The High Sensitivity of the Multi-Cancer Detection Test ONCOVERYX-F Offers a Promising Platform for Ovarian Cancer Screening
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Abstract: We evaluated the potential relevance of our multi-cancer detection test, OncoVeryx-F, for ovarian cancer screening. For this, we compared its accuracy with that of CA125-based screening. We demonstrate here that, in contrast to CA125-based detection, OncoVeryx-F detected ovarian cancer with very high sensitivity and specificity. Importantly here, Stage I cancers too could be detected with an accuracy of >98%. Furthermore, again unlike CA125, the detection accuracy of OncoVeryx-F remained comparable in both Caucasian and South Asian/Indian women. Thus, the robustness and accuracy of OncoVeryx-F, particularly for early-stage detection, underscores its potential utility for ovarian cancer screening.
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Introduction

Although the 5-year survival rate for Stage I and II OvC is close to 90%, the overall 5-year survival rate currently averages to only about 45%. This is because most OvC cases are only diagnosed in the late stage when the survival rate drops to just about 20–40%.1-4 Consequently, early detection – especially in the pre-symptomatic stages where prognosis is more favorable – is critical for women with OvC. Presently, the serum tumor marker cancer antigen 125 (CA125) is widely used for OvC screening in symptomatic women presenting to primary care.5 Its limited accuracy, however, has so far precluded its more general use in population-based screening for OvC.5-7 Clinical interpretation based on CA125 levels is also complicated by the fact that these levels naturally fluctuate in a variety of conditions. In addition to tumor subtype and tumor burden,8-10 benign conditions such as age, BMI, ethnicity, and menopausal status also cause variability in baseline CA125 levels.11-14 Further, about 20% of women with OvC do not express any circulating CA125, which then limits the maximal achievable sensitivity to 80%.15-17

We had previously developed a non-invasive, serum-based, method for simultaneous detection of the four female-specific cancers of the breast, endometrium, cervix, and ovary.18 We named this method as OncoVeryx-F, which integrates untargeted serum metabolomics with AI-powered analytics to detect metabolite signatures that are specific to cancer.18 This method could detect all four cancers with an overall sensitivity of 98% and specificity of 98.3%.18 Especially pertinent here was that this detection accuracy was also maintained for Stage-0 and Stage-I cancers,18,19 thus highlighting the relevance of OncoVeryx-F for early-stage detection of the four female-specific cancers, including OvC. Given this potential, and its implications for OvC screening, we wanted to evaluate how the performance of OncoVeryx-F compared with that of CA125, the currently employed serum biomarker for OvC screening. Our results demonstrate that, as compared to CA125 which yielded relatively poor sensitivity and specificity, OncoVeryx-F provided a highly
accurate method for early-stage OvC detection. Importantly, OncoVeryx-F was equally effective in both Caucasian and South Asian/Indian women, underscoring its potential as a new platform for early-stage OvC screening.

**Methods**

**Details of the Serum Samples Employed**

Of the 370 OvC serum samples and 731 normal control (NoC) samples used for this study, 186 OvC samples and 298 NoC samples were purchased from two commercial biobanks: Dx Biosamples (San Diego, CA) and Reprocell USA Inc. (Beltsville, MD). These samples had all been obtained from Caucasian donors. The remaining samples (184 OvC samples and 433 NoC samples) were obtained through an investigator-initiated retrospective observational study (CTRI/2023/03/050316). Samples were collected from volunteers across north-eastern and central India, after obtaining written informed consent from them. For the OvC group, samples were taken only from histopathologically confirmed adults (between 20 and 90 yrs), prior to either surgery or chemotherapy. Individuals with either high-grade dysplasia, autoimmune diseases, or CKD were excluded from the study.

**Determination of CA 125 Levels in Patient Sera**

CA 125 levels were determined by Chemiluminescence Immunoassay (CLIA) detection using the ARCHITECT CA 125 II system (Abbot).

**Profiling of the Serum Metabolome and Data Analysis for Identification of OvC-Positive Samples (OncoVeryx-F)**

Extraction of metabolites from serum and subsequent untargeted metabolome profiling by liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry was done as previously described. The resulting data was analyzed using our previously developed AI model to distinguish OvC samples from normal controls. This model generates $y$-scores for each sample where a $y$-score of $>0$ denotes a cancer-positive status while a $y$-score of $<0$ indicates the absence of cancer. Sensitivity, Specificity, and Accuracy were calculated from the below formulae:

- **Accuracy**: \( \frac{(TP+TN)}{(TP+TN+FP+FN)} \)
- **Sensitivity**: \( \frac{TP}{(TP+FN)} \)
- **Specificity**: \( \frac{TN}{(TN+FP)} \).

**Results**

**Samples Employed in the Present Study**

Table 1 describes the serum sample set used in this study, along with their distribution across the various age groups.

