Predictive Models of Muscle Strength in Older People with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus


Purpose: To propose predictive models for absolute muscle strength (AMS) of elderly people with type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (DM2) in primary health care.

Patients and Methods: The cross-sectional study was conducted with 138 elderly diabetics. The AMS was measured by a JAMAR® hydraulic handgrip dynamometer, determined by the sum of both hands. The following indices were evaluated: waist-to-height ratio (WHtR), body mass index (BMI), Lipid Accumulation Product (LAP), Triglyceride/High Density Lipoprotein (TG/HDL) ratio and platelet/lymphocyte ratio (PLR). Multiple linear regression was used in the statistical analysis.

Results: The final regression model indicated 66.4% (R²=0.66) of the variation in AMS. WHtR decreased AMS by 41.1% (β = −0.19; t = −3.70; p < 0.001), while PLR by 11.3% (β = −0.12; t = −2.36; p = 0.020). Male sex increased AMS by 10.6% (β = 0.32; t = 4.16; p < 0.001), and lean mass (LM) by 0.89% (β = 0.46; t = 6.03; p < 0.001).

Conclusion: WHtR and PLR predicted a decrease, while male sex and LM predicted an increase in AMS. It is suggested that these markers be used as screening measures for variation in AMS in older adults with DM2. These results have relevant practical application in primary health care since the markers are easy to use.
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Introduction

Physiological changes that occur with aging are usually accompanied by multifactorial complications that make the elderly more susceptible to developing diseases such as cancer, neurodegenerative, cardiovascular, and metabolic diseases. Among these, type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM2) is of concern. Population-based studies show a variation in the prevalence of diabetes mellitus in the elderly, depending on the verification method, between 14.24% and 22.66%.

Data from the national health survey from 2013 to 2019 point to a prevalence between 17.7% and 19.9% for elderly aged 65 to 74 and 19.5% and 21.1% for those over 75 years old.

Several studies have demonstrated the deleterious effects of DM2 on human health in the long term, such as vision loss associated with diabetic retinopathy, chronic kidney disease, amputations, and poorer quality of life. DM2 is characterized by hyperglycemia resulting from a progressive dysfunction in insulin secretion by pancreatic β-cells, leading to insulin resistance (IR). Generally, the IR process during aging is accompanied by a metabolic disorder, which can be potentiated by a sedentary lifestyle. It was demonstrated that physical inactivity contributes to excess adiposity while decreased muscle mass and strength.

Of note, changes in the musculoskeletal system, as well as other alterations that impact autonomy or the ability to perform daily living activities, are associated with DM2 in the elderly. The interaction of these two conditions in...
a bidirectional manner can lead to a high prevalence of reduced mobility, central obesity, sarcopenia, worse physical performance, increased falls risk and lower muscle strength (MS). Furthermore, in patients with DM2, muscle quality is significantly reduced, which can lead to incapacitation, dependence, and even death. In an elegant study, it was observed that MS declined by one-third more over 3 years in older patients with DM2 compared with those without diabetes after controlling covariates, such as demographics, body composition, physical activity and combined chronic diseases.

Additionally, clinical follow-up studies have proposed new metabolic and inflammatory markers associated with disease progression. Among these markers, the Lipid Accumulation Product (LAP) and the Triglyceride/High Density Lipoprotein (TG/HDL) ratio are noteworthy, both with predictive capacity for pre-diabetes, diabetes, and visceral obesity. Accumulating reports show an association of these indices with metabolic syndrome and sarcopenia. Furthermore, elevated platelet/lymphocyte ratio (PLR), which is considered an inflammatory biomarker, has been associated with unfavorable outcomes and poorer glycemic control in patients with DM2.

Evidence indicates that DM2 may influence the reduction of MS by increasing the inflammatory cytokine levels, which is commonly observed in IR. Moreover, some skeletal muscle changes typical of DM2, such as decreased type II fibers and decreased glucose uptake capacity may generate IR, in addition to the accumulation of senescent cells and decreased autophagy capacity and enzyme activity. Despite these DM2 alterations may contribute to the decline in MS, reduced MS is not completely explained by the biological markers. The care for elderly with DM2 must be integrated and holistic, being essential a multidimensional approach for better understanding of disease-related complications. Thus, Handgrip strength test is strong and independent predictor of short-term mortality, functional impairment, chronic disease incidence such as DM2, and polypharmacy.

