Introduction: With the current surge on peripheral nerve blocks in post-cesarean pain management and the historical lack of unequivocal evidence supporting its universal use, this review intended to re-examine the extended scope of literature on regional anesthesia and postoperative analgesia in low-transverse cesarean section.

Methods: A literature search was conducted up to April 2023 using PubMed to identify articles relevant to our search words “cesarean section”, “neuraxial morphine”, “post-cesarean analgesia”, as well as the name of each individual nerve block. The literature search was ultimately narrowed to systematic reviews and randomized controlled trials published between 2012 and 2023. We define, describe, and discuss the evidence surrounding each individual regional anesthetic technique in the presence and absence of intrathecal morphine, which is used as the gold standard when appropriate.

Results: In the absence of neuraxial morphine, all regional anesthetic techniques have some level of analgesic benefit in the post-cesarean analgesia. Transversus Abdominis Plane blocks continue to have the most studies in their use. Newer fascia plane blocks including the anterior Quadratus Lumborum, and Erector Spinae Plane blocks provide significant analgesia. In addition, direct comparison among peripheral nerve blocks consistently favors the more proximal, centralized techniques. Conversely, in the presence of neuraxial morphine, no peripheral anesthetic technique has reliably and reproducibly demonstrated an added analgesic benefit regardless of the peripheral nerve block technique or location of local anesthetic injection in the post-cesarean population.

Conclusion: Neuraxial morphine continues to be the gold standard for post-cesarean section analgesia, the benefit of additional single injection regional anesthetic is currently not evidence supported. In cases where neuraxial opioids have not or cannot be given, there is overwhelming evidence that regional anesthetic techniques improve post-cesarean section analgesia and decrease post-operative opioid consumption. Even though there is no consensus on the optimal peripheral nerve block, emerging evidence suggests more centralized abdominal fascia plane block trends towards better analgesia.
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Introduction
In 2020, greater than 1.1 million cesarean sections were performed in the United States.¹ Neuraxial anesthesia as the gold standard² is associated with well-documented risk reduction associated with cesarean delivery including difficult or failed intubation, aspiration, surgical site infections, and venous thromboembolic events,³,⁴ as well as additional benefits such as postoperative analgesia, reduced blood loss, greater maternal bonding, and decreased maternal morbidity and mortality.⁵

With the increasing emphasis on early recovery after surgery, neuraxial anesthesia in conjunction with ultrasound-guided peripheral regional anesthetic techniques has recently become a growing field within obstetric anesthesiology. However, there is a lack of evidence supporting its universal use for cesarean section. Further information is needed on
the type and efficacy of common nerve blocks and comparison to intrathecal or epidural morphine. Our review begins
with an examination of the current scope of literature involving neuraxial anesthesia in cesarean section, with particular
emphasis on neuraxial opiate modality. We then cross-examine current literature for various regional techniques in
cesarean patients by peripheral nerve block type. We aim to organize the data for the purpose of providing a
comprehensive summary of the current literature on central neuraxial and peripheral regional anesthesia techniques
offered to parturients.

Cesarean Delivery: Surgical Techniques and Anatomic Information

There are multiple surgical approaches to cesarean delivery. For simplicity, most techniques vary by location and
direction of both the incision through the skin and the uterus. Either may be incised vertically or horizontally and at
varying locations. Our review is limited to discussion of the lower-segment transverse hysterotomy with a transverse skin
incision, otherwise known as the Pfannenstiel-Kerr approach. Alternative techniques to cesarean section exist but are less
common. Therefore, they are not the focus of our literature review.

The most common skin incision for cesarean section is the low transverse incision, which has been shown to decrease
postoperative pain, incur a lower risk of complications including wound dehiscence/infection/hernia development, and
attain better cosmetic results than a midline vertical incision. The location and curvature of this incision may vary by
technique but the most common worldwide is the Pfannenstiel, a curved incision a few centimeters above the level of the
pubic symphysis, and the Joel-Cohen, a straight incision roughly 3cm caudal to an imagined horizontal line connecting
the anterior superior iliac spines. After skin incision, the subcutaneous tissue and the fascial layers are commonly
dissected bluntly. The rectus muscle may be dissected bluntly or divided vertically with scissors. The approach to the
uterine incision depends on many factors, including gestational age, fetal and placental location, and acuity of operation.
The most common hysterotomy is the Kerr incision, a transverse incision of the lower uterine segment. It has been shown
to decrease blood loss, lower the risk of bladder injury, and lower the risk of future gestation uterine rupture when
compared to vertical incision. The uterine incision is then expanded, after which the newborn and placenta are removed.
Closure of the above layers then follows.

While intra-operative cesarean section via neuraxial anesthesia typically requires a dense sensory block extending
from sacral dermatomes to the level of T4, post-cesarean analgesia does not require such extensive coverage. Post-
cesarean pain can be narrowed to the dermatomal distribution of skin incision, myotome innervation to abdominal wall
layers, and visceral innervation to the uterus. In Pfannenstiel incisions, the somatic innervation of the skin is often
composed of the ilioinguinal and iliohypogastric nerves derived from the T11-L1 spinal nerve roots. The entire anterior
abdominal wall and fascial layers are innervated by a multitude of nerves including the thoracoabdominal (T7-T11),
subcostal (T12), iliohypogastric (L1), and ilioinguinal nerves (L1), the majority of which run through the fascial plane
between the internal oblique and transversus abdominis muscles. The uterus receives its sympathetic innervation from
the low thoracic T11-12/high lumbar L1-3 nerve roots via the hypogastric plexus and its parasympathetic supply from the
pelvic splanchnic nerve derived from the S2 to S4 nerve roots. Thus, the goal of regional anesthesia is to infiltrate local
anesthetic along the components of this innervation if possible.

Methods

A comprehensive literature review was performed via PubMed up to April 2023 to identify all articles relating to
interventional post-cesarean analgesia. Articles were identified using the search keywords “cesarean section”, “neuraxial
morphine”, “post-cesarean analgesia”, “epidural analgesia”, “peripheral nerve block”, “nerve block”, “paravertebral
block”, “erector spinae plane block”, “Quadratus Lumborum block”, “Transversus abdominis block”, “Ilioinguinal/
Iliohypogastric block”, “rectus sheath block”, local infiltration. The literature search was narrowed to randomized
controlled trials and systematic reviews/meta-analyses for the past decade between 2012 and 2023. Articles meeting
inclusion criteria had the studied population as parturients undergoing cesarean section with a Pfannenstiel-Kerr incision.
Articles were excluded if they were case reports, if they did not provide a description of block technique to ensure that
the named block was consistent with its label, and if the peripheral nerve blockade was performed without ultrasound
guidance/assistance (excluding those describing neuraxial anesthesia, and wound infiltration of local anesthetic by the
surgeon). Special attention was paid to whether the article mentioned the inclusion or exclusion of long-acting neuraxial opioid.

**Review of Regional Anesthesia Techniques**

**Neuraxial Anesthesia**

**Anatomical Definition**

Neuraxial anesthesia refers to injecting medication such as local anesthetic with or without adjuvants such as opioids or epinephrine into the epidural space (epidural anesthesia) or into the subarachnoid/intrathecal space (spinal anesthesia) (Figure 1). It is commonly used in chest, abdominal and lower extremity anesthesia and analgesia, including cesarean delivery and post-cesarean pain control.\(^{12}\)

**Technique**

The patient is commonly placed in a sitting or lateral decubitus position. After sterile skin preparation and draping, the spinous processes are palpated or identified via ultrasound. Local infiltration is placed at an interspace between spinous processes in midline approach or between lamina in paramedian approach. A needle is advanced to the epidural or intrathecal space stepwise based on loss of resistance technique, with or without ultrasound assistance. Spinal anesthesia (SA) is typically a single injection while epidural anesthesia (EA) is administered through a catheter for continuous infusion. There is also the option of combined spinal-epidural analgesia (CSE).\(^{12}\)

Ultrasound guidance can assist in the process. A transverse scan of the lumbar spine can visualize the posterior and anterior complexes of the dura, allowing for an estimate of the loss-of-resistance depth (Figure 2Ai). A sagittal scan of the lumbar spine at the lamina can also visualize the interlaminar space and allow for possible real-time placement of the neuraxial block under ultrasound guidance (Figure 2Aii).\(^{13}\)

**Efficacy in Cesarean Anesthesia and Analgesia**

Neuraxial anesthesia is commonly used in lower abdominal and lower extremity surgeries, including cesarean delivery, for a dense surgical block.\(^{12}\) In addition, medications are routinely administered neuraxially for post-operative analgesia, either through a single injection or continuous fashion. Post-operative analgesia does not require near the level of density of a block that neuraxial anesthesia requires.

While there is more than one way of providing neuraxial analgesia for pain management, neuraxial opioid administration, and more specifically epidural or intrathecal morphine administration, is the “gold standard” of post-cesarean pain control. It is also a crucial aspect of multimodal analgesia, supported by guidelines provided by the American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA).\(^{14}\) The more recent sources cited in the ASA guidelines demonstrate improved patient outcomes with neuraxial opioids compared to parentally or intramuscularly administered opioids.\(^{15,16}\) This is true

---

**Figure 1** Animation of Transverse Section of Anatomical Targets of Regional Anesthesia Techniques for Cesarean Delivery. 1 – Transverse process. 2 – Quadratus lumborum muscle. 3 – Abdominal wall muscles from deep to superficial: transversus abdominis, internal oblique, external oblique.

---

...