**Supplementary Table 1** provides a more detailed description of each OvC sample including the stage of cancer, ethnicity, age, and BMI of the donor. In addition, the serum CA 125 levels determined, as well as the $y$-scores obtained from the OncoVeryx-F test are also included. **Supplementary Table 2** lists the NoC samples along with the age and ethnicity of the donors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>No. of Samples in Age Groups (yrs)</th>
<th>Total No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20–40</td>
<td>41–60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OvC</td>
<td>Cau</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SA/I</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoC</td>
<td>Cau</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SA/I</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** The table provides a summary of the ovarian cancer (OvC) and normal control (NoC) samples, and their distribution between Caucasians (Cau) and South Asians/Indians (SA/I) in the different age groups as indicated.
donor, along with the CA 125 levels and y-scores as determined by OncoVeryx-F. The overall participant flow through the study is depicted in the STARD 2015 flowchart shown in Figure 1.

A Comparison of OvC Detection Accuracy Between OncoVeryx-F and That Based on CA 125 Levels

Figure 2A shows the confusion matrix that was generated after analysis of the metabolome data of OvC and NoC samples by the OncoVeryx-F protocol. As is evident, of the 370 OvC samples tested, 5 were falsely identified as OvC-negative whereas 7 of the 731 NoC samples were misidentified as false positives. The sensitivity was calculated to be 98.6% and the specificity was 99.0%.

Figure 2B depicts the confusion matrix obtained when serum CA 125 levels, at the cut-off value of >35 U/mL, were used to discriminate between OvC and NoC samples. While 48 of the 731 NoC samples were mis-designated as OvC-positive, as many as 160 of the 370 OvC samples were also missed by this criterion. This resulted in a sensitivity

---

Figure 1 STARD diagram to report flow of participants through the study.

---

Figure 2 A comparison of the efficacies of OncoVeryx-F and CA 125-based testing for OvC detection. (A) Shows the confusion matrix generated from the y-scores estimated by OncoVeryx-F for the individual OvC and NoC samples. The sensitivity obtained was 98.6% (96.41, 100) at 95% CI, as a specificity of 99.03% (97.08, 100) at 95% CI, and the accuracy was 98.85% (97.32, 100) at 95% CI. (B) Shows the corresponding matrix obtained when OvC versus NoC discrimination was derived on the basis of the CA 125 cut-off level of 35 U/mL. The sensitivity and specificity obtained in each case is also given here.
and specificity of 56.8% and 93.4%, respectively. Thus, Figure 2 demonstrates that the detection accuracy of OncoVeryx-F for OvC is far superior to that of the currently used CA 125-based diagnosis.6,7

Efficacy of OncoVeryx-F is Comparable Between Caucasian and South Asian/Indian Women

Of the multitude of benign conditions that influence serum CA 125 levels, race is one such independent predictor.14 For example, African and Asian women were found to have lower levels of CA 125 when compared to Caucasian women.14 Since our present sample set was comprised of donors from two ethnic groups – Caucasian and South Asian/Indian – we thought it pertinent to assess how OncoVeryx-F performed in these two groups, in comparison to CA 125.

A comparison of the distribution of CA 125 levels in the OvC and NoC sample sets revealed that the spread across higher CA 125 concentrations was significantly greater in the Caucasian as opposed to the Indian OvC group (Figure 3). The mean CA 125 concentration obtained for the Caucasian OvC group (332 U/mL) was over 2-fold higher than that for the Indian OvC sample set (161.5 U/mL, Figure 3). Importantly, as many as 127 out of the 184 Indian OvC samples had CA 125 levels of <35 U/mL. In contrast, the proportion of OvC samples with CA 125 levels below the cut-off value in the Caucasian group was only 33 out of 186 samples. With respect to the NoC samples, however, the mean CA 125 concentration for Indian women was marginally higher than that for the Caucasian group (22.9 U/mL versus 15.8 U/mL) (Figure 3). These results suggest that, in comparison with their Caucasian counterparts, a significantly higher proportion of Indian women with OvC have CA 125 levels that are lower than the cut-off value for OvC diagnosis.

The sensitivity and specificity of the CA 125-based analysis, calculated from Figure 3, is summarized in Table 2. As expected, the results varied markedly between the two ethnic groups. For samples from Caucasian women, the sensitivity and specificity obtained was 83.7% and 92.8%, respectively. The sensitivity, however, dropped to only 32.8% for South Asian/Indian women although the specificity remained relatively unchanged (Table 2). These latter results are consistent with the previous findings that CA 125-based detection performs poorly in Indian OvC patients and that this test is of dubious value, contributing only to patient anxiety and clinical uncertainty.22 Importantly, in contrast to the results with CA 125, the performance of OncoVeryx-F remained relatively constant across both ethnic groups yielding a sensitivity and specificity of >98% in both cases. The specificity obtained in South Asian/Indian women (99.5%) was, however, marginally higher than that obtained in Caucasian women (98.3%, Figure 3). Thus, while the results in Figure 3 underscore a vulnerability of CA 125 as a screening tool for OvC, they also highlight the marked resilience of the OncoVeryx-F test in terms of maintaining its high accuracy across at least the two ethnic groups tested.

