International Journal of General Medicine

a Open Access Full Text Article

Video abstract

your SmartPhone at the code above. If you have a QR code reader the

video abstract will appear. Or use:

https://youtu.be/lzeEbDGuGXg

Point

ORIGINAL RESEARCH Clinical Characterization of the Expression of Insulin-Like Growth Factor Binding Protein I and Tumor Immunosuppression Caused by Ferroptosis of Neutrophils in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

Yuandi Wang 1,2,*, Lijuan Xing^{2,*}, Lexiu Deng^{1,2}, Xinsheng Wang², Dandan Xu², Bu Wang^{D²}, Zhihua Zhang^{2,*}

¹Graduate School, Hebei North University, Zhangjiakou City, Hebei Province, People's Republic of China; ²Department of Respiratory and Critical Care Clinical Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital of Hebei North University, Zhangjiakou City, Hebei Province, People's Republic of China

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Correspondence: Zhihua Zhang, Department of Respiratory and Critical Care Clinical Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital of Hebei North University, Zhangjiakou City, Hebei Province, People's Republic of China, Tel +86 0313 8033598, Email zzh19641229@163.com

Purpose: The efficacy of immunotherapy for non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is limited owing to cold tumors and drug resistance. Therefore, it is important to identify the molecular mechanisms underlying immune evasion in NSCLC. Spontaneous ferroptosis of neutrophils has been suggested as a key mechanism of immunosuppression in cancer. Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 1 (IGFBP1) plays an important role in immune infiltration in several cancers. However, the role of IGFBP1 in NSCLC is unknown. Therefore, in this study, we aimed to investigate the association of IGFBP1 mRNA expression with infiltration of myeloidderived suppressor cells and prognosis in NSCLC.

Patients and Methods: Retrospective RNA-seq data from 990 patients in the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database were analyzed in relation to patient clinical characteristics. The Timer2 database was used to assess immune infiltration, and the FerrDb V2 database was used to obtain ferroptosis-related genes. Finally, the results were validated by the proteomic analysis of serum samples collected from six patients with NSCLC and six healthy individuals.

Results: IGFBP1 expression was enriched in lung adenocarcinoma samples and positively correlated with the pathological grade of NSCLC. IGFBP1 expression was an independent prognostic factor for the overall survival of patients with NSCLC. In addition, IGFBP1 expression correlated with myeloid-derived suppressor cell infiltration. Notably, Gene Ontology analysis of IGFBP1-related genes revealed that the major molecular functions of their protein products were related to NADP⁺ 1-oxidoreductase activity. Furthermore, expression levels of multiple ferroptosis suppressor genes positively correlated with IGFBP1 expression.

Conclusion: High IGFBP1 expression indicates a poor prognosis in patients with NSCLC, which may be related to tumor immunosuppression caused by neutrophil ferroptosis.

Keywords: immune infiltration, immunotherapy, lung adenocarcinoma, lung squamous cell carcinoma, myeloid-derived suppressor cells

Introduction

Primary lung tumors are among the most common causes of fatal cancer in adults.¹ According to the revised 2021 World Health Organization classification of lung tumors, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) accounts for approximately 85%

work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

of all diagnosed lung cancers. Lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) and lung squamous cell carcinoma (LUSC) are the most common histological types of lung cancer.² NSCLC is resistant to all current standard treatment measures, including surgery, radiotherapy, and systemic chemotherapy, and most patients with NSCLC eventually relapse.³ Therefore, new radical treatment strategies are urgently needed for NSCLC.

Immunotherapy has shown substantial efficacy in the treatment of many tumors.⁴ To date, the most remarkable advancement in cancer therapy is the reactivation of T cell immune responses to tumors following the inhibition of the immune checkpoint activity.⁵ Immunotherapy has been used with considerable success in melanoma, renal cell carcinoma, and Hodgkin lymphoma. However, only 20% of patients with NSCLC benefit from immunotherapy.⁶ In addition, a recent single-cell RNA sequencing analysis showed that in addition to T lymphocytes, natural killer (NK) cells and different functional subtypes of macrophages and neutrophils are important players in the heterogeneous tumor immune microenvironment.⁷ Therefore, the mechanism of immunosuppression in NSCLC requires further investigation.

Ferroptosis, an iron-dependent mechanism of regulated cell death triggered by the accumulation of products of toxic lipid peroxidation, is a promising therapeutic target in cancer.⁸ Although ferroptosis inducers are highly effective in killing tumor cells in vitro, no obvious effect has been observed in experimental animal models in vivo, except in immunodeficient mice.^{9,10} A recent study has shown that spontaneous ferroptosis of neutrophils in the tumor micro-environment promoted the release of oxygenated lipids and inhibited the activity of T cells, mediating a strong immunosuppressive effect.¹¹ Therefore, these findings suggest that ferroptosis is closely associated with immunosuppression in tumors.

Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 1 (IGFBP1) circulates in the plasma and binds insulin-like growth factors (IGF) I and II, extending their half-life and altering their interaction with cell surface receptors. In addition to its important roles in cell migration and metabolism,¹² IGFBP1 regulates tumor immune infiltration in clear cell renal cell carcinoma,¹³ esophageal cancer,¹⁴ and metastatic melanoma.¹⁵ However, the role of IGFBP1 in NSCLC is unknown. Therefore, the role and mechanism of action of IGFBP1 in NSCLC-associated cellular immunosuppression need to be investigated as part of the wider search for new therapeutic targets that could be used to immunize cold tumors and improve the efficacy of lung cancer immunotherapy.

In this study, we aimed to examine the association between *IGFBP1* expression and NSCLC prognosis. We analyzed *IGFBP1* mRNA expression profile in LUAD and LUSC and investigated the correlation between *IGFBP1* expression and clinicopathological characteristics and survival of patients with NSCLC using data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA). We further analyzed the relationship between IGFBP1 and molecules involved in immune infiltration and ferroptosis. Finally, serum proteome profiles of patients with lung cancer and healthy individuals were analyzed to detect differences in expression levels of IGFBP1 and its related proteins. Our results provide a basis for the design of future immunotherapies targeting IGFBP1 for the treatment of NSCLC.

Materials and Methods

Patients and Blood Collection

TCGA public database data (<u>https://tcgadata.nci.nih.gov/tcga/tcgaDownload.jsp</u>) were downloaded to analyze clinical features of patients with NSCLC and RNA sequencing data. Data from 1091 patients were obtained (LUAD, N = 586; LUSC, N = 505). Of these, samples of 14 individuals with LUAD and 5 individuals with LUSC were excluded because their tissue sequencing samples were pathological wax blocks. In addition, samples of two patients were excluded because they had recurrent LUAD, and samples of another 80 patients were also excluded because of missing data on *IGFBP1* expression. Thus, samples of 990 patients with NSCLC were included in this study.

