ORIGINAL RESEARCH Intraocular Lens Formula Comparison of Flanged Intrascleral Intraocular Lens Fixation with Double Needle Technique

Daniel S Malach 1, John Michael Guest¹, Christopher Adam¹, Jonah Joffe², Kim Le², Chaesik Kim¹, Xihui Lin¹

¹Kresge Eye Institute/Wayne State University Department of Ophthalmology, Detroit, MI, USA; ²Henry Ford Hospital Department of Ophthalmology, Detroit, MI, USA

Correspondence: Xihui Lin, Kresge Eye Institute/Wayne State University Department of Ophthalmology, 4717 St. Antoine, Detroit, MI, 48201, USA, Email xihui.lin@wayne.edu

Purpose: To analyze visual outcomes and accuracy of intraocular lens (IOL) calculation formulas in predicting postoperative outcomes in patients undergoing flanged intrascleral IOL fixation.

Design: Case Series.

Subjects: Twenty-three patients who had undergone secondary IOL placement using flanged intrascleral fixation technique. Methods: Retrospective chart review.

Main Outcome Measures: Corrected distance visual acuity (CDVA) and postoperative spherical equivalent based on manifest refraction.

Results: Visual acuity improved from 20/577 to 20/58. Overall, the actual refraction was 0.06 D more myopic than predicted. Holladay 2, Sanders Retzlaff Kraff/Theoretical (SRK/T) and Barrett Universal II resulted in mild myopic surprise (-0.55, -0.18 and -0.20 D). Haigis and Hill-RBF (Radial Basis Function) resulted in mild hyperopic surprise (+0.28 and +0.28 D). Hoffer Q and Holladay 1 were the most accurate (-0.02D and -0.08 D).

Conclusion: Flanged intrascleral IOL fixation improved vision even in patients with other posterior segment pathologies. The effective lens positioning is likely similar to in-the-bag positioning. Hoffer Q and Holladay 1 formulas with in-the-bag calculations were the most accurate.

Keywords: aphakia, cataract, flanged technique, refractive, secondary intraocular lens

Introduction

The ideal surgical outcome of cataract extraction results in intracapsular implantation of an intraocular lens (IOL). However, certain patient factors may preclude placement of an intracapsular lens, including Pseudoexfoliation Syndrome, Marfan Syndrome, ocular trauma with zonular loss or instability, and intraoperative challenges resulting in damage to the capsular bag or zonular apparatus. In these instances, several techniques exist to facilitate placement of a secondary IOL including anterior chamber or sulcus placement, iris fixation or scleral fixation.^{1,2} A number of methods of scleral fixation have been utilized, including suture fixation, fibrin glue, scleral pockets, or other sutureless techniques. $^{3-6,8}$ Dr. Shin Yamane first introduced his flanged double needle technique for scleral fixation of an IOL at the World Ophthalmology Conference in 2014.8 Since that time, several studies have reported good visual outcomes with a low incidence of intra- and postoperative complications.⁸⁻¹¹ Prior to the double needle technique, a modified version of the single needle Yamane technique had been used with repeated success.⁷ However, there is a paucity of literature regarding which currently available IOL formula is most accurate in predicting postoperative refractive outcomes in this patient population. The goal of this study is to analyze the refractive outcomes of patients undergoing flanged intrascleral

Clinical Ophthalmology 2023:17 1-6

cc 0 (so 2023 Malach et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms by not incorporate the Creative Commons Attribution — Non Commercial (unported, v3.0) License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/). By accessing the work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

I

intraocular lens fixation and to compare the accuracy of currently available IOL formulas in predicting postoperative refractive outcomes.