Addressing the multiple predictors of MS in DM2 has significant functional implications for clinical outcomes. However, the intrinsic and extrinsic factors that negatively affect muscle strength in the elderly with DM2 have not yet been fully investigated. To the best of our knowledge, there are no studies in the literature in which muscle strength, anthropometric, metabolic, and inflammatory parameters were treated simultaneously in specific population with DM2. Since the impact of diabetes on neuromuscular function has been related to the co-existence of long-term complications, our findings may help health professionals in designing interventions targeted at MS, besides providing meaningful and realistic goals to progress geriatric management. Better understand the predictors of MS could offer fascinating insights for practical diagnostic and treatment guidance related to health status in DM2. Hence, this study aimed to propose predictive models for absolute MS in the elderly, besides analyzing the relationship between body composition, anthropometric, metabolic, and inflammatory indices with muscle strength in the elderly with DM2.

Materials and Methods
This is a cross-sectional study conducted with elderly individuals seen at the Primary Health Care system (PHC) of the capital of Brazil. The project was approved by the Institutional Ethical Review Board (Health Department of the Federal District) under the number 1.355.211. All participants were informed about the research and signed the Informed Consent Form. All procedures followed the guidelines of the National Research Council according to the current legislation in Brazil (Resolution No. 46/2012) and are in agreement with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Participants and Study Design
To calculate the sample size, a confidence level of 95%, a statistical error of 5% and the total number of older adults enrolled in the diabetic follow-up group of the PHC (N = 300) was adopted. The sampling was random, by means of a conventional drawing carried out according to the number of people enrolled in the group. The sample was initially composed of 152 elderly subjects of both genders who met the following inclusion criteria: age ≥60 years; being able to verbalize and answer the proposed questions (assessed by the Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE)); have been diagnosed with DM2 for at least one year. Study participants were invited by telephone calls as registered in the PHC diabetic follow-up group.

Fourteen subjects were excluded due to the following reasons: presence of inflammatory, rheumatic, or autoimmune diseases that made it impossible to perform the procedures; wheelchair users; amputees or any other physical disability.
that prevented them from performing the muscle strength tests and/or the physical performance test; and those who did not comply with the study steps. The cutoff scores used for exclusion in the MMSE were as follows: 17 for illiterates; 22 for seniors with 1 to 4 years of schooling; 24 for those with between 5 and 8 years of study and 26 for those with 9 or more years of study.29

Thus, 138 participants completed the study. Data collection occurred from June to August 2019 in two moments. The first moment was at the university where participants underwent questionnaires, anthropometrics, and Dual-energy X-ray Absorptiometry (DEXA) measurements. In the second moment, at the PHC, blood samples were collected, and the muscle strength test was performed.

**Procedures, Tests, and Measurements**

Initially, a structured questionnaire was applied to verify the demographic and clinical data of the participants, as well as life habits. Changes in sleep patterns were reported by the elderly as normal sleep or difficulty sleeping.30 The level of physical activity was assessed by the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAq) short version.31 Blood pressure (BP) was measured following the criteria established by the Brazilian Guideline on Hypertension.32

Anthropometric characteristics were measured by body mass, height, and waist circumference (WC). Central obesity was considered when WC measurements were ≥88 cm (women) and ≥102 cm (men).33 Fat Mass (FM), lean body mass (LBM), fat-free mass (FFM) and the percentage of body fat (%BF) were determined using DEXA (General Electric-GE, 8548 BX1L, 2005, type Lunar DPX-Encore 2005).34 The %BF ≥38% (women) and ≥25% (men) were considered to be high.35

Subsequently, participants were scheduled to attend the PHC for blood collection after fasting for 12 hours. A biomedical researcher from the research group collected 15 mL of blood using the venipuncture method, preferably in the antecubital fossa. The following metabolic markers were evaluated: glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), glycaemia, total cholesterol, triglycerides, high-density lipoprotein (HDL-C), and low-density lipoprotein (LDL). Inflammatory markers evaluated were Tumor Necrosis Factor-alpha (TNF-α), Interleukin-6 (IL-6), and Interleukin-10 (IL-10). Cytokines were evaluated by Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) according to the specifications of the high-sensitivity R&D Systems Quantikine kit. The coefficient of variation (CV) and intra-assay sensitivity were determined. Measurements were performed in triplicate with mean values being reported, as previously described.36 For hematological parameters, platelet and lymphocyte counts were determined using a MICROS ABX analyzer according to the manufacturer’s protocol.37

