Ex vivo corneal epithelial wound healing following exposure to ophthalmic nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs
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Purpose: Ketorolac 0.45% is a new formulation of topical ketorolac in which preservative (benzalkonium chloride, BAK) was removed and carboxymethylcellulose (CMC) was added to improve tolerability and reduce dosing frequency. This study compared the effects of ketorolac 0.45% on corneal wound healing to prior ketorolac formulations (0.4% and 0.5%), bromfenac 0.09%, and nepafenac 0.1%.

Methods: Two parallel-group comparisons were performed in series. A 5-mm central epithelial wound was made in fresh porcine corneas. After 24 hours in minimum essential medium (MEM), corneas were incubated for 10 minutes with study drugs, Triton X-100 1% (positive control), or MEM (negative control), followed by 24 hours in MEM. The remaining wound area was stained, photographed, and quantified (pixels). Study 1 compared ketorolac 0.45% to ketorolac 0.4% and ketorolac 0.5%. Study 2 compared ketorolac 0.45% to bromfenac 0.09% and nepafenac 0.1%.

Results: The mean (±SD) original wound area was 200,506 ± 4,363 pixels, which was reduced to 59,509 ± 4,850 at 48 hours after exposure to Triton X-100 1%. In study 1, the mean remaining wound areas at 48 hours in pixels were 2969 ± 1633 with MEM, 586 ± 299 with ketorolac 0.45% (significantly reduced, P < 0.05 vs all other treatments), 10,228 ± 7541 with ketorolac 0.4%, and 50,674 ± 7549 with ketorolac 0.5% (significantly enlarged, P < 0.05 vs MEM).

In study 2, the mean remaining wound areas at 48 hours were 565 ± 1263 with MEM, 322 ± 229 with ketorolac 0.45% (significantly reduced, P < 0.01 vs bromfenac 0.09% and nepafenac 0.1%), 29,093 ± 14,295 with bromfenac 0.09% (significantly enlarged, P < 0.01 vs MEM) and 47,322 ± 13,736 with nepafenac 0.1% (significantly enlarged, P < 0.01 vs MEM and vs bromfenac 0.09%).

Conclusion: Corneas treated with ketorolac 0.45% healed as rapidly as those treated with MEM, likely secondary to addition of CMC and removal of BAK. In the ex vivo corneal organ culture model, ketorolac 0.45% had statistically less impact on corneal re-epithelialization than prior ketorolac formulations (0.4% and 0.5%), bromfenac 0.09%, and nepafenac 0.01%.
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Introduction

Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDS) have anti-inflammatory, analgesic, and antipyretic properties.1 NSAIDS primarily act as cyclooxygenase inhibitors and limit the production of endogenous prostaglandins in the arachidonic acid cascade.2,3 Topical NSAIDS are commonly used in ophthalmology to control postoperative inflammation, reduce pain following refractive surgery, inhibit intraoperative miosis, and treat allergic conjunctivitis.4,5 Commercially available topical NSAIDS
may differ in the type and concentration of the active agent, concentration of preservative, pH, and/or additional surfactants.

Topical NSAIDS have been associated with corneal complications which include superficial punctate keratopathy, subepithelial infiltrates, epithelial defects, delayed epithelial healing, corneal anesthesia, ulceration, and perforation.6–12 While corneal epithelial toxicity has been attributed to both the active agents and the preservatives within topical formulations as well as pre-existing systemic conditions, keratitis, corneal ulceration, and perforation have all been reported in patients using preservative-free formulations.8,13,14 Animal studies have suggested that the corneal toxicity of topical NSAIDS may result from an upregulation of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), endopeptidases involved in the remodeling of the corneal extracellular matrix.15

As topical NSAIDs are commonly used in the perioperative setting, it is critical to develop formulations that do not inhibit epithelial healing. Topical ketorolac, first introduced in 1993, remains the most commonly used ophthalmic NSAID. Ophthalmic ketorolac 0.45% solution (Acuvail®, Allergan, Inc., Irvine, CA) was developed to maintain the efficacy of prior formulations of ketorolac while enhancing tolerability and reducing the dosing regimen. Changes in the formulation of ketorolac, the present study was designed to compare the impact on wound healing of the new formulation of ketorolac 0.45% to prior generations of ketorolac (0.4% and 0.5%) as well as to bromfenac 0.09% and nepafenac 0.1%.