Abbreviations: PM, psoas major; TP, transverse process; ESP, erector spinae plane; EO, external oblique; IO, internal oblique; TA, transversus abdominis; RA, rectus abdominis.
in terms of both pain control as well as side effects associated with opioid use in post-cesarean analgesia, such as pruritus, nausea, vomiting, and added surveillance for risk of respiratory depression. However, in recent years the development and refinement of newer methods of peripheral analgesia, discussed later, has challenged the conclusions drawn by these guidelines. When compared to these alternative methods, early data has shown neuraxial morphine to consistently provide superior analgesia than peripheral nerve blockade alone, and combining various regional anesthetic techniques including quadratus lumborum and transversus abdominis plane block with neuraxial morphine does not appear to provide significant additional analgesic benefit. With regional anesthetic techniques and the medications injected constantly advancing, the increasing awareness of physical and psychological adverse impacts of poorly controlled postpartum pain on parturients, emphasis on early recovery after surgery and minimization of persistent postsurgical pain, further research is needed to continually evaluate each individual peripheral nerve block’s efficacy in the absence of and in conjunction with neuraxial morphine.

Paravertebral Block (PVB)

Anatomical Definition
The thoracic paravertebral block (PVB) is widely used for analgesia for chest and upper abdominal surgeries. It is performed by entering a space bound by the vertebral body medially, the pleura anteriorly, and the superior costotransverse ligament posteriorly. Within this location lies the spinal nerve root after it exits the epidural space, with the sympathetic trunk close by.

The thoracic paravertebral space ends at L1 with the superior attachment of the psoas muscle. The L1 nerve root enters a different compartment within the psoas muscle to form the lumbar plexus and thus is not thought to be blocked reliably with the thoracic paravertebral block. While a thoracic paravertebral nerve block at T12-L1 may not reliably cover the Pfannenstiel incision at L1, it has the advantage of possibly spreading medially to the epidural space and blocking visceral pain at the surrounding epidural levels.

Technique
The patient can be placed in sitting, lateral decubitus or prone positioning and the block performed via landmark or ultrasound-guidance. The ultrasound technique with a paramedian sagittal in-plane approach is most common, although transverse in-plane approach has been described. For the paramedian sagittal in-plane approach, the patient’s T11 and T12 vertebral levels are identified using the inferior border of the rib cage as a landmark. A low-frequency, curvilinear ultrasound probe is placed in the sagittal plane in the midline and slowly translated laterally until the transverse processes appear. A slight lateral tilt will usually then expose the pleura in the spaces between the transverse processes. In some cases, the costotransverse ligament can be visualized in the interspace above the pleura. After local infiltration, a needle is inserted in plane with the ultrasound beam. The goal is to place the needle between the transverse processes and through the costotransverse ligament if visible. Block success is determined by a downward depression of the pleura on injection of the local anesthetic and ideally lack of superficial spread toward the erector spinae muscle.

Efficacy in Cesarean Analgesia
The wide adoption of ultrasound makes it possible to precisely assess the efficacy and location of injection of paravertebral blocks as compared to landmark technique, and clearly distinguish between paravertebral versus erector spinae plane blocks. While direct comparison between ultrasound-guided and landmark PVB are sparse, ultrasound-guided PVB has been demonstrated to increase the likelihood of block success and post-operative analgesia. Nonetheless, evidence regarding the utility of paravertebral block for post-cesarean pain control is scarce. Randomized controlled trials and evidence beyond case reports are lacking.

Erector Spinae Plane Block (ESP)

Anatomical Definition
The ESP block refers to longitudinal local anesthetic spread in the fascial plane anterior to the erector spinae muscle but posterior to the transverse process. While only the dorsal rami are contained in this plane, the block’s efficacy in anterior chest wall surgeries suggests that the ventral rami are sometimes, though not reliably, blocked as well.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Postoperative Pain Control Modality</th>
<th>Dermatomes Covered</th>
<th>Scanning Technique</th>
<th>Ultrasound Needle Trajectory</th>
<th>Possible Complications</th>
<th>Difficulty (1-4)</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low thoracic paravertebral block</td>
<td>T10-T12</td>
<td>Transverse approach: transverse orientation scan in lateral to median orientation.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pneumothorax, epidural injection/ hematoma</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Good somatic and visceral pain coverage</td>
<td>Technically difficult block</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low thoracic erector spinae plane block</td>
<td>T10-L2</td>
<td>Transverse orientation, scan from TAP view posteriorly until QL muscle appears</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pneumothorax, epidural injection/ hematoma</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Compared to paravertebral, an easier and safer block to perform</td>
<td>Unclear extent of somatic/visceral coverage in anterior abdomen. Higher risk than TAP block due to being a deeper block with risk of renal injury and retroperitoneal hematoma.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadratus lumbarum blocks</td>
<td></td>
<td>Transverse orientation, scan from TAP view posteriorly until QL muscle appears</td>
<td></td>
<td>Retroperitoneal hematoma, renal injury, inadvertent lumbar plexus block / lower extremity weakness</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Easy to perform if quadratus lumbarum muscle is readily visualized</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Comparative Summary of Common Regional Anesthesia Techniques in Caesarean Delivery
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TAP blocks</th>
<th>Rectus sheath block</th>
<th>Wound infiltration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subcostal: T6-9</td>
<td>Midaxillary: T10-12</td>
<td>Midline anterior cutaneous branches of T9-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transverse orientation. For II/IH start at ASIS and scan medially for TAP layer plane</td>
<td>Transverse orientation, scan for lateral half of rectus muscle</td>
<td>Cutaneous nerves at level of incision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-plane towards TAP plane</td>
<td>In-plane towards posterior rectus sheath</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowel injury; Subcostal TAP: liver injury</td>
<td>Bowel injury</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easy to perform if abdominal wall muscles are readily visualized</td>
<td>Easy to perform and to visualize on most patients</td>
<td>Easiest to perform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only provides somatic coverage</td>
<td>Only provides somatic midline coverage</td>
<td>Only provides cutaneous coverage, missing underlying musculature</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
theory is that the local anesthetic spreads anteriorly through ligamentous structures into the paravertebral space. Without an articulating rib, it is hypothesized that the ventral rami would have better extension at and below the level of the L1 nerve root compared to thoracic injections. The erector spinae plane is posterior to the psoas muscle and local anesthetic can spread freely in the cranio-caudal direction. Small cadaver and live-subject studies have suggested anterior spread of local anesthetic into the lumbar plexus plausible. There is also the possibility of epidural spread, as local anesthetic that tracks into the paravertebral or lumbar plexus region can also spread into the epidural space.

**Technique**

The patient can be placed in sitting, lateral decubitus or prone position. The patient’s T11 and T12 vertebrae are identified using the inferior border of the rib cage as a landmark. ESP is almost always performed under ultrasound guidance. Depending on patient body habitus, a high-frequency linear or low-frequency curvilinear ultrasound probe is placed in the sagittal plane in the midline and scans laterally until the transverse processes appear. A slight lateral tilt can help expose the pleura but is not necessary in all cases. After local infiltration, a needle is inserted in plane with the ultrasound beam and advanced to just posterior to the transverse process through the erector spinae muscle (Figure 2C). Block success is determined by cranial and caudal spread of local anesthetic in the plane between the transverse process and erector spinae muscle.

**Efficacy in Cesarean Analgesia**

Erector spinae plane blocks have emerged as a theoretically safer and easier-to-perform alternative to thoracic para-vertebral nerve blocks for chest wall, abdominal and spine surgeries. A relatively new technique, the ESP and its efficacy in cesarean section has only been studied in the past few years. Multiple separate RCTs have been able to demonstrate that in the absence of intrathecal morphine, the addition of ESP block compared to control of non-peripheral nerve block multimodal analgesia provided significantly decreased 24-hour opioid consumption, prolonged time to first rescue analgesic, and lowered post-operative pain scores.

One systematic review and meta-analysis consisting of three RCTs from 2020 focused on comparing ESP block to other post-operative analgesic interventions including other abdominal wall blocks and intrathecal morphine. Two of the RCTs included in this meta-analysis produced consistent results when comparing ESP block to TAPs block post-cesarean section; ESP block provided significantly superior analgesia for significantly longer duration, and the patients in the TAPs block cohort consumed more supplemental opioid and requested rescue analgesia sooner than those who received ESP. When included with a third study in a systematic review, ESP block no longer showed a significant improvement in resting pain scores over the alternatives, although the other conclusions above including length of analgesia and reduction in supplemental opioid requirement persisted. A separate RCT of 52 patients comparing low thoracic ESP block to the posterior QL block found no significant differences in pain scores or block efficacy. A similar result of equal analgesic effect was found in an RCT comparing low thoracic ESP block to the anterior QL block. Further comparison between ESP and alternative peripheral nerve blocks in this patient population has yet to be studied.

**Stratify with Intrathecal Morphine**

The majority of RCTs evaluating ESP block after cesarean section do not involve neuraxial opioid. However, one RCT compared 140 patients, half of whom received an ESP block with bupivacaine without neuraxial opioid and half received intrathecal morphine and an ESP block with saline. This study revealed a significantly lower oral opioid requirement, lower pain scores in the first 24 hours, and longer time to first supplemental analgesic in the patients who received the ESP block with bupivacaine. These results suggest that ESP may have a superior analgesic effect to intrathecal morphine, although these results have yet to be reproduced nor studied on a larger population scale. It would also be worthwhile to assess the efficacy of ESP in the presence of intrathecal morphine, and whether there would be added benefit.

