Iatrogenic disease in the elderly: risk factors, consequences, and prevention
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Abstract: The epidemiology of iatrogenic disease in the elderly has not been extensively reported. Risk factors of iatrogenic disease in the elderly are drug-induced iatrogenic disease, multiple chronic diseases, multiple physicians, hospitalization, and medical or surgical procedures. Iatrogenic disease can have a great psychomotor impact and important social consequences. To identify patients at high risk is the first step in prevention as most of the iatrogenic diseases are preventable. Interventions that can prevent iatrogenic complications include specific interventions, the use of a geriatric interdisciplinary team, pharmacist consultation and acute care for the elderly units.
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Introduction
The definition of the term “elderly” varies widely in the medical literature, the most common lower limits being 60, 65, 70, 75, and 80 years.1 The term “elderly” comes from the Anglo-Saxon word “eld”, which forms the root of words that convey wisdom accrued from age and experience.2 The word “iatrogeny” derives from Greek and refers to any pathologic alteration caused to a patient by the inappropriate practice of health professionals, which results in harmful consequences for the patient’s health.3 According to the World Health Organisation, iatrogenic disease may be defined as adverse drug reactions or complications induced by nondrug medical interventions.4 In addition, iatrogenic disease has been defined by Darchy et al5 as a disease induced by a drug prescribed by a physician, after a medical or surgical procedure (excluding intentional overdose, nonmedical intervention) unauthorized prescription, and environmental events (eg, falls, defective equipment). However, it has to be kept in mind that, by definition, an adverse drug reaction differs from an adverse drug event, in that the former is an outcome attributable to a drug, whereas the latter, while associated with medication intake, is not necessarily so.6

Iatrogenic disease is an important cause of both death and illness among older people, and occurs in all aspects of medical practice, starting with the patient-doctor relationship, and including diagnosis, treatment, and, finally, prevention of disease.3 This article reviews the epidemiology, risk factors, consequences, and prevention of iatrogenic disease in the elderly.

Epidemiology
The epidemiology of iatrogenic disease in the elderly has not been precisely reported. However, it is possible to make estimates from previous publications related to...
iatrogenic disease. A recent meta-analysis showed the incidence of iatrogenic disease to be between 3.4% and 33.9%. In 1998, Darchy et al reported that of 623 patients admitted to the intensive care unit, 68 (10.9%) were considered to be iatrogenic cases. The causes of iatrogenic disease were drugs in 41 cases, medical interventions in 12, and surgical interventions in 15. Risk factors for iatrogenic disease were old age and the number of prescribed drugs. These iatrogenic complications included adverse drug effects (e.g., interactions), falls, nosocomial infections, pressure areas, delirium, and complications related to surgery. In 2003, Peyriere et al reported that the rate of avoidability of adverse drug effects is even higher, with 9.5% of cases considered to be iatrogenic cases. The causes of iatrogenic disease were drugs in 41 cases, medical interventions in 12, and surgical interventions in 15. Risk factors for iatrogenic disease were old age and the number of prescribed drugs.

In 2004, Darcy et al. reported that of 623 patients admitted to the intensive care unit, 68 (10.9%) were considered to be iatrogenic cases. The causes of iatrogenic disease were drugs in 41 cases, medical interventions in 12, and surgical interventions in 15. Risk factors for iatrogenic disease were old age and the number of prescribed drugs. These iatrogenic complications included adverse drug effects (e.g., interactions), falls, nosocomial infections, pressure areas, delirium, and complications related to surgery. In 2003, Peyriere et al reported that the rate of avoidability of adverse drug effects is even higher, with 9.5% of cases considered to be iatrogenic cases. The causes of iatrogenic disease were drugs in 41 cases, medical interventions in 12, and surgical interventions in 15. Risk factors for iatrogenic disease were old age and the number of prescribed drugs.

Risk factors
Elderly patients have more comorbidities than their younger counterparts. An increased incidence of adverse drug events in elderly patients may be explained by the increased number of pathologies or disease states present in the elderly, and the number of drugs taken on a long-term basis, resulting in alteration in excretion and elimination processes (kidneys, liver) and changes in plasma protein levels (e.g., hypoalbuminemia). This increased exposure to medical interventions increases the risk for adverse consequences of care. To identify patients at high risk is the first step in prevention, and most iatrogenic diseases are avoidable.

Drug-induced iatrogenic disease
Multiple medications (polypharmacy) that transform the elderly into living “chemistry sets”, are probably the most ubiquitous threats for iatrogenic disease. In a study of elderly patients, a high number of daily drugs increased the risk of drug interactions responsible for iatrogenic illnesses in 12.6% of cases. Taking multiple drugs concurrently and having multiple chronic diseases markedly increase the risk of adverse drug–drug or drug–disease interactions. The risk of such interactions is particularly high among patients who are malnourished or who have renal failure. Also, certain drugs have a particularly high risk of causing adverse effects in the elderly.