Methods

Electronic medical records of all adult patients at the Kresge Eye Institute, Detroit, Michigan, who had undergone secondary intraocular lens placement with the flanged intrascleral fixation technique from 2014 to 2019 were reviewed. In instances of successive bilateral surgery, both eyes were used in the study analysis individually. Exclusion criteria included insufficient follow-up data, surgical complications resulting in an inability to place a scleral-fixated lens, or a history of keratorefractive surgery. Study approval was obtained from the Wayne State University Institutional Review Board. Research adhered to the Tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice. Patient data collected for analysis included age, gender, ethnicity, ocular comorbidities, reason for secondary IOL, optical biometry measurements, axial length (AL) measurement, preoperative and postoperative corrective distance visual acuity (CDVA), preoperative and postoperative spherical equivalent based on manifest refraction, and intraoperative and postoperative complications. The axial length was measured using optical biometry (Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, IOLMaster[®] 500, Jena, Germany). In the instance of poor signal strength with optical biometry, applanation ultrasound biometry was used for AL measurements.

The predicted postoperative spherical equivalent was calculated using the Holladay 1, Holladay 2, Hoffer Q, Sanders Retzlaff Kraff/Theoretical (SRK/T), Haigis, Barrett Universal II, and Hill-RBF (Radial Basis Function) formulas based on in-the-bag placement of the IOL.

All patients underwent uneventful 25 gauge pars plana vitrectomy and secondary IOL placement using a similar surgical technique with an Alcon[®] MA60AC (Alcon, Geneva, Switzerland) IOL fixated 2 millimeters posterior to the limbus. After a complete pars plana vitrectomy with peripheral vitreous base shave, the intraocular lens was injected into the anterior chamber through a 2.75 mm superior limbal wound. Our own analysis of effective lens positioning data shows even 2 mm has tendency of a more posterior effective lens positioning; thus, we are hesitant to use 2.5mm. Bent 27 gauge needles were used for haptic docking and high-temperature cautery was used for creation of flanged haptic bulbs (see <u>Supplementary Video</u> or key surgical steps). All patients were prescribed the same postoperative drop regimen of a topical fluoroquinolone (moxifloxacin), topical cycloplegic/mydriatic (homatropine 5%), and topical steroid (prednisolone acetate 1%). Patients were followed for 3 months postoperatively.

Statistical Analysis

Continuous demographic data were summarized as means and standard deviations, while categorical variables were tabulated as percentages and frequencies. Non-parametric linear regression was used to determine the correlation between predicted and postoperative spherical equivalent for each formula. A two-tailed independent *t*-test was performed to determine if the mean postoperative spherical equivalent for each formula was significantly different from the predicted value. Intraclass correlations (ICC) were performed to assess the reliability of each formula in predicting postoperative spherical equivalent. The percentage of patients with postoperative spherical equivalent within \pm 0.25D, \pm 0.50D, \pm 0.75D and \pm 1.00 D of predicted spherical equivalent for each formula was calculated and compared using Cochran's Q Test. All statistical tests were two-sided and a p-value of <0.05 was used to determine statistical significance. All analyses were performed on IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 24.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, N. Y., USA).

Results

Twenty-four eyes of 23 patients (15 male, 8 female) were included in this study. The mean age at the time of surgery was 64.60 ± 13.25 years. Demographic information can be found in Table 1. Indications for secondary IOL included aphakia (8 eyes), traumatic or non-traumatic dislocated crystalline lens with zonulopathy (8 eyes), dislocated or subluxated Posterior chamber intraocular lenses (PCIOL) (7 eyes) or PCIOL exchange (1 eye). The one patient requiring a PCIOL exchange had developed corneal ectasia after implantation of a multifocal IOL and developed intolerable glare/haloes.

Variable	Count (%)		
Number of eyes	24		
Male	15 (65.2)		
Female	8 (34.8)		
Diagnosis Aphakia Dislocated PCIOL Dislocated/subluxed lens Other	8 (33.3) 7 (29.2) 8 (33.3) I (4.2)		
Variable	Mean (SD)		
Age	64.6 (13.25)		
Axial Length	24.22 (2.01)		
Preoperative CVDA (logMAR)	1.46		
Postoperative CDVA (logMAR)	0.46		

Table I Patient Characteristics

Abbreviations: PCIOL, Posterior chamber intraocular lens; CDVA, Corrected Distance Visual Acuity.