**Lateral, Posterior and Anterior Quadratus Lumborum Blocks (QLBs)**

**Anatomical Definition**

The quadratus lumborum blocks (QLBs) target the fascial planes surrounding the quadratus lumborum (QL) muscle, a posterior abdominal wall muscle that originates inferiorly on the posterior iliac crest and iliolumbar ligament and inserts superiorly on the twelfth rib as well as the L1-L5 transverse processes (Figure 1). Posterior to the QL is the erector spinae...
muscle, and the fascia between them is the middle thoracolumbar fascia. Anterior to the QL is the psoas muscle, and the fascia between them is the anterior thoracolumbar fascia. The fascial plane lateral to the quadratus lumborum is contiguous with the transversus abdominis plane. The lateral QLB targets this lateral border and gives a spread of local anesthetic similar to a TAP block as described below and was the first of the QLBs to be described (previously referred to as QL-1). The posterior QLB (previously referred to as QL-2) targets the middle thoracolumbar fascia posterior to the QL muscle and may possibly spread to the thoracic paravertebral spaces through this fascial plane. The anterior or trans-muscular QLB, the most recent QLB described (previously referred to as QL-3), targets the anterior thoracolumbar fascia and may possibly spread to the thoracic paravertebral spaces. Some studies report medication spread as high as the T7 paravertebral space with the posterior QLB, while others only to T9-10. As for lumbar spread, there is the possibility of spreading to the upper lumbar nerve roots (as far as L3) but this was largely observed in cadaver studies (Table 2). Of note, the ilioinguinal and iliohypogastric nerves, branches of L1, do course over the anterior surface of the quadratus lumborum on their way to the upper pelvic region.

Technique

Bilateral QLBs can be achieved with the patient supine for lateral QLB or in lateral decubitus or prone position for posterior and anterior QLB. Depending on patient body habitus and the type of QLB chosen, a high-frequency linear or low-frequency curvilinear ultrasound probe can be used to scan in a transverse plane. The probe starts anterior to the midaxillary line to identify the external oblique, internal oblique, and transversus abdominis muscles. The practitioner then focuses on the plane between the internal oblique and transversus abdominis muscles (the transversus abdominis plane or TAP plane) and follows that plane posteriorly. The internal oblique muscle will eventually taper off and the TAP plane superficial to the transversus abdominis muscle will join with the fascia deep to the transversus abdominis muscles. Both these fasciae together will abut the superficial posterior border of the QL muscle. To confirm the identity of the QL muscle, one can seek out the “shamrock sign” with the transverse process forming a “stem” and the psoas, quadratus lumborum, and erector spinae muscles forming the clovers

Once the quadratus lumborum muscle is identified, one can perform a lateral QLB superficial to the QL and look for spread of local anesthetic into the TAP plane. To perform a posterior QLB, one can place the needle on the posterior border of the QL where the QL meets the erector spinae muscle and inject within the middle thoracolumbar fascia. To perform an anterior QLB, one can place the needle deep to the QL muscle where it borders the psoas and inject local anesthetic in the anterior thoracolumbar fascia. A successful trans-muscular anterior QL block will show downward spread as high as the T7 paravertebral space with the posterior QLB, while others only to T9-10.

Table 2 Comparison of Quadratus Lumbarum, Transverse Abdominis Plane, Ilioinguinal/Iliohypogastric and Rectus Sheath Blocks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location of Injection</th>
<th>Lateral QLB (QL-1)</th>
<th>Posterior QLB (QL-2)</th>
<th>Anterior QLB (QL-3)</th>
<th>Subcostal TAP (3815)</th>
<th>Midaxillary TAP (3815)</th>
<th>II/IH</th>
<th>Rectus Sheath</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lateral border of the QL muscle at the lumbar triangle of petit</td>
<td>Posterior border of the QL muscle in middle thoracolumbar fascia</td>
<td>Anterior border of the QL muscle in anterior thoracolumbar fascia</td>
<td>Midclavicular line along costal margin</td>
<td>Midaxillary line between costal margin and iliac crest</td>
<td>Medial to the anterior superior iliac spine</td>
<td>Posterior to rectus abdominis muscle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pathways Affected

| Similar to TAP - anterior cutaneous branches of thoracolumbar nerves (T10 to L1) | Anterior and lateral cutaneous branches of thoracolumbar nerves | Anterior and lateral cutaneous branches of thoracolumbar nerves | Anterior cutaneous branches of thoracolumbar nerves (T6-T9) | Anterior cutaneous branches of thoracolumbar nerves (T9-T11) | Ilioinguinal and iliohypogastric nerves (L1) |

Indication

| Abdominal incision below umbilicus | Upper and lower abdominal incision and surgery on the viscera | Upper and lower abdominal incision and surgery on the viscera, Hip | Supra- and periumbilical abdominal incision | Anterior abdominal wall incision below the umbilicus | Anterior abdominal wall incision at the dermatomal level of L1 | Anterior, midline abdominal wall incisions around the umbilicus |
depression of the psoas muscle via ultrasound.43 In difficulty anatomy, it can be helpful to know that the QL muscle tends to be less echogenic than the psoas muscle.

Efficacy in Cesarean Analgesia
Randomized controlled trials have demonstrated that in the absence of neuraxial morphine, the lateral QLB,44,45 posterior QLB46 and anterior QLB47 are effective in reducing post-operative pain scores and opioid consumption in parturients after cesarean section as compared to controls. In addition, one RCT in 2021 comparing the anterior QLB to posterior QLB in patients under neuraxial anesthesia in the absence of neuraxial morphine found that anterior QLB led to a significantly greater reduction in pain scores, 24-hour opioid consumption, and time to first analgesic.48 These results were redemonstrated in 2022, an RCT of 104 patients who had a cesarean under general anesthesia, further supporting anterior QLB as a superior analgesic in the absence of neuraxial morphine.49

Many RCTs have focused on comparing different QLB techniques to TAP blocks to determine whether one provides superior analgesia or reduction in opioid consumption. A 2020 meta-analysis of 31 RCTs compared all 3 types of QLBs against midaxillary and subcostal TAP blocks in patients who had not received neuraxial morphine, and found that these blocks were equivalent in their analgesic effect.24 However, many individual RCTs have found evidence suggesting that QLBs do provide greater reduction in pain scores and opioid consumption when compared to TAP blocks, with one study additionally suggesting a prolonged effect of QL when compared to TAP.50–53

Whereas most research focuses on the acute analgesic effects of these blocks and is limited to block duration, Borys et al used the Neuropathic Pain Symptom Inventory (NPSI) scale to evaluate post-operative pain months after patients were randomly assigned to receive either a posterior QL block, TAP block, or nothing in the absence of neuraxial opioid. They found a significant reduction in pain scores at 1 month and 6 months in the QL and TAP groups compared to control, but were unable to demonstrate a significant difference in chronic pain score between the QL and TAP group.54 This unique study demonstrates that regional analgesic benefits exceed the duration of the block itself, and future studies could expand and quantify this effect further.

Stratify with Intrathecal Morphine
Multiple meta-analyses have been able to demonstrate a significant analgesic effect of QL block when compared to control in patients who did not receive neuraxial opioids.20,25 However, in a meta-analysis of 10 RCTs, Tan et al found no significant improvement in analgesia in patients that received both QLB and neuraxial opioids when compared to those who received neuraxial opioids alone.25 This finding was redemonstrated in an additional meta-analysis of 31 studies that admittedly shared some but not all the sources utilized by Tan et al. El-Boghdaddy et al’s meta-analysis of anterior, lateral, and posterior QLB found that in the presence of neuraxial opioid there was no significant difference in analgesia in the patients who received QL blocks vs control.24 While these analyses suggest that QL blocks of all types have limited efficacy in patients already receiving neuraxial opioids, one author directly compared posterior QL block to patients who received intrathecal morphine and found surprising results. Salama was able to demonstrate that patients who received single shot posterior QL block with 0.375% ropivacaine had significantly reduced resting and moving pain scores, decreased supplemental opioid consumption at 48 hours, and significantly fewer adverse events than those who received 100mcg intrathecal morphine and no peripheral nerve block. Salama also demonstrated a 70% supplemental opioid reduction in the QL group compared to control whereas the intrathecal morphine group had a 30% total opioid reduction over controls.55 These results have not been redemonstrated.

Transversus Abdominis Plane Block (TAP): Subcostal, Midaxillary and Ilioinguinal/ Iliohypogastric (II/IH) Nerve Blocks
Anatomic Definition
The transversus abdominis plane block is a commonly used fascial plane block for abdominal surgeries. There are multiple approaches to the TAP block to target different dermatome levels but they all aim to inject medication at the fascial layer between two abdominal wall muscles. The subcostal TAP block, which targets the fascial plane at the midclavicular line directly inferior to the rib cage between the posterior rectus sheath and the transversus abdominis
muscle, is believed to cover dermatomes T6-T9. The midaxillary TAP block, previously referred to as the lateral TAP block, targets the fascial plane between the internal oblique and transversus abdominis on the midaxillary line halfway between the ribs and the pelvis. The midaxillary TAP is believed to cover dermatomes T10-T12 from midline to midclavicular line. Previously known as the anterior TAP block, the combined ilioinguinal/iliohypogastric (II/IH) nerve block targets the same fascial plane as the midaxillary TAP but is performed medial to the anterior superior iliac spine (ASIS) to cover the ilioinguinal and iliohypogastric nerves which address the L1 contribution. Of note, these blocks are not thought to reach the neuraxial space nor spread to the sympathetic trunk and thus do not cover visceral pain.

**Technique**

For cesarean section with Pfannenstiel incision, the II/IH and/or midaxillary TAP are preferred given their distribution as above. With a patient in a supine position, a high-frequency linear transducer is placed in a transverse orientation on the patient’s abdomen just superior to the iliac crest at the midaxillary line, or just medial to the ASIS for the II/IH. The two oblique muscles and the transversus abdominis are identified, and a needle is inserted in the fascial plane between the internal oblique muscle and transversus abdominis (Figure 2E). The ilioinguinal and iliohypogastric nerves lie next to each other within this fascial plane and may be recognized by their hyperechoic oval shape. Block success is determined by downward depression of the transversus abdominis muscle and underlying peritoneum.