**Anthropometric, Metabolic, and Inflammatory Indices**

The body mass index (BMI) and the waist-to-height ratio (WHtR) were used as anthropometric indices based on the following equations:

\[
\text{BMI} = \left(\frac{\text{Mass (kg)}}{\text{Stature (cm)}^2}\right) \quad 38
\]

\[
\text{WHtR} = \left(\frac{\text{WC (cm)}}{\text{Stature (cm)}}\right) \quad 39
\]

The following metabolic indices were adopted:

The Lipid Accumulation Product (LAP) calculated using sex-specific formulas with TG expressed in mmol/L and the appropriate conversions made40 as well as the Triglyceride to high-density lipoprotein ratio (TG/HDL) were adopted as metabolic indices. They were calculated according to the following equations:

\[
\text{LAP Women} = ((\text{WC (cm)} - 58) \times (\text{TG (mmol/L)}))^{41}
\]

\[
\text{LAP Men} = ((\text{WC (cm)} - 65) \times (\text{TG (mmol/L)}))^{41}
\]

\[
\text{TG/HDL} = \left(\frac{\text{TG (mg/dL)}}{\text{HDL (mg/dL)}}\right) \quad 23
\]

As an inflammatory index, we used the platelet/lymphocyte ratio (PLR) with Logarithm transformed (log-trans), calculated using the equation:

\[
\text{PLR} = \left[\log - \text{trans} (\text{Platelets (n)})/\text{Lymphocytes (n)}\right]^{42,43}
\]

**Absolute Muscle Strength**

Strength was measured using a calibrated JAMAR® hydraulic dynamometer. In the sitting position and holding the dynamometer in a 90-degree elbow flexion, the participants did three measurements in each hand, with a one-minute
interval between each measurement. The maximum readings were recorded. Verbal encouragement was provided during measurements, and absolute handgrip strength (AMS) was the sum of the maximum readings from both hands.¹⁴

Statistical Analysis
All analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (IBM SPSS, IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA, 25.0). The Kolmogorov–Smirnov Test was used to verify data distribution. Descriptive analysis was used to present the data as minimum and maximum values, “mean ± standard deviation” (when parametric), “median and interquartile range-IQR” (when nonparametric) or “percentage of total” (when categorical). Comparisons were made with Student’s t-test for parametric variables and the Mann–Whitney test for non-parametric variables.

Subsequently, a multiple linear regression analysis was performed considering the following prerequisites: minimum number of 20 subjects per independent variable, normally distributed residuals, absence of outliers, absence of multicollinearity, linear relationship between the independent variables and the dependent variable, and presence of homogeneity. The initial model included ten variables and the Stepwise Backward method was used in the subsequent models. From the initial model, the variables that contributed less to the prediction of AMS were removed, according to the p-value. The final model presented the unstandardized coefficients (NSC), regression coefficient (β), t-test and p-value, which identifies the contribution of each variable in the model prediction. The multiple determination coefficients (R²) of the models were presented. Significance was set at p < 0.05.

Results
We assessed 138 elderly subjects (66.7% women) with a mean age of 68.3 ± 6.2 years. Based on BMI, most of the sample (64.5%) presented obesity, with a prevalence of 67.4% in women and 58.7% in men. Moreover, 84.1% of the sample presented high %BF, while 65.2% presented central obesity with increased WC in 82.6% of women and 30.4% of men. Most of the study volunteers had systemic arterial hypertension (SAH) (84.8%), more than 10 years of DM2 diagnosis (54.4%), no insulin use (73.9%), and sleep pattern changes (51.5%). According to IPAq, 57.3% of the sample was considered irregularly active or sedentary (59.8% of women and 52.2% of men).

Women presented lower body mass, height, LBM and FFM (p < 0.001), as well as lower TG/HDL ratio (p = 0.016) compared to men. On the other hand, higher values of %BF and FM (p < 0.001), WHtR (p = 0.025), HDL (p < 0.001), and IL-6 was observed in women (p = 0.011). The mean AMS in the sample was 46.7 ± 15.5 (Kgf), being lower in females (p < 0.001), as shown in Table 1. Lower AMS was observed in participants older than 70 years (p = 0.049), with central obesity (p < 0.001), with SAH (p = 0.010), with altered sleep (p = 0.015), and irregularly active/sedentary (p = 0.017). When divided by sex, lower AMS was found in irregularly active/sedentary women (p = 0.035), as shown in Table 2.