Six patients with NSCLC, pathologically diagnosed with LUAD or LUSC, were recruited from the First Affiliated Hospital of Hebei North University for validation. None of the patients had received chemotherapy or immunotherapy. The control group consisted of six healthy individuals from the physical examination center who had no history of malignant tumors or acute infection. Peripheral blood samples were collected from fasting patients who agreed to be included in the study, stored at room temperature for 2 h, centrifuged at 3000 g for 10 min, and then, serum samples were collected and frozen at -80 °C. This study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Ethics

Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of the Hebei North University (No.: K2022071). All participants signed an informed consent form. This study was approved by the Chinese Clinical Trial Registry (No.: ChiCTR2200065162).

Identification of the Expression of Mutated Genes Affecting IGFBP1 Expression

To identify mutations in genes affecting *IGFBP1* gene expression, the "Target" section of the online muTarget tool (<u>https://www.mutarget.com/</u>) was used.¹⁶ This tool, developed by the Department of Bioinformatics of the Semmelweis University (Budapest, Hungary), links mutational status to changes in gene expression in solid tumors. A procedure was set up to screen somatic mutations with the mutation rate of at least 2% that were shown to be associated with *IGFBP1* expression changes. The cutoff *P*-value was 0.01. The cutoff fold change value (FC) was 1.44.

Promoter Methylation

The epigenetic regulation of *IGFBP1* expression was determined by investigating *IGFBP1* promoter methylation using The University of Alabama at Birmingham Cancer data analysis portal (UALCAN).^{17,18} All promoter methylation data for LUAD and LUSC were collected from the TCGA dataset. The database was created in 2017 and updated in March 2022.

Functional Enrichment Analysis

The list of featured genes or cell clusters most associated with *IGFBP1* in the training set was uploaded to the Database for Annotation, Visualization, and Integrated Discovery (DAVID; Dec. 2021). Official gene symbols were selected as identifiers, and Homo sapiens was selected as the species. Finally, the top six results of the gene ontology (GO) analysis were sorted according to *P*-values that were below 0.05. Protein interaction analysis was performed using the STRING database.¹⁹

Immune Infiltration

To investigate the relationship between *IGFBP1* expression level and immune infiltration, the TIMER2.0 database (<u>http://timer.comp-genomics.org/</u>) was used.²¹ The cutoff value of the correlation coefficient R was 0.2, and the cutoff *P*-value was 0.05. The TISIDB database was used to perform immune cell classification and verify the relationship between *IGFBP1* expression and infiltration of immune cells.

Characteristic Molecules and Ferroptosis

Suppressor genes encoding proteins that prevent ferroptosis have been obtained from FerrDb V2.²⁰ The cutoff correlation coefficient R value for *IGFBP1*-related genes was 0.2, and the cutoff *P*-value was 0.05. The Venn online portal was used to process the data of intersection genes. The Kaplan-Meier plotter (kmplot.com) was used to investigate the association between IGFBP1-related ferroptosis molecules in NSCLC prognosis.

Gene Effect Score

The gene effect score of *IGFBP1* was obtained from UALCAN data according to CRISPR knockout screening published by Project Achilles at the Broad Institute and Project Score at the Wellcome Sanger Institute.²² Negative scores indicated growth inhibition and/or cell death after gene knockdown. Scores were standardized, and the median score for the non-essential genes was 0, whereas that for independently identified common essential genes was -1.

Isolation and Identification of Serum Proteins

Proteomic profiling was performed using the serum of patients with NSCLC and healthy controls. For protein extraction and quantitative quality control, proteins were extracted using High Select[™] HSA/Immunoglobulin Depletion Mini Spin Columns (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Proteins were then quantified using a microplate reader (H4MFPTAD; BioTek, Winooski, VT, USA). The total amount of the detected protein was derived from the standard curve, and the corresponding concentration was calculated. SDS-PAGE and Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining were used to evaluate protein degradation and distribution of proteins with different molecular weights.

For the enzymatic hydrolysis of the proteins, the protein solutions of the 12 studied individuals were pretreated, trypsin was added according to the protein-enzyme ratio of 50:1, and the proteins were digested at 37 °C for 12–16 h.

For liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry, enzymatically digested samples were separated using a nanoliter flowrate HPLC liquid system. The column was equilibrated with 95% liquid A. Samples were loaded from an autosampler to a mass spectrometry pre-column and then separated using an analytical column. Each sample was separated by capillary HPLC and analyzed by mass spectrometry using an Orbitrap Fusion Lumos mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

For protein identification, raw mass spectrometry data were screened, and label-free quantitative analysis was performed using the Uniprot-proteome UP000005640.fasta database. Database files were analyzed using Proteome Discoverer 2.4 software.

Screening of Differentially Expressed Proteins in Serum Samples

A serum protein was considered downregulated if FC ≤ 0.667 and upregulated if FC ≥ 1.5 . A protein was considered to have unchanged expression if 0.667 < FC < 1.5. Hierarchical clustering was used to analyze the similarities between the samples. Protein expression level FC values in the two groups were compared by the *t*-test, and the difference was considered statistically significant if P < 0.05. A volcano plot was drawn after log transformation of FC and the test statistic *P*-values were used to visualize significant differences between the two groups of samples.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis and visualization were performed using R (version 4.1.1) and GraphPad Prism (version 8.0.2). The Mann–Whitney *U*-test was used to compare significant differences between the two groups. The nonparametric statistical analysis of multiple independent samples was used to compare multiple groups. The Spearman correlation analysis was used to analyze significant correlations between the two groups. The Kaplan–Meier curve was used to evaluate the prognostic value of *IGFBP1* expression. The significance of prognostic values was determined using the Log rank test. Effects were considered statistically significant if P < 0.05.

Results

Clinicopathological Characteristics of Patients with Different IGFBP1 Expression Levels

We investigated the association between patient characteristics and *IGFBP1* expression and found that patients with different *IGFBP1* expression levels had distinct clinicopathological features. *IGFBP1* mRNA expression levels, pathological grades, and pathological types were asymmetrically distributed in the TCGA dataset (Figure 1A). Stratified comparative analysis showed no significant difference in *IGFBP1* expression between paracancerous tissues (control) and samples from all patients with lung cancer, between samples from individuals with different ethnic background, or between healthy individuals and those with LUAD (Figure 1B and C; <u>S1</u>). However, *IGBFP1* expression levels in the LUSC group were significantly lower than those in the control and LUAD groups (P = 0.008 and P < 0.001, respectively; Figure 1D and E). No significant difference in *IGFBP1* expression was observed between the two sexes (Figure 1F). However, *IGFBP1* expression in the death group was significantly higher than that in the survival group (P = 0.0470). In addition, the expression of *IGFBP1* increased (P = 0.039) with the increase in the pathological grade, showing a gradual change between the groups. Collectively, these results indicate that *IGFBP1* is differentially expressed in individuals with different pathological types of NSCLC and that *IGFBP1* expression is upregulated in LUAD compared to its level in LUSC.