**Efficacy in Cesarean Analgesia**

It is well established that both the midaxillary TAP and II/IH blocks do provide some post-operative analgesia to patients undergoing cesarean section. The subcostal TAP block is not frequently studied in this population, likely due to its low likelihood of incisional coverage. A meta-analysis of 17 studies comprised of 11,000 patients demonstrated the analgesic efficacy of TAP block when compared to controls in the absence of neuraxial morphine; patients who received a TAP block needed fewer oral opioid equivalents and a longer time before they required their first breakthrough opioid.

TAP blocks have been compared to each other as well as many other peripheral nerve blocks to evaluate their efficacy for post-cesarean pain control. As mentioned above, RCTs comparing TAPs to ESP blocks have demonstrated the superiority of ESP block for decreasing total opioid consumption as well as increasing the time to first rescue analgesic. Further, while a meta-analysis has found no significant difference in analgesic effect of TAP compared to various types of QL blocks, multiple RCTs have individually produced results demonstrating TAPs inferiority to QL. A systematic review of 5 RCTs found similar efficacy for post-cesarean analgesia when comparing midaxillary TAP blocks to II/IH blocks. Two meta-analyses of post-cesarean patients in the absence of neuraxial morphine were unable to find significant analgesic advantage to an ultrasound guided TAP block versus direct wound infiltration of local anesthetic in the subcutaneous tissue by the surgeon.

**Stratify with Intrathecal Morphine**

Multiple meta-analyses, which admittedly do have some overlap in the RCTs they contain, have reviewed post-cesarean patients who have received neuraxial morphine as well as an ultrasound guided TAP block. Analysis of 524 patients in 2012 and later 1100 patients in 2020 have been unable to conclude that the addition to TAP block to neuraxial morphine has any analgesic benefit. A meta-analysis of midaxillary TAP blocks and lateral QL-blocks found that in the presence of neuraxial opioid there was no significant difference in analgesia in the patients who received regional anesthesia vs control. An RCT looking specifically at a population meeting the criteria for severe pre-eclampsia was able to redemonstrate that in the presence of neuraxial opioid, TAP block does not appear to significantly reduce opioid requirement or dynamic pain scores. However, in 2022 Ryu et al performed the largest meta-analysis to date on the topic including 76 studies consisting of 6278 post-cesarean section patients comparing various regional techniques including neuraxial, ESP, transverse fascia plane, QL, ilioinguinal-iliohypogastric, TAP, rectus sheath, and surgeon wound infiltration. In patients receiving interventional analgesia, Ryu et al suggest that only one peripheral nerve block in conjunction with neuraxial morphine provides additional analgesic effect than neuraxial morphine alone: a combination of ilioinguinal and anterior TAP blocks.

With the efficacy of TAP blocks in question in patients who have also received neuraxial morphine, authors have studied the addition of liposomal bupivacaine, trade name “Exparel”, to evaluate for added analgesic benefit or duration, if any exists. Two RCTs have demonstrated the addition of liposomal bupivacaine to a TAP block to provide non-inferior...
analgesia than standard TAP block with plain bupivacaine in both patients who have and have not received neuraxial morphine,23 one of which was able to demonstrate reduced opioid consumption over a longer period in those who received liposomal bupivacaine in their TAP block.66 More data is needed to further expand on these findings.

Rectus Sheath Block (RSB)
Anatomic Definition
The rectus sheath encloses the rectus abdominis muscles. It is a fibrous compartment formed by the aponeuroses of the transversus abdominis, internal oblique, and external oblique muscles. It contains the thoracoabdominal nerves after they course through the transversus abdominis plane. After entering the posterior rectus sheath, they then give off perforating anterior cutaneous branches to the midline abdominal wall. Of note, this arrangement is only present above the arcuate line which is located just caudal to the umbilicus. Below the arcuate line there is no posterior rectus sheath and as such the thoracoabdominal nerves perhaps course anterior to the rectus muscle. The classic technique of injecting into the layer posterior to the rectus abdominis muscle will likely not anesthetize the nerves below the arcuate line and thus does not provide a reliable block.67

Technique
A linear transducer scans above the umbilicus to visualize the oval-shaped rectus abdominis muscles. Below the rectus abdominis are two hyperechoic lines, the upper line being the posterior rectus sheath and the lower being the peritoneum (Figure 2F). A needle is inserted in-plane towards the space between the two, ideally at the lateral third of the rectus muscle to anesthetize the thoracoabdominal nerve before it perforates the rectus muscle. Local anesthetic is injected into the space with downward depression of the peritoneum.67

Efficacy in Cesarean Analgesia
Few studies have assessed the efficacy of analgesia of the rectus sheath block in cesarean section with a low Pfannenstiel incision. Efficacy in vertical incision is outside of the scope of this manuscript. An RCT comparing rectus sheath block to controls in the absence of neuraxial morphine did not reveal a significant difference in pain scores nor a reduction in 24-hour opioid consumption, raising concern that rectus sheath block may be ineffective at providing analgesia for this procedure. This same RCT compared rectus sheath block to TAP block and demonstrated a significant reduction in total opioid consumption as well as post-operative pain scores in the TAP block group.68

Stratify with Intrathecal Morphine
A 2020 trial randomized 131 women undergoing neuraxial anesthesia for cesarean section to one of three groups, either receiving both neuraxial morphine and a rectus sheath block with bupivacaine (M+RS+), neuraxial morphine and a rectus sheath block with saline (M+RS-), or a rectus sheath block with bupivacaine without neuraxial morphine (M-RS+). Notably, the rectus sheath blocks were performed under direct visualization by the surgeon. Intrathecal morphine appeared to have improved both resting and dynamic post-operative pain scores regardless of whether the patient received a rectus sheath block with bupivacaine or saline. No analgesic benefit was demonstrated comparing the rectus sheath bupivacaine to rectus sheath saline in the presence of neuraxial morphine.69

Local Wound Infiltration Analgesia
Technique
The surgeon at the close the procedure can inject local anesthetic directly into the surgical field in a location at his or her discretion, there is no one standard location for this technique. The surgeon can decide to place the local anesthetic deep to the rectus fascia, within the plane between the subcutaneous tissue and the rectus fascia, or solely infiltrate the subcutaneous tissue. Additionally, the surgeon can decide to do a single injection or place an indwelling catheter in the plane they choose to continually infuse local anesthetic.28
Efficacy in Cesarean Analgesia

Both TAP blocks and local wound infiltration, whether by single shot or continuous catheter technique, have been shown to decrease 24-hour opioid consumption and provide significant analgesia when compared to control in the absence of neuraxial opioid. However, a meta-analysis of 42 RCTs comparing TAP to wound infiltration and wound catheter found no statistically significant difference in 24-hr opioid consumption, 24-hour pain scores, or time to first supplementary analgesic between the two techniques. A second meta-analysis reproduced the same results; TAP blocks may have small to no significant advantage in post-operative pain reduction when compared to wound infiltration of local anesthetic by a surgeon. Separately, one RCT found that continuous wound catheter infiltration with ropivacaine did not provide any reduction in pain scores or post-operative opioid consumption when compared to a control who had continuous wound catheter infiltration with saline.

Stratify with Intrathecal Morphine

An RCT comparing 24-hour opioid requirements and pain scores between patients who received intrathecal morphine compared to those receiving continuous wound infiltration with ropivacaine found a significant reduction in post-operative opioid use in the first 24 hours in the intrathecal morphine group. More data is needed to expand on their conclusion which suggests intrathecal morphine is superior to continuous wound infiltration of local anesthetic for post-cesarean analgesia.

Discussion

The goal of this review is to examine the current state of the literature on peripheral nerve block techniques on post-operative analgesia in patients who underwent low-transverse cesarean section, with the understanding that meta-analysis is nearly impossible due to the high data heterogeneity in emerging nerve blocks. Specifically, we aim to compare the gold standard, neuraxial morphine, to the various available regional blocks and assess the efficacy and safety of each block in the presence and absence of neuraxial morphine (Table 3).

Nearly all the literature currently available directly comparing neuraxial morphine and individual regional anesthetics favors neuraxial morphine for post-operative analgesia, regardless of the peripheral nerve technique used. In fact, much of the literature demonstrates no significant analgesic benefit to peripheral blocks when placed in conjunction with neuraxial morphine. The largest meta-analysis to date on the subject suggests that only one peripheral nerve block in conjunction with neuraxial morphine provides additional analgesic effect than neuraxial morphine alone: a combination of ilioinguinal and anterior TAP blocks. Newer data from individual RCTs that have yet to be reproduced suggest other blocks may provide some analgesic benefit, one supporting low thoracic ESPB and one supporting posterior QLB. All of these findings are in support of the 2021 PROSPECT guidelines for analgesia for elective cesarean section, namely the use neuraxial morphine when able or, if unable, appropriate regional nerve block would be indicated.

Most of the regional anesthetic techniques have been reliably shown to provide some amount of analgesic benefit to the patient compared to placebo in the absence of neuraxial morphine, with TAP being the most used regional anesthesia technique. Comparing the emerging QLB with TAP blocks, while many individual RCTs have demonstrated analgesic benefit to all three QL block techniques over the TAPs block, the largest meta-analysis available comparing the two refutes this finding. When comparing the QL techniques against each other, the newest technique, the anterior QL block, appears superior in its ability to decrease post-cesarean pain and opioid consumption. Though ESPB is much less studied, preliminary data suggests it may have an analgesic benefit over TAPs block. It appears, perhaps unsurprisingly, the closer to the spinal nerve root and/or sympathetic trunk that the block occurs, the better the analgesic benefit (Figure 1). Additionally, the stepwise difference in analgesic benefit is more noticeable the further apart the blocks are from each other. These new trends from our literature review calls for confirmational studies.

