Cardiovascular risk during hormonal treatment in patients with prostate cancer
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Abstract: The objective of this review is to provide information on cardiovascular risk following androgen-deprivation therapy (ADT) in prostate cancer patients and to suggest potential prevention and management strategies. Androgen deprivation therapy can cause peripheral insulin resistance, increase fat mass and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and induce type 2 diabetes. While recent studies have reported an association in patients with prostate cancer between ADT and increased risk of cardiovascular events, other studies have not detected the association. However, at this time, it is plausible that ADT could increase cardiovascular risk because of the adverse effect of ADT on risk factors for cardiovascular disease. It is advisable that prostate cancer patients in whom ADT is initiated be referred to their physician, who will carefully monitor them for potential metabolic effects. Therefore, physicians should be informed about these potential side effects. This especially applies to men aged >65 years and those with pre-existing cardiovascular comorbidities. Adopting a healthy lifestyle including a balanced diet and regular physical activity is recommended. Patients with cardiovascular disease should receive appropriate preventive therapies, including lipid-lowering, antihypertensive, glucose-lowering, and antiplatelet therapy. ADT should preferably not be unnecessarily administered to prostate cancer patients with pre-existing cardiovascular disease, certainly not to those in whom the risk of prostate cancer-specific mortality is low. The physician should carefully weigh the potential benefits of ADT against the possible risks in individual patients with prostate cancer.
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Introduction
The standard treatment for locally advanced and metastatic prostate cancer is androgen-deprivation therapy (ADT), which can be achieved by means of surgical castration (orchiectomy) or the use of gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonists or antagonists.

Recent epidemiological data indicate that ADT is increasingly being used in asymptomatic patients with early-stage disease, a setting in which benefits are lacking.¹ Patients are increasingly exposed to long-term ADT, and may take gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonists for more than 10 years. However, ADT is accompanied by a number of adverse effects (Table 1).² Concerns have been raised particularly about metabolic and cardiovascular side effects of ADT because of their impact on morbidity and mortality in men with prostate cancer. The purpose of this review is to provide
information on cardiovascular risk following ADT in prostate cancer patients and to suggest potential prevention and management strategies.

Methods
Data for this review were identified on Medline using the search terms “prostate neoplasms” (MeSH), “gonadotropin-releasing hormone” (MeSH), “androgen antagonists” (MeSH), and “cardiovascular diseases” (MeSH major topic). From the references obtained, selection was made based on the clinical relevance and importance of the article. Subsequent references were identified from the reference lists of retrieved articles. The search was limited to papers published in English between 2001 and 2010.

Metabolic side effects of ADT
Several mechanisms may contribute to cardiovascular toxicity. Prospective clinical studies have demonstrated that ADT causes dramatic changes in the patient’s body mass composition and induces peripheral resistance to insulin that can induce or precipitate type 2 diabetes and dyslipidemia.3–8 ADT causes a very morbid combination of muscular atrophy (decreased lean mass) and an increase in fatty deposits, a situation known as “sarcopenic obesity”.9 Sarcopenic obesity is associated with several typical features of the metabolic syndrome, such as increased subcutaneous fat, increased high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and increased levels of adiponectin.10 According to the National Cholesterol Education Program’s Adult Treatment Panel III guidelines, a man is considered to have metabolic syndrome if he meets three of the criteria shown in Table 2.11 A cross-sectional study showed that nearly 80% of prostate cancer patients on ADT for at least 1 year had a waist circumference ≥102 cm and 55% of these patients have metabolic syndrome, predisposing them to higher cardiovascular risk. Among the components of metabolic syndrome, ADT-treated men had a higher prevalence of abdominal obesity and hyperglycemia. They also had elevated triglycerides compared with controls.12 Prospective studies have shown that some of the ADT-associated changes are different from the classical metabolic syndrome changes (Table 3). ADT increases subcutaneous rather than visceral fat.6 Additionally, ADT increases rather than decreases high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and does not change waist-to-hip ratio. Finally, ADT is associated with increased serum adiponectin levels and unchanged C-reactive protein levels, while the conventional metabolic syndrome is characterized by low levels of adiponectin and increased C-reactive protein. ADT-associated metabolic changes may lead to an increased risk of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. An increase in arterial stiffness may also contribute to the association between gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonists and increased cardiovascular-related toxicity.8 Finally, it is worth mentioning that ADT may prolong the QT interval, as reported by Garnick et al after reviewing the results of three Phase III randomized trials of different modalities of ADT. Indeed a prolonged QT interval (>450–500 msec) is associated with a higher incidence of arrhythmias and sudden death. ADT was associated with 9–21 msec increases in the corrected QT interval among a total of 476 patients.13

