Role of Environmental Sustainability, Psychological and Managerial Supports for Determining Bankers’ Green Banking Usage Behavior: An Integrated Framework
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Purpose: Green banking, an ethical banking concept, concentrates on environmental protection and encourages social and environmental sustainability, perceived cognitive efforts, and subjective norms ensuring ecologically responsive banking services. Consequently, although there have been considerable green banking attempts in Bangladesh, it is yet unknown how environmental sustainability, perceived cognitive effort, and subjective norms affect usage behavior. The present research aims to uncover this gap, extending the Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA) to examine the determinants of the bankers’ green banking usage behavior during COVID-19.

Methods: Data were collected from 366 bankers in Bangladesh using a purposive sampling technique and analyzed with structural equation modeling (SEM) using SMART PLS 3 software.

Findings: The study found management support (0.291, t-statistics = 1.978, p 0.000), environmental sustainability (β = 0.278, t-statistics = 2.752, p < 0.001), perceived cognitive efforts (β = 0.401, t-statistics = 3.549, p < 0.000), and subjective norms (β = 0.309, t-statistics = 4.352, p < 0.000) influence bankers’ attitudes. Whereas environmental sustainability (β = 0.503, t-statistics = 3.726, p < 0.001), perceived cognitive efforts (β = 0.103, t-statistics = 2.020, p < 0.002), subjective norms (β = 0.281, t-statistics = 4.607, p < 0.000), and attitudes (= 0.602, t-statistics = 5.523, p 0.015) influence bankers’ green banking usage behavior. Finally, the mediating role of management supports, environmental sustainability, cognitive efforts and subjective norms on green banking usage behavior through attitudes was significant.

Contribution/Conclusion: The study contributed to existing literature validating the proposed holistic framework applying TRA and three contemporary dimensions explaining bankers’ behavior toward green banking practice. Finally, the implementers should focus on green banking practices as green banking is one of the key strategies to protect the environment, assure social justice, and create economic success.
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Introduction

The bankers’ concerns toward traditional banking activities have been interrogated over the last few decades due to several reasons. First, conventional banking ideologies are seldom seen in initiatives concerned with environmental protection. As a result, the potholed mechanization has disturbed the ecological balance, leading to natural and industrial disasters and raising social and ethical apprehensions over ecological issues. Second, during the COVID-19 pandemic, bank customers have expressed interest in green banking, a type of banking that considers environmental issues in its operations to mitigate the adverse effects of ecological imbalance and instigate environmental sustainability, which the
customers might consider as a way to lessen the threats of COVID-19 transmission. Third, the traditional bankers’ cognitive efforts (eg, judgment, memory, and perception required for task completion) are being questioned due to the evolving provision of environmentally friendly products and how to extend the financial resources to save the environment, clean energy, green building, climate change, and social inclusion. Finally, the top management is becoming aware of their business sustainability and provides support in the form of managerial guidance in planning, design, development, and implementation activities to sustain banking activities that follow the sustainability laws. Subsequently, the top management enthusiastically accepts environmental commitment as a paramount business responsibility in banking, manufacturing, technology, electronics, and IT industries.

To this end, a pivotal role in the sustainable development of a country is played by green banking, which refers to the investment solutions that protect the environment, guarantee social justice, and create economic success bringing precedence in the banking industry to shield banks and society against unexpected future economic issues such as global financial instability, climate change, social unrest, and corporate scandals. Accordingly, the necessity of bankers’ green initiatives knows no bounds, and green banking practices are implemented globally. For example, The Rainforest Action Network (RAN) (2020) has recently reported that 35 leading investment banks started pouring $2.7 trillion into the fossil fuel industry from 2016 to 2019, despite the presence of an agreement within the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, known as the Paris Agreement, which came into force in 2016. Since 2016, bank funding for the fossil fuel industry has increased yearly; by 2030, it is expected to reach $1 trillion yearly (RAN, 2020). The World Bank also announced its intentions to cease to support corporations and nations that place less emphasis on protecting the environment (Zhang et al, 2019). Hence, the study aims at determining the dominant factors influencing bankers toward green banking practice.

The existing literature primarily concentrated on bank environmental performance, team effectiveness, corporate technological innovation, bankers’ sustainability performance, and green development. These existing studies also focused on Green Bank Loyalty based on Socially Responsible Investment (SRI) and Social Identity Theory (SIT); intention to practice green banking based on the theory of planned behavior (TPB) and SIT green banking sustainability based on Sustainable Business model and TPB; Perceived cognitive effort in using information system based applications; top management supports and commitment in green banking usage; management supports in artificial intelligence. Moreover, the researchers from developed countries, such as Greece and France, as well as developing countries, such as Bangladesh, India, and Indonesia, have interested to explore the consequences of green banking practices. A study by Julia and Kassim investigated the green banking performance of Islamic banks versus conventional banks in Bangladesh based on the Maqasid Shariah framework. Sharma and Choubye, discussed green banking initiatives in the Indian banking sector. Moreover, Shamshad et al also showed the sustainable growth of the Indian banking sector. Khairunnessa et al also reviewed the development of green banking in Bangladesh. Similarly, the Bangladesh government has also adopted substantial steps to address the issue, changing legislation, developing regulations and guidelines on green financing, and requiring all financial institutions to follow green financing practices.

Despite the growing necessity of green banking or financing, the existing studies did not focus on the perceived cognitive effort (PCE), environmental sustainability, and management support for Green banking usage behavior (GBUB) in the context of Bangladesh. Consequently, it is imperative to examine how PCE, sustainability perception (SP), and management support (MS) impact GBUB in the context of Bangladesh. Hence, the study aims to empirically validate a unique model incorporating perceived cognitive effort, sustainability perception, and management supports into the Theory of Reasoned Action filling these gaps in green banking usage behavior. More significantly, the present study has the following research objectives (ROs):

RO1: To examine the influence of perceived cognitive effort, sustainability perception, and management support on attitudes and green banking usage behaviour in Bangladesh during the COVID-19 pandemic.

RO2: To scrutinise the impacts of subjective norms on bankers’ attitudes and green banking usage behaviour in Bangladesh during the COVID-19 pandemic.