Despite the possible benefits of the regional techniques closer to the spinal nerve root such as the anterior QLB and ESPB, their associated technical difficulty along with the challenge of turning a patient lateral or prone immediately following open abdominal surgery under either neuraxial or general anesthesia presents numerous risks and logistic challenges that need to be carefully assessed. It is possible that even if strong evidence were to emerge favoring these
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Study Type</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Neuraxial Morphine</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Conclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ribeiro Junior et al</td>
<td>Systematic Review and Meta Analysis</td>
<td>3 studies (260 women)</td>
<td>ESP block vs TAP block vs intrathecal morphine</td>
<td>Some but included in results</td>
<td>There was no difference in resting pain scores between ESPB and comparators after surgery at 4 hours (mean difference [MD] = 0.00; 95% CI: -0.72 to 0.72; I² = 0%; very low certainty), 12 hours (MD = -1.00; 95% CI: -2.00 to -0.00; I² = 0%; low certainty) and 24 hours (MD = -0.68; 95% CI: -1.56 to 0.20; I² = 50%; very low certainty). There was a smaller supplemental opioid requirement with ESPB compared with comparators (Tramadol mg, MD = -47.66; 95% CI: -77.24 to -18.08; I² = 58%; very low certainty). The analgesic duration of ESPB was longer than the comparators (MD = 6.97; 95% CI: 6.30 to 7.65; I² = 59%; very low certainty).</td>
<td>ESP block in the absence of neuraxial morphine did not lower postoperative pain scores compared to TAP block or intrathecal morphine, however it did show a lower requirement of supplemental opioid and a longer block duration than the others.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aygun et al</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>80 (40 per leg)</td>
<td>ESP block vs No block</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Lower first 24 hour morphine consumption in the ESPB group (4.02 ± 2 mg vs 6.02 ± 2.23 mg, p &lt; 0.001). Cumulative morphine dose at 3rd, 6th, and 12th hours were less in ESPB group (p &lt; 0.001).</td>
<td>Bilateral low thoracic ESP blocks significantly decreased the first 24-hour analgesia requirement in patients who underwent cesarean section in the absence of neuraxial morphine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dostbil et al</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>50 (25 per leg)</td>
<td>ESP block vs No block</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Lower first 24 hour fentanyl consumption in the ESPB group (279 ± 242.99 μg vs 423.08 ± 212.55 μg (p=0.003)). Longer time to first rescue analgesic in the ESPB group (150.20 ± 51.83 min vs 197.60 ± 84.49 min (p=0.022)). VAS pain scores at rest and with cough were significantly lower in ESPB group compared to control at 4, 8, and 12 hours postoperatively.</td>
<td>In the absence of neuraxial morphine, bilateral T9 ESP significantly decreased post-operative opioid consumption and prolonged time to first rescue analgesic following cesarean section compared to spinal alone.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malawat et al</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>60 (30 per leg)</td>
<td>ESP block vs TAPs block</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Time to rescue analgesia was significantly longer in the ESP Group (mean: 43.53 h) compared to the TAP Group (mean: 12.07 h) (p &lt; 0.001). The ESP group required significantly less supplemental analgesia over 48 h (p &lt; 0.001).</td>
<td>Low thoracic ESP reduces the requirement for and prolongs the time to supplemental analgesia when compared to TAP block in post-caesarean patients in the absence of neuraxial morphine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boules et al</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>60 (30 per leg)</td>
<td>ESP block vs TAP block</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Analgesia lasted longer in the ESP group vs the TAP group (12 hours [10, 14] vs 8 hours [8, 8]; p&lt;0.0001). VAS pain score was significantly lower in the ESP group than in the TAP group at 8 and 12 hours (p&lt;0.0001). The median total supplemental analgesic requirement was higher in the TAP group than ESP (Tramadol 125 mg [100, 150] vs 100 mg [75, 100], p=0.003)).</td>
<td>The ESP block provides more effective pain relief, has a longer duration of analgesic action, prolongs time to supplemental analgesia, and is associated with less tramadol consumption than the TAP block in post-caesarean patients in the absence of neuraxial morphine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Study Type</td>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Outcome Measures</td>
<td>Results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priya et al</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>52 (26 per leg)</td>
<td>ESP block vs posterior QL block</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No significant difference in cumulative number of post-operative analgesic doses ($W = 349,000$, $p=0.840$), numerical rating pain score at rest ($p=0.648$) or with movement ($p=0.520$), quality of recovery scores on postoperative day one ($p=0.349$), day two ($p=0.927$) or day of discharge ($p=0.676$).</td>
<td>Similar analgesic efficacy, quality of recovery, and complications occurred in patients who underwent ESP block or posterior QL block after Caesarian delivery.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamed et al</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>140 (70 per leg)</td>
<td>ESP block vs Intrathecal morphine (w/ sham ESP block)</td>
<td>Some but included in results</td>
<td>In the first 24 hours post-operatively, VAS pain scores at rest were, on average, 0.25 units higher in the ITM group than ESP group ($p=0.008$). The total tramadol consumption in the first 24 hrs was higher in the ITM group than in the ESPB group (101.71 ± 25.67 mg vs 44 ± 16.71 mg ($p&lt;0.01$)). The time to the first analgesic request was shorter in the ITM group than ESP (4.93 ± 0.82 hrs vs 12± 2.81 hrs ($p&lt;0.0001$)). Patient satisfaction did not differ significantly.</td>
<td>ESP block in the absence of intrathecal morphine has a successful postoperative analgesic effect and limits opioid consumption compared to intrathecal morphine alone in parturients undergoing c-section.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bakshi et al</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>60 (30 per leg)</td>
<td>ESP block vs anterior QL block</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>The time to first rescue analgesic was not significantly different ($p=0.19$) in the ESP group (11.9 ± 2.49 hours) vs the anterior QL group (12.56 ± 3.38 hours). NRS pain scores both at rest and with movement did not significantly differ between the groups at any time interval as far as 24 hours post-operatively ($p&gt;0.05$). Patient satisfaction scores ($p=0.74$) and complication rate also did not differ between groups.</td>
<td>Both ESP and anterior QL blocks are efficacious in reducing post-cesarean section pain scores and opioid consumption, however there does not appear to be a difference between the two in terms of analgesic efficacy, opioid reduction, or complications.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Quadratus Lumborum Blocks**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Study Type</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Outcome Measures</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tan et al</td>
<td>Systematic Review and Meta Analysis</td>
<td>10 RCTs (761 patients - 386 received QLB, 375 did not)</td>
<td>QLB vs controls, TAP block and neuraxial morphine, or when added to neuraxial morphine</td>
<td>Some but included in results Neuraxial morphine dosage not standardized between studies</td>
<td>Compared to controls, no difference in static or dynamic pain scores were noted with QL block at 24 hours (moderate certainty), although opioid consumption (mean difference −10.64 mg morphine equivalents; −16.01 to −5.27) was reduced in the QLB group (high certainty), supported by sufficient data. QLB reduced dynamic pain at 6 h, and static pain and opioid consumption at 6 and 12 h compared to controls. Compared to neuraxial morphine, QLB did not alter opioid consumption or pain scores at 24 h (low certainty), although TSA suggests insufficient data. Adding a QL block to patients already receiving neuraxial morphine did not improve first 24 hour dynamic and static pain scores (moderate certainty, supported by sufficient data). Due to limited data, meta-analysis and TSA were not performed to compare QLB and TAP blocks.</td>
<td>QLB improves post-cesarean delivery analgesia in parturients not receiving neuraxial morphine. Addition of QLB to parturients receiving neuraxial morphine has no significant analgesic benefit. Insufficient data are available to draw firm conclusions of QLB compared to TAP blocks or neuraxial morphine.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
Table 3 (Continued).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Study Type</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Neuraxial Morphine</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Conclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>El-Boghdady et al&lt;sup&gt;18&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Systematic Review and Meta Analysis</td>
<td>31 RCTs (2188 patients)</td>
<td>QLB (lateral; posterior; anterior) vs TAP block (subcostal; midaxillary)</td>
<td>Some but included in results</td>
<td>In the absence of neuraxial morphine, QLB and TAP blocks were equivalent (Mean Difference 4.89 MME) to each other and both superior to control (Mean Difference QLB 17.00 MME) (Mean difference TAP 21.89 MME) in reducing 24 hour opioid consumption. Both QLB and TAP in this population reduced pain scores compared to control at 4, 6, 8, 12, 18, 24, and 36 hours post-operatively. QLB reduced pain scores compared to TAP at 36 hours post-operatively, but at no other interval. In the presence of neuraxial morphine, no difference in 24 hour opioid consumption was found between control and QLB (Mean Difference 6.5 MME), control and TAP (Mean Difference -2.1 MME), or QLB and TAP (Mean Difference -8.6 MME). In this population, TAP was superior to control at reducing pain scores at 2 hours post-operatively but no other time interval, and QLB did not significantly reduce pain scores at any interval post-operatively compared to control.</td>
<td>In the absence of neuraxial morphine, QLB and TAP block were equivalent and both were superior to control in terms of analgesia and pain scores (moderate-quality evidence). In patients receiving intrathecal morphine, no differences in analgesia or pain scores were found between control, QLB and TAP block (moderate-quality evidence).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hussain et al&lt;sup&gt;19&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Meta Analysis</td>
<td>12 RCTs (924 patients)</td>
<td>QLB (lateral; posterior; anterior)</td>
<td>Some</td>
<td>In the presence of spinal morphine, no significant difference in cumulative opioid consumption (Mean Difference 0 mg, (p=0.450)) or resting pain scores (Mean Difference -0.1, (p=0.51)) was found between the group receiving QLB and no block. When comparing spinal morphine against QLB in the absence of spinal morphine, no significant difference in cumulative opioid consumption (Mean Difference 7 mg, (p=0.146)) or resting pain scores (Mean Difference 0.6cm, (p=0.259)) was found. In the absence of neuraxial opioid, comparing cumulative opioid requirement of those who received QLB (47 mg) and no block (67 mg) was significantly different (Mean Difference -18 mg, (p=0.001)). Further, resting pain scores were significantly improved with QLB than control (Mean Difference -1.5, (p=0.00001)).</td>
<td>Quadratus lumborum block does not improve analgesia when combined with or compared to spinal morphine (moderate-quality evidence). QL block improves post-caesarean analgesia in the absence of neuraxial morphine. The clinical utility of the QL block seems limited to situations in which one does not receive neuraxial morphine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Sample Size</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Comparator</td>
<td>Outcome Measures</td>
<td>Findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blanco et al(^{16})</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>48 (25 in bupi group and 23 in control)</td>
<td>Posterior QL block with 0.125% bupivacaine vs with saline</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>The bupivacaine group used significantly less supplemental morphine than the control group (p=0.001) at 6 and 12 h, but not at 24 and 48 hours after cesarean section. The bupivacaine group had significantly less morphine demand than the control group (p&lt;0.001) 6, 12, 24 and 48 h after cesarean section. The VAS pain score was significantly lower in the bupivacaine group, including VAS for pain at rest at all times except 24 hours after cesarean, and VAS for pain with movement at all times.</td>
<td>Posterior QL block in the absence of neuraxial morphine after cesarean section was effective and provided improved analgesia compared to control.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mieszkowski et al(^{15})</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>58 (28 in QLB group and 30 in control)</td>
<td>Lateral QL blocks vs control</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Post-operative supplemental opioid consumption was higher in the control group on day 0, 1, and 2 (p=0.000); the time to first dose of analgesic was longer in QLB I group than control (618 min vs 222 min (p=0.05)); and the median NRS pain score was higher in the control group (p&lt;0.05).</td>
<td>Lateral QL block significantly reduces opiate consumption and pain levels up to 48 hours postoperatively in post-cesarean section patients in the absence of neuraxial morphine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krohg et al(^{44})</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>40 (20 per leg)</td>