Effects of Different Gene Mutations and Promoter Methylation Status on the Epigenetic Regulation of IGFBP1 Expression

Gene mutations associated with changes in *IGFBP1* expression were obtained from the muTarget database. In LUAD, mutations in 30 genes were related to changes in *IGFBP1* expression (Figure 2A), among which mutations in 11 genes were associated with upregulated *IGFBP1* expression compared to that in samples without such mutations. The top three



Figure I Association between IGFBP1 and clinicopathological characteristics of Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). (A) The landscape of IGFBP1-related clinicopathological features of NSCLC in the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database. (B-E), There was no significant difference in IGFBP1 expression between NSCLC and paracancerous tissues, and between Lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) and paracancerous tissues (Control). The expression level of IGFBP1 in lung squamous cell carcinoma (LUSC) Control and LUAD was higher than that in LUSC. (F-H), There was no difference in IGFBP1 expression levels between males and females. The expression level of IGFBP1 was relatively higher in the death group and the advanced pathological stage.









Figure 2 IGFBP1 expression is affected by common gene mutations and has a low methylation level. (A and B), Tumor type: Lung Adenocarcinoma (LUAD), Lung Squamous Carcinoma (LUSC). Mutation type: All somatic mutations, Mutation prevalence at least: 2%. P -value cutoff: 0.01. Fold change (FC) cutoff: 1.44. (C), The level of IGFBP1 promoter methylation was compared between LUAD and normal samples.(D), Differences in IGFBP1 promoter methylation levels between normal tissues and pathological stages in LUAD.(E), The level of IGFBP1 promoter methylation was compared between LUSC and normal tissues.(F), Differences in IGFBP1 promoter methylation levels between normal tissues and pathological stages in LUSC.

genes in this category were *KCNQ2* (FC = 13.08), *RNF165* (FC = 5.51), and *KEAP1* (FC = 4.55). Additionally, mutations in 19 genes, including *ALDH1L1*, *EGFR*, *TRIML2*, *ZNF99*, *NHS*, *CHSY3*, *LAX1*, and *IL2R*, were associated with significantly downregulated (by approximately 98%) *IGFBP1* expression compared to that in samples without mutations in those genes. In LUSC, mutations in 38 genes were associated with altered *IGFBP1* expression (Figure 2B). Among these, mutations in 22 genes were associated with upregulated *IGFBP1* expression, and the top 3 genes were *UNC45B* (FC = 115.26), *RPH3A* (FC = 100.95), and *DCLK1* (FC = 92.3). Additionally, mutations in 16 genes including *NPY2R*, *ST5*, *NCOA1*, and *CD1D*, were associated with downregulated (by approximately 95%) *IGFBP1* expression in LUSC compared to that in samples without such mutations. Compared with its level in healthy individuals, *IGFBP1* expression in patients with LUAD (71%) and LUSC (66%) was significantly downregulated by *NFE2L2* mutations.

Promoter methylation data were obtained from UALCAN to investigate the epigenetic regulation of *IGFBP1* expression in NSCLC. The median methylation level of the *IGFBP1* promoter in LUAD was 0.472 (0.438–0.500) Beta, which was lower than that in normal tissues (0.502; range: 0.495–0.518, P<0.001; Figure 2C). There was no significant difference in the methylation level of the *IGFBP1* promoter between samples from LUAD pathological stage 3 and those healthy groups (P > 0.05). However, the methylation level of *IGFBP1* in LUAD pathological stages 1, 2, and 4 was lower than that in the control group (P < 0.05; Figure 2D). The degree of *IGFBP1* methylation in LUSC was similar to that in LUAD. Moreover, the median methylation level of the *IGFBP1* promoter in LUSC was 0.446 (0.403–0.491) Beta, which was lower than that in healthy tissues (0.501; range: 0.486–0.513; P <0.001; Figure 2E). There was no significant difference in the methylation level of the *IGFBP1* promoter between LUSC pathological stage 4 and control groups (P > 0.05), whereas the level of *IGFBP1* methylation in LUSC pathological stage 1–3 groups was lower than that in the control group (P < 0.05; Figure 2F). These results suggest that common gene mutations affect *IGFBP1* expression and that *IGFBP1* methylation is significantly downregulated in NSCLC. High levels of methylation are associated with gene expression silencing. Changes in the levels of this epigenetic mark could elevate *IGFBP1* expression in cancer tissues compared with normal tissues. Furthermore, decreased methylation could also elevate *IGFBP1* expression proportionally to the pathological grade of NSCLC.

IGFBP1 mRNA Promotes Cell Proliferation in Tumor Cells, and Its High Expression is Associated with Poor Prognosis

To explore IGFBP1 role in NSCLC, biological process (BPs), cellular localization (CCs) and molecular function (MFs) of genes that were found to affect *IGFBP1* expression were examined. Genes related to *IGFBP1* were screened using the Pearson correlation analysis (r > 0.2, P < 0.05), and GO analysis was performed on this set of genes. In the TCGA data, the top six entries with the largest number of enriched genes were screened and ranked using the corrected *P*-value. The BPs significantly associated with IGFBP1 included drug response, negative regulation of endopeptidase activity, protein processing, response to lipopolysaccharide, cell-to-cell signaling, and positive regulation of cell proliferation (Figure 3A). In addition, the most relevant CCs of IGFBP1 were the extracellular space and extracellular vesicles (Figure 3B). MFs associated with these genes were d-aldolase 1-dehydrogenase activity, NADP⁺ 1-oxidoreductase activity, and protein binding (Figure 3C).

In addition, we investigated IGFBP1 protein interactions using the String database. IGFBP1 was closely associated with IGF1, IGF2, and FOXO family proteins (Figure 3D). We then used survival analysis to describe the association between *IGFBP1* expression data and overall survival in 991 NSCLC patients from the TCGA database (Figure 4A). *IGFBP1* expression level was considered high (IGFBP1^{high}) if it was > 75% of the *IGFBP1* expression and low (IGFBP1^{low}), if it was below the IGFBP1^{high} level. There were 249 patients with IGFBP1^{high} and 742 with IGFBP1^{low} in our analysis. The overall survival of patients with IGFBP1^{high} was shorter than that of patients with IGFBP1^{low} (P < 0.001; Figure 4A). To validate the results of TCGA survival assessment, we used RNA sequencing data from a larger cohort of 1925 patients with NSCLC in the online Kaplan–Meier tool (www.kmplot.com) (Figure 4B). This cohort had 956 and 969 patients with high and low levels of IGFBP1 expression, respectively. The relationship between *IGFBP1* expression and survival according to the Kaplan–Meier online tool analysis was similar to that obtained from the analysis of the TCGA dataset: NSCLC patients with IGFBP1^{high} had shorter overall survival than patients with IGFBP1^{low} (P < 0.001).



(D) IGFBP1-related signaling pathways



Figure 3 Gene ontology (GO) enrichment and proteome interaction analysis were performed on IGFBP1-related genes. (A-C), The biological processes (BP) accomplished by IGFPBP1 and other molecular activities were mainly the number of genes enriched most in Response to drug and cell proliferation. Regarding cellular components (CC) the Extracellular space in which IGFPBP1 gene products may reside when performing their functions. The activity of the IGFBP1 gene product complex at Molecular Function (MF) is the largest number of proteins associated with Protein binding. Negative regulation (NR), Positive regulation (PR). (D) The thickness of the lines of connection between the spheres represents the credibility of the evidence for interaction between the proteins.