RO3: To test indirect influences of subjective norms, perceived cognitive effort, environmental sustainability, and management support on green banking usage behaviour through bankers’ attitudes in Bangladesh during the COVID-19 pandemic.
Correspondingly, this study contributes to the green finance literature in three ways. First, it applies and extends based on the theory of reasoned action (TRA), developed by Fishbein and tested by Ajzen and Fishbein, which can be utilised to understand individuals’ complex decision-making processes and to determine bankers’ attitudes toward green banking and GBUB by integrating three new dimensions, eg, perceived cognitive efforts, management supports, and environmental supports to predict bankers’ green finance continuance behaviour. Second, this study examines attitudes’ impact as a critical mediating variable to confirm green bank usage behaviour. Third, this study offers an understanding from the perspective of an emerging economy (ie, Bangladesh), which has been, so far, less represented in the mainstream literature.

We will go over the literature review first, then the conceptual framework and hypothesis development in the following parts. The research technique will be discussed next, followed by the results and discussion. Following that, we will look at the theoretical and managerial implications. Finally, we will discuss the study’s shortcomings and the potential for future research.

**Literature Review**

Green banking is defined as “banking in all its business components” (deposit-taking, credit disbursement, trade finance, leasing operations, mutual funds, and custodian services, among others) that is focused on environmental preservation and also known as ethical banking, social banking, responsible banking, or sustainable banking. A green bank promotes and uses green technology in its internal and external operations to minimize carbon emissions and better manage the environment. Accordingly, the number of studies on green banking is also growing at a more increasing rate (see Table 1 for the summary of existing relevant green banking literature). The existing literatures primarily focused on bank environmental performance, team effectiveness green finance, corporate technological innovation, bankers sustainability performance, green development, green banking initiatives, adoption of green banking practices, green consumer loyalty, consumer guilt and green banking services, green banking practices on bank loyalty, green banking and Islam, sustainable banking, a review of recent developments of green banking in Bangladesh, a transition towards green banking, exploring sustainability and green banking disclosures, exploring green banking performance of Islamic banks vs conventional banks, underpinning the benefits of green banking, sustainable growth of Indian banking sector.

Recently, a study by Sharma and Choubey found green product development, green CSR, green internal process, and green banking initiatives impact green brand trust and green brand image in the context of green banking initiatives. That is the qualitative study of the Indian banking sector. This study was conducted on 36 middle-to-senior-level bank employees in India. Besides, Rehman et al showed green banking practices to 200 employees of retail banks at the managerial level based on the Socially Responsible Investment (SRI) theory. The researcher also found policies, operations, and investments in the context of adopting green banking practices in Pakistan. In addition, Sun et al conducted research on 429 respondents over 18 years old with a bank account based on Social Identity Theory (SIT) in the context of CSR, co-creation, and green consumer loyalty, where green banking initiatives are important in Pakistan. CSR, co-creation, and green banking influenced green consumer loyalty in this study. Another study by Burhanudin et al used the Self-Regulation Theory (SRT) and the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) to find the intention to use green banking services, which is influenced by guilt, attitude towards green banking, perceived consumer effectiveness, and negative word-of-mouth. The study was conducted on 313 respondents of commercial banking customers in Indonesia in the context of consumer guilt and green banking services. Ibe-Enwo et al found bank loyalty influenced by green banking, green image, and bank trust based on the socially responsible investment (SRI) theory. In this research, 850 customers of the retail banking sector were the respondents in North Cyprus, Turkey, in the context of green banking practices on bank loyalty.