There were no significant intraoperative complications. A small percentage of patients had comorbid retinal or corneal pathology that limited their ultimate CDVA. The mean axial length was 24.22 ± 2.01 millimeters.

Overall, the mean CDVA significantly improved from logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution (logMAR) 1.46 (snellen 20/577) before surgery to logMAR 0.46 (snellen 20/58) after surgery (p = 0.0027). Postoperative complications were rare and were limited to cystoid macular edema (CME) (12.5%), postoperative transient intraocular pressure (IOP) spike (8.3%) and mild lens tilt (4.2%) that did not require reoperation. In the instance of post-op CME and IOP spike, all cases were successfully treated with topical medications. The mean postoperative residual refractive error for all formulas combined was -0.06 + 0.29 diopters. Most formulas had a mild myopic surprise, except for Haigis and Hill-RBF. Table 2 shows the descriptive statistics for predicted spherical equivalent and mean and absolute errors for each formula. There was no statistically significant difference between the predicted and actual postoperative spherical equivalents for all formulas (p > 0.05).

Table 3 shows the ICC and R^2 values for nonparametric regression analysis. All ICC values were less than 0.5, indicating poor repeatability for each formula. All R^2 values were less than 0.3, indicating poor correlation between predicted and actual postoperative spherical equivalent for each formula. Table 4 shows the percentage of patients for each formula that had a postoperative spherical equivalent within 0.25, 0.5, 0.75 and 1.00 diopters of the predicted

Formula	n	Mea	an (SD)	P-value*	Mean (SD)
		Predicted SE	Prediction Error		Absolute Error
Holladay I	19	-0.29 (0.49)	-0.08 (1.09)	0.687	0.89 (0.60)
Holladay 2	9	-0.19 (0.33)	-0.55 (1.19)	0.327	0.92 (0.77)
Hoffer Q	18	-0.23 (0.55)	-0.02 (1.15)	0.965	0.91 (0.68)
Haigis	9	-0.23 (0.52)	0.28 (1.25)	0.674	1.03 (0.66)
Barrett	18	-0.10 (0.49)	-0.2 (1.18)	0.334	1.01 (0.61)
SRK/T	19	-0.22 (0.42)	-0.16 (1.10)	0.573	0.94 (0.56)
Hill-RBF	11	-0.45 (0.33)	0.28 (1.18)	0.533	0.94 (0.71)

Table 2 Descriptive Statistics

Note: *Independent t-test.

Abbreviation: SE, Spherical Equivalent.

Formula	n	ICC	R ² *	
Holladay I	19	0.341	0.23	
Holladay 2	9	0.179	0.12	
Hoffer Q	18	0.262	0.11	
Haigis	9	0.247	0.11	
Barrett	18	0.222	0.09	
SRK/T	19	0.296	0.22	
Hill-RBF II		0.193	0.16	

 Table 3 Intraclass Correlation and Regression Analysis

Note: *Non-parametric linear regression.

Table 4 Distribution of Eyes Within Diopter Ranges of Predicted Spherical Equivalent

Range (D)	Formula, % (n)						
	Holladay I	Holladay 2	Hoffer Q	Haigis	Barrett	SRK/T	Hill-RBF
± 0.25	10.5 (2)	12.5 (1)	16.7 (3)	0 (0)	5.6 (1)	5.3 (I)	18.2 (2)
± 0.50	26.3 (5)	12.5 (1)	27.8 (5)	25 (2)	27.8 (5)	21.1 (4)	27.3 (3)
± 0.75	47.4 (9)	25.0 (2)	44.4 (8)	37.5 (3)	38.9 (7)	42.1 (8)	45.5 (5)
± 1.00	68.4 (13)	75.0 (6)	77.8 (14)	62.5 (5)	61.1 (11)	63.2 (12)	63.6 (7)

Abbreviation: D, Diopters.

spherical equivalent. Hoffer Q resulted in the highest percentage of patients within 0.5 and 1.0 diopters (27.8% and 77.8%, respectively); however, this result was not statistically significant.