Methods

Two parallel-group comparisons were performed in series to evaluate epithelial wound closure in an ex vivo porcine corneal organ culture model that has been previously described.18,19 In the first experiment, epithelial debrided porcine corneas were exposed to different formulations of the ketorolac molecule, namely ketorolac 0.45% (Acuvail®, Allergan, Inc.), ketorolac 0.4% (Acular LS®, Allergan, Inc.), and ketorolac 0.5% (Acular®, Allergan, Inc.). In the second experiment, ketorolac 0.45% was compared to bromfenac 0.09% (Xibrom®, Ista Pharmaceuticals, Irvine, CA) and nepafenac 0.1% (Nevanac®, Alcon Laboratories, Fort Worth, TX).

In brief, porcine eyes were obtained from a local abattoir, transported to the laboratory on ice in a moist chamber, and processed for corneal culture on the same day. An epithelial wound was made by demarcating an area on the central cornea with a 5-mm trephine and then removing the epithelium within the circle with a small scalpel, leaving an intact basement membrane. Corneal-scleral rims, with approximately 4 mm of the limbal conjunctiva present, were excised and rinsed in sterilized phosphate-buffered saline. The excised corneas were placed epithelial-side down into a sterile cup. The endothelial corneal concavity was then filled with minimum essential medium (MEM) containing 1% agarose and 1 mg/mL rat tail tendon collagen maintained at 42°C. This mixture was allowed to gel. The cornea, along with its supporting gel, was inverted (endothelial side down) and then transferred to a 35-mm dish. The culture medium (~2 mL) was added dropwise to the surface of central cornea until the limbal conjunctiva was covered, leaving the epithelium exposed to the air. The corneas were then cultured in a humidified 5% CO2 incubator at 37°C in MEM for 24 hours to ensure initial wound recovery.

After 24 hours, a 50-µL volume of MEM, Triton X-100 (1%; Dow Chemical Company, Midland, MI), or study drugs (ketorolac 0.45%, ketorolac 0.4%, ketorolac 0.5%, nepafenac 0.01%, or bromfenac 0.09%) was applied to the epithelial wound surface for 10 minutes. MEM alone was used as a

### Table 1 Compositions of the study ophthalmic NSAID solutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ketorolac 0.45%</th>
<th>Ketorolac 0.4%</th>
<th>Ketorolac 0.5%</th>
<th>Bromfenac 0.09%</th>
<th>Nepafenac 0.1%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preservative</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0.006% BAK</td>
<td>0.01% BAK</td>
<td>0.005% BAK</td>
<td>0.05% BAK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other ingredients</td>
<td>CMC, Na citrate</td>
<td>EDTA, octoxynol</td>
<td>EDTA, octoxynol</td>
<td>EDTA, Na borate, Na sulfate, povidone, polysorbate 80</td>
<td>EDTA, mannitol, carbomer 947P, NaCl, tyloxapol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** BAK, benzalkonium chloride; CMC, carboxymethylcellulose; EDTA, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; Na, sodium.
negative control, and Triton X-100 was used as a positive control. Corneas were rinsed twice with 3 mL of phosphate-buffered saline and incubated in 2 mL of fresh MEM for an additional 24 hours prior to staining. The remaining wound area was then stained with Richardson staining solution and photographed. The staining area was quantified (in pixels) using Adobe Photoshop® (Adobe Systems, Inc., San Jose, CA) as previously described. A total of five corneas was used in each treatment group.