<td>Anterolateral QL block with ropivacaine vs saline</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>The 24-hour ketobemidone (equianalgesic to morphine) consumption was reduced in the ropivacaine group compared with the control (p=0.04). Pain scores were significantly reduced in the ropivacaine group compared with the control both at rest (p=0.01) and during coughing (p=0.01).</td>
<td>Lateral QL block reduces the postoperative pain medication consumption and pain intensity in the absence of neuraxial morphine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blanco et al(^{50})</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>76 (38 per leg)</td>
<td>Posterior QL block vs TAP block</td>
<td>Not Mentioned</td>
<td>The QL group used significantly less morphine than the TAP block group (p=0.005) at 12 (p=0.048), 24 (p=0.015), and 48 hours (p=0.027) after cesarean delivery, the differences were 37.5%, 55%, and 48%, respectively. The QL group had significantly fewer morphine demands than the control group (p=0.005) at 6 (p=0.040), 12 (p=0.025), 24 (p=0.006), and 48 (p=0.014) hours post-operatively. No significant differences in VAS pain scores were observed between the 2 groups either at rest or with movement at any timepoint.</td>
<td>For the first 48 hours post-cesarean section, the quadratus lumbarum block reduced opioid consumption and demand more than the transversus abdominis plane block.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaksa et al(^{48})</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>80 (40 per leg)</td>
<td>Anterior QL block vs posterior QL block</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Opiate consumption was significantly lower at the 3rd (p&lt;0.001), 6th (p&lt;0.001), 12th (p=0.004), and 24th (p=0.015) hours in the anterior QL group when compared with the posterior QL group. NRS resting pain scores showed reduced pain at the 1st (p&lt;0.001), 3rd (p&lt;0.001), 6th (p&lt;0.001), 9th (p=0.007), and 24th (p&lt;0.001) hour in the anterior QL group when compared with the posterior QL group. With movement, the anterior QL group had reduced NRS pain scores at all time intervals (p&lt;0.001).</td>
<td>The anterior QL block (old QL-III) reduces the need for opioids and delays the time to first rescue analgesic when compared to the posterior QL block (old QL-II) in post-cesarean patients in the absence of neuraxial morphine.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Study Type</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Neuraxial Morphine</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Conclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tamura et al.</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>146 (44 per leg)</td>
<td>Spinal morphine 0.1 mg (group M+); spinal saline (M−); posterior QL block using either 0.3% ropivacaine group (pQ+); or saline (pQ−)</td>
<td>Some but included in results</td>
<td>At 6 hours post-operatively, both rest and moving VAS pain scores were significantly lower in the M+pQ+ group compared with the M+pQ− or M-pQ- groups, and when comparing the M+pQ+ group with the M- pQ+ or M- pQ- groups (all p&lt;0.05). There was no significant difference in pain scores between the M+pQ+ and M+pQ− groups, or between the M-pQ+ and M-pQ- groups.</td>
<td>Neuraxial morphine improved postoperative analgesia but the combination of posterior quadratus lumborum block with spinal morphine did not lead to further improvement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benedicta et al.</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>56 (28 per leg)</td>
<td>TAP block vs lateral QL block</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Pain scores via NPIS at 8 hours post-operatively were significantly higher in the TAP group compared to the QL group (p=0.02), however the other time intervals were not found to have significantly different pain scores between the two groups. Time to first dose of rescue analgesic was 7.32 hours in the TAP group and 9.07 hours in the QL group (p&lt;0.001).</td>
<td>In patients undergoing lower segment cesarean section, lateral QL block had improved postoperative analgesia and longer duration to rescue analgesic than TAP block in the absence of neuraxial morphine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khanna et al.</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>80 (40 per leg)</td>
<td>TAP block vs posterior QL block</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Significantly fewer patients who received a QL block required rescue analgesia compared to those that received TAP blocks (15% vs 75%, (p&lt;0.001). Significant differences were observed in VAS pain scores at 10 hours postoperatively (QL 1.18 (0.55), TAP 3.08 (1.66) (p&lt;0.001). By 24 h postoperatively the difference between pain scores in TAP vs QL cohort was no longer statistically significant. Time to rescue analgesia was significantly longer in QL group than the TAP group (QL 1353 min, TAP 915 min, (p&lt;0.001)) and the average total dose of rescue analgesia was significantly lower in QL group than the TAP group (Paracetamol 153.84 mg vs 756.09 mg (p=0.001)).</td>
<td>Patients receiving QL block had prolonged analgesic effects and required less use of rescue analgesia in comparison with TAP group in the first 24 h postoperatively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borys et al.</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>102, QL (n=35), TAP (n=34), Control (n=33)</td>
<td>Posterior QL block vs TAP block vs control</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Acute pain: Both at rest and with movement, both blocks provided improved analgesia via lower VAS pain scores than controls at varying time points. Patients in the QLB and TAPB groups used less post-operative opioids than control (morphine: 9 mg IQR (5–10), 10 mg (6–14), 16 mg (11–19); (p&lt;0.001)). Chronic pain: Using the NPSI, pain severity was noted to be lower in the QL and TAP groups than in controls at both 1 month (p&lt;0.01) and 6 months (p=0.39) post-operatively. No significant difference was detected in NPSI scores at any post-operative interval between patients who received QLB vs TAP block.</td>
<td>Acute Pain: Both QLB and TAP reduced pain severity and total supplemental opioid consumption compared with control in patients after cesarean delivery. No difference in acute postoperative pain was observed between the QLB and TAP groups. Chronic Pain: Patients in the QLB group had lower pain intensity than controls six months after surgery. QLB might alleviate chronic postoperative pain severity in patients after cesarean section.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td>N (per leg)</td>
<td>Comparison</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>Result</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hansen et al&lt;sup&gt;47&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>72 (36 per leg)</td>
<td>Anterior QL block vs control</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>The ropivacaine group had a significant reduction in post-operative opioid requirement in the first 24 hours compared to control (oral morphine equivalents mean difference 29mg (p&lt;0.03). Time to first rescue analgesia was significantly longer (p=0.003) and NRS pain scores were significantly lower at 6 hours post-operatively (p&lt;0.03) in the group that received ropivacaine. Anterior QL block resulted in lower 24 hour opioid consumption, longer time to first opioid request, and improved pain scores compared to control.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salama&lt;sup&gt;55&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>90 (30 per leg)</td>
<td>Posterior QL block vs intrathecal morphine vs control</td>
<td>Some but included in results</td>
<td>The study used integrated analgesia score to measure pain, which utilizes the NRS pain score but accounts for recent supplemental morphine dosing. Morphine requirement at 48 hours was significantly different (p=0.001) between the QL group (mg 18.2 ± 9.6) and ITM group (mg 42.8 ± 10.4), and between both groups and the control (mg 61 ± 12.9) (p=0.001 for both). Time to first rescue analgesia was significantly different (p=0.002) between the QL group (mean = 17 hrs) and ITM group (mean = 8 hrs), as well as both groups compared to control (mean = 2 hrs) (p=0.001 for both). The QL group also reported significantly lower IAS pain scores during rest and movement compared to the ITM group, and both groups demonstrated significant reduction in pain scores compared to control. ITM groups had significantly higher rates of pruritus at 6 hours and PONV at 12 hours compared to the QL group. Both QL block and intrathecal morphine provide effective pain control and reduce opioid consumption after cesarean section, however in direct comparison, posterior QL block appears to provide a longer duration of analgesia, decrease total opioid consumption, and have less adverse effects compared to intrathecal morphine.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yetik et al&lt;sup&gt;49&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>104 (52 per leg)</td>
<td>Posterior QL block vs Anterior QL block</td>
<td>None, all general anesthesia</td>
<td>Anterior QL block group had significantly lower PCA attempts (5.65 ± 2.97 vs 9.38 ± 3.33 (p=0.027)), longer time to first attempt (hours; 1.74 ± 2.36 vs 0.43 ± 0.76 (p=0.001)), and lower 24 hour opioid consumption (tramadol mg; 128.46 ± 68.71 vs 218.75 ± 76.83 (p=0.003)) when compared to the posterior QL group. The anterior QL group had significantly lower VAS pain scores at rest and with movement at 2, 6, 12, and 24 hours postoperatively (p=0.001). Of note, 6 in anterior QLB had a failed block compared to 3 in posterior QLB groups. In patients who underwent cesarean section under general anesthesia, anterior QL block resulted in a significant reduction in pain and total opioid requirement, and prolonged time to first rescue analgesia when compared to patients receiving posterior QL block.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Study Type</td>
<td>Sample Size</td>
<td>Groups</td>
<td>Neuraxial Morphine</td>
<td>Outcomes</td>
<td>Conclusions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ryu et al^[20]</td>
<td>Systematic Review and Meta Analysis</td>
<td>76 studies (6278 patients) in papers published from 1991 to 2021</td>
<td>Compared ESP block, transverse fascial plane (TFP) block, QL block, iliobrachial nerve block, TAP block, Rs block, and surgical WI.</td>
<td>Some but included in results</td>
<td>The combined iliopsoas nerve and anterior transversus abdominis plane (II-aTAP) block in conjunction with ITMP had the highest SUCRA (surface under the cumulative ranking curve) values for postoperative rest pain at 6 h (88.4%) and 24-h morphine consumption (99.4%). Additionally, ITMP, iliopsoas-iliopsoas nerve block in conjunction with ITMP, lateral TAP block, and wound infiltration (WC) or continuous infusion (CI) below the fascia also showed a significant reduction in opioid requirement and resting pain scores at 6 hours post-operatively. Of the blocks, only the II-aTAP block had a statistically significant additional analgesic effect compared to ITMP alone on rest pain at 6 h after surgery (−7.60 (−12.49, −2.70)).</td>
<td>Combined iliopsoas nerve and anterior TAP block in conjunction with intrathecal morphine appears to be the most effective block strategy to lower pain scores at rest and decrease cumulative opioid requirement in post-cesarean patients.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mishriky et al^[39]</td>
<td>Systematic Review and Meta Analysis</td>
<td>9 RCTs (524 patients - 261 received TAPs block vs 263 controls)</td>
<td>TAP vs control and then compared whether or not they received intrathecal morphine</td>
<td>Some but included in results</td>
<td>TAP block significantly reduced supplemental opioid requirement compared to control at 6 hours (mean difference [MD] −10.18, 95% confidence interval [CI] −13.03 to −7.34), at 12 hr (MD −13.83; 95% CI −22.77 to −4.89), and at 24 hr (MD −20.23; 95% CI −33.69 to −6.77). TAP block also reduced pain scores for up to 12 hr and nausea in patients who did not receive ITM. In patients receiving ITM, TAP block slightly reduced pain scores with movement in the first 6 hours (MD −0.82, 95% CI −1.52 to −0.11), but did not reduce pain scores (p=0.44) nor opioid consumption (p=0.39) at 24 hours post-operatively. Dynamic pain scores (MD 0.98; 95% CI 0.06 to 1.91) and opioid consumption (MD 8.42 mg; 95% CI 1.74 to 15.10) at 24 hours were lower with ITM alone than TAP block alone, and time to first rescue analgesic was longer with ITM (8 hr vs 4 hr), although opioid-related side effects were more common.</td>
<td>TAP block significantly improved postoperative analgesia in women undergoing CD who did not receive ITM but showed no improvement in those who received ITM. Intrathecal morphine resulted in better pain scores compared with TAP block alone.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Wang et al\textsuperscript{18} Systematic Review and Meta Analysis 17 RCTs (1100 patients) from 2009 to 2019. Includes but not limited to 4 studies shared with Mishriky: Baaj 2010, Belavy 2009, Costello 2009, Tan 2012. TAP blocks vs control (either placebo blocks or no blocks) Some but included in results Compared to control, TAP block resulted in lower cumulative opioid consumption at 6 h (WMD: −8.32; 95% CI: −14.86, −1.79; (p=0.000)), 12 h (WMD: −10.75; 95% CI: −20.93, −0.57; (p=0.000)), and 24 h (WMD: −12.71; 95% CI: −21.28, −4.14; (p=0.000)) postoperatively. Dynamic and resting pain scores had no significant differences. The TAP group had longer duration to first analgesic request (WMD: 3.56; 95% CI: 1.43, 5.68) and had a lower requirement of rescue analgesia during the first 24 hours (RR: 0.40; 95% CI: 0.18, 0.86; (p=0.000)). TAP blocks reduced the need for antiemetics and lead to higher maternal satisfaction over control. TAP blocks did not add additional benefit in the presence of intrathecal morphine. TAP block can provide effective analgesia for patients who underwent cesarean section in the absence of long-acting intrathecal opioids. TAP blocks did not afford additional benefit in the presence of intrathecal opioid.