Discussion

Sutureless transscleral fixation of a PCIOL with the modified double-needle technique is a safe and effective method of visual rehabilitation in patients with inadequate capsular or zonular support. As the utilization of the modified Yamane technique increases worldwide and patient expectations for surgical outcomes become more demanding, more data is needed to improve the accuracy of refractive outcomes. The large number of currently available IOL formulas is indicative of the difficulty in accurately predicting postoperative refractive targets.^{12–17} This is especially true in patients who undergo scleral-fixation of an IOL as opposed to traditional phacoemulsification with in-the-bag placement.^{18–22}

A study from 2021 assessing the accuracy of lens calculation formulas using the flanged intrascleral intraocular lens fixation with the double needle technique pre dates ours. Results of the prior study found that refractive outcomes using the Yamane technique were less accurate when compared to standard cataract surgery.²³ While our study further demonstrated the variability of this semi new technique. The Hoffer Q (-0.02D) formula had the smallest mean prediction error and highest percentage of patients within 0.50 and diopters of the predicted spherical equivalent among all formulas in this study, although this result was not statistically significant. None of the formulas utilized in this study had predictable and repeatable refractive outcomes, as all ICC values were less than 0.3 and all R² values were less than 0.5. However, the mean prediction errors for each formula and for all patients were less than 0.5 D and there was no statistically significant difference between the predicted and actual postoperative spherical equivalent on manifest refraction. Our data highlight the difficulty in obtaining repeatable refractive outcomes in this specific patient population. Although all of the surgeries included in this study were performed by the same surgeon utilizing a similar surgical technique, certain patient-specific factors may have led to the variation in effective lens position and refractive outcomes. In addition, every patient underwent a pars plana vitrectomy prior to IOL (Aclon MA60AC) insertion.

Although not required, this was undertaken to prevent any retinal complications as well as to allow for posterior placement of the balanced salt solution (BSS) infusion to facilitate surgical technique. In addition, from the authors' experience, there is an increased risk of developing giant retinal tear associated retinal detachments in certain eyes if the vitreous base is not debulked through a pars plana approach. Previous studies have demonstrated the unpredictable

refractive outcomes in patients with previous or concurrent pars plana vitrectomy undergoing cataract phacoemulsification with IOL placement,^{24–29} which may play a role in the refractive variability in this patient population. Effective lens position for these flanged scleral fixated lenses is highly dependent on the integrity of the natural curvature of the prolene haptics as well as the angle of scleral insertion. Together, these two factors likely played a part in the variability of the data. This study has several limitations, including its retrospective nature and small sample size. The small sample size precluded subgroup analysis, specifically comparison of lens formulas in patients of differing axial lengths. Our study failed to show a significant difference between predicted and actual spherical equivalent for each formula. However, this result may be due to small sample size. Several formulas had a smaller sample size than others due to the lack of anterior chamber depth measurements in aphakic patients that is required to calculate predicted spherical equivalent in newer generation formulas. There were also several patients included in the cohort that had macular or corneal pathology that limited their CDVA. However, as an aggregate, our data still highlighted important trends in the predicted refraction and the formulas. Specifically, although there is no statistical significance between the accuracy of the formulas, two of the formulas had a significant hyperopic trend. We would recommend relying more on Hoffer Q or Holladay 1 and target for slight myopia.

In conclusion, the flanged intrascleral intraocular lens fixation with the double needle technique is a safe and effective method of IOL (Alcon MA60AC) fixation in patients requiring a secondary IOL. Refractive outcomes are variable and may be slightly more myopic than predicted with currently available IOL formulas, but are overall similar to in-the-bag placement. The most accurate formulas were Hoffer Q and Holladay 1 while Haigis and Hill-RBF had a hyperopic trend. Given this variability and the tendency of hyperopic surprises with certain formulas, it is safer to aim for slight myopia in these patients. In addition, they should be appropriately counseled preoperatively on the variability of refractive outcomes.

Data Sharing Statement

All data accessed complied with relevant data protection and privacy regulations.

Ethics and Consent Statements

This research study was approved by Wayne State University IRB. All research protocols followed the appropriate ethical standards. This was a retrospective comparative study that did not require direct patient contact. Thus, informed consent is not applicable.