Figure 3 Ethnicity-dependence of the performance of CA 125 and OncoVeryx-F for OvC detection. Shows the distribution of CA 125 concentrations in samples from the OvC and NoC groups in both Caucasian and South Asian/Indian women.
OncoVeryx-F Detects Stage I OvC with High Accuracy

Given the importance of early-stage OvC detection, we were interested in specifically evaluating how OncoVeryx-F performed in the detection of Stage I OvC. We were able to assess this with some degree of confidence because, of the 370 OvC samples employed in this study, 208 were obtained from women in Stage I of the disease (Supplementary Table 1). Of these, the majority (185) were from Caucasian women whereas the remaining 23 samples were from Indian donors. We performed a comparative analysis of the sensitivity and specificity of OncoVeryx-F with that of CA 125-based testing, when discriminating between OvC and NoC. In this exercise, all 731 NoC samples were taken for the estimation of specificity. The results obtained are shown in terms of the confusion matrices generated in Figure 4. Panel A of this figure shows the results obtained with OncoVeryx-F where the sensitivity and specificity were calculated to be 98.6% and 99.1%, respectively. In contrast, CA 125-based discrimination yielded a sensitivity of 77.4% and a specificity of 93.4% (Figure 4B). Thus, OncoVeryx-F offers a far more accurate method for early-stage OvC detection when compared to the CA 125-based test.

Discussion

Because about 70% of women with OvC are diagnosed only at the advanced stage, this cancer has emerged as the fifth-leading cause of cancer deaths in women between the ages of 35 to 74.1,2,5,6 While this underscores the need for early-stage OvC detection, CA125 is the only tumor marker currently recommended for clinical use in the diagnosis and management of OvC.5–8 However, its poor detection sensitivity and specificity precludes the use of CA 125 as a screening test.25 Alternate methods for accurate early-stage detection are, therefore, warranted to reduce the OvC morbidity and mortality.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>CA 125</th>
<th>OncoVeryx-F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>Specificity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cau</td>
<td>83.7%</td>
<td>92.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA/I</td>
<td>32.8%</td>
<td>93.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The table provides a summary of the Sensitivity and Specificity of OvC detection obtained in both Caucasians (Cau) and South Asians/Indians (SA/I) women when either the CA 125 biomarker or OncoVeryx-F was used.

Figure 4 Comparative efficacy of Stage I OvC detection by OncoVeryx-F and CA 125. Shown here are the confusion matrices obtained for detection of Stage I OvC samples using either OncoVeryx-F (A) or CA 125 (B) as the test system.
We demonstrate here that OncoVeryx-F was relatively unhindered by at least some of the handicaps associated with CA 125-based screening and can, therefore, potentially provide a far superior option for early-stage OvC screening. In this context, our observation that the accuracy of OncoVeryx-F remained relatively invariant regardless of whether the test population was Caucasian or South Asian/Indian women is a particularly significant finding. This was in contrast CA 125-based testing where the OvC detection sensitivity was profoundly dependent on ethnicity of the test population. Although a broader range of ethnicities remain to be tested, these initial results suggest that OncoVeryx-F could serve as a more amenable screening test, at least in comparison with CA 125.

While the superior OvC detection accuracy of OncoVeryx-F relative to CA 125-based testing is one of the key findings of our current report, a particularly noteworthy aspect here is that the high sensitivity of >98% and specificity of >99% was also retained for samples from Stage I of the cancer. Here again the test samples were comprised of sera from both Caucasian and South Asian/Indian donors. Thus, our present results highlight the promise of further developing OncoVeryx-F as a possible screening test for OvC.

**Conclusion**

Because of its poor accuracy, CA 125-based screening cannot be employed to achieve the goal of reducing OvC mortality. This was highlighted in a recent report from the UK Collaborative Trial of Ovarian Cancer Screening (UKCTOCS), which showed that despite the 47.2% increase in detection at Stage I obtained with CA 125 screening, there was no significant reduction in OvC deaths.²⁶ It was inferred that a much higher accuracy of early-stage OvC was needed to achieve a large enough shift from late- to early-stage detection that can reduce OvC-related deaths.²⁶ Here, given that OncoVeryx-F can detect Stage I OvC with an accuracy of >98%, it will be of interest to explore whether this test can serve as a high-fidelity screening test that can contribute to reducing OvC mortality.
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