Different IGFBP1 Expression Levels and Degree of Immune Infiltration in Distinct Pathological Types of NSCLC

Genes related to *IGFBP1* expression were obtained from the Timer2 database. In LUAD, the extent of bone marrowderived suppressor cell (MDSC) infiltration positively correlated with *IGFBP1* expression (r = -0.205, *P* < 0.001) (Figure 5A). *IGFBP1* expression negatively correlated with the numbers of mast cells (r = -0.308, *P* = <0.001), NK T cells (r = -0.208, *P*<0.001), and B cells (r = -0.214, *P* =<0.001) (Figure 5B–D). There was no correlation between LUSC and degrees of infiltration of MDSCs, mast cells, T cells, NK cells, and B cells, except for a weak correlation with the number of endothelial cells (r = 0.105, *P* =0.0222; Figure 5E–I). In addition, the expression of the *FATP2 (SLC27A2)*



Figure 4 Kaplan-Meier analysis of IGFPB1 expression in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) databases and Kaplan-Meier Plotter. (A and B), The significance of the prognostic value was tested by a Log rank test.

gene, encoding a transporter that positively regulates ferroptosis in tumor neutrophils and polymorphonuclear (PMN)-MDSCs, positively correlated with *IGFBP1* expression in NSCLC cells (r = 0.17, P = <0.001; Figure 5J). In conclusion, *IGFBP1* expression in NSCLC cells positively correlated with the extent of MDSC infiltration in LUAD and with the expression of *SLC27A2*, which encodes a positive regulator of ferroptosis in PMN-MDSCs.

IGFBP1-Associated Ferroptosis Suppressor Genes are Associated with Poor Prognosis

Given the strong link between immunosuppression and ferroptosis, we explored the relationship between expression levels of *IGFBP1* and genes encoding ferroptosis inhibitors. The Pearson correlation analysis showed that genes related to *IGFBP1* (r > 0.2, P < 0.05) overlapped with 195 genes encoding ferroptosis inhibitors in the FerrDb V2 database, of which seven were related to *IGFBP1* expression: *AKR1C1, AKR1C2, AKR1C3, GCLC, FURIN, VDAC2*, and *SLC7A11* (Figure 6A). Therefore, the relationship between these intersecting genes and tumor prognosis was determined using the Kaplan–Meier survival analysis. In the Kaplan-Meier online tool, the median of the population was used as the cut-off value, and the patients were divided into groups with high and low expression levels of each of the seven intersection genes. For *AKR1C2, AKR1C3*, and *GCLC* (Figure 6B–D), there was no significant difference in overall survival risk between patients with high and low expression levels (P>0.05). In contrast, high expression levels of *AKR1C1* (hazard ratio [HR] = 1.161; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.02–1.32; P = 0.02), *SLCTA 11* (HR = 1.15; 95% CI: 1.02–1.31; P = 0.027), and *VDAC2* (HR = 1.66; 95% CI: 1.46–1.89; P<0.001) (Figure 6E–G) were associated with poor prognosis in patients with NSCLC. The relationship between the expression level of *FURIN* and overall survival was nominally similar (HR = 1.12, 95% CI: 0.99–1.127), although the result did not pass the set criterion for statistical significance (P = 0.08); therefore, the prognostic potential of *FURIN* expression for NSCLC remains uncertain (Figure 6H).

IGFBP1 Downregulation Inhibits Tumor Cell Growth and IGFBP1 Protein is Highly Expressed in the Blood of Patients with NSCLC

A total of 23 cell lines with *IGFBP1* gene effect score ≥ 0.15 were obtained from the UALCAN database (Figure 7A). In the NCIH2887 (0.2292), NCIH2126 (0.1942), and SHP77 (0.1904) cell lines, *IGFBP1* knockdown had a slight growth-



Figure 5 Timer2 was used to explore the link between IGFBP1 expression and immune infiltration. (A–D), IGFBP1 expression was positively correlated with (Myeloidderived suppressor cells) MDSC cell infiltration and negatively correlated with Mast cell, T cell NK and B cell infiltration in lung adenocarcinoma. (E–I), Endothelial cell. In lung squamous cell carcinoma, IGFBP1 expression showed a weak positive correlation with Endothelial cells, but not with MDSC, Mast cells, T cells, NK cells and B cells. (J), IGFBP1 expression was positively correlated with SLC27A2.



Figure 6 IGFBP1 is associated with ferroptosis inhibitors and is associated with prognosis. (A) There were 409 genes related to IGFBP1 expression. The cut-off value of correlation coefficient R was 0.2 and the cut-off value of P was less than 0.05. (B–H), The significance of the prognostic value was tested by a Log rank test. Glutamate-cysteine ligase catalytic subunit (GCS). Aldo-keto reductase family 1 member C1-3 (AKR1C1-3). Cystine/glutamate transporter (SLC7A11). Furin paired basic amino acid cleaving enzyme (FURIN). Voltage-dependent anion-selective channel protein 2 (VDAC2).



Figure 7 (A) Gene effect score for IGFBPI in Lung cancer cell lines. The Gene effect score cutoff value was 0.15. Negative scores imply cell growth inhibition and/or death following gene knockout. Scores are normalized such that nonessential genes have a median score of 0 and independently identified common essentials have a median score of -1. (B) Immunophenotyping of NSCLC. (C) Serum proteomic analysis. There are 83 similarities in proteins that can be found between the two groups. (D) Volcano plot to show significant differences between two groups of sample data. The abscissa is the fold difference (logarithmic transformation with base 2), and the ordinate is the significance P-value of the difference (logarithmic transformation with base 10). (E and F), Intersection of serum proteomics and PPI networks.

promoting effect. In the 20 remaining cell lines, IGFBP1 knockdown resulted in cell death or growth inhibition, with the largest such effect seen in the NCIH23 cell line (-0.3524).

To validate our results, six serum samples from patients with NSCLC (mean age 69.83 ± 3.80 years) and six samples from control individuals (mean age 49.50 ± 6.70 years) were collected for mass spectrometry. The samples from NSCLC cases were diagnosed as LUAD (N = 3) and LUSC (N = 3) using hematoxylin-eosin (H&E) staining and immunophenotyping before serum mass spectrometry analysis (Figure 7B). H&E staining showed that the nuclei of the lesion area were dark stained with hematoxylin and their shapes were irregular. Immunohistochemistry staining showed that LUAD samples were CK7 (+), Napsin (+), TFF1 (+), CK5/6 (+/-), and P40 (-). In contrast, LUSC carcinomas were CK7 (-), Napsin (-), TFF1 (-), CK5/6 (+), and P40 (+). The label-free quantitative proteomic analysis was used to compare proteins in the serum of these 12 individuals (Table 1). The proteins were effectively separated in the molecular weight range of 25–180 kDa without protein degradation, and the overall protein pattern had a similar band shape (Figure S2). In total, 5700 unique peptides and 516 proteins were identified. Hierarchical clustering analysis revealed that 83 proteins were significantly differentially expressed between the NSCLC and control groups (Figure 7C), of which 44 were upregulated and 39 were downregulated (Figure 7D). Filaggrin A (FC = 34.11615, P < 0.001) was the most upregulated protein (Table 2), and fumarase acetate