Table 1 Potential side effects experienced by men receiving androgen deprivation therapy for prostate cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hot flushes</th>
<th>Fatigue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Erectile dysfunction/decreased libido</td>
<td>Reduced bone mass and skeletal complications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic complications</td>
<td>Increased fat mass/weight gain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular complications</td>
<td>Anemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced muscle strength/fatigue</td>
<td>Cognitive changes/depression</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Definition of metabolic syndrome in men

Any three or more of the following criteria:

- Waist circumference >102 cm
- Triglycerides ≥150 mg/100 mL
- High-density lipoprotein cholesterol <40 mg/100 mL
- Blood pressure ≥130/85 mmHg
- Fasting glucose ≥110 mg/100 mL

Note: *According to the National Cholesterol Education Program’s Adult Treatment Panel III.

Table 3 Classical metabolic syndrome changes and metabolic changes in men treated with gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonists

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classical metabolic syndrome</th>
<th>Men treated with gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonists</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blood pressure</td>
<td>Increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference</td>
<td>Increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist-to-hip ratio</td>
<td>Increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides</td>
<td>Increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-density lipoprotein cholesterol</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat accumulation</td>
<td>Visceral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adiponectin</td>
<td>Subcutaneous</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Effect on cardiovascular events and mortality