Acknowledgments

American Academy of Ophthalmology Annual Meeting, San Francisco CA, 2019.

Funding

Non-restricted grant to the Department of Ophthalmology, Visual and Anatomical Sciences from Research to Prevent Blindness, Inc.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

1. Wagoner MD, Cox TA, Ariyasu RG, Jacobs DS, Karp CL. Intraocular lens implantation in the absence of capsular support; a report by the American Academy of Ophthalmology (Ophthalmic Technology Assessment). *Ophthalmology*. 2003;110:840–859.

Stark WJ, Gottsch JD, Goodman DF, et al. Posterior chamber intraocular lens implantation in the absence of capsular support. Arch Ophthalmol. 1989;107:1078–1083. doi:10.1001/archopht.1989.01070020140048

^{3.} Monteiro M, Marinho A, Borges S, Ribeiro L, Correia C. Scleral fixation in eyes with loss of capsule or zonule support. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2007;33(4):573–576. doi:10.1016/j.jcrs.2006.10.073

^{4.} Mittelviefhaus H, Witschel H. Transscleral suture fixation of posterior-chamber lenses after cataract extraction associated with vitreous loss. *Ger J Ophthalmol.* 1995;4:80–85.

- 5. Wallman AC, Monson BK, Adelberg DA. Transscleral fixation of a foldable posterior chamber intraocular lens. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2015;41:1804–1809.
- Agarwal A, Kumar DA, Jacob S, Baid C, Agarwal A, Srinivasan S. Fibrin glue-assisted sutureless posterior chamber intraocular lens implantation in eyes with deficient posterior capsules. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2008;34:1433–1438.
- Randerson EL, Bogaard JD, Koenig LR, Hwang ES, Warren CC, Koenig SB. Clinical outcomes and lens constant optimization of the zeiss CT Lucia 602 lens using a modified Yamane technique. *Clin Ophthalmol.* 2020;14:3903–3912.
- 8. Yamane S, Sato S, Maruyama-Inoue M, Kadonosono K. Flanged intrascleral intraocular lens fixation with double-needle technique. *Ophthalmol.* 2017;124:1136–1142.
- 9. Stem MS, Wa CA, Todorich B, Woodward MA, Walsh MK, Wolfe JD. 27-gauge sutureless intrascleral fixation of intraocular lenses with haptic flanging: short-term clinical outcomes and a disinsertion force study. *Retina*. 2018;39:2149.
- 10. Kelkar A, Kelkar J, Kothari A, et al. Comparison of two modified sutureless techniques of scleral fixation of intraocular lens. JAMA Ophthalmic Surg Lasers Imaging Retina. 2018;49:e129-e134.
- 11. Brunin G, Sajjad A, Kim EJ, et al. Secondary intraocular lens implantation: complication rates, visual acuity, and refractive outcomes. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2017;43:369–376. doi:10.1016/j.jcrs.2016.12.024
- 12. Melles RB, Holladay JT, Chang WJ. Accuracy of intraocular lens calculation formulas. *Ophthalmology*. 2018;125:169–178. doi:10.1016/j. ophtha.2017.08.027
- 13. Norrby S. Sources of error in intraocular lens power calculation. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2008;34:368–376. doi:10.1016/j.jcrs.2007.10.031
- Gokce SE, Cooke DL, Wang L, Koch DD, Al-Mohtaseb Z. Accuracy of 8 intraocular lens calculation formulas in relation to anterior chamber depth in patients with normal axial length. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2018;44:362–368. doi:10.1016/j.jcrs.2018.01.015
- 15. Shrivastava AK, Behera P, Kumar B, Nanda S. Precision of intraocular lens power prediction in eyes shorter than 22 mm: an analysis of 6 formulas. *J Cataract Refract Surg.* 2018;44:1317–1320. doi:10.1016/j.jcrs.2018.07.023
- Kane JX, Van Heerden A, Atik A, Petsoglou C. Intraocular lens power formula accuracy: comparison of 7 formulas. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2016;42:1490–1500. doi:10.1016/j.jcrs.2016.07.021
- Darcy K, Gunn D, Tavassoli S, Sparrow J, Kane JX. Assessment of the accuracy of new and updated intraocular lens power calculation formulas in 10930 eyes from the UK National Health Service. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2020;46:2–7. doi:10.1016/j.jcrs.2019.08.014