However, despite the significant potential, the existing literature has ignored the direct and indirect influences of subjective norms, perceived cognitive efforts, environmental sustainability, management support, attitudes toward green banking, and green banking usage behavior in the context of green banking in Bangladesh. Hence, it is worth studying how subjective norms, perceived cognitive efforts, environmental sustainability, and management support reshape bankers’ attitudes and green banking usage behavior.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SL. NO.</th>
<th>Author(s) and Year</th>
<th>Theoretical Basis</th>
<th>Sample Size, Type, and Country, Data Collection Tool, Unit of Analysis</th>
<th>Outcome Variable and Context</th>
<th>Study Variables</th>
<th>Significant Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Ding et al (2022)</td>
<td>Organizational Learning Theory, Theory of Reasonable Action (TRA), Self-Efficacy Theory</td>
<td>65 teams that belong to green finance industries, China, field survey and online questionnaires, SPSS22.0</td>
<td>Team Effectiveness</td>
<td>Innovative Climate, Knowledge Sharing, Knowledge Heterogeneity, Team Effectiveness</td>
<td>Direct predictors of Knowledge Sharing: Innovative Climate (+) Direct predictors of Team Effectiveness: Knowledge Sharing (+), Innovative Climate (+) Indirect predictors of Team Effectiveness: Innovative Climate through Knowledge Sharing (+) Moderating role of Knowledge Heterogeneity: Between Knowledge Sharing and Team Effectiveness (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>Desalegn et al (2022)</td>
<td>Explanatory research design and a Quantitative research approach, Hungary, voluntary questionnaire survey, purposive sampling techniques, Vector Autoregressive Analysis</td>
<td>31 provinces of China, Regression</td>
<td>Corporate Technological Innovation</td>
<td>Green finance, Corporate Technological innovation</td>
<td>Direct predictors of Green Finance: Lending investment rate (+), Broad money supply (+), Inward foreign direct investment (+), Outward foreign direct investment (+), Domestic Investment (+), Green House Gas (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>Li &amp; Yang (2022)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Corporate Technological Innovation</td>
<td>Green finance, Corporate Technological innovation</td>
<td>Direct predictors of Corporate Technological innovation: Green finance (+), CSR (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Authors (Year)</td>
<td>Sample Description</td>
<td>Predictor Variables</td>
<td>Dependent Variables</td>
<td>Findings and Methodology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>Fang &amp; Shao et al (2022)</td>
<td>Re-measurement of the green finance, China, Durbin model</td>
<td>Command and control environmental regulation, Market incentive environmental regulation, Green finance,</td>
<td>Green Technology Innovation</td>
<td>Moderating role of Green finance: Between Command and control environmental regulation and Green Technology Innovation (+); Between Market incentive environmental regulation and Green Technology Innovation (+)</td>
<td>Direct predictors of Green Technology Innovation: Command and control environmental regulation (+), Market incentive environmental regulation (+), Green finance (+).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Demographics:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Between Command and control environmental regulation and Green Technology Innovation (+); Between Market incentive environmental regulation and Green Technology Innovation (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Direct predictors of Neighborhood Green Technology Innovation: “Command and control” environmental regulation and “market incentive” environmental regulation (+); Green finance (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>Guang-Wen &amp; Siddik (2022)</td>
<td>388, Bankers of private commercial banks (PCBs), Bangladesh, Non-Probabilistic convenience sampling method, AMOS</td>
<td>Environmental Performance of Bankers</td>
<td>Economic, Social, Environmental, CSR Activities, Environmental Performance</td>
<td>Direct predictors of Environmental Performance: CSR Activities (+), Economic (+), Social (+), Environmental (+)</td>
<td>Demographics: Female vs males</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>Yan et al (2022)</td>
<td>351 employees of banking institutions, Bangladesh, convenience sampling method, IBM's SPSS</td>
<td>Sustainability Performance (SP) of employees</td>
<td>Fintech Adoption, Green Finance, Green Innovation</td>
<td>Direct predictors of Sustainability Performance: Fintech Adoption (+), Green Finance (+), Green Innovation (+)</td>
<td>Direct predictors of Green Finance: Fintech Adoption (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Indirect predictors of Sustainability Performance: Fintech Adoption through Green Finance (+), Fintech Adoption through Green Innovation (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Demographics: Female vs males</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>Ye et al (2022)</td>
<td>30 provincial administrative regions, China</td>
<td>Green Development</td>
<td>Green Finance Green Technological Innovation, Special Interaction Effect, Green Development</td>
<td>Direct predictors of Green Development: Green Finance (+), Green Technological Innovation (+), Special Interaction Effect (+)</td>
<td>Direct predictors of Haze Pollution: Green Finance (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Direct predictors of Enterprise Innovation Output: Green Finance (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Direct predictors of Enterprise Innovation Input: Green Finance (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Indirect predictors of Haze Pollution: Green Finance (+), Green Finance through Enterprise Innovation Output (+), Green Finance through Enterprise Innovation Input (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Demographics: Enterprises</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>Zeng et al (2022)</td>
<td>639, Enterprises, China,</td>
<td>Haze Pollution</td>
<td>Green Finance, Haze Pollution, Enterprise Innovation Output, Enterprise Innovation Input,</td>
<td>Direct predictors of Haze Pollution: Green Finance (+)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Direct predictors of Enterprise Innovation Output: Green Finance (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Direct predictors of Enterprise Innovation Input: Green Finance (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Indirect predictors of Haze Pollution: Green Finance (+), Green Finance through Enterprise Innovation Output (+), Green Finance through Enterprise Innovation Input (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Demographics: Enterprises</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SL. NO.</th>
<th>Author(s) and Year</th>
<th>Theoretical Basis</th>
<th>Sample Size, Type, and Country, Data Collection Tool, Unit of Analysis</th>
<th>Outcome Variable and Context</th>
<th>Study Variables</th>
<th>Significant Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 10     | Zhang et al (2022)⁶ |                   | 352, Employee of Private commercial banks, Bangladesh, convenience sampling method, SPSS 22.0 and AMOS 23.0 | Bank's environmental performance of Employee of Private commercial banks | Green banking activities, Sources of green financing, Bank's environmental performance | Direct predictors of Bank's environmental performance: Green banking activities (+)  
Direct predictors of Sources of green financing: Green banking activities (+)  
Direct predictors of Bank's environmental performance: Sources of green financing (+)  
Indirect predictors of Bank's environmental performance: Green banking activities through Sources of green financing (+)  
Demographics: Female vs males |
| 11     | Wang et al (2021)⁷ |                   | 30 provincial panel, China, Regression | Green development | Green finance pilot Zone, Industrial structural upgrade, Technological innovation ability | Direct predictors of Green development: Green finance pilot Zone (+), Industrial structural upgrade (+)  
Direct predictors of Industrial structural upgrade: Green finance pilot Zone (+)  
Direct predictors of Technological innovation ability: Green finance pilot Zone (+), Green development (+) |
| 12     | Rehman et al (2021)¹ | Socially Responsible Investment (SRI) | 200 employees of retail banks at managerial level, Pakistan, Survey questionnaire | Green banking practices of employees of retail banks at managerial level | Policy, Operations, Investments, Green banking practices | Direct predictors of Green banking practices adoption: Bank polices for green environment (+)  
Direct predictors of bank daily operations: Adoption of green banking practices (+)  
Direct predictors of Green banking practices: Banks investment decision (+)  
Demographics: Female vs males |
Direct predictors of Social Dimension: Bankers’ perception of Green Finance (+)  
Direct predictors of Environmental Dimension: Bankers’ perception of Green Finance (+)  
Direct predictors of Sources of Group Financing: Bankers’ perception of Green Finance (+)  
Demographics: Female vs males |
Direct predictors of Environmental Dimensions: Economic Dimensions (+), Social Dimensions (+)  
Direct predictors of Sustainability Performance: Economic Dimensions (+), Social Dimensions (+), Environmental Dimensions (+)  
Demographics: Female vs males |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Constructs</th>
<th>Direct Predictors</th>
<th>Indirect Predictors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taneja &amp; Ali (2020)</td>
<td>Cross-sectional sample, self-administered questionnaires</td>
<td>440 respondents who use at least one of the various digital banking services, India</td>
<td>Theory of Planned Behavior</td>
<td>Trust, Attitude, Perceived Environmental Outcomes, Environmental Consciousness, Perceived Behavioral Control, Subjective Norms, Behavioral Intention</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sun et al (2020)</td>
<td>Multi-stage sampling method, Correlations</td>
<td>489, 18 years old with a bank account</td>
<td>Theory of Social Identity</td>
<td>Corporate Social Responsibility, Corporate Social Responsibility through Co-creation, Green Consumer Loyalty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SL NO.</th>
<th>Author(s) and Year</th>
<th>Theoretical Basis</th>
<th>Sample Size, Type, and Country, Data Collection Tool, Unit of Analysis</th>
<th>Outcome Variable and Context</th>
<th>Study Variables</th>
<th>Significant Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Bose et al (2017)</td>
<td>Institutional Theory</td>
<td>205 Bank’s Annual Report, Bangladesh, Comprehensive Meta-Analysis Software (CMA) 2.0</td>
<td>Green banking disclosure index (GBDI)</td>
<td>Issuance of the central bank’s guidelines, Imitation behavior over the years, Routine process over time, Board size, Independence, Institutional ownership</td>
<td>Direct predictors of Green banking disclosure: Issuance of the central bank’s Guidelines (+), Board size (+), Independence (+), Institutional ownership (+) Direct predictors of Imitation behavior over the years: Green banking disclosure (+) Direct predictors of Routine process over time: Green banking disclosure (+)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conceptual Framework and Hypothesis Development
Conceptual Framework
The existing studies emphasized customers’ green banking usage behavior based on different models, e.g., socially responsible investment (SRI), social identity theory (SIT), self-regulation theory, theory of planned behavior, Theory of Self-congruity, sustainable business model, theory of change, legitimacy theory, and the institutional theory. Moreover, the theory of reasoned action (TRA) is also a renowned model utilized in determining the different forms of banking use behavior.