Although there is much evidence confirming a profound effect of ADT on metabolic changes, the real impact of the rate of cardiovascular events and cardiovascular morbidity is far from clear. Several large cohort studies have examined the association between ADT and increased incidence of cardiovascular disease and diabetes. In a recent population-based observational study of 37,443 men of all ages with nonmetastatic prostate cancer, using data from the Veterans Administration, Keating et al reported an association between gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonists and a significantly increased risk of incident diabetes (adjusted hazards ratio [HR] = 1.28, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.19–1.38), incident coronary heart disease (adjusted HR = 1.19, 95% CI: 1.10–1.28), myocardial infarction (adjusted HR = 1.28, 95% CI: 1.08–1.52), sudden death (adjusted HR = 1.35, 95% CI: 1.18–1.54), and stroke (adjusted HR = 1.22, 95% CI: 1.10–1.36). Combined androgen blockade is significantly associated with an increased risk of incident coronary heart disease (adjusted HR = 1.27, 95% CI: 1.05–1.53) and orchiectomy is associated with coronary heart disease (adjusted HR = 1.40, 95% CI: 1.04–1.87) and myocardial infarction (adjusted HR = 2.11, 95% CI: 1.27–3.50). The same study concluded that monotherapy with nonsteroidal antiandrogen was not associated with any of the toxic effects examined, therefore suggesting a potentially wider place in patients with cardiovascular toxicity. Incident coronary heart disease was defined as coronary heart disease identified at least 6 months after diagnosis in men without prevalent disease.14 These findings have been confirmed in another study from the same group using the Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) Medicare data (n = 73,196), but analyses were limited to older men (≥66 years), and information was lacking about antiandrogens and whether ADT was associated with stroke. Gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist use was associated with increased risk of incident coronary heart disease (adjusted HR = 1.44, P < 0.001), coronary heart disease (adjusted HR = 1.16, P < 0.001), myocardial infarction (adjusted HR = 1.11, P = 0.03), and sudden cardiac death (adjusted HR = 1.16, P = 0.004).15 Saigal et al examined the risk of cardiovascular morbidity in men ≥65 years with newly diagnosed prostate cancer on ADT also using the SEER Medicare data (n = 22,816). They found that men who received ADT had a 20% higher risk of cardiovascular morbidity compared with a matched male population that did not receive ADT (adjusted HR = 1.20, 95% CI: 1.15–1.26; P < 0.05). This increased risk was observed within the initial 12 months of ADT.16 A recent preliminary report from a population-based, matched-cohort study found an association between continuous use of ADT for at least 6 months in older men (≥66 years) and increased incidence of diabetes (adjusted HR = 1.16, 95% CI: 1.11–1.21), but not of myocardial infarction or sudden cardiac death.17 Three retrospective cohort studies have reported a significantly increased risk of cardiovascular mortality from ADT (adjusted HR 1.16,15 1.35,14 and 2.618). The studies by Keating et al have been described previously.14,15 The study by Tsai et al and mortality in a subset of men undergoing radical prostatectomy for localized prostate cancer (adjusted HR = 2.6, 95% CI: 1.4–4.7; P = 0.002) but not in a subset of men treated with radiation therapy.18 The risk of severe cardiovascular morbidity after ADT is not equal across the population. Patients aged above 65 years have a higher risk than younger men.19 The 5-year cumulative incidence of cardiovascular mortality was 5.5% for patients aged ≥65 years who received ADT and 2% for non-ADT controls. For younger patients, the 5-year cumulative incidence of cardiovascular mortality was 3.6% for those who received ADT and 2% in those not treated with ADT.18 Nanda et al reported the results of a retrospective study including 5077 men with localized or locally advanced prostate cancer who were treated with or without a median of 4 months of neoadjuvant hormone therapy (gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist and antiandrogen) followed by radiation therapy. They found that the use of neoadjuvant hormone therapy is significantly associated with an increased risk of all-cause mortality among men with a history of coronary artery disease-induced congestive heart failure or myocardial infarction, but not among men with no comorbidity or a single risk factor for coronary artery disease. In the subgroup of patients with coronary artery disease-induced congestive heart failure or myocardial infarction, 25/95 deaths were reported in ADT-treated patients (26.3%) and 18/161 deaths in non-ADT-treated controls (11.2%, adjusted HR = 1.96, 95% CI: 1.04–3.71, P = 0.04).19 D’Amico et al analyzed post hoc pooled data on 1372 patients from three randomized trials of radiation therapy with or without ADT for localized prostate cancer. They found a shorter time to fatal myocardial infarction in men aged ≥65 years who received 6 months of ADT compared with men in this age group with no ADT use (P = 0.017). Additional evidence to support this result is needed.20
Interestingly, the results of these large epidemiological surveys are not supported by secondary analysis of cardiovascular events and mortality observed in prospective randomized trials evaluating the benefit of early hormone therapy, especially in combination with external beam radiation therapy. Secondary analyses of four randomized controlled studies from the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group or European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) have found no association between neoadjuvant or adjuvant ADT and cardiovascular-related mortality (Table 4).²¹–²⁴ It has to be noted that none of these studies were primarily designed to assess cardiovascular mortality. A recently completed EORTC randomized study (Protocol 22961) comparing radiation therapy plus a total of 6 months ADT with radiation therapy plus a total of 3 years ADT in patients with locally advanced prostate cancer showed no significant difference in the incidence of fatal cardiac events at 5-year follow-up (4.0% versus 3.0%, respectively).²⁵

Consequently, the physicians are left with that controversy opposing the “epidemiologists” and the aficionados of randomized controlled trials. But, is it important to address that controversy? It is clear enough that ADT induces anthropomorphic and metabolic changes that have been known for years to be associated with an increased cardiovascular risk. Why would it be different in prostate cancer patients receiving ADT? The more important conclusion is that physicians who prescribe ADT should be aware of these metabolic side effects, and monitor and treat them according to standard recommendations, as any primary care physician would do for any man concerned about his health. And even more important, it should remind the physician that ADT is not an innocuous treatment, and that it should be prescribed only in men needing ADT. The US Food and Drug Administration is examining the safety of gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonists for treatment of prostate cancer, and believes it is important to inform patients and health care professionals about these side effects.

