Foveal atrophy and macular hole formation following intravitreal ranibizumab with/without photodynamic therapy for choroidal neovascularization secondary to age-related macular degeneration
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Background: To report the occurrence of foveal atrophy and macular hole formation following intravitreal ranibizumab with or without photodynamic therapy for choroidal neovascularization caused by age-related macular degeneration.

Methods: This was a retrospective, interventional case series, in which 78 eyes of 76 patients were treated for wet age-related macular degeneration between February 2007 and August 2007. Of these, three eyes developed foveal atrophy following treatment. Two eyes underwent combination photodynamic therapy and intravitreal ranibizumab, and one eye underwent intravitreal ranibizumab alone. One of the two eyes that underwent combination therapy progressed to develop a macular hole.

Results: On the first follow-up visit, all three eyes showed thinning of the fovea on optical coherence tomography. Subsequently, treatment was continued with repeat intravitreal ranibizumab injections. At the last follow-up, although choroidal neovascularization regressed in all eyes, extensive foveal atrophy developed in two eyes with macular hole formation in one eye.

Conclusion: The possibility of foveal atrophy and macular hole formation must be borne in mind before initiating ranibizumab in combination with or without photodynamic therapy. However, larger studies with longer follow-up are required to understand such adverse effects better.
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Introduction
Macular hole formation has been reported following photodynamic therapy,1 intravitreal ranibizumab,2 and intravitreal bevacizumab.3 To determine such adverse events in our patients, we reviewed cases of treated wet age-related macular degeneration between February 2007 and August 2007. Twenty-five eyes underwent photodynamic therapy (Novartis, Basel, Switzerland), 53 eyes underwent intravitreal ranibizumab (Genentech, San Francisco, CA), and four of these eyes underwent a combination of the two treatments. Three cases developed foveal atrophy following treatment, and one of these progressed to develop macular hole. Two eyes underwent combination therapy, and one underwent intravitreal ranibizumab monotherapy. Macular hole formation following combination photodynamic therapy and intravitreal ranibizumab has not been reported previously.
Case 1
A 74-year-old gentleman presented with decreased vision bilaterally. Best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) was 6/18 in the right eye and FC 1 m in the left. Biomicroscopic examination of the fundus revealed choroidal neovascularization in the right eye (Figure 1, upper left) and a disciform scar in the left. Clinical findings were confirmed on fundus fluorescein angiogram (450 Plus IR, Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Germany, Figure 1, upper right and lower right) and optical coherence tomography (Stratus Model 3000 Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Germany, Figure 1, lower left). The patient underwent combination photodynamic therapy (50 J/cm²) with intravitreal ranibizumab (0.5 mg/0.05 mL) in the right eye. He came back two months later, at which time vision had improved to 6/12 P. However, optical coherence tomography revealed persisting subretinal fluid (Figure 2, left column, middle), and intravitreal ranibizumab was repeated. He came back for a follow-up six months later (Figure 2, left column, bottom), by which time vision had dropped to 6/36. The fundus revealed regressed choroidal neovascularization with foveal atrophy, confirmed on optical coherence tomography. Thereafter, the patient was followed up regularly at three-monthly intervals. Twenty-five months after the last intravitreal injection, the fundus remained stable and vision was maintained.

Case 2
A 68-year-old gentleman presented with failure of visual improvement in the right eye following cataract surgery. BCVA was 6/60 in the right eye and 6/9 in the left. Biomicroscopic examination of the right fundus revealed choroidal neovascularization (Figure 3, upper left). Clinical findings were confirmed on fundus fluorescein angiography (Figure 3, upper right and lower right) and optical coherence tomography (Figure 3, lower left). The patient underwent photodynamic therapy (50 J/cm²) with intravitreal ranibizumab 0.5 mg. One month later, vision was 6/60, and the fundus showed choroidal neovascularization with foveal thinning, which was confirmed on optical coherence tomography.
coherence tomography (Figure 2, central column, middle). Monthly intravitreal ranibizumab injections were repeated twice. One month later, choroidal neovascularization had regressed and vision was improved to 6/36. The patient was followed up on a monthly basis. Three months later, vision was maintained but optical coherence tomography revealed a full-thickness macular hole (Figure 2, central column, below).

Case 3
A 69-year-old gentleman presented with bilaterally decreased vision over the previous few months. BCVA in the right eye was 6/9 and 6/60 in the left eye. Biomicroscopic examination of the fundus revealed choroidal neovascularization in both eyes (left eye, Figure 4, upper left) which was confirmed on fundus fluorescein angiography (Figure 4, upper right and lower right). Optical coherence tomography showed subretinal fluid and cystoid macular edema (Figure 4, lower left) in the left eye. The patient underwent combination photodynamic therapy (50 J/cm²) and intravitreal ranibizumab 0.5 mg in the right eye and received intravitreal ranibizumab 0.5 mg in the left eye. One month later, intravitreal ranibizumab was repeated in both eyes. The patient was followed up on a monthly basis. Three months later, vision in the right eye improved to 6/9 and worsened to FC 2 m in the left. Optical coherence tomography revealed foveal atrophy in the left eye (Figure 2, right column, lower).

Discussion
Various theories have been postulated to account for macular hole formation. Gass⁴ hypothesized that contraction of the prefoveal vitreous cortex caused focal tangential traction, leading to formation of idiopathic macular holes. Gaudric et al⁵ in an optical coherence tomography study concluded that vitreous traction may actually be oblique. Therefore, tangential and anterior-posterior transvitreal traction has been implicated in the development of idiopathic macular holes. Chung et al⁶ hypothesized that intravitreal injection can induce vitreous incarceration, causing vitreoretinal traction leading to the development of a macular hole. It is also postulated that ranibizumab itself can cause the macular hole by modulating the activity of choroidal neovascularization and inducing contraction of the membrane, leading to exacerbation of tangential traction on the overlying retina.⁷ Macular hole formation has been reported 20 days after photodynamic therapy.¹ This reported eye had pre-existing posterior vitreous detachment. Macular hole formation following photodynamic therapy was attributed to choroidal swelling leading to dehiscence of the foveal pit, exacerbation of tangential traction by the laser administered over the fovea, or exacerbation of cystoid macular edema with coalescence of cysts, leading to full-thickness macular hole. In a report of macular hole formation following intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide injection for diabetic macular edema,⁸ the authors hypothesized that there was degeneration of the retinal cells, especially Muller cells, after prolonged edema.

In our patients, several possible mechanisms may have induced the development of macular hole, including those discussed above. Hence, to conclude, the possibility of development of foveal atrophy and macular hole must be kept in mind before initiating combination therapy with photodynamic therapy and ranibizumab. However, larger studies with longer follow-up could unravel such adverse effects better.
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