- Hayashi K, Hayashi H, Nakao F, Hayashi F. Intraocular lens tilt and decentration, anterior chamber depth, and refractive error after trans-scleral suture fixation surgery. *Ophthalmology*. 1999;106:878–882. doi:10.1016/S0161-6420(99)00504-7
- 19. Sindal MD, Nakhwa CP, Sengupta S. Comparison of sutured versus sutureless scleral-fixated intraocular lenses. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2016;42:27-34. doi:10.1016/j.jcrs.2015.09.019
- Ganekal S, Venkataratnam S, Dorairaj S, Jhanji V. Comparative evaluation of suture-assisted and fibrin glue-assisted scleral fixated intraocular lens implantation. J Refract Surg. 2012;28:249–252. doi:10.3928/1081597X-20120221-01
- 21. Kjeka O, Bohnstedt J, Meberg K, Seland JH. Implantation of scleral-fixated posterior chamber intraocular lenses in adults. *Acta Ophthalmol.* 2008;86:537–542. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0420.2007.01095.x
- 22. Mimura T, Amano S, Sugiura T, et al. 10-year follow-up study of secondary transscleral ciliary sulcus fixated posterior chamber intraocular lenses. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2003;136(5):931–933. doi:10.1016/S0002-9394(03)00893-6
- 23. McMillin J, Wang L, Wang MY, et al. Accuracy of intraocular lens calculation formulas for flanged intrascleral intraocular lens fixation with double-needle technique. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2021;47:855–858. doi:10.1097/j.jcrs.00000000000540
- 24. Lamson TL, Song J, Abazari A, Weissbart SB. Refractive outcomes of phacoemulsification after pars plana vitrectomy using traditional and new intraocular lens calculation formulas. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2019;45:293–297. doi:10.1016/j.jcrs.2018.10.032
- 25. Falkner-Radler CI, Benesch T, Binder S. Accuracy of preoperative biometry in vitrectomy combined with cataract surgery for patients with epiretinal membranes and macular holes; results of a prospective controlled clinical trial. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2008;34:1754–1760. doi:10.1016/j.jcrs.2008.06.021
- 26. Suzuki Y, Sakuraba T, Mizutani H, Matsuhashi H, Nakazawa M. Postoperative refractive error after simultaneous vitrectomy and cataract surgery. *Ophthalmic Surg Lasers*. 2000;31:271–275. doi:10.3928/1542-8877-20000701-03
- 27. Kovács I, Ferencz M, Nemes J, Somfai G, Salacz G, Récsán Z. Intraocular lens power calculation for combined cataract surgery, vitrectomy and peeling of epiretinal membranes for macular oedema. *Acta Ophthalmol Scand.* 2007;85:88–91. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0420.2006.00772.x
- 28. Jeoung JW, Chung H, Yu HG. Factors influencing refractive outcomes after combined phacoemulsification and pars plana vitrectomy: results of a prospective study. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2007;33:108–114. doi:10.1016/j.jcrs.2006.09.017
- 29. Shioya M, Ogino N, Shinjo U. Change in postoperative refractive error when vitrectomy is added to intraocular lens implantation. J Cataract Refract Surg. 1997;23:1217–1220. doi:10.1016/S0886-3350(97)80319-7

Clinical Ophthalmology

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical Ophthalmology is an international, peer-reviewed journal covering all subspecialties within ophthalmology. Key topics include: Optometry; Visual science; Pharmacology and drug therapy in eye diseases; Basic Sciences; Primary and Secondary eye care; Patient Safety and Quality of Care Improvements. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central and CAS, and is the official journal of The Society of Clinical Ophthalmology (SCO). The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www. dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/clinical-ophthalmology-journal