Data were presented as the mean of remaining wound area ± standard deviation (SD). One way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with a Newman-Keuls posttest was used for statistical comparison among groups. A P-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
The mean (SD) original wound area induced by the 5 mm trephine was 200,506 ± 4363 pixels. At 48 hours, corneas treated with Triton X-100 1% had a mean (SD) remaining wound area of 59,509 ± 4850 pixels (Figure 1). In study 1, the mean (SD) remaining wound areas at 48 hours following treatment with MEM control, ketorolac 0.45%, ketorolac 0.4%, and ketorolac 0.5% were 2969 ± 1633 pixels, 586 ± 299 pixels, 10,228 ± 7541 pixels, and 50,674 ± 33,409 pixels, respectively (Figure 2). Corneas treated with ketorolac 0.45% had a significantly smaller mean remaining wound area than did corneas treated with MEM control, ketorolac 0.4%, and ketorolac 0.5% (P < 0.05).

In contrast, the mean remaining wound area of corneas treated with ketorolac 0.5% was not statistically different than those treated with Triton X-100 1%.

In study 2, corneas treated with MEM control, ketorolac 0.45%, bromfenac 0.09%, and nepafenac 0.1% had mean (SD) remaining wound areas at 48 hours of 565 ± 1263 pixels, 322 ± 229 pixels, 29,093 ± 14,295 pixels, and 47,322 ± 13,736 pixels, respectively (Figure 3). The remaining wound areas were significantly smaller in corneas treated with ketorolac 0.45% as compared to those treated with bromfenac 0.09% or nepafenac 0.1% (P < 0.01). Corneas treated with nepafenac 0.1% had a significantly larger mean remaining wound area than those treated with bromfenac 0.09% (P < 0.01). The mean remaining wound area of corneas treated with nepafenac 0.1% was not statistically different from that of corneas treated with Triton X-100 1%.

Discussion
The use of topical NSAIDs for the treatment of postoperative pain and inflammation has increased in recent years, and NSAIDs have now become an integral part of the perioperative regimen. There remain, however, concerns about the impact of NSAIDs on corneal re-epithelialization following ocular surgery. Given the significance of reestablishing a normal epithelial barrier, it is important to select a perioperative NSAID that does not interfere with epithelial wound healing.

In this ex vivo corneal organ culture model, the corneal epithelial wounds healed as rapidly with the ketorolac 0.45% formulation as with the MEM control. Significant differences in the rate of wound healing were found between both prior ketorolac formulations (ketorolac 0.5% and ketorolac 0.4%) and the new ketorolac 0.45% formulation, in which BAK was removed and CMC was added. In addition, significant differences in the rate of wound healing were observed when either bromfenac 0.09% or nepafenac 0.1% were compared to ketorolac 0.45%. Of note, the remaining wound areas at 48 hours following treatment with ketorolac 0.5% and nepafenac 0.1% were not statistically different from those after treatment with the positive control, Triton X-100 1%, a detergent known to jeopardize cell viability by disrupting cell membrane integrity.

The mechanism by which ophthalmic NSAID solutions delay wound healing is not precisely known. However, it has been shown in animal models that ophthalmic NSAID solutions may increase the expression of MMP-1 (collagenase-1), MMP-2 (gelatinase A), and MMP-8 (collagenase-2), which, in turn, may impair corneal wound healing by destroying newly deposited extracellular matrix, interrupting epithelial cell interaction, and disrupting epithelial basement membrane. The preservative BAK included in most ophthalmic NSAID solutions may also contribute to the delay in epithelial wound healing by inducing inflammation, increasing transepithelial permeability, and decreasing epithelial vitality.

Both the removal of the preservative as well as the addition of CMC in the ketorolac 0.45% formulation likely play...
a role in the similar impact on epithelial wound healing between ketorolac 0.45% and the MEM control. Despite a higher concentration of active agent relative to ketorolac 0.4%, ketorolac 0.45% has significantly less effect on epithelial wound healing. CMC has been shown to stimulate epithelial wound healing in animal models as well as in in vitro human corneal epithelial cells culture models.\(^\text{16,26}\)

Though the exact mechanism has yet to be determined, CMC has been shown to stimulate epithelial cell migration by binding to matrix proteins and facilitating epithelial cell attachments.\(^\text{16}\) The results of the current study also demonstrate the role of the active ingredients in each topical NSAID, as significant differences were observed in the wound healing effect of bromfenac 0.09% and nepafenac 0.1% despite equivalent concentrations of BAK.