Table 1 Baseline Characteristics of the Sample According to Sex (n = 138)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Total (n=138)</th>
<th>Female (n = 92)</th>
<th>Male (n = 46)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DM2 duration (years)</td>
<td>10.0 (4.0–15.0)</td>
<td>10.0 (4.0–16.0)</td>
<td>6.0 (3.0–15.0)</td>
<td>0.362²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>68.3 ± 6.2</td>
<td>68.6 ± 6.4</td>
<td>67.6 ± 5.9</td>
<td>0.402²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>72.0 ± 11.6</td>
<td>69.3 ± 11.1</td>
<td>77.3 ± 10.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>1.58 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.54 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.66 ± 0.06</td>
<td>&lt;0.001⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (Kg/m²)</td>
<td>28.1 (26.0–31.5)</td>
<td>28.7 (26.1–31.8)</td>
<td>27.5 (25.6–30.6)</td>
<td>0.164⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC (cm)</td>
<td>99.3 ± 10.2</td>
<td>98.4 ± 10.0</td>
<td>101.1 ± 10.3</td>
<td>0.137⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF%</td>
<td>39.3 ± 7.6</td>
<td>43.3 ± 5.0</td>
<td>31.3 ± 5.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BFM (Kg)</td>
<td>26.8 (22.6–32.3)</td>
<td>28.7 (24.6–34.7)</td>
<td>23.5 (18.9–27.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBM (Kg)</td>
<td>42.6 ± 8.1</td>
<td>38.3 ± 4.9</td>
<td>51.1 ± 6.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFM (kg)</td>
<td>44.8 ± 8.5</td>
<td>40.2 ± 5.1</td>
<td>53.8 ± 6.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001⁴</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
In the multiple linear regression analysis, seven predictive models of AMS were generated with the p-values of the variables included in each model according to the regression coefficients (β) of the variables (Table 3).

The model for predicting AMS showed a coefficient of determination $R^2 = 0.664$, indicating that 66% of the variation of the AMS in the elderly can be explained by the determination and the variables in the model. The absence of multicollinearity (Table 1).

### Table 1 (Continued).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Total (n=138)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female (n = 92)</td>
<td>Male (n = 46)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHtR</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood glucose</td>
<td>125.5 (103.0–173.0)</td>
<td>122.5 (102.0–169.5)</td>
<td>131.0 (109.0–175.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>6.8 (6.0–8.6)</td>
<td>6.8 (6.0–8.4)</td>
<td>6.9 (6.0–8.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t-Chol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>198.0 (179.0–241.0)</td>
<td>198.0 (178.5–241.0)</td>
<td>204.5 (180.0–241.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (mg/dL)</td>
<td>140.5 (102.0–197.0)</td>
<td>139.5 (98.5–185.5)</td>
<td>155.5 (108.0–216.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mg/dL)</td>
<td>51.0 (45.0–61.0)</td>
<td>53.5 (47.0–64.0)</td>
<td>46.0 (42.0–52.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (mg/dL)</td>
<td>115.2 (101.6–136.4)</td>
<td>112.3 (100.6–134.0)</td>
<td>125.0 (103.6–140.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG/HDL</td>
<td>2.6 (1.9–4.0)</td>
<td>2.3 (1.6–3.6)</td>
<td>3.1 (2.0–4.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α (pg/mL)</td>
<td>29.5 (12.4–41.5)</td>
<td>27.6 (12.5–42.0)</td>
<td>30.6 (9.0–41.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6 (pg/mL)</td>
<td>16.6 (14.8–18.2)</td>
<td>16.7 (15.2–18.5)</td>
<td>15.3 (14.0–17.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10 (pg/mL)</td>
<td>5.2 (4.8–7.3)</td>
<td>5.2 (4.7–7.2)</td>
<td>5.3 (4.8–7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRL</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMS (Kg.F)</td>
<td>46.7 ± 15.5</td>
<td>38.6 ± 9.1</td>
<td>62.5 ± 13.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation or median (interquartile range). *Independent t-test. **Mann–Whitney U-test.

Abbreviations: DM2, type 2 diabetes mellitus; BMI, body mass index; WC, waist circumference; BF%, body fat percentage; BFM, body fat mass; LBMM, lean body mass; FFM, fat free mass; WHR, waist to height ratio; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; T-Chol, total cholesterol; TG, triglycerides; HDL, high density lipoprotein; LDL, low density lipoprotein; LAP, lipid accumulation product; TG/HDL, triglyceride/high density lipoprotein ratio; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor –alpha; IL-6, interleukin-6; IL-10, interleukin-10; PLR, platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio; AMS, absolute muscle strength.