Studies of US patients aged over 65 years indicate that at least half may be preventable. Finally, iatrogenic pathology in the elderly population in parallel with an increased prevalence of iatrogenic pathology with age.

Multiple chronic diseases
The greater the number of chronic diseases, the greater the risk that treatment of one disease will exacerbate other conditions. For example, treatment of arthritis with a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug may exacerbate heart failure, coronary artery disease, or chronic gastritis.
Multiple physicians
Misdiagnosis, including both overtreatment and undertreatment, is a recurrent problem in the elderly. Having multiple physicians can result in uncoordinated care and unnecessary polypharmacy. As a result, a patient’s therapeutic regimen is frequently changed without the input of the patient’s other physicians, thereby increasing the risk of iatrogenic complications.13

Hospitalization
Hospitalization of nursing home residents is costly, and potentially exposes residents to iatrogenic disease and psychological harm.15 Jahnigen et al reported a disproportionately high incidence of iatrogenic diseases in hospitalized patients over the age of 65 years.16 Foster et al demonstrated that intensive care unit-based adverse events are common, and have a large impact on length of hospital stay.17 They estimated that adverse events were independently associated with an average increase in hospital stay of 31 days. This study reaffirms the importance of improving patient safety in the intensive care unit by monitoring the risk of adverse events.

Medical or surgical procedures
Several of the risks for iatrogenic disease are amenable to control. Risks due to hospitalization include hospital-acquired infection, polypharmacy, and transfusion reactions. Hospitalized patients who have dementia or who are immobilized (eg, after surgery) are at high risk of iatrogenic complications.

Medical technology may contribute to iatrogenic complications, including sudden death or myocardial infarction after valve replacement surgery, stroke after carotid endarterectomy, fluid overload after transfusions and infusions, unwanted prolongation of life via artificial life support, and hypoxic encephalopathy after potentially life-prolonging cardiopulmonary resuscitation.

For epidural anesthesia, Date et al reported that epidural catheters were misplaced in the subarachnoid space in six cases and in the thoracic cavity in two cases over a period of eight years (1999–2007) at an urban university hospital.18 In urology, Permpongkosol et al19 reported their experience with laparoscopic extravesical neoureterocystostomy for iatrogenic distal ureteral stricture using a transperitoneal intracorporeal freehand suturing technique. One patient, a 77-year-old male, underwent a Lich-Gregor antireflux ureteral reimplantation following complications arising from transurethral resection of the prostate.

Consequences
In 1980, the World Health Organisation introduced a new system of classification related to the consequences of disease, known as the “International Classification of Impairments, Disabilities, and Handicaps”. Clinicians must know how iatrogenic disease presents in the elderly and how to manage it. Because one of the hallmarks of aging seems to be a loss of reserve capacity, and hence a loss of ability to respond to stress, many older persons may fail to exhibit the characteristic symptoms associated with a given disease.

Moreover, most of the elderly suffer from several chronic conditions, making it difficult to distinguish clearly a symptom of iatrogenic disease in the context of coexisting problems. A diagnosis of iatrogenic disease thus requires a substantial degree of insight and subtlety. Iatrogenic disease can happen to anyone, especially amongst the elderly, and may have quite traumatizing psychomotor and social consequences. As a consequence of iatrogenic disease, the patient may become dependent on a third person, and may no longer be able to leave their home. Iatrogenic disease produced as a result of medical intervention may lead to confusion in certain cases during investigation and postmortem examination. For example, Chattopadhyay and Pal reported a rare case in which the iatrogenic injuries produced by a medical practitioner during the course of treatment of a case of suicidal hanging resulted in suspicion being raised as to the nature of the death.20

Prevention
A preventable event may be defined as an event that would not occur if medical science can provide optimal management. Prevention remains the best guarantee of good quality of life, and can decrease the social and economic costs of illness.21 However, older patients and those with a greater number of health problems have been shown to be at increased risk of preventable adverse events.22

Identification of the elderly who are at high risk, minimization of medication, early recognition and treatment of illness, and close management of chronic illness, are necessary components of preventive care. Mercier et al reported that 73.8% of iatrogenic events in their study of intensive care unit cases seemed preventable.8

The traditional tripartite division of prevention into primary, secondary, and tertiary prevention is difficult to maintain when considering the healthcare needs of older people.23,24 Primary prevention aims to stop iatrogenic disease before it starts, usually by reducing or eliminating
risk factors. In secondary prevention, iatrogenic disease is detected and treated at an early stage, before symptoms or functional losses occur, thereby minimizing morbidity and mortality. In tertiary prevention, an existing symptomatic, usually chronic, iatrogenic disease is appropriately managed to prevent further functional loss. Iatrogenic disease is the most preventable problem among the elderly. Interventions that can prevent iatrogenic complications are now discussed.