Table I Subject Characteristics and Protein Extraction Param	eters
--------------------------------------------------------------	-------

Patient	Age (Years)	Sex	Protein Concentration (μg/μL)	Total Protein Volume (μL)	Total Protein (µg)
Healthy I	37	Male	0.41	95	39.03
Healthy2	56	Female	0.53	95	50.08
Healthy3	48	Male	0.41	95	39.28
Healthy4	55	Female	0.36	95	34.61
Healthy5	45	Female	0.48	100	48.19
Healthy6	56	Male	0.42	100	41.60
LUADI	64	Female	0.86	95	81.25
LUAD2	75	Male	0.40	100	39.92
LUAD3	66	Male	0.41	100	41.34
LUSCI	70	Male	0.60	95	57.20
LUSC2	71	Male	0.68	90	61.63
LUSC3	73	Male	0.98	100	97.80

Table 2	Differentially	Expressed	Upregulated	Proteins in	Non-Small	Cell Lung	Cancer Sam	ples
	/							

Accession	Description	Fold Change	P-value
P21333	Filamin-A	34.116	<0.001
Q5T123	SH3 domain-binding glutamic acid-rich-like protein 3	25.219	<0.001
P07988	Pulmonary surfactant-associated protein B	19.92	<0.001
X6RJP6	Transgelin-2 (Fragment)	17.656	0.002
Q16777	Histone H2A type 2-C	17.032	<0.001
Q86YW5	Trem-like transcript protein	13.842	0.006
P62328	Thymosin beta-4	13.146	0.002
P30043	Flavin reductase (NADPH)	11.851	0.035
000151	PDZ and LIM domain protein I	11.74	0.032
P08246	Neutrophil elastase	10.587	0.003
Q9HD89	Resistin	10.236	0.001
K7EQD0	Vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein (Fragment)	7.098	0.006
P00558	Phosphoglycerate kinase I	7.048	0.005
P05164	Myeloperoxidase	6.748	<0.001
P59665	Neutrophil defensin I	6.44	<0.001
P00918	Carbonic anhydrase 2	6.415	0.011

(Continued)

Accession	Description	Fold Change	P-value
U3KPS2	Myeloblastin	5.989	<0.001
P18065	Insulin-like growth factor-binding protein 2	5.818	0.013
E9PK25	Cofilin, non-muscle isoform	5.607	0.019
P02675	Fibrinogen beta chain	5.106	0.001
P04406	Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase	4.873	0.002
O15204	ADAM DECI	4.613	0.018
P62937	Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase A	4.518	0.001
E7EQB2	Lactotransferrin (Fragment)	4.426	0.037
P14780	Matrix metalloproteinase-9	4.367	0.001
O75594	Peptidoglycan recognition protein I	4.355	0.004
P07737	Profilin-I	3.991	0.034
C9J6H2	Insulin-like growth factor-binding protein I	3.814	0.031
P80188	Neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin	3.792	0.013
P02042	Hemoglobin subunit delta	3.68	0.021
P32119	Peroxiredoxin-2	3.544	0.03
P68871	Hemoglobin subunit beta	3.419	0.026
P04040	Catalase	3.293	0.015
Q02985	Complement factor H-related protein 3	3.068	0.018
A0A0B4J1R6	Transketolase	2.445	0.027
A0A2R8Y6G6	2-phospho-D-glycerate hydro-lyase	2.427	0.004
P02750	Leucine-rich alpha-2-glycoprotein	2.355	0.026
C9JEU5	Fibrinogen gamma chain	2.093	0.025
Q06033	Inter-alpha-trypsin inhibitor heavy chain H3	2.071	0.008
P02748	Complement component C9	2.064	0.004
P61626	Lysozyme C	1.875	0.002
P60709	Actin, cytoplasmic I	1.711	0.037
Q8WWZ8	Oncoprotein-induced transcript 3 protein	1.694	0.046
P19320	Vascular cell adhesion protein I	1.589	0.044

Table 2	(Continued)
I ADIC L	(Continued)

esterase (FC = 0.229015, P = 0.015) was the most downregulated protein in NSCLC (Table 3). The eight proteins identified in the protein-protein interaction analysis of IGFBP1 were compared to the set of the differentially expressed proteins identified in the serum of patients, and common proteins were revealed (Figure 7E). IGFBP1 (FC = 3.814) was upregulated, whereas IGF2 (FC = -0.56) and AHSG (FC = -0.58) were downregulated in the serum of patients with NSCLC compared to

Table 3 Differentiall	y Expressed Downregulated	Proteins in Non-Small	Cell Lung Cancer Samples
-----------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

Accession	Description	Fold Change	P-value
P36955	Pigment epithelium-derived factor	0.664	0.024
Q12860	Contactin-I	0.664	0.027
P06396	Gelsolin	0.661	0.011
P22352	Glutathione peroxidase 3	0.659	0.034
Q15582	Transforming growth factor-beta-induced protein ig-h3	0.651	0.021
Q9NPH3	Interleukin-1 receptor accessory protein	0.634	0.004
P22792	Carboxypeptidase N subunit 2	0.617	0.014
F5GZS6	4F2 cell-surface antigen heavy chain	0.602	0.029
Q96PD5	N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidase	0.595	0.008
P04180	Phosphatidylcholine-sterol acyltransferase	0.590	0.027
P04114	Apolipoprotein B-100	0.588	0.030

(Continued)

Accession	Description	Fold Change	P-value
P43652	Afamin	0.587	0.017
P02765	Alpha-2-HS-glycoprotein	0.575	0.018
G3V0E5	Transferrin receptor protein I	0.574	0.038
075636	Ficolin-3	0.566	0.043
P01344	Insulin-like growth factor II	0.564	0.008
Q15113	Procollagen C-endopeptidase enhancer I	0.562	0.001
P06276	Cholinesterase	0.554	0.007
Q76LX8	A disintegrin and metalloproteinase with thrombospondin motifs $\ensuremath{I3}$	0.547	0.000
Q99969	Retinoic acid receptor responder protein 2	0.542	0.018
P27169	Serum paraoxonase/arylesterase I	0.531	0.005
A0A087WT59	Transthyretin	0.528	0.029
Q9UNWI	Multiple inositol polyphosphate phosphatase I	0.526	0.000
Q9H8L6	Multimerin-2	0.525	0.020
A0A0G2JQD6	Extracellular serine/threonine protein kinase FAM20C (Fragment)	0.510	0.012
Q9UBG0	C-type mannose receptor 2	0.504	0.017
P04066	Tissue alpha-L-fucosidase	0.500	0.037
Q96KN2	Beta-Ala-His dipeptidase	0.495	0.031
A6XND1	Insulin-like growth factor-binding protein 3	0.466	0.001
P01857	Immunoglobulin heavy constant gamma I	0.411	0.016
P24593	Insulin-like growth factor-binding protein 5	0.409	0.001
K7ER74	Apolipoprotein C-II	0.400	0.030
P35858	Insulin-like growth factor-binding protein complex acid labile subunit	0.370	0.005
P54802	Alpha-N-acetylglucosaminidase	0.358	0.030
F8W148	Carbonic anhydrase (Fragment)	0.262	0.032
P10912	Growth hormone receptor	0.257	0.003
P55290	Cadherin-13	0.247	0.039
E7EXA3	NIF3-like protein 1 (Fragment)	0.239	0.002
P16930	Fumarylacetoacetase	0.229	1.15

Table	3 ((Continued)	۱.
labic		Continued	

their levels in the serum of healthy individuals (Figure 7F). In conclusion, *IGFBP1* knockdown mostly negatively affected the growth of LUAD cells. Furthermore, the IGFBP1 protein was found to be abundant in the serum of patients with NSCLC.