In this study, we have utilized TRA, developed by Fishbein in 1967 and tested by Ajzen and Fishbein to understand individuals’ complex decision-making processes and to determine bankers’ attitudes toward green banking and GBUB. According to the theory, decision-making begins with beliefs, attitudes toward the behavior, and intention, ending with the behavior itself. Many researchers have used TRA in the context of the usage of Islamic rural bank services, the religious leaders’ intention to use Islamic bank services in Indonesia, the public’s intention to adopt Islamic bank services in Uganda, and the adoption of green information technology, respectively. For instance, Effendi et al used the TRA model and found sharia compliance, product knowledge of sharia, promotion, services, attitudes, subjective norms, intention, and customer decisions to use the Islamic rural banks’ services, particularly in Indonesia. Besides, Janah et al also used the TRA. They incorporated the influence of attitude, community influence, religious obligation, and subjective norms to predict the intentions of religious leaders in using Isami bank services in Indonesia. Furthermore, Lujja et al used TRA as a theoretical framework to investigate the integration of attitude, subjective norm, and public intention in Uganda to adopt an Islamic bank. Moreover, using the TRA model, Mishra et al indicated that external factors such as person-related beliefs, sector of respondents’ establishment, and level of awareness significantly impact attitudes towards adopting green information technology in Turkey.

However, the existing studies ignored the role of the perception of cognitive efforts, environmental sustainability, and management support in developing a theoretical framework based on the TRA model in determining the banking or GBUB. Whereas Yang and Yoo stated perceived cognitive effort as an important factor that is used in previous studies as the independent variable to determine user behavior (e.g., technology use, banking use, etc.) in the context of revisiting the technology acceptance model to determine the impact of internet agent on end users’ performance or to understand the effect of information overload on employees. Besides, environmental sustainability is also an important determinant. Obiora et al used ecological sustainability as the crucial determinant of banking and financial systems. Many understood the role of managerial support in determining attitudes toward knowledge sharing and artificial intelligence. Hence, the current study has developed and tested a holistic model that integrates perceived cognitive efforts, environmental sustainability, and management support into the TRA model in examining GBUB in a single framework. Figure 1 represents the structural relationship of the study.

Hypothesis Development
Subjective Norms, Attitudes, and Green Banking Usage Behavior
Subjective norms (SN) refer to the extent to which people feel encouraged to act in a specific way by others in their community who are significant to them (family, friends, and coworkers). Accordingly, the users of green banking often embrace the opinions, attitudes, and behavior of significant people in their community. For example, Taneja and Ali explored the influence of SN on banking customers’ attitudes toward sustainable banking. Other studies discovered direct and moderating effects of subjective norms on attitude in the context of buying organic food, cross-cultural investigation, quality of life and life satisfaction among university students, organic food, and airline B2C eCommerce websites, respectively. Thus, we posited the following hypothesis:

H1a: The subjective norms positively influence bankers’ attitudes toward green banking usage behavior.

In addition, behavior based on one’s willingness that affects the use of a particular behaviour is referred to as behavioral intention. For instance, Taneja & Ali explored the influence of SN on banking customers’ intention to use digital banking services. Moreover, the extent of literature found subjective norms influence use behavior in the context of low-carbon travel and perceived behavioral control on managers, respectively. Several other studies also found
the role of subjective norms on behavioral intention\textsuperscript{62-64} in the context of antibiotic prophylaxis, bibliometric analysis, and environmental sustainability, respectively. Thus, the following hypothesis is posited in the context of green banking in Bangladesh.

H1b: The subjective norms positively influence bankers’ green banking usage behavior.

Perceived Cognitive Efforts, Attitudes, and Green Banking Usage Behavior

Perceived cognitive effort (PCE) can be defined as an antecedent consisting of cognitive resources (eg, judgment, memory, and perception required for task completion).\textsuperscript{51} Researchers have argued that effort minimization is important in selecting a decision strategy. According to the effort-accuracy framework of cognitive proposed by Payne, the primary objectives of a decision-maker are to maximize accuracy and minimize cognitive effort. Accordingly, it is assumed that bankers’ attitudes and behavior are predominantly influenced by how bankers use their cognitive resources. A series of studies investigated decision-makers’ strategy selection and choice behavior when assisted by decision support systems (DSS).\textsuperscript{65} Many studies find that cognitive effort influences attitudes.\textsuperscript{5} in the context of revisiting the technology acceptance model.

Moreover, cognitive efforts also influence green banking usage behavior (GBUB). According to Yang and Yoo\textsuperscript{5} the affective and cognitive dimensions are independent variables that affect behavioral intention. Thus, we argue that cognitive efforts significantly influence attitudes in explaining bankers’ attitudes and GBUB. Therefore, the current study developed the following hypotheses:

H2a: In the context of green banking, cognitive efforts positively influence bankers’ attitudes toward green banking usage behavior.

H2b: Perceived cognitive efforts positively influence bankers’ green banking usage behavior.