Yetneberk et al\textsuperscript{15} Systematic Review and Meta Analysis 5 RCTs (390 patients - 196 received TAP vs 194 IL/IH) TAP vs ilioinguinal/iliohypogastric nerve blocks Not mentioned No statistically significant difference was observed between the TAP and IL/IH groups in time to first rescue analgesic request (minutes: MD of −14.97; 95% CI: −25.13 to 6.20; (p=0.13)), total postoperative analgesic consumption (IV tramadol equivalent: MD = −25.13mg; 95% CI: −57.42 to 7.16; (p=0.13)), and post pain severity score at different points of time both rest and movement. Both TAP and ilioinguinal/iliohypogastric nerve blocks have similar analgesic efficacy following cesarean section.

Cole et al\textsuperscript{73} Retrospective Review 142 (43 received TAP block, 99 control) TAP vs no block, and intrathecal morphine use between groups Some but included in results When comparing TAP group to No-TAP group, the average time to first rescue analgesic was longer (hours: 23.3h vs 12.1; MD of 11.2; 95% CI: 24.8% to 24.3%; (p<0.001) and first 24 hour opioid consumption (intravenous morphine equivalents (IVME): 4.55 vs 2.67; MD of 1.88; 95% CI: 14.3% to 69.2%; (p=0.006) in the TAP group. Visual analog pain scores were significantly decreased in the TAP group up to 36 hours postoperatively. TAP blocks in addition to intrathecal morphine may decrease opioid use in the first 24 hours and improve pain scores for at least 36 hours following cesarean section.

(Continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Study Type</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Neuraxial Morphine</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Conclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Faiz et al</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>76 (38 per leg)</td>
<td>Midaxillary TAP block vs lateral QL block</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Numerical Analog Scale pain score was lower in the lateral QL group than midaxillary TAP group at 6 hours (2.65 vs 2.94, (p=0.03)), 12 hours (3.15 vs 4.63, (p&lt;0.001)) and 24 hours (3.47 vs 4.02, (p=0.004)) post-operatively. The lateral QL group had significantly higher patient satisfaction scores than the midaxillary TAP group (p=0.012). The lateral QL group requested significantly fewer supplemental analgesics (Meperidine, 29.2mg vs 41.8mg (p=0.002)) and it took a significantly longer time for the lateral QL group to request analgesics (13.3 hours vs 6.73 hours, (p&lt;0.001)).</td>
<td>After a cesarean section, lateral block provides improved pain scores, longer duration of analgesia, and higher patient satisfaction than the midaxillary TAP block.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habib et al</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>153 (LB, n = 52; LB+ITM, n = 48; ITM alone, n = 53)</td>
<td>LB 266 mg TAP block alone (LB group), ITM 50 μg followed by LB 266 mg TAP block (LB + ITM group), or ITM 150 μg alone (ITM group)</td>
<td>Some but included in results</td>
<td>The LB group had statistically noninferior postsurgical opioid consumption through 72 h compared with the ITM group (least squares mean [LSM], 19.2 vs 16.4 MMEs; LSM treatment ratio, 1.17 [95% confidence interval (CI), 0.74–1.86]; noninferiority p&lt;0.0034) as did the LB + ITM group (LSM, 14.6 vs 16.4 MMEs; LSM treatment ratio, 0.89 [95% CI, 0.55–1.44]; noninferiority p=0.0001). The LB and LB + ITM groups had significantly reduced pruritus severity scores as far as 72 hours post-operatively compared with the ITM group (p≤0.0121). Adverse events occurred in 58%, 85%, and 81% of the LB, LB + ITM, and ITM groups, respectively.</td>
<td>The use of TAP blocks with liposomal bupivacaine with or without 50μg intrathecal morphine, when compared with 150μg intrathecal morphine alone, provided statistically noninferior postoperative opioid use through 72 h with significantly reduced severity of pruritus and improved safety profile.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kupiec et al</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>88 (46 TAP vs 42 control)</td>
<td>TAP block vs control</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>In the first 12 hours, patients who received a TAP block required significantly less post-operative analgesia (tramadol mg: 234 vs 309.7, (p = 0.0055)). The TAP block group also had significantly lower visual analog pain score values 3 hours (p = 0.0000014), 6 hours (p = 0.015) and 12 hours (p = 0.006) postoperatively.</td>
<td>In the absence of neuraxial morphine, TAP block resulted in a significant reduction of pain and decrease in supplemental opioid requirement during the first 12 hours post-cesarean section.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Patients</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Comparator</td>
<td>Outcome Measures</td>
<td>Additional Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nedeljkovic <em>et al</em></td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>136 (LB plus BUPI HCl, n = 71; BUPI HCl alone, n = 65)</td>
<td>Liposomal bupivacaine + bupivacaine vs bupivacaine alone in TAP block</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Total opioid consumption through 72 hours was reduced with LB + bupivacaine versus bupivacaine alone (least squares mean [LSM] standard error (SE) MED, 15.5 mg [6.67 mg] vs 32.0 mg [6.25 mg]). LSM treatment difference of −16.5 mg (95% confidence interval [CI], −30.8 to −2.2 mg; (p=0.012)). The area under the curve of pain intensity scores through 72 hours show noninferiority of LB + bupivacaine vs bupivacaine alone (LSM [SE], 147.9 [21.1] vs 178.1 [19.7]; LSM treatment difference, −30.6; 95% CI, −75.9 to 14.7), with a prespecified noninferiority margin of 36 (p=0.002).</td>
<td>TAP block with liposomal bupivacaine + plain bupivacaine after cesarean delivery in women who received intrathecal morphine can reduce opioid consumption while managing pain when compared to TAP block with bupivacaine alone.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yan <em>et al</em></td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>119 (59 received TAP, 60 received Sham)</td>
<td>TAP block vs sham block</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>There was no significant difference in opioid consumption or time to first opioid between the two groups. The TAP group had lower VAS scores at rest at 4 hours ([0,1] vs [1,2] [p&lt;0.001]), 8 hours ([1,1] vs [1,5.2] [p&lt;0.001]), and 12 hours ([1,2] vs [2,1] [p=0.001]) compared to the Sham group. Maternal satisfaction was higher in the TAP group (89.9%) compared to Sham group (75%) (p&lt;0.05).</td>
<td>In patients with severe pre-eclampsia who received neuraxial morphine, TAP block did not reduce opioid consumption when compared to sham block, but resting VAS scores in the first 12 hours postoperatively and maternal satisfaction were improved with a TAP block.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lui <em>et al</em></td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>131 (47 M+RS+, 46 M-RS+, 38 M+RS-)</td>
<td>Intrathecal morphine + rectus sheath bupivacaine vs intrathecal morphine + rectus sheath saline vs no intrathecal opioid + rectus sheath bupivacaine</td>
<td>Some but included in results</td>
<td>Postoperative pain was higher after intrathecal saline than after intrathecal morphine on movement: vs intrathecal morphine and rectus sheath saline (p=0.025), or vs intrathecal morphine and rectus sheath bupivacaine (p=0.016); and at rest: vs intrathecal morphine and rectus sheath saline (p=0.009), or vs intrathecal morphine with rectus sheath bupivacaine (p=0.006). Pain with movement and at rest during 48 h were similar after intrathecal morphine with rectus sheath saline (p=0.999) or bupivacaine (p=0.98). Women receiving intrathecal saline had increased postoperative oral analgesic requests than those that received intrathecal morphine. Pruritis occurred in over 60% of women who received intrathecal morphine.</td>
<td>Intrathecal morphine improved analgesia after cesarean section, while postoperative rectus sheath blockade provided no additional benefit of pain control.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Study Type</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Neuraxial Morphine</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Conclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yorukoglu et al</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>90 patients (30 per leg)</td>
<td>TAP block vs rectus sheath block vs control</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Total opioid consumption was significantly lower in the TAP group compared to RS group (morphine mg: 7.5 vs 14.5 (p&lt;0.05)) and control (morphine mg: 7.5 vs 17.5 (p&lt;0.05)). Morphine consumption was not significantly different between those in Rectus sheath group vs control (morphine mg: 14.5 vs 17.5 (p&gt;0.05)). NRS pain scores significantly lower at rest and with coughing in the TAP group than in the RS group (p&lt;0.05) and control group (p&lt;0.05) at 2, 3, 12, and 24 hours post-operatively.</td>
<td>In the absence of neuraxial morphine, TAP block appears to lower pain scores and decrease total opioid consumption compared to rectus sheath block and control. Rectus sheath block does not significantly lower opioid consumption or pain scores compared to control of no intervention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sultan et al</td>
<td>Systematic Review and Meta Analysis</td>
<td>42 RCTs (2906 patients)</td>
<td>TAP blocks vs single shot wound infiltration (WI) vs catheter wound infiltration (WC) vs inactive controls</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>TAP blocks and wound catheters were both associated with significantly lower 24 hour opioid requirement than controls, but there were no significant differences between wound infiltration and controls. There was no statistically significant difference in 24 hour opioid requirement between TAP blocks and wound catheters or infiltration techniques. No significant differences were shown between TAP, WC, and WI groups for 24 hr pain scores, time to first analgesia, PONV, sedation, and pruritus.</td>
<td>In the absence of neuraxial morphine after cesarean delivery, TAP blocks and wound catheters are more effective opioid-sparing strategies than single shot wound infiltration or placebo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riemma et al</td>
<td>Systematic Review and Meta Analysis</td>
<td>5 RCTs (268 patients)</td>
<td>TAP blocks vs single shot wound infiltration</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>No significant differences in total supplemental opiate requirement between the TAP block vs wound infiltration groups at 24 hours (mean difference [MD] –1.68, 95% CI: –6.29 to 2.93, (p=0.48)) and 48 hours (MD 1.28, 95% CI: –10.44 to 13.00, (p=0.83)). Adverse effects, resting and dynamic pain scores, and patient satisfaction were similar between groups.</td>
<td>There may be no significant advantages in a TAP block over local wound infiltration for post-cesarean analgesia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>Interventions</td>
<td>Results</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kainu et al²¹</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>66 (22 per leg)</td>
<td>Intrathecal morphine + wound infiltration saline vs intrathecal saline + wound infiltration with ropivacaine vs intrathecal saline + wound infiltration saline</td>
<td>Some but included in results Mean opioid consumption during the first 24 hours postoperatively was significantly lower in the intrathecal morphine group (26 (±21) mg) than the ropivacaine infusion group (48 (±23) mg) (p=0.007), and the control group (45 (±23) mg) (p=0.021). There was no significant difference in opioid requirement between the ropivacaine wound infiltration and saline control groups (p&gt;0.05). Visual analog scale pain scores were not significantly different between intrathecal morphine and ropivacaine wound infiltration groups (p&gt;0.05). Intrathecal morphine decreased supplemental opioid requirement by 46% in the first 24 hours post-cesarean section when compared to continuous ropivacaine wound infusion. Continuous wound infusion with ropivacaine did not reduce the supplemental opioid requirement nor pain scores compared to saline controls.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telnes et al²²</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>57 (28 received TAP vs 29 received wound infiltration)</td>
<td>Both groups had TAP block and wound infiltration (one was saline and one was bupivacaine)</td>
<td>None Cumulative morphine consumption at 48 hours was higher in the TAP group than the control (41 ± 34 mg vs 38 ± 27 mg, MD 3 mg, 95% CI: -13 to 19 mg, (p=0.7)). Mean sedation over 48 h was 0.25 points higher in the TAP group (p=0.04, 95% CI = 0.02-0.48))</td>
<td>Compared with wound infiltration with local anesthetic, TAP block did not reduce total supplemental opioid requirement after cesarean section, and the TAP block was associated with more pronounced sedation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garmi et al²⁰</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>288 (143 received wound infiltration vs 145 control)</td>
<td>Wound infiltration vs control</td>
<td>None Wound infiltration group had a significant reduction in first 24 hour VAS pain scores (2.21 vs 2.41 (p=0.02)) compared to control. Analgesic requirement was significantly lower in the wound infiltration group than control (p=0.02). Patient satisfaction with analgesia in first 24 hours was significantly higher in the wound infiltration group than control (p=0.007).</td>
<td>In the absence of neuraxial morphine, patients receiving single shot wound infiltration of local anesthetic with epinephrine report decreased pain scores and opioid requirement, and increased satisfaction with pain control compared to controls.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
blocks, TAP blocks may continue to be routinely performed for post-cesarean analgesia in part due to the lower complexity in placing them – the anatomy is less nuanced, and they are readily performed in the supine position.

There are limitations in this review. First, existing study protocol heterogeneity (block techniques, local anesthetics and their dosing and concentration, adjuvants, multimodal analgesia components, etc.) made it impossible to draw reliable conclusions regarding the effectiveness of individual nerve block techniques, particularly the emerging peripheral nerve blocks, both in the presence and absence of neuraxial morphine. The purpose of this literature review is therefore to guide clinical decision making in evidence-based practice based on a review of available data, which is limited in quantity and heterogenous in study design. Continuous data accumulation would make a systemic review and/or meta-analysis meaningful in the near future. Additionally, there is sparse data on continuous regional catheters in this population; investigation of continuous peripheral nerve catheter infusions may prolong the benefit of regional anesthetics beyond that provided by neuraxial morphine and reveal an analgesic benefit to the blocks that does not exist with single shot regional anesthetics. Furthermore, few studies explored the clinical relevance of the statistical significance observed. Does a statistically significant reduction in pain scores or opioid requirement equate to a clinically significant finding that justifies the risks of performing regional anesthesia? Future studies on the short- and long-term effects of single injection or continuous peripheral nerve block with or without adjuvants in cesarean delivery could offer some directions.

Regardless of the anesthetic or the components of the multimodal analgesic chosen to perform a cesarean delivery, there remains a high incidence of postoperative pain and persistent post surgical pain after cesarean section. In fact, inadequate postoperative pain control is a leading cause of poor patient satisfaction after cesarean delivery.74 Sufficient pain control in this population is critical as it facilitates maternal–fetal bonding and leads to lower incidence of postpartum depression and maternal mortality.5,6 While ERAS protocols aim to address this outcome, more data is required to draw a definitive conclusion on the utility of and preferred technique for regional anesthesia in this patient population.

Conclusion
Neuraxial morphine continues to be the gold standard for post-cesarean section analgesia. The short-term benefits of performing a single injection regional anesthetic in patients who have already received neuraxial morphine may not be substantial regardless of the technique or location of the peripheral nerve block. In cases where neuraxial opioids have not or cannot be given, there is overwhelming evidence to support that regional anesthetic techniques can improve post-cesarean section analgesia and decrease opioid consumption immediately post-operative. TAP blocks currently have the largest amount of evidence to support their use, while evidence for better analgesic efficacy from emerging more central fascia plane blocks such as anterior QL and ESP blocks continues to accumulate.
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