Exenatide improves glucocorticoid-induced glucose intolerance in mice
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Abstract: Exenatide is an incretin mimetic that is recently available in the US for the treatment of diabetes. There is a paucity of information on the effects of exenatide in glucocorticoid (GC)-induced diabetes. Although the effect of continuous intravenous infusion of exenatide on GC-induced glucose intolerance has been investigated before in healthy human males receiving oral prednisolone, we investigated the efficacy of a single subcutaneous dose of exenatide (3 µg/kg) in lowering blood glucose in GC-induced glucose intolerance in C57BL/6 mice. In a longitudinal experiment, the area under the curve (AUC) of oral glucose tolerance tests (OGTT) significantly increased after dexamethasone (P = 0.004), which was subsequently decreased by exenatide (P < 0.001). A cross-sectional experiment showed that exenatide improved glucose tolerance compared with placebo in a mouse model of dexamethasone-induced glucose intolerance. AUC of OGTT in the exenatide group were significantly (P < 0.001) lower than in the placebo group. Insulin tolerance tests (ITT) demonstrated that exenatide decreased the ability of the mice to tolerate insulin compared with placebo. The AUC of ITT in the exenatide group were also significantly (P = 0.006) lower than in the placebo group. In conclusion, a single dose of exenatide was able to decrease glucose intolerance and insulin resistance in these placebo-controlled experiments. Future clinical trials are justified to investigate the role of exenatide in the treatment of GC-induced glucose intolerance/diabetes.
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Glucocorticoids (GC) induce hyperglycemia,1 and hyperglycemia have an adverse effect on the outcome of chemotherapy for acute lymphocytic leukemia (ALL).2 Patients with hyperglycemia (≥200 mg/dL) during induction chemotherapy with the hyper-CVAD regimen (fractionated cyclophosphamide, vincristine, doxorubicin, and dexamethasone alternating with high-dose methotrexate and cytarabine) were found to have shorter complete remission durations than those with normal glucose.2 The patients had hyper-CVAD, which included dexamethasone 40 mg on days 1–4 and days 11–14 in odd-number courses (courses 1, 3, 5, and 7) and methylprednisolone 50 mg twice daily on days 1–3 in even-number courses (courses 2, 4, 6, and 8). Antidiabetic medications such as thiazolidinediones (eg, pioglitazone)3,4 and biguanides (metformin)3 can be used to improve the insulin resistance induced by GC. Insulin has been commonly used to manage acute exacerbation in hyperglycemia induced by glucocorticoids in most clinical settings.5 However, insulin has a promoting effect on growth and chemoresistance to daunorubicin of leukemic cell lines and primary samples of ALL (Yeung, unpublished data); therefore, a rapidly effective therapy without a malignancy-promoting effect needs to be identified for the treatment of GC-induced hyperglycemia in ALL.
patients undergoing chemotherapy before medications such as metformin and pioglitazone can exert their full antidiabetic effect or when oral antidiabetic medications are unable to control hyperglycemia satisfactorily. Exenatide is a glucagon-like peptide-1 mimetic recently approved for the treatment of diabetes. Our recent investigation did not find any direct promoting effect of exenatide on cancer cells. Its effectiveness for GC-induced hyperglycemia is unknown. Therefore, we tested the effectiveness of exenatide in controlling GC-induced hyperglycemia in a mouse model.

We induced glucose intolerance by intraperitoneal (IP) injection of dexamethasone (dexamethasone sodium phosphate for injection, APP Pharmaceuticals, Raleigh, NC, USA; 4 mg/mL) into adult C57BL6 mice (males and females). Blood glucose levels were measured using a Freestyle Glucose Meter (Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL, USA). Blood samples were collected by cutting into the soft tissue of the distal 2-mm portion of the mice’s sterilized tails using a scalpel and gentle squeezing to obtain two or more drops of blood. The first drop was discarded; the second drop was applied on the test strip. Additional blood (up to 60 µL) was collected by submandibular bleeding (superficial temporal vein) for hormone analysis when indicated. An oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) was performed after a 15- to 18-hour fast. Blood glucose levels were measured immediately prior to glucose administration (0 min) and at 15, 30, 60, and 120 min after glucose (1.5 g/kg as a 50% w/v solution in water) administration by orogastric gavage. An insulin tolerance test (ITT) was performed after a 6-hour fast. Blood glucose levels were measured immediately prior to insulin administration (0 min) and at 15, 30, 60, and 120 min after recombinant human insulin (0.75 IU/kg) IP administration.