In a recently published population-based study by Hemelrijck et al, 30,642 patients with prostate cancer received primary hormonal treatment, 26,432 received curative treatment, and 19,527 had surveillance. Increased relative risks of nonfatal and fatal cardiovascular disease were found among all men with prostate cancer, especially those treated with hormonal treatment. They concluded that cardiovascular disease risk should be considered when prescribing hormonal treatment, but should not be a contraindication when the expected benefit is real.²⁶ This supports the recent science advisory from the American Heart Association, the American Cancer Society, and the American Urological Association.²⁷

### Follow-up and management of patients on ADT

The side effects of ADT should be explained to patients and communicated to the patient’s other physicians, including the primary care doctor. Physicians should be particularly attentive to identify men with cardiovascular comorbidity and those already with significant risk factors.

Prior to initiation of ADT, it is advisable that patients are screened by their primary care physician for pre-existing presence of metabolic syndrome and risk factors for cardiovascular toxicity.²⁷ This especially applies to older men (>65 years) who have a higher prevalence of comorbidities than younger men. Good medical care dictates that

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Study population</th>
<th>Treatment groups</th>
<th>Number of cardiovascular deaths (P value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EORTC 30891²¹</td>
<td>Locally advanced or node-positive prostate cancer</td>
<td>Immediate ADT</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>not suitable for local treatment</td>
<td>vs deferred ADT</td>
<td>(17.9%–19.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTOG 8610²²</td>
<td>Locally advanced prostate cancer</td>
<td>RT + ADT (4 months)</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vs RT alone</td>
<td>(14%–11%, P = 0.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTOG 9202²²</td>
<td>Locally advanced prostate cancer</td>
<td>RT + ADT (28 months)</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vs RT + ADT (4 months)</td>
<td>(5.9%–4.8%, P = 0.58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTOG 8531²⁴</td>
<td>Locally advanced or node-positive prostate cancer</td>
<td>RT + indefinite ADT</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(unfavorable prognosis)</td>
<td>vs RT + salvage ADT for recurrence</td>
<td>(8.4%–11.4%, P = 0.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EORTC 22961²⁵</td>
<td>Locally advanced prostate cancer</td>
<td>RT + ADT (6 months)</td>
<td>31–25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vs RT + ADT (3 years)</td>
<td>(4.0%–3.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** ADT, androgen-deprivation therapy; RT, radiation therapy.
prior to initiation of ADT, physicians collect abdominal perimeter and blood pressure, and measure fasting glucose, low-density and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglycerides, and ultrasensitive C-reactive protein. These tests are best repeated every 3 months during the first year of ADT because the treatment-related metabolic and body composition changes tend to arise within months of starting ADT.7,15,28 Body composition can be monitored simply using a bioimpedance balance. There are currently no optimal strategies to prevent or manage ADT-associated metabolic effects, other than existing recommendations to reduce the risk of diabetes and cardiovascular disease in the general population. Patients are encouraged to adopt a healthy lifestyle, such as a low-fat diet, regular physical exercise, smoking cessation, and moderate alcohol intake.28 Pharmacological options are considered if lifestyle modifications prove unsuccessful. Conditions like diabetes, hyperlipidemia, and hypertension should be managed. Patients with cardiovascular disease should receive appropriate preventive therapies if necessary, including lipid-lowering, antihypertensive, glucose-lowering, and antiplatelet therapies.27 The preventive role of statins, other cardioprotective agents (eg, aspirin, beta-blockers), and insulin sensitizers should be studied in men receiving ADT for prostate cancer. Toremifene, a second-generation selective receptor modulator, has shown promise for the management of ADT-associated lipid changes, but its effect on cardiovascular outcomes has not yet been evaluated.