In the multiple linear regression analysis, seven predictive models of AMS were generated with the p-values of the variables included in each model according to the regression coefficients (β) of the variables (Table 3).

The model for predicting AMS showed a coefficient of determination $R^2 = 0.664$, indicating that 66% of the variation of the AMS in the elderly can be explained by the determination and the variables in the model. The absence of multicollinearity.

### Table 2 Comparison of AMS According to the Clinical Characterization and Physical Activity (n = 138)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total (n=138)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female (n = 92)</td>
<td>Male (n = 46)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.049b</td>
<td>0.733a</td>
<td>0.102a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 70 years</td>
<td>43.0 (36.0–47.0)</td>
<td>38.4 ± 8.4</td>
<td>57.2 ± 13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 to 69 years</td>
<td>47.0 (39.0–59.0)</td>
<td>39.1 ± 9.7</td>
<td>64.4 ± 12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF%</td>
<td>0.127b</td>
<td>0.504a</td>
<td>0.219a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>43.5 (36.0–52.0)</td>
<td>38.5 ± 9.2</td>
<td>61.4 ± 13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>46.0 (41.0–65.0)</td>
<td>40.4 ± 9.3</td>
<td>67.4 ± 9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Obesity</td>
<td>&lt;0.001b</td>
<td>0.808a</td>
<td>0.950a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>41.0 (33.0–47.0)</td>
<td>38.7 ± 8.9</td>
<td>62.4 ± 14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>52.5 (42.5–65.5)</td>
<td>39.3 ± 10.6</td>
<td>62.6 ± 13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAH</td>
<td>0.010b</td>
<td>0.954a</td>
<td>0.126a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>43.0 (36.0–52.0)</td>
<td>38.8 ± 9.4</td>
<td>60.8 ± 12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>58.0 (42.0–65.0)</td>
<td>38.6 ± 6.3</td>
<td>67.3 ± 13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM2 diagnoses</td>
<td>0.205b</td>
<td>0.822a</td>
<td>0.375s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 10 years</td>
<td>43.0 (36.0–52.0)</td>
<td>39.0 ± 9.6</td>
<td>60.6 ± 12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 10 years</td>
<td>45.0 (36.0–60.0)</td>
<td>38.5 ± 8.6</td>
<td>64.1 ± 13.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
was verified among the predictor variables, with standardized residuals within normal range. The negative regression coefficients indicated a decrease in AMS. Thus, WHtR decreases AMS by 41.1% ($\beta = -0.193; t = -3.702; p < 0.001$), while PLR decreases it by 11.3% ($\beta = -0.121; t = -2.362; p = 0.020$). Male sex, on the other hand, increased AMS by 10.6% ($\beta = 0.326; t = 4.158; p < 0.001$), and LBM increased it by 0.89% ($\beta = 0.466; t = 6.029; p < 0.001$) (Table 4).

Thus, the regression function for Model 7 is presented:

$$\text{AMS} = [75.76 - 41.05 \times (\text{WHtR}) - 11.33 \times (\text{PLR}) + 10.64 \times (\text{Sex}) + 0.89 \times (\text{LBM})]$$

**Discussion**

The aim of the present study was to analyze the relationship of body composition, anthropometric, metabolic and inflammatory indices with MS in elderly people with DM2. The initial hypothesis of the study was partially confirmed, since the final prediction model pointed to WHtR, PLR and LBM as predictor variables of AMS. Regarding body composition, measurements, and anthropometric indices, it was found that men had higher body mass, height, LBM, and