Sustainability, Attitudes, and Green Banking Usage Behavior

Sustainability refers to the approach that assists a business firm “to meet its current requirements without compromising its ability to meet future needs” (World Commission Report on Environment and Development 1987, p 41). Caniato et al and Peattie and Belz\textsuperscript{66,67} defined sustainability as having three dimensions: environmental, social, and economic. The environmental dimension included natural resource usage, carrying capacities, and ecosystem integrity. The social
dimension included participation, empowerment, socioeconomic mobility, and cultural preservation. Home necessities, labor efficiency, and industrial and agricultural expansion were among the environmental variables. Chuang et al. discovered the influence of sustainability on attitudes and intention to use in travellers’ choices for pro-environment behavioral intentions. The study argues that environmental sustainability significantly influences attitudes and GBUB. Thus, the following hypotheses are deposited:

H3a: Environmental sustainability positively influences bankers’ attitudes in the context of green banking.
H3b: Environmental sustainability positively influences bankers’ green banking usage behavior.

Management Supports, Attitudes, and Green Banking Usage Behavior
Management support can take the form of managerial guidance in planning, design, development, and implementation activities. Overall, higher management involvement is directly and positively linked to higher labor productivity, quality, and financial performance. Management might be able to engage the employees and support them to create an environment for determining their attitudes, motivation, and opinions. Accordingly, it is essential to comprehend the role of these management supports in explaining bankers’ attitudes and use behavior. For example, several studies analogized the role of management support on attitudes toward knowledge sharing and artificial intelligence. Burhanuddin et al. and Taneja and Ali understood the necessity of management support in testing GBUB. Other studies have found the positive influence of management support on the use of behavior in the context of knowledge sharing, teacher behavior management, e-HRM, innovative work behavior, and promoting firms’ energy-saving behavior. Consequently, the current study assumed that management support might determine the bankers’ attitudes and GBUB and hypothesized the following relationships:

H4a: Management support positively influences bankers’ attitudes toward green banking usage behavior.
H4b: The management support positively influences bankers’ green banking usage behavior.

Attitudes and Green Banking Usage Behavior
Attitude refers to the consumers’ overall reaction to performing a specific behavior within a particular technology. A person’s attitude is one of the essential predictors of use behavior, which may be identified through technology-based banking systems such as green banking. Besides, attitudes can predict behavioral intention to use green banking systems and significantly influence GBUB. Many studies found that attitudes influence user behavior in green banking. Some research also concentrated on determining the attitudes toward use behavior in food delivery application during COVID-19; in online shopping applications and mobile banking applications; in the context of environmental sustainability. Hence, the current study developed the following hypothesis:

H5: Attitudes positively influence bankers’ green banking usage behavior.

Role of Attitudes as a Mediator
In behavioral adoption research, it is crucial to comprehend the indirect role of attitudes in shaping users’ behavior. For example, Al Amin et al. understood the mediating role of attitudes in determining continuance intention to use mobile banking applications during COVID-19. Swaim et al. also validated the indirect influence of attitudes on behavior in the context of environmental sustainability. Accordingly, the influence of attitudes is essential in green banking since norms, environmental sustainability, cognitive efforts, and management supports indirectly form bankers’ green financing behavior. Hence, we deposited the following hypotheses:

H6: Bankers’ attitudes mediate the influence of a) subjective norms, b) environmental sustainability, c) cognitive efforts, and d) management supports on bankers’ green banking usage behavior.

Methodology
Research Design
This study is confined to the analysis of the impact of COVID-19 on the green performance of banks and financial organizations in Bangladesh. We targeted the employees of six state-owned commercial banks (SOCBs), three specialized
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development banks (SDBs), 20 private commercial banks (PCBs), and ten financial institutions (FIs) in Bangladesh who have prior practical experiences in green banking activities. Furthermore, because the population and sampling framework were unknown, the researchers adopted the non-probability sampling method, which allowed them to choose respondents based on their subjective opinion.\(^{81}\)

In order to overcome the pitfalls (eg, lower representation of result, false data, researcher bias, difficulty replicating results) of the convenience sample methodology, the study used the purposive sampling method (ie, the judgmental sampling technique). This sampling strategy made data collection much easier and less expensive to achieve a maximum level of variation with a low margin of error.\(^{82,83}\) In addition, the study focused on a broader range of target respondents who were more representative of the general population. A questionnaire was generated with two sections (demographic information and measurement items). All the items of the questionnaire, initially written in English, were taken from previous studies and were back-translated into Bangla, the official language of Bangladesh, for better understanding by the respondents.\(^{84}\) Finally, to check the questionnaire’s clarity, we conducted a pilot test and an in-depth interview (IDI) before the data collection. Based on the respondents’ feedback, the questionnaire was adjusted accordingly to confirm the survey questionnaire’s clarity and relevance to the study.

### Data Collection

We collected the data during November and December 2021 in Bangladesh. A reply-paid envelope, a cover letter, and a questionnaire were mailed to the respondents following Dillman’s\(^ {85}\) proposal. All the respondents were sent a questionnaire with a cover letter through their email addresses to facilitate their feedback.

The respondents received an email from us asking them to return the completed questionnaire within two weeks. After another two weeks, we extended a final plea to the remaining respondents who did not respond to the survey after the two-week deadline. As previously reported, there were no significant differences between online and paper-based surveys\(^ {85}\) (Chatterjee et al,\(^ {101}\) 2002; Hall,\(^ {102}\) 2008). We have distributed 554 questionnaires, and 376 feedbacks were received. After discarding the incomplete questionnaire, we found 366 complete responses (a response rate of 66.06%). Among them, 233 (60.9%) were male, and 143 (39.1%) were female. Most respondents were 18 to 40 years old (63.1%) and were working in one of the State-owned commercial banks or Specialized development banks (64.8%). Moreover, the respondents consisted from different geographical levels, such as Capital City (51.1%), Divisional Level (18.0%), District Level (16.9%), and Upozilla 13.9%). Table 2 summarizes the demographic profile of the respondents.