Recent studies have highlighted the growing role of supervised resistance and aerobic exercise programs to counteract the metabolic impact of sarcopenic obesity and peripheral insulin resistance. Segal et al demonstrated that a 12-week resistance exercise intervention (three times/week) reduced fatig (P = 0.002), improved health-related quality of life (P = 0.001), and increased levels of upper body (P = 0.009) and lower body (P < 0.001) muscular fitness in men with prostate cancer receiving ADT. The intervention group included 82 men, while the control group included 73 men on a waiting list to undergo the same course of physical therapy.29 These findings were supported by a study conducted by Galvao et al who examined the effects of longer progressive resistance training (20 weeks) at a rehabilitation clinic in 10 men on ADT for localized prostate cancer. Beneficial effects were increased muscle strength (upper and lower body) and endurance (P < 0.001).30 In both of the aforementioned studies, resistance training increased muscle thickness and preserved whole body lean mass, with no change in fat mass. Levels of prostate serum antigen and testosterone remained unchanged, indicating that resistance training can be safely tolerated in men receiving ADT.30,31 Segal et al recently demonstrated in a randomized controlled study that resistance and aerobic exercise alleviate fatigue in men with prostate cancer receiving radiation therapy with or without ADT. Resistance exercise generated longer-term improvements and additional benefits for quality of life, strength, triglycerides, and body fat.32 Although there are no results as yet from large randomized controlled studies of ADT-treated patients with prostate cancer assessing the impact of exercise on cardiovascular and metabolic complications, physical training in other cancer patients, as well as in healthy adults, has been found to reduce cardiovascular and metabolic diseases significantly. Two large studies in this context are under way.

One might be tempted to believe that the cardiovascular side effects of ADT could be reduced with intermittent ADT (intermittent androgen deprivation) use when compared with continuous administration of gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonists. Several trials indicated that short-term side effects, such as sexual function and quality of life, are improved during the off-treatment period, when the administration of the luteinizing hormone releasing hormone agonist is suspended.33 Whether intermittent androgen deprivation also alleviates long-term side effects is far more controversial. None of the prospective randomized trials evaluating ADT has indeed investigated the side effects of ADT. In addition, it is noteworthy that, according to d’Amico et al, cardiovascular toxicity raised already after 6 months of ADT, and that not all patients will recover a normal testosterone when interrupting the administration of the luteinizing hormone releasing hormone agonist.34,35 This is important because, in contrast with the anticancer effect that requires profound suppression of testosterone, an increased cardiovascular risk is already observed in cases of mild hypogonadism.36

In conclusion, there are still insufficient data to determine whether intermittent ADT has the potential to prevent or reverse the long-term complications associated with ADT, and this strategy should not be offered as an alternative in men requiring ADT and at high risk of cardiovascular disease. In nonmetastatic prostate cancer patients, nonsteroidal antiandrogen monotherapy offers an important advantage compared with luteinizing hormone releasing hormone agonists. It indeed increases circulating testosterone, and therefore causes fewer changes in body weight, body mass index, and percentage of fat mass and lean mass.
Conclusion

At this time, there is a growing body of evidence to suggest that ADT induces change in body composition and dyslipidemia. It is plausible that ADT could increase cardiovascular risk because of the adverse effect of ADT on risk factors for cardiovascular disease. It is advisable that before initiation and during ADT treatment, men with prostate cancer are periodically checked for potential metabolic effects by their physician. A healthy lifestyle, including a balanced diet and regular physical activity, should be encouraged. Patients with known cardiovascular disease should receive appropriate preventive treatment if necessary, including lipid-lowering, antihypertensive, glucose-lowering, and antiplatelet therapies. ADT should not be unnecessarily administered to prostate cancer patients with pre-existing cardiovascular disease, and certain not to those in whom the risk of prostate cancer-specific mortality is low. In the decision about whether to initiate ADT or not, the physician treating the patient for prostate cancer should carefully weigh the potential benefits of ADT against the possible risks in the individual patient. There is a need for large prospective studies to investigate the metabolic and cardiovascular effects of ADT, and to identify populations of men at highest risk of developing these side effects, such as diabetics. The benefit/risk ratio of ADT should also be assessed in younger patients and in those with earlier-stage prostate cancer and a favorable prognosis. Further research should focus on the development of strategies to prevent or reverse the cardiovascular and metabolic toxicities associated with ADT.
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