outcomes provide compelling evidence supporting the relevance of practicing servant leadership in healthcare. We believe healthcare organizations can benefit from cultivating servant leadership that promotes a working climate where employees embark beyond defined day-to-day role descriptions. We specifically suggest the adoption of servant leadership in public healthcare organizations seeking to achieve a more sustainable healthcare system that offers high quality care at reasonable costs.

Leadership training exposure is associated with increased servant leadership scores in healthcare. We thus suggest the delivery of specific need-based training (eg, ensuring autonomy, caring for others, nurturing collaboration, giving recognition) to develop the servant leadership capabilities of managers in contemporary healthcare organizations.

While servant leadership is associated with positive outcomes in healthcare, practitioners should be cautious that embedding such behaviors within healthcare organizations is not an easy task. Garber et al revealed attitude differences toward collaboration and servant leadership between nurses, physicians, and residents. Avoiding such differences requires potential servant leaders in healthcare to be more transparent in their actions and to be able to balance self-interest and the needs of other staff.

Conclusion
The review has shown that servant leadership research has made considerable progress in the healthcare literature. Consistent across other non-healthcare domains, scholars predominantly approach servant leadership as an exogenous variable that directly and indirectly influences various individual and organizational outcomes in healthcare. Social exchange theory is the dominant underpinning mechanism; with few studies on servant leadership in healthcare that integrated multiple theoretical lenses. The findings draw attention to the importance of embracing servant leadership in healthcare as it promises above-the-norm job in developing a committed workforce that contributes towards the achievement of performance excellence. Hence, effective implementation of servant leadership in healthcare can be an essential requirement to bring positive employee and patient outcomes.
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