Discussion

NSCLC is the most common and lethal cancer of the respiratory system.²³ Conventional therapies, which usually include surgical resection, radiotherapy, and chemotherapy, fail to show satisfactory results in patients with NSCLC.²⁴ Additionally, the clinical efficacy of immunotherapy in patients with NSCLC is limited,^{25,26} owing to the unique suppressive immune microenvironment; however, the mechanism of this phenomenon remains to be established.^{7,11} Therefore, elucidation of the molecular mechanisms underlying tumor-induced immunosuppression and the development of novel therapies that target these mechanisms are essential for the effective treatment of NSCLC.

We found that post-transcriptional methylation of *IGFPB1* likely increased its expression in cancer tissues with disease progression. In LUAD and LUSC, when *NFE2L2* was mutated, *IGFBP1* expression was significantly lower than that in samples from healthy individuals. GO analysis indicated that IGFBP1 is involved in the positive regulation of intercellular signaling and cell proliferation and may affect the activity of D-threo-aldose 1 and NADP⁺ 1-oxidoreduc-tase. Survival analysis showed that upregulated expression of *IGFBP1* predicted poor prognosis in NSCLC. IGFBP1 may be associated with infiltration of neutrophils. Expression of *SLC27A2*, encoding a fatty acid transporter that promotes ferroptosis of PMN-MDSCs, positively correlated with the overall expression level of *IGFBP1* in NSCLC. At the same time, expression levels of mRNAs encoding ferroptosis inhibitors AKR1C1, SLCTA11, and VDAC2 also positively

correlated with *IGFBP* expression, and high expression levels of those genes predicted poor prognosis of NSCLC. *IGFBP1* knockout resulted in cell death or growth inhibition of the majority of lung cancer lines tested. Finally, using serum protein profiling, we verified that in patients with NSCLC, IGFBP1 expression level was higher, whereas expression levels of AHSG,\andIGF2were lower compared to the respective levels in healthy individuals. Overall, our results suggest that*IGFBP1* expression in NSCLC, is associated with tumor immunosuppression caused by ferroptosis of neutrophils.

IGFBP1 expression in NSCLC involves a complex regulatory mechanism and is closely related to oxidative metabolic reactions. Although the expression of IGFBP1 in NSCLC is not significantly higher than that in normal control tissues, post-transcriptional epigenetic modifications may affect the expression of IGFBP1 protein. Methylation is a common mode of epigenetic modification, and generally hypermethylated water is thought to be associated with gene silencing.²⁷ We further found that the promoter of IGFPB1 was hypomethylated in cancer tissues and hypermethylated in normal tissues. This epigenetic change likely increases IGFBP1 expression in cancer tissues in a disease progressiondependent manner. In NSCLC, distinct tumor gene expression profiles may partly account for the differences in clinical manifestations, biological characteristics, diagnosis, and treatment of LUAD and LUSC.^{2,28} In our study, we showed that IGFBP1 expression in LUAD was higher than that in LUSC. Notably, compared with its level in healthy population, *IGFBP1* expression in both LUAD and LUSC was significantly downregulated in the presence of *NFE2L2* mutations. NFE2L2 is a major regulator of oxidative stress signaling, and limits oxidative damage during ferroptosis through the trans-activation of several cellular protective genes associated with iron metabolism, glutathione metabolism (including SLC7A11), and reactive oxygen detoxification enzymes (including AKR1C1-3).²⁹ At present, the link between the important defense mechanism of NFE2L2 mutation against ferroptosis and IGFBP1 downregulation is not clear. According to the GO analysis, IGFBP1 can affect activities of d-aldolase 1-dehydrogenase and NADP⁺ 1-oxidoreductase, regulating oxidation reactions. Ethnicity is an important factor affecting tumor gene expression profiles; herein, we found no significant effect of ethnicity on IGFBP1 expression in our study.³⁰

During tumor development, some tumor cells may escape antitumor immunity.³¹ Changes in the characteristic tumor microenvironment, such as tumor necrosis and hypoxia, promote the accumulation of neutrophils in the adjacent tissues, which profoundly affects tumor progression.³² Much attention has been paid to the role of neutrophils in tumor immunity.^{11,33} Some studies showing that neutrophils and granulocyte colony-stimulating factor promote tumor development^{34,35} and others pointing out that the effector function of neutrophils is related to antitumor immune suppression.^{11,36} Recent studies have found that the neutrophil precursors PMN-MDSCs are vulnerable to ferroptosis which promotes the suppression of tumor immune responses.¹¹ The present study suggests that IGFBP1 expression correlates with MDSC infiltration in LUAD, However, no such correlation was observed in LUSC. Because the effect of the transcriptional modification on protein activity is important,²⁷ it remains to be tested whether *IGFBP1* expression is altered in various subtypes of NSCLC. An earlier study showed that the neutrophil-derived factor azurocidin shows protease activity that cleaves several IGFBP proteins, including IGFBP1, which is detected at higher levels in inflammatory states.³⁷ Inflammatory infiltration is also an important feature of the tumor microenvironment,³⁸ hence it is possible that neutrophils upregulated IGFBP1 expression in the tumor microenvironment. A study involving a large sample of 666 patients confirmed that IGFBP1 is a marker gene for poor prognosis in NSCLC associated with neutrophils and hypoxic microenvironment.³⁹ In our study, IGFBP1 transcript levels increased with pathological grade, and IGFBP1 overexpression was detected by comparing the protein expression profiles of NSCLC patients and healthy subjects. IGFBP1, a characteristic gene related to energy metabolism in lung adenocarcinoma,⁴⁰ is overexpressed in the serum of individuals with NSCLC.⁴¹ In addition, it has been shown that peripheral B cells inhibit B cell regeneration in aging through the TNF-a/IGFBP-1/IGF-1 immuno-endocrine axis. In our study, IGFBP1 expression was also found to correlate positively with the abundance of infiltrated B cells.⁴² In summary, IGFBP1 was highly expressed in the immune microenvironment of NSCLC, where it may be regulated by central granulocytes, and correlated with the level of PMN-MDSC infiltration.