### Measurement Items

We have utilized a seven-point Likert scale, ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree). The measurement items were adopted from existing works and were summarized in Appendix-A. Subjective norms were adapted from, Abdullah and Ward and Al Amin et al\(^ {53,77}\) perceived cognitive efforts from, Dabhokar and Bagozzi, Kleijnen et al\(^ {86,87}\) environmental sustainability from Kianpour et al\(^ {103}\) (2014) and Kim et al\(^ {104}\) (2015), management supports from Yap et al\(^ {105}\) (1994) and Thong et al,\(^ {88}\) attitudes toward green banking from Cho et al and Al Amin et al\(^ {89,90}\) and GBUB from Farah et al.\(^ {91}\) Because the constructs of perceived cognitive effort (PCE), environmental sustainability (ES), and management support (MS) are new in green banking literature, we have conducted an Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) to check the dimensionality of the measurement items. The EFA illustrates that PCE, ES, and MS items explained 79.66% of the total variance for these three factors.

### Data Analysis

Structural equation modeling (SEM) tests the complex model with a series of dependent variables,\(^ {90}\) (Cohen et al,\(^ {106}\) 2018). SEM is divided into two categories: CB-SEM and PLS-SEM. Based on the estimation, PLS-SEM (Partial Least Square SEM) evaluates the dependent and independent variables to maximize the explained variances, whereas CB-SEM (covariance-based SEM) checks the fit among the observed variables grounded in the covariance matrix.\(^ {83}\) In this study, PLS-SEM was used to assess the degree to which endogenous constructs are affected by exogenous constructs and to measure structural correlations and confirmatory factor analysis (CFA).\(^ {78,83}\)
Common Method Bias

Researchers cannot rule out the probability of common method bias (CBM) when it comes to the perceptions of the target respondents. We ensured statistical and practical solutions before and after collecting the data to reduce the issues connected with CBM. The Lindell and Whitney\(^{107}\) (2001) test and Harman’s single-factor test were conducted to determine the likelihood of CMB. We also used a theoretically unrelated factor (i.e., switching cost) as a marker variable. The model’s \(R^2\) (correlation coefficient) and the marker variable revealed tolerable limit (maximum \(R^2 = 0.00997\)). We followed Podsakoff et al\(^{108}\) (2003) the cut value (first factor < 50% of the total variance explained). Our PCA (principal component analysis) result pictures that six factors having greater than 1.00 eigenvalues explained 83.80% variance. The first factor is responsible for only 28.56%, within the cut-off value shown in Table 4. Hence, data revealed that the CMB was not a problem for the current study.

Results

Measurement Model

The study used reliability, convergent validity, and discriminant validity to analyse the measurement model. Construct reliability of the model was evaluated by roh\(A\), composite reliability (CR), and Cronbach’s alpha. The convergent validity was tested using Average Variance Extracted (AVE) and cross-loading. The discriminant validity was assessed by analyzing the Fornell and Lacker criteria and HTMT, considering the procedures suggested by Hair et al.\(^{83}\)

Construct Reliability

Composite reliability should not be less than 0.70, according to Hair et al\(^{83}\) recommendations. According to Hair et al,\(^{83}\) the minimum necessary value for Crannach’s alpha and roh\(A\) is 0.70, which represents the model’s internal consistency. For all six constructs listed in Table 3, all three requirements (Cronbach’s alpha, roh\(A\), and CR) were met.
Convergent Validity
According to Hair et al., the AVE value should be larger than 0.5, and each item’s factor loadings should be greater than 0.7. The factor loadings ranged from 0.700 to 0.862 in Table 3, indicating the cross-loading of the constructs. Table 3 also depicts the AVE values, which range from 0.505 to 0.651.

Discriminant Validity
Discriminant validity was used to confirm the measurement model’s accuracy. Table 4 shows the Fornell and Lacker criterion, which states that all diagonal values (square root of AVE) are bigger than the off-diagonal values (correlations among the variables) shown in Table 4. The heterotrait-monotrait correlations ratio (HTMT) value, which must be less than 0.85 (HTMT>0.85) to assure validity, is shown in Table 5.
According to Hair et al, the structural model is validated by the coefficient of determination (R²), the strength of the effect (f²), and the significance level of the path coefficient. Researchers used the bootstrap with 5000 resamples to test all hypotheses and assessed t-statistics to test the path coefficient, as Henseler et al recommended.

### Result of the Proposed Hypotheses, Multicollinearity, and Model Fit

The proposed hypotheses were tested using bootstrapping with 5000 resamples. Table 6 shows that SN positively influenced ATT (β = 0.309, t-statistics = 4.352, p < 0.000], and GBUB (β = 0.281, t-statistics = 4.607, p < 0.000). Hence, H1a and H1b were supported.

### Structural Model

According to Hair et al the structural model is validated by the coefficient of determination (R²), the strength of the effect (f²), and the significance level of the path co-efficient. Researchers used the bootstrap with 5000 resamples to test all hypotheses and assessed t-statistics to test the path coefficient, as Henseler et al recommended.

### Table 4: Fornell and Lacker Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SN</th>
<th>PCE</th>
<th>ES</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>ATT</th>
<th>GBUB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SN</td>
<td>0.789</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCE</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES</td>
<td>0.162</td>
<td>0.601</td>
<td>0.762</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>0.332</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>0.352</td>
<td>0.710</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATT</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.408</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.762</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBUB</td>
<td>0.502</td>
<td>0.301</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.357</td>
<td>0.807</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Eigenvalues:** 8.35, 5.29, 4.05, 2.87, 2.29, 1.63

**% of Variance:** 28.56%, 18.12%, 13.87%, 9.81%, 7.85%, 5.59%

**Cumulative %:** 28.56%, 46.68%, 60.55%, 70.36%, 78.21%, 83.80%

Note: The italic bold value in the diagonal elements stands for square root of AVE.