### Table 2 (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor Variables</th>
<th>Initial Model P-value</th>
<th>Model 2 P-value</th>
<th>Model 3 P-value</th>
<th>Model 4 P-value</th>
<th>Model 5 P-value</th>
<th>Model 6 P-value</th>
<th>Model 7 P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insulin use</td>
<td>0.502b</td>
<td>0.015b</td>
<td>0.017b</td>
<td>0.572a</td>
<td>0.593a</td>
<td>0.035a</td>
<td>0.941a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>46.0 (39.0–55.5)</td>
<td>39.8 ± 10.1</td>
<td>38.5 ± 8.8</td>
<td>60.0 ± 13.4</td>
<td>63.7 ± 13.2</td>
<td>62.3 ± 13.6</td>
<td>62.7 ± 13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>43.5 (36.0–54.0)</td>
<td>38.4 ± 9.5</td>
<td>39.4 ± 8.7</td>
<td>63.7 ± 13.2</td>
<td>62.7 ± 13.3</td>
<td>62.3 ± 13.6</td>
<td>62.7 ± 13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep pattern</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altered</td>
<td>41.0 (34.0–51.0)</td>
<td>38.4 ± 9.5</td>
<td>39.4 ± 8.7</td>
<td>63.7 ± 13.2</td>
<td>62.7 ± 13.3</td>
<td>62.3 ± 13.6</td>
<td>62.7 ± 13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>47.0 (38.0–62.0)</td>
<td>37.2 ± 9.4</td>
<td>39.4 ± 8.7</td>
<td>63.7 ± 13.2</td>
<td>62.7 ± 13.3</td>
<td>62.3 ± 13.6</td>
<td>62.7 ± 13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insuff./Sed</td>
<td>41.0 (33.0–53.0)</td>
<td>37.2 ± 9.4</td>
<td>39.4 ± 8.7</td>
<td>63.7 ± 13.2</td>
<td>62.7 ± 13.3</td>
<td>62.3 ± 13.6</td>
<td>62.7 ± 13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>47.0 (40.0–61.0)</td>
<td>41.2 ± 8.3</td>
<td>41.2 ± 8.3</td>
<td>65.6 ± 13.3</td>
<td>65.6 ± 13.3</td>
<td>65.6 ± 13.3</td>
<td>65.6 ± 13.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation or median (interquartile range). ^a^Independent t-test. ^b^Mann–Whitney U-test.

**Abbreviations:** BF%, body fat percentage; SAH, systemic arterial hypertension; DM2, type 2 diabetes mellitus; Insuff., insufficiently active; Sed, sedentary.

### Table 3 Presentation of the p-value of the Predictive Variables Included in the Predictive Models of AMS of the Sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor Variables</th>
<th>Initial Model P-value</th>
<th>Model 2 P-value</th>
<th>Model 3 P-value</th>
<th>Model 4 P-value</th>
<th>Model 5 P-value</th>
<th>Model 6 P-value</th>
<th>Model 7 P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM2 duration (years)</td>
<td>0.956</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>0.888</td>
<td>0.878</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6 (pg/mL)</td>
<td>0.715</td>
<td>0.714</td>
<td>0.712</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mg/dL)</td>
<td>0.542</td>
<td>0.541</td>
<td>0.538</td>
<td>0.616</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG/HDL (mg/dL)</td>
<td>0.395</td>
<td>0.393</td>
<td>0.395</td>
<td>0.269</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF%</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLR (u/mm^3)</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHtR</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBM (Kg)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** DM2, type 2 diabetes mellitus; IL-6, interleukin-6; HDL, high density lipoprotein; TG/HDL, triglyceride/high density lipoprotein ratio; BF%, body fat percentage; PLR, platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio; WHtR, waist to height ratio; LBM, lean body mass.
FFM, whereas women had higher %BF, FM, and WHtR. Collectively, our findings support the idea that MS decline in DM2 can be explained by multifactorial causes.

Our results are similar to those of other studies.\textsuperscript{45,46} During aging, these changes are mainly characterized by the accumulation of abdominal and intramuscular fat, with increased pro-inflammatory status and IR.\textsuperscript{47} Such results are related to the decrease in MS taking into consideration the other substantial age-related changes, especially in mechanical and neuromuscular factors, such as reduction in the number and size of muscle fibers, especially type II, which are responsible for force production\textsuperscript{48} and increased muscle stiffness, caused by changes in the composition of muscle connective tissue.\textsuperscript{49} An early screening of MS will identify the physical disability risk, besides facilitating the planning of appropriate interventions that prevent deficits in functional capacity during aging.

On the other hand, body and intramuscular lipid accumulation, identified in %BF, LBM and WHtR measurements, explains, at least in part, the influence of obesity on the relationship between MS and LBM.\textsuperscript{50,51} Furthermore, lipid accumulation is known to be associated with IR, inflammation, and functional deficits in skeletal muscle,\textsuperscript{52,53} characteristics that were observed in the participants of this study. Since the sample was composed of diabetics, the low MS results are in line with the existing relationships between increased pro-inflammatory status and IR, with a specific increase in visceral fat in the abdomen,\textsuperscript{47} as demonstrated by the WHtR.\textsuperscript{54}