Much attention has been paid to the relationship between ferroptosis and suppression of antitumor immunity. Cancer cells with initial ferroptosis decrease DC maturation are poorly phagocytosed, and inhibit antigen cross-presentation.⁴³ Secondly, ferroptotic

damage of immune cells such as PMN-MDSCs in the tumor microenvironment promotes immune escape.¹¹ IGFBP1 regulates tumor immune responses in renal clear cell carcinoma,¹³ esophageal cancer,¹⁴ and metastatic melanoma.¹⁵

GO evidence suggests that signaling pathways such as IGFBP1/IGF1 may regulate NADP⁺ 1-oxidoreductase activity in ferroptosis. This conjecture is supported by evidence that ferroptosis is accompanied by GPX4 depletion and increased NADP⁺/NADPH ratio, inhibiting the conversion of cystine to cysteine and synthesis of GSH.^{8–10} Moreover, genomic and proteomic studies of the human adaptive response to high altitude/hypoxia environment also support IGFBP1 involvement in key molecular functions such as IGF-1 signaling, ferroptosis, iron homeostasis, and cell cycle regulation.⁴⁴ We further analyzed the inhibitors of ferroptosis associated with IGFBP1 and found that expression levels of many of them were associated with poor prognosis in NSCLC. A recent report also confirmed the importance of IGFBP1 in lung cancer, as risk scores based on gene signatures of IGFBP1 and other related secreted proteins correlated with tumor prognosis as well as resistance to antitumor immunity in lung adenocarcinoma. There is currently no evidence linking IGFBP1 with ferroptosis in lung cancer cells, but early studies have shown that IGFBP1 protein can be efficiently cleaved by enzymes secreted by immune cells, such as central granulocyte-derived proteases cathepsin G and elastase, in vitro and in vivo.³⁴ Tumor hypoxia and inflammatory infiltration provide strong support for the aggregation and activation of central granulocytes.³⁸ Our preliminary study also showed that LUAD is associated with the infiltration of PMN-MDSCs with ferroptosis fragility. In conclusion, the activity of IGFBP1 in NSCLC is regulated by neutrophils, which, in turn, affects oxidoreductases, such as NADP⁺ 1-oxidoreductases, and ferroptosis of PMN-MDSCs.

This study has some limitations.Because of the lack of material limitation, we were unable to verify the expression of IGFBP1 in serum and further analyze in situ immune cells profiles and protein expression. Although we identified IGFBP1 as a therapeutic target, we did not investigate new IGFBP1 inhibitors. Therefore, whether targeting of IGFBP1 can effectively treat NSCLC remains to be established. In addition, we evaluated the effect of *IGFBP1* knockdown expression on NSCLC cell line growth only in a single experiment. We aim to validate these results in an animal model of NSCLC, which will undoubtedly improve the precision of our conclusions.

Conclusion

In conclusion, in this study, we revealed that high *IGFBP1* expression is associated with poor prognosis. This relationship may be explained by the role of IGFBP1 in ferroptosis of neutrophils, which, in turn, may mediate cancer immunosuppression. We suggest that IGFBP1 may be used as a prognostic indicator and a therapeutic target in NSCLC.

Acknowledgments

This study was funded by a Grant for Scientific Research Projects on Traditional Chinese Medicine in 2023 (ID 2023097) by the Hebei Provincial Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine and the Hebei Province Graduate Innovation Funding Project (ID CXZZSS2022150). We thank Shanghai Origingene Bio-Pharm Technology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China) for their contribution to library construction, sequencing, and data analysis.

Disclosure

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2021;71(3):209–249. doi:10.3322/caac.21660
- 2. Nicholson AG, Tsao MS, Beasley MB, et al. The 2021 WHO classification of lung tumors: impact of advances since 2015. *J Thorac Oncol*. 2022;17 (3):362–387. doi:10.1016/j.jtho.2021.11.003
- 3. Deb D, Moore AC, Roy UB. The 2021 global lung cancer therapy landscape. J Thorac Oncol. 2022;17(7):931-936. doi:10.1016/j.jtho.2022.03.018
- Pala L, Sala I, Oriecuia C, et al. Association of anticancer immune checkpoint inhibitors with patient-reported outcomes assessed in randomized clinical trials: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Netw Open. 2022;5(8):e2226252. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.26252
- 5. Xiong A, Wang J, Zhou C. Immunotherapy in the first-line treatment of NSCLC: current status and future directions in China. *Front Oncol.* 2021;11:757993. doi:10.3389/fone.2021.757993
- 6. Bote H, Mesas A, Baena J, Herrera M, Paz-Ares L. Emerging immune checkpoint inhibitors for the treatment of non-small cell lung cancer. *Expert Opin Emerg Drugs*. 2022;27(3):289–300. doi:10.1080/14728214.2022.2113377