Specific interventions
Up to 50% of adverse events that occur in hospitals are preventable. Care managers who facilitate communication among healthcare practitioners should ensure that the required services are provided, and prevent duplication of these services. Language barriers and disabilities that affect communication have been shown to decrease quality of care. Patients with communication problems appeared to be at highest risk for preventable adverse events. Interventions to reduce the risk for these patients need to be developed and evaluated.

Some specific interventions to reduce iatrogenic disease have been tested. A randomized controlled trial showed that a letter from the general practitioner was highly effective in reducing benzodiazepine use among older people. Care managers may be employed by physician groups, health plans, or community or governmental organizations. The frail elderly benefit most from case management. Management of the hospitalized elderly must be multidisciplinary, and should take into account the particular clinical and therapeutic characteristics of such a population. To decrease the incidence of adverse drug events, better use of drugs administered could be improved with better knowledge of the patients’ medical histories and risk factors for adverse drug events. With improved collaboration between physicians and healthcare practitioners who specialize in drug safety, physicians could become more aware of adverse drug events. In addition, close contact between patients and nurses allows nurses to play a leading role in the prevention and detection of adverse drug events.

A study by Dos Santos and Ceolim suggests the importance of devising methods to encourage nursing professionals to report iatrogenic events accurately, as well as to create wards specifically for the elderly population. However, their report was not detailed and failed to indicate interventions which could prevent new occurrences.

Blinderman raised the issue of opioid-related iatrogenic harm, and discusses what counts as medical error in these circumstances, suggesting that palliative care specialists have a unique responsibility to provide guidance and establish a standard of care that all clinicians should adhere to.

Pharmacist consultation
A pharmacist can help to minimize the potential complications caused by polypharmacy and inappropriate drug use. The authors of a previous meta-analysis urged prescribers to change their habits with respect to the management of constipation in elderly subjects. The risk/benefit ratio of the drugs administered could be improved with better knowledge of the patients’ medical histories and risk factors for adverse drug events.

Clinical guidelines are often based on the results of clinical trials conducted in young subjects with a lower level of disease complexity. Therefore, the recommendations of clinical guidelines may be difficult to apply to older adults. Fusco et al presented a rationale and methodology in CRIME (the Development of CRIteria to assess appropriate Medication use among the Elderly), translating the recommendations of general clinical guidelines to this type of patient. As well as being alert to the possibility of new iatrogenic problems, it is also prudent to reassess a patient’s entire drug regimen at least twice a year, including categories often overlooked by patients and doctor, ie, drugs bought over the counter and “nutraceuticals”, such as herbal remedies or dietary supplements.

Geriatric interdisciplinary team
The comprehensive multidimensional assessment of older people is one of the cornerstones of the specialty of geriatric medicine. A geriatric interdisciplinary team evaluates all of the patient’s needs, develops a coordinated care plan, and manages (or, along with the primary care physician, comanages) care. Because this intervention is resource-intensive, it is best reserved for very complex cases.

Acute care for the elderly units
Traditionally, acute medical care has been insufficient to meet the complex care needs of frail older adults. These units are in hospital wards with protocols to ensure that elderly patients are thoroughly evaluated for potential iatrogenic problems before they occur, and any such problems are identified and...
appropriately managed.13 Ahmed and Pearce showed acute care for the elderly units to be associated with positive global outcomes (eg, cost, length of stay, readmission rates, utilization, rehabilitation, cognition, function, patient/staff satisfaction). Further research has pointed to a decreased incidence of delirium and polypharmacy.30

Ou et al41 reported that a surgeon’s expertise in biliary surgery, preoperative imaging, precise operative procedures, and conversion from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy when needed, are important measures to prevent common bile duct injuries. Iatrogenic urethral injuries are a substantial source of preventable morbidity in hospitalized male patients. The implementation of a nursing education program may significantly decrease the incidence of iatrogenic urethral injury and, thereby improve patient safety.26

Bunting et al31 published an article to equip physicians with an adequate working knowledge of risk management and quality management information, which will enable them to practice more effectively in today’s litigious and regulatory climate.52

Patients are encouraged to prepare advance directives, including designation of a proxy to make medical decisions.13 These documents can help prevent unwanted treatment for critically ill patients who cannot speak for themselves.

Conclusion
Iatrogenic disease in the elderly population has a particularly significant impact due to the conjugation of major demographic phenomena. Multiple drugs, multiple physicians, multiple chronic diseases, hospitalization, and disease arising from medical or surgical procedures increase the risk of iatrogenic disease in the elderly. Iatrogenic disease may have a significant psychomotor impact and devastating social consequences. Interventions that can prevent iatrogenic complications include a geriatric interdisciplinary team, pharmacist consultation, acute care for the elderly units, and advance directives.
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