It was observed that WHtR presented higher values than those observed in a study carried out with Brazilian elderly whose objective was to identify cut-off points for WHtR and identify overweight elderly individuals based on three BMI criteria, which was used as anthropometric reference. In that study, the WHtR cut points were 0.57, 0.58, and 0.59 for women, and 0.56, 0.58, and 0.59 for men, which shows, therefore, a high WHtR in the sample of the present study.\textsuperscript{55} The high WHtR values found in the present study are associated with the high prevalence of obesity observed, similarly to the results of previous studies carried out in the PHC in the capital of Brazil.\textsuperscript{56,57}

The relation of obesity with decreased MS can be explained, in part, by the increase in adipose tissue and its metabolic alterations, causing tissue fibrosis, hypoxia, and necrosis of adipocytes, which elevates chronic inflammation and IR.\textsuperscript{58} In a recent meta-analysis, involving 16,800 individuals with DM2 observed that elder age, male gender, and chronic hyperglycemia were predictors for sarcopenia, whereas patients with lower BMI were not prone to get sarcopenia,\textsuperscript{59} which suggests that obesity is often the most important risk factor in the pathological progression and DM2 development. Also, it is associated with metabolic abnormalities that result in IR and a progressive decrease in MS.\textsuperscript{60} Thus, the relationship between predictive factors related to obesity must be established to serve as a guideline for clinical protocols that utilized MS.

In the present study, the high values of fasting glucose and biochemical and metabolic markers observed were expected, since it is a sample composed of diabetics and most of them were overweight. Individuals with DM2 usually present dyslipidemia with changes in biochemical and metabolic markers, such as increased triglycerides and LDL and low HDL levels, which increases blood glucose and generates IR.\textsuperscript{9,61} Some metabolic indices have been shown to be associated with DM2 and poor glycemic control.\textsuperscript{21} These indices may be related to low MS, given that IR present in DM2 induces an additional accumulation of extracellular matrix, which is related to increased muscle stiffness and impaired muscle functions, especially low strength.\textsuperscript{62}
In the analysis of inflammatory parameters, higher levels of IL-6 were observed in women compared to men. It is known that in the elderly, changes in the immune system and inflammation response are influenced by factors such as gender, changes in hormone concentrations, age, health status, and drug treatments. IL-6 is a cytokine characterized especially by its pro-inflammatory action that may be related to IR, which promotes the accumulation of M1 macrophages and stimulates inflammation, being directly linked to the decrease in MS. In the initial prediction models of strength that were used, IL-6 was included, although it did not show statistical significance. For this reason, it was excluded in the final model.

The volunteers who reported altered sleep had lower AMS. Sleep disorders are related to a lower level of physical activity, thus corroborating the present study, which observed lower AMS in the irregularly active/sedentary elderly. Noteworthy, insufficient physical activity is a known factor for lower MS. In this context, physical inactivity in diabetic elderly may be associated with sleep disorders and physical, emotional, and cognitive changes, especially physical and cognitive disability, as well as depressive symptoms. All these factors are related to the high prevalence of altered sleep observed in this study. In addition, physical inactivity contributes to the loss of lean body mass, increased adipose tissue and obesity, a fact verified in most of the participants in this study, since most of them were physically inactive and had a high body fat percentage.

Regarding the relationship of TG/HDL with MS, there are still no consistent results in the literature, as observed in studies that look for a relationship between TG/HDL and sarcopenia, presenting conflicting findings. In a study with 879 elderly from Korea, it was concluded that TG/HDL was positively associated with a higher risk of sarcopenia. In a study that stratified the participants by quartiles of TG/HDL, it was observed that sarcopenia decreased with increasing TG/HDL ratio, while those classified with higher TG/HDL were mostly male and had higher LBM.

The differences found in these results depend on other factors such as genetics, lifestyle, and different populations, which contribute to interindividual variations in serum cholesterol and TG levels. In addition, the sarcopenia criterion adopted must be considered. Above all, the use of the TG/HDL ratio is an easy-to-apply method which has become known that in the elderly, changes in the immune system and inflammation response are influenced by factors such as genetics, lifestyle, and different populations, which contribute to interindividual variations in serum cholesterol and TG levels. In addition, the sarcopenia criterion adopted must be considered. Above all, the use of the TG/HDL ratio is an easy-to-apply method which has become widespread in clinical practice.