### Table 5: Heterotrait-Monotrait Ratio (HTMT)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SN</th>
<th>PCE</th>
<th>ES</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>ATT</th>
<th>GBUB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCE</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES</td>
<td>0.331</td>
<td>0.234</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>0.234</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATT</td>
<td>0.531</td>
<td>0.350</td>
<td>0.330</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBUB</td>
<td>0.403</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 6: Path Coefficient and Hypotheses Test Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypotheses</th>
<th>Path Coefficient</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>t-Statistics</th>
<th>P values</th>
<th>VIF</th>
<th>f²</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1a SN -&gt; ATT</td>
<td>0.309</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>4.352</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>2.273</td>
<td>2.001</td>
<td>supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1b SN -&gt; GBUB</td>
<td>0.281</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>4.607</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.238</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2a PCE -&gt; ATT</td>
<td>0.401</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>3.549</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.301</td>
<td>0.403</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2b PCE -&gt; GBUB</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>2.020</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>1.002</td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3a ES -&gt; ATT</td>
<td>0.278</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>2.752</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1.872</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3b ES -&gt; GBUB</td>
<td>0.503</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>3.726</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>2.091</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4a MS -&gt; ATT</td>
<td>0.291</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>4.343</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>2.201</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4b MS -&gt; GBUB</td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>0.162</td>
<td>1.426</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>1.761</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>Not supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5 ATT -&gt; GBUB</td>
<td>0.602</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>5.523</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>1.871</td>
<td>0.430</td>
<td>supported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: N.B. Coefficient of determinations (R²), ATT = 0.709, GBUB = 0.761; Blindfolding-Based Cross-Validated Redundancy (Q²), ATT = 0.504, GBUB = 0.602.
were supported. In H2a and H2b, we found that PCE positively influenced ATT (β = 0.401, t-statistics = 3.549, p < 0.000) and GBUB (β = 0.103, t-statistics = 2.020, p < 0.002). Thus, H2a and H2b were also supported. Moreover, in H3a and H3b, the results showed that ES significantly influenced ATT (β = 0.278, t-statistics = 2.752, p < 0.001) and GBUB (β = 0.503, t-statistics = 3.726, p < 0.001). Hence, the results supported H3a and H3b. MS positively influenced ATT (β = 0.291, t-statistics = 4.343, p 0.000). Hence, H4a was supported. Conversely, MS had also an influence on GBUB (β = 0.231, t-statistics = 1.426, p 0.071). Thus, the H4b was not supported. Moreover, H5 showed that ATT was associated with GBUB. Finally, the study results showed that ATT significantly influenced GBUB (β = 0.602, t-statistics = 5.523, p 0.015) in the context of green banking. Hence, H5 was accepted.

Before validating the structural model, the researcher has considered and examined the variance inflation factor (VIF) to assess the lateral collinearity effect. Hair et al.\textsuperscript{83} suggest that VIF values greater than 5 indicate lateral multicollinearity issues among the constructs, and the ideal value of VIF should be lower than 3.00 or close to 3.00. The study confirmed that no lateral VIF issue was found. Table 6 shows the VIF values for casual relationships, ranging from 1.002 to 2.273, representing no collinearity concern.

The study tested the model fit indices of the structural model, such as standardised root mean square residual (SRMR), RMS_theta, and the Normative Fit Index (NFI). Hair et al.\textsuperscript{109} (2019) recommended that the value of SRMR be less than 0.08, RMS_theta be less than 0.1, and NFI be greater than 0.95 (Hu & Bentler,\textsuperscript{110} 1999). Our research model satisfied the suggested threshold values (SRMR = 0.026, RMS_theta of 0.069, and NFI = 0.97). Finally, the structural model of the study is depicted in Figure 2.

**Mediation Analysis**

The research examined the indirect effects with 5000 bootstrap samples and the Sobel test\textsuperscript{93} to check the mediation effect of ATT. Moreover, the mediation effect was estimated with asymmetric confidence intervals (CI) by the procedure suggested by Baron and Kenny\textsuperscript{111} (1986).

In Table 7, the results of the study found that the indirect effect between GBUB and SN (b = 0.186, CI [0.028, 0.036], z = 3.418), PCE (b = 0.241, CI [0.038, 0.022], z = 2.985), ES (b = 0.167, CI [0.043, 0.042], z = 1.555), and MS (b = 0.175, CI [0.019, 0.021], z = 3.414) were significant. According to Hair et al.,\textsuperscript{83} the results suggested the partial (complementary) mediation effect of ATT between GBUB and SN, PCE, and MS; no mediation (direct only effect) between GBUB and ES.

**Coefficient of Determinations**

The squared multiple correlations are shown in Table 6, where ATT’s coefficient of determination (R2) was 0.709, and GBUB was 0.761. The independent variables (SN, PCE, ES, and MS) explained 74.1% of the variance in the dependent variable (GBUB) in the model with an adjusted R\textsuperscript{2} value of 0.738.

**Strength of Effect**

The strength of effect sizes (f\textsuperscript{2}) was tested to know the representative influence of different constructs in a single model.\textsuperscript{92} Chin\textsuperscript{112} (1998) and Henseler et al\textsuperscript{92} suggest the strength of effect sizes (f\textsuperscript{2}) value of 0.02 as a small effect, 0.15 as the medium effect, and 0.35 as a more significant effect. Table 6 shows the strength of effect sizes ranging from 0.001 to 2.001. The study also assessed the predictive capability of given parameters in partial least square SEM (PLS-SEM) based on blindfolding-based cross-validated redundancy (Q\textsuperscript{2}). According to Hair et al.,\textsuperscript{83} the Q\textsuperscript{2} value greater than zero (0) for a specific endogenous construct shows the overall path model’s predictive relevance. The results of the Q\textsuperscript{2} shown in Table 6 satisfied the criterion.

**Discussion**

We aim to determine the influence of social norms, perceived cognitive efforts, environmental sustainability, and management support on bankers’ attitudes and green banking usage behavior (GBUB) during COVID-19 pandemic. We have developed and validated nine hypotheses based on TRA and three new dimensions.

As per our prediction, hypothesis H1a was supported by the test results, which were consistent with the existing studies, e.g.,\textsuperscript{54,55} in the context of organic food and Cross-Cultural Investigation, whereas H1b was supported by Hu et al.
and Kashif et al\textsuperscript{60,61} in low-carbon travel and managers’ intentions to behave ethically. SN explains the bankers’ beliefs, values, attitudes, and behavioral intentions as they fulfill their responsibility to make an ecologically balanced environment by receiving guidelines and suggestions from their colleagues, friends, bosses, and family members. Moreover, this

![Figure 2: Structural Model of the Study.](image)

**Table 7: Mediated Model**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indirect Relationship</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>Standard Error</th>
<th>t Statistic</th>
<th>Sobel Test (Z Value)</th>
<th>CI (95%)</th>
<th>P values</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H6a</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>4.133</td>
<td>3.418</td>
<td>(0.028, 0.036)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H6b</td>
<td>0.241</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>2.648</td>
<td>2.985</td>
<td>(0.038, 0.022)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H6c</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>1.621</td>
<td>1.555</td>
<td>(0.043, 0.042)</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>Insignificant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H6d</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>2.397</td>
<td>3.414</td>
<td>(0.019, 0.021)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
social pressure might instigate to comply with a greater awareness of environmental protection. In addition, aware bankers are more responsible for growing positive attitudes and constructing use behavior conducive to financing activities that conform to sustainability guidelines.