- 7. Wang C, Yu Q, Song T, et al. The heterogeneous immune landscape between lung adenocarcinoma and squamous carcinoma revealed by single-cell RNA sequencing. *Signal Transduct Target Ther.* 2022;7(1):289. doi:10.1038/s41392-022-01130-8
- Lei G, Zhuang L, Gan B. Targeting ferroptosis as a vulnerability in cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2022;22(7):381–396. doi:10.1038/s41568-022-00459-0
 Dierge E, Debock E, Guilbaud C, et al. Peroxidation of n-3 and n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids in the acidic tumor environment leads to ferroptosis-mediated anticancer effects. *Cell Metab*. 2021;33(8):1701–1715.e5. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2021.05.016
- 10. Zou Y, Henry WS, Ricq EL, et al. Plasticity of ether lipids promotes ferroptosis susceptibility and evasion. *Nature*. 2020;585(7826):603-608. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2732-8
- 11. Kim R, Hashimoto A, Markosyan N, et al. Ferroptosis of tumour neutrophils causes immune suppression in cancer. *Nature*. 2022;612 (7939):338-346. doi:10.1038/s41586-022-05443-0
- 12. Ye H, Adane B, Khan N, et al. Subversion of systemic glucose metabolism as a mechanism to support the growth of leukemia cells. *Cancer Cell*. 2018;34(4):659–673.e6. doi:10.1016/j.ccell.2018.08.016
- Xu T, Gao S, Liu J, Huang Y, Chen K, Zhang X. MMP9 and IGFBP1 regulate tumor immune and drive tumor progression in clear cell renal cell carcinoma. J Cancer. 2021;12(8):2243–2257. doi:10.7150/jca.48664
- 14. Liu M, Yan W, Chen D, et al. ^{hi}WNT3A^{lo} subtype in esophageal cancer predicts response and prolonged survival with PD-(L)1 inhibitor. *Biology*. 2022;11(11):1575. doi:10.3390/biology11111575
- Li Y, Gao Y, Chu W, Lv J, Li Z, Shi T. Differences in and verification of genetic alterations in chemotherapy and immunotherapy for metastatic melanoma. *Aging*. 2021;13(20):23672–23688. doi:10.18632/aging.203640
- Nagy Á, Győrffy B. muTarget: a platform linking gene expression changes and mutation status in solid tumors. Int J Cancer. 2021;148(2):502–511. doi:10.1002/ijc.33283
- 17. Chandrashekar DS, Karthikeyan SK, Korla PK, et al. UALCAN: an update to the integrated cancer data analysis platform. *Neoplasia*. 2022;25:18–27. doi:10.1016/j.neo.2022.01.001
- 18. Chandrashekar DS, Bashel B, Balasubramanya SAH, et al. UALCAN: a portal for facilitating tumor subgroup gene expression and survival analyses. *Neoplasia*. 2017;19(8):649–658. doi:10.1016/j.neo.2017.05.002
- 19. Szklarczyk D, Gable AL, Nastou KC, et al. The STRING database in 2021: customizable protein-protein networks, and functional characterization of user-uploaded gene/measurement sets. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2021;49(D1):D605–D612. doi:10.1093/nar/gkaa1074
- 20. Zhou N, Yuan X, Du Q, et al. FerrDb V2: update of the manually curated database of ferroptosis regulators and ferroptosis-disease associations. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2023;51(D1):D571–D582. doi:10.1093/nar/gkac935
- 21. Li T, Fu J, Zeng Z, et al. TIMER2.0 for analysis of tumor-infiltrating immune cells. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2020;48(W1):W509–W514. doi:10.1093/ nar/gkaa407
- 22. Dempster JM, Boyle I, Vazquez F, et al. Chronos: a cell population dynamics model of CRISPR experiments that improves inference of gene fitness effects. *Genome Biol.* 2021;22(1):343. doi:10.1186/s13059-021-02540-7
- 23. Brouwer AF, Engle JM, Jeon J, Meza R. Sociodemographic survival disparities for lung cancer in the United States, 2000–2016. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2022;114(11):1492–1500. doi:10.1093/jnci/djac144
- 24. Chen P, Liu Y, Wen Y, Zhou C. Non-small cell lung cancer in China. Cancer Commun. 2022;42(10):937-970. doi:10.1002/cac2.12359
- 25. Ramos-Esquivel A. Immunotherapy in non-small-cell lung cancer: new data support its use, but some challenges remain. *Thorax*. 2022;77 (12):1159–1160. doi:10.1136/thorax-2022-219472
- 26. Kashefizadeh A, Kazemizadeh H. Immunogenic cell death (ICD)-inducers in non-small-cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC): current knowledge and future perspective. *Clin Transl Oncol.* 2022;25(2):316–322. doi:10.1007/s12094-022-02949-x
- 27. Oliva M, Demanelis K, Lu Y, et al. DNA methylation QTL mapping across diverse human tissues provides molecular links between genetic variation and complex traits. *Nat Genet.* 2023;55(1):112–122. doi:10.1038/s41588-022-01248-z
- 28. Herbst RS, Morgensztern D, Boshoff C. The biology and management of non-small cell lung cancer. *Nature*. 2018;553(7689):446–454. doi:10.1038/nature25183
- Hellyer JA, Padda SK, Diehn M, Wakelee HA. Clinical Implications of KEAP1-NFE2L2 Mutations in NSCLC. J Thorac Oncol. 2021;16 (3):395–403. doi:10.1016/j.jtho.2020.11.015
- 30. Ma F, Laster K, Dong Z. The comparison of cancer gene mutation frequencies in Chinese and U.S. patient populations. *Nat Commun.* 2022;13 (1):5651. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-33351-4
- Cascone T, Fradette J, Pradhan M, Gibbons DL. Tumor immunology and immunotherapy of non-small-cell lung cancer. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2022;12(5):a037895. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a037895
- Jaillon S, Ponzetta A, Di Mitri D, Santoni A, Bonecchi R, Mantovani A. Neutrophil diversity and plasticity in tumour progression and therapy. Nat Rev Cancer. 2020;20(9):485–503. doi:10.1038/s41568-020-0281-y
- Salcher S, Sturm G, Horvath L, et al. High-resolution single-cell atlas reveals diversity and plasticity of tissue-resident neutrophils in non-small cell lung cancer. Cancer Cell. 2022;40(12):1503–1520.e8. doi:10.1016/j.ccell.2022.10.008
- 34. Zahid KR, Raza U, Tumbath S, Jiang L, Xu W, Huang X. Neutrophils: musketeers against immunotherapy. Front Oncol. 2022;12:975981. doi:10.3389/fonc.2022.975981
- 35. Teijeira A, Garasa S, Ochoa MC, et al. IL8, neutrophils, and NETs in a collusion against cancer immunity and immunotherapy. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2021;27(9):2383–2393. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-20-1319
- 36. Cui C, Chakraborty K, Tang XA, et al. Neutrophil elastase selectively kills cancer cells and attenuates tumorigenesis. Cell. 2021;184(12):3163–3177.e21. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2021.04.016
- 37. Brandt K, Lundell K, Brismar K. Neutrophil-derived azurocidin cleaves insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-1, -2 and -4. Growth Horm IGF Res. 2011;21(3):167-173. doi:10.1016/j.ghir.2011.04.003
- Mair F, Erickson JR, Frutoso M, et al. Extricating human tumour immune alterations from tissue inflammation. Nature. 2022;605(7911):728–735. doi:10.1038/s41586-022-04718-w
- 39. Zhang C, Tang B, Hu J, et al. Neutrophils correlate with hypoxia microenvironment and promote progression of non-small-cell lung cancer. *Bioengineered*. 2021;12(1):8872–8884. doi:10.1080/21655979.2021.1987820
- 40. Mu T, Li H, Li X. Prognostic implication of energy metabolism-related gene signatures in lung adenocarcinoma. *Front Oncol.* 2022;12:867470. doi:10.3389/fonc.2022.867470

- Li F, Cao Y, Li J, et al. The clinical significance of serum adipocytokines level in patients with lung cancer. J Thorac Dis. 2019;11(8):3547–3555. doi:10.21037/jtd.2019.07.66
- 42. Dowery R, Benhamou D, Benchetrit E, et al. Peripheral B cells repress B-cell regeneration in aging through a TNF-α/IGFBP-1/IGF-1 immuneendocrine axis. *Blood*. 2021;138(19):1817–1829. doi:10.1182/blood.2021012428
- 43. Wiernicki B, Maschalidi S, Pinney J, et al. Cancer cells dying from ferroptosis impede dendritic cell-mediated anti-tumor immunity. *Nat Commun.* 2022;13(1):3676. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-31218-2
- 44. Sharma V, Varshney R, Sethy NK. Human adaptation to high altitude: a review of convergence between genomic and proteomic signatures. *Hum Genomics*. 2022;16(1):21. doi:10.1186/s40246-022-00395-y

International Journal of General Medicine

Dovepress

1015

Publish your work in this journal

The International Journal of General Medicine is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal that focuses on general and internal medicine, pathogenesis, epidemiology, diagnosis, monitoring and treatment protocols. The journal is characterized by the rapid reporting of reviews, original research and clinical studies across all disease areas. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/international-journal-of-general-medicine-journal

f 🔰 in 🕨 DovePress