In the present investigation, the predictive model of AMS showed $R^2=0.664$, in which the variation of AMS was explained by the variables WHtR, PLR, Sex and LBM. It is emphasized that WHtR and PLR predicted the decrease in AMS, while male sex and LBM predicted increased AMS. In a cohort of geriatric outpatients, no influence of WHtR on MS was found. However, the same study indicated worse physical performance associated with higher WHtR values. Therefore, WHtR is an effective measure of central obesity in predicting risk factors for cardiovascular disease, DM, and high metabolic risk. Understanding the predictors of AMS is relevant to assessing the need for assistance, care, and support for the elderly. Also, it may have greater relevance in self-rated health, mainly when they are matched with other persons of the same age.

It is believed that the high influence of WHtR on the variation of AMS in the present study is due to its association with metabolic syndrome (METS). Although the classification of METS was not performed, most of the sample in the present study presented components that are characteristic of the syndrome, such as DM, high prevalence of SAH, high WC, increased glycemia and TG. It is known that hyperglycemia and systemic inflammation, mechanisms associated with METS, are related to muscle fiber composition, insulin sensitivity, mitochondrial function and MS. A study of 1244 elderly Koreans found that relative MS correlated negatively with metabolic parameters, including components of METS. The study further suggests that maintaining a high level of MS may reduce the incidence of METS.

In the final prediction model, PLR was able to predict AMS. This variable has been suggested as an indicator of systemic inflammation. Platelets secrete inflammatory substances and interact with various cell types that can initiate or exacerbate arterial wall inflammation. In addition, a study of 3671 elderly people concluded that elevated PLR was significantly associated with sarcopenia. In addition, PLR has been related to other disorders affecting the elderly, such as DM2, atherosclerosis, high visceral fat, and osteoporosis. In this sense, PLR is considered an easy-to-use marker, since it can be determined from routine tests in PHC. It should be considered that obesity and DM elevate this marker.
Overweight individuals have higher lymphocyte counts, confirming the positive correlation between lymphocyte counts and BMI. Furthermore, PLR is an independent predictor for METS occurrence.

Another significant variable in the prediction equation was LBM. Although the relationship between LBM and MS is well established in the literature, in this study, LBM explained only 0.89% of the AMS. This result may be related to the obesity profile identified in the sample, since LBM better predicts MS in non-obese elderly, indicating that obesity classification plays an important role in the relationship between MS and LBM. Furthermore, men generally have higher LBM compared to women, which explains lower AMS in women compared to men, as found in previous studies. Thus, in the present study, male gender was a predictor variable of increased AMS. This finding is related to the factors that decrease MS in women, such as a greater tendency to accumulate fat and changes in the type of body fat distribution in women, especially after menopause, when hormone levels and muscle protein synthesis decrease, while inflammation and catabolic pathways increase.

The results of the present study demonstrate that the significant variables in predicting AMS in older people are related to the obesity profile. Furthermore, in order to develop strategies to promote the health, the determinants of obesity, such as age and gender, should be considered. Thus, due to the impact of MS reduction and its complications in the lives of diabetic people, the findings of this study have the potential to contribute to clinical practice in a multi-professional, simple and economical way and can be used in the definition of therapeutic strategies and early recognition of predictors of both the increase and decline of MS. Concerning practical applications, elderly with low muscle performance should be encouraged to practice exercise programs to improve their physical condition, including muscle strength and hypertrophy.

Considering the growing population of the elderly and how diabetes can affect their quality of life, the screening factors that influence muscle weakness can assist in the preservation of maximum functional capacity and protect against disease complications, besides reduction of care expenses and bringing back their overall health. Concerning practical applications, the older people with type 2 diabetes mellitus with low MS may be offered guidance for reducing potential consequences of this response or increasing benefits through resistance training by changing the prescription according to their individual values of MS.

This study has some limitations. Because this was a cross-sectional study, it was not possible to establish a cause-and-effect relationship between the clinical variables and outcomes. Moreover, the sample size did not allow the inclusion of more variables in the multivariate linear regression model. Another relevant factor concerns sample selection. Since these were individuals with DM2, most of the participants presented dyslipidemia, obesity, inflammation, and higher risk of developing METS. Thus, it was possible to identify only a few differences for the anthropometric, metabolic, and inflammatory markers analyzed. In addition, the use of other means substituted for DXA is suggested, provided they are validated, for measuring LBM.

**Conclusion**

In summary, the main factors of AMS variation in the elderly are related to obesity and sedentary lifestyle. WHtR and PLR predicted a decrease, whereas male gender and LBM predicted an increase in AMS. These markers are easy to use as screening measures of AMS variation in elderly people with DM2. Hence, our findings may benefit professional practice in PHC, by suggesting the use of these markers for early identification and management of the variables related to MS decline in the elderly.
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