There are a limited number of prospective clinical studies comparing the effects of topical NSAIDs on corneal re-epithelialization, and most reports in the literature are based on retrospective case series. Durrie et al reported in a double-masked, randomized prospective study of eyes post-photorefractive keratectomy that ketorolac 0.4% and nepafenac 0.1% treated eyes achieved complete re-epithelialization significantly faster than bromfenac 0.09% treated eyes, though these results may have been

---

**Figure 2** Remaining epithelial wound area in corneas treated with various ophthalmic solutions of ketorolac. Representative images of corneas treated with ketorolac 0.5%, 0.4%, and 0.45% A) and corresponding intensity of the wound area staining B) are shown.

**Notes:** \(P < 0.05\) compared to minimum essential medium (MEM) control; \(P < 0.05\) compared to ketorolac 0.45%; \(P < 0.05\) compared to all other treatments.
affected by thrice daily treatment in each study arm and daily contact lens removal. A prospective, double-masked study in which one eye received ketorolac 0.4% and the fellow eye received nepafenac 0.1% following epi-laser in situ keratomileusis (LASIK) was halted after only 14 eyes of 7 patients due to differences in wound healing (5.7 ± 1.1 days with ketorolac 0.4% vs 7.9 ± 2.1 days with nepafenac) and statistically significantly greater mean haze scores at week 2 and month 1 in eyes treated with nepafenac. In contrast, there was no difference in the rate of corneal epithelial wound healing between the eyes treated with nepafenac 0.1% and ketorolac 0.4% once the NSAIDs were instilled after placement of the bandage contact lens.

The ex vivo model of corneal wound healing used in this study demonstrates that the addition of CMC and the removal of BAK in the new formulation of ketorolac 0.45% allows for more rapid corneal epithelial wound healing than prior ketorolac formulations, nepafenac 0.1%, and bromfenac 0.09%. Limitations of the current study include the lack of treatment arms involving CMC or vehicle alone and the inability to fully assess the structural integrity of the healed corneal wounds.

Figure 3 Remaining epithelial wound area in corneas treated with nepafenac 0.1%, bromfenac 0.09%, and ketorolac 0.45%. Representative images of corneas treated with nepafenac 0.1%, bromfenac 0.09%, and ketorolac 0.45% (A) and corresponding intensity of the remaining wound area B) are shown.

Notes: aP < 0.001 compared to minimum essential medium (MEM) control; bP < 0.01 compared to ketorolac 0.45%; cP < 0.01 compared to bromfenac 0.09%.
corneal wounds. Ultimately, animal and in vitro models do not necessarily take into account differences in exposure times on the ocular surface or clinical dosing regimens. Additional clinical studies directly comparing the effects of topical NSAIDs on wound healing are warranted to corroborate the results of the present study in porcine eyes.

Disclosure
This study was funded by Allergan, Inc. Dr McDermott is a consultant to Allergan, Inc. Ms Villanueva and Drs Schiffman and Hollander are employees of Allergan, Inc. Editorial assistance in the preparation of this manuscript was provided by Hadi Moini, PhD, of Pacific Communications, a wholly owned subsidiary of Allergan, Inc.

References
12. Trattler W, McDonald M. Double-masked comparison of ketorolac tromethamine 0.4% versus nepafenac sodium 0.1% for postoperative healing rates and pain control in eyes undergoing surface ablation. Cornea. 2007;26(6):665–669.
27. Donnenfeld ED, Hollander EJ, Durrie DS, Raizman MB. Double-masked study of the effects of nepafenac 0.1% and ketorolac 0.4% on corneal epithelial wound healing and pain after photorefractive keratectomy. Adv Ther. 2007;24(4):852–862.