Area under the curve (AUC) for OGTT and ITT from each animal were calculated by interpolation and integration. Changes in AUC or in hormone levels, where appropriate, were compared. For comparisons between the two groups, Student’s t-test or Mann–Whitney rank-sum test were used, where appropriate, based on the normality of distribution and equality of variances.

Using the mouse model of diabetes induced by dexamethasone (20 mg/kg/day IP every morning for 5 days), a longitudinal experiment was performed. OGTT were performed in nine 8- to 10-week-old C57BL6 mice at baseline and after dexamethasone injection on day 4. After dexamethasone injection on day 5, the mice were injected with exenatide (Lilly, Indianapolis, IN, USA; 250 µg/mL, diluted in sterile normal saline to appropriate volume just before use) 3 µg/kg subcutaneously (SQ) 1 hour prior to the OGTT. The average blood glucose levels during the OGTT increased at most of the time points from baseline to day 4 after dexamethasone administration, and decreased at most of the time points from baseline and day 4 to day 5 after dexamethasone and exenatide administration (Figure 1A). The average change in AUC between the first and second OGTT of each mouse was significantly higher than 0 (one sample t-test, $P = 0.004$); the average change in AUC between the second
and third OGTT was significantly lower than 0 (one sample t-test, \( P < 0.001 \)) (Figure 1B). Therefore, dexamethasone, given in the manner described, increased glucose intolerance in C57BL/6 mice, and a single SQ injection of exenatide (3 \( \mu g/kg \)) significantly suppressed the rise in blood glucose after the oral glucose load.

In a second longitudinal experiment, ten 8- to 10-week-old C57BL/6 mice were injected with methylprednisolone (methylprednisolone sodium succinate for injection, Pfizer, New York, NY, USA; 40 mg/mL diluted to 4 mg/mL in normal saline) 20 mg/kg/day IP every morning for 3 days instead of dexamethasone. The lower potency of methylprednisolone and shorter duration of glucocorticoid treatment than in the dexamethasone. The lower potency of methylprednisolone

The changes between the two time points (\( \Delta \text{Hormone}_{0-30\text{ min}} \)) in C-peptide, insulin, and leptin are plotted for the exenatide-treated group and the placebo-treated control group in Figure 2D. Although there might be trends that exenatide decreased the change in C-peptide and insulin levels and that exenatide increased the rise in leptin level 30 min after the orogastric glucose load, the variances in the data were too large to reach any statistical conclusions.

Exenatide is highly unlikely to have a promoting effect on the growth of malignancies. Despite concerns based on the observation of benign c-cell thyroid adenomas in rats that received exenatide for 2 years, there is no evidence to support a link between exenatide and medullary thyroid cancer. Our published data show that exenatide does not have any promoting effects on the growth of breast cancer and pancreatic cancer cell lines in cell culture. Although exenatide enhances glucose-dependent insulin secretion in type 2 diabetic patients by activation of glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor, exenatide delays gastric emptying and reduces postprandial glucose absorption and peak plasma insulin level. There is cell culture experimental evidence to suggest that GC induce apoptosis in insulin-secreting cells and that exenatide can protect against the GC-induced apoptosis. The incretin effect is impaired after induction of reduced glucose tolerance and insulin resistance in healthy males by oral prednisolone treatment, a high-calorie diet, and physical inactivity. At the 2010 meeting of the American Diabetes Association, van Raalte et al reported that exenatide prevented glucose intolerance as assessed during a standardized meal test. Our mouse model data have also shown that exenatide is effective in reversing GC-induced glucose intolerance. As shown by hyperglycemic clamping, exenatide improves \( \beta \)-cell function in humans treated with prednisolone.
sensitivity to insulin. The trend in the hormone data associated with the OGTT in our study suggests that increasing insulin secretion may not be the primary mechanism for the efficacy of exenatide in lowering postprandial glucose. We speculate that delay in gastric emptying, resulting in delayed or decreased absorption of glucose, may also play a major role. Further study, including parenteral glucose tolerance tests, long-term observations, and/or evaluation of peripheral sensitivity to insulin by glucose clamping, may further elucidate the mechanisms involved in the beneficial effect of exenatide in GC-induced glucose intolerance.

Because human data\textsuperscript{10} and our mouse data have shown that exenatide is effective in reversing GC-induced glucose intolerance, exenatide may be a more appropriate agent than exogenous insulin or insulin secretagogues in the management of acute exacerbations of hyperglycemia in cancer patients, especially in the clinical scenario of GC-induced hyperglycemia encountered in the treatment of ALL patients. Clinical trials are justified to evaluate the effectiveness of exenatide for glucose control in GC-induced glucose intolerance and whether exenatide can reverse the decrease in complete remission duration and survival in ALL patients with steroid-induced hyperglycemia.
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