Hypotheses 2a and 2b predict that PCE positively influenced ATT and GBUB. H2a was supported by Yang and Yoo, as the cognitive efforts of bankers are shaping their attitudes to be positive toward GBUB due to the effect of the advantages on the environment and economic sustainability. Moreover, the role of PCE on GBUB was found to be significant by Chen in the context of shopping websites as individuals have to perform their mental representation to solve the problem. Similarly, according to our in-depth interview, we argue that the psychological involvement in completing a particular task (eg, protecting the environment, increasing sustainability) increases bankers’ mental satisfaction and decreases the anxiety related to COVID-19. This satisfaction and reduction in anxiety might influence the bankers to perform superior task presentation in terms of efficiency versus accuracy in ensuring green banking practices.

Moreover, in H3a and H3b, we found that environmental sustainability (ES) positively influenced attitudes (ATT) and GBUB, respectively, which were supported by the previous study to predict factors influencing potential travelers’ behavioral intentions. They argued that sustainability values affect travelers’ decision to respect nature and increase shared responsibility. Accordingly, the present study understood the role of sustainability in reforming the bankers’ attitudes and green behavior to ensure that the study supported these hypotheses in green banking. We also argued that the global biosphere is situated on the acknowledgment of sustainable environmental concern; humans jeopardize ecological balance where natural resources are scarce. These human beings always desire to conform to ecological balance.

Hypotheses 4a and 4b predicted that management support (MS) positively influenced ATT and GBUB. However, we found H4a supported and H4b not supported by our results. The same in H4a was also analogous by in the context of knowledge sharing and artificial intelligence, whereas the relationship between MS and GBUB (H4b) was inconsistent with the findings of in the context of promoting firms’ energy-saving behavior. Vasiljeva et al. mentioned that the technological trends of doing a particular job depend on employee support from management. We suppose that the (top) management support might build bankers’ positive attitudes toward green practices only if top managers engage themselves and take proactive initiatives to encourage green banking practices.

Finally, H5, this study posited and found that attitudes toward green banking positively impacted GBUB. This hypothesis was supported and consistent with the previous studies by Mishal et al. on green purchase behavior and by Hu et al and Kashif et al. in the context of low-carbon travel and perceived behavioral control by managers. Attitudes toward green banking significantly influence GBUB because we argued that human nature is to evaluate everything based on some inclination favouring or disfavoring in line.

**Contribution**

**Theoretical Contribution**

The current study has several theoretical contributions in exploring the factors affecting the bankers' green banking usage behavior in Bangladesh in existing green banking literature. First, the present study has proposed and validated a comprehensive model incorporating perceived cognitive efforts (PCE), environmental sustainability (ES), and management support (MS) into the theory of reasoned action (TRA) in clarifying the factors affecting bankers GBUB during COVID-19 in Bangladesh. The model is unique, and no such combination has yet been developed in green banking literature. Second, the current study recognized the perception of cognitive efforts as an independent variable to determine bankers' attitudes toward GBUB. This hypothesized relationship contributes to the comprehension of individual perception of the influences between fundamental cognitions and how they are associated with bankers’ intention to practice sustainable banking (ie, green banking).

Moreover, mental engagement in green practices influences bankers to develop positive attitudes and green behavior, which might increase psychological contentment essential to being strong during COVID-19. Third, environmental sustainability influences bankers’ attitudes and GBUB. These positive attitudes and behavior toward green practices might lessen the escalating concerns for unceasing degradation of the natural environment through ethical consumerism.
(ie, green consumerism). More specially, it is essentially theoretical and empirical evidence presented in this study as due to the negative influences of ecological imbalance, bankers might respect sustainability spectrum issues which specifies the goal accomplishment procedure. Fourth, the current research was conducted in social isolation setting where people feel worried and avoid being infected by the virus.

Moreover, existing literature on green or sustainable banking was conducted in a normal setting and primarily did not measure the influences of COVID-19 on bankers’ attitudes and GBUB. This study examined how the pandemic outbreaks figured the bankers’ green behavior during COVID-19. Finally, in this study, management support is a significant determinant in understanding bankers’ motivation to use green practices to increase the practical conscious-ness of limited and fragile natural resources. We found that management involvement and commitment work as motivators that assist bankers to overcome the confrontations to implement green financing.

Managerial Implications
As a result of this study, analysts and investors will better know sustainable green banking practices adoption and how these practices affect banks’ overall performance. This information could be used to assess a bank’s environmental management adoption and financial performance in Bangladesh in the future. This research has ramifications for the banking sector in Bangladesh in terms of legislative and compliance development. Hence, the current study has several managerial implications for green banking usage behaviour, in addition to its theoretical contributions.

At the institutional level, policymakers should strive to establish an environment that promotes the long-term viability of green banking practices. All listed banks should be required to declare their green banking plans in their final reports by the Bangladesh Securities and Exchange Commission to raise exact awareness of such practices among stakeholders. Furthermore, the Central Bank of Bangladesh must provide a solid strategic framework for banks to pursue green practices to help the environment. The authorities need to learn about bankers’ feelings, attitudes, and motivations and should provide commitments and support to raise awareness of green banking practices. According to the government, green banking practices for financial institutions should also be included as a condition in corporate governance regulations. This would validate banks’ green banking operations and help them perform better in terms of the environment.

Furthermore, utilitarian green banking motivation should be ensured as values through which motivation is cognitive, needs-based, value-focused, and goal-oriented. Thus, individuals who have utilitarian motivation tend to be more rational, and the implementers need to be careful in comprehending the bankers’ cognitive behaviors. Research has shown that the affective meaning of images, such as schematic faces, improves perceived enjoyment. Therefore, the management can use affective meanings of images such as “thumb-up” or “like” bankers might be more likely to perceive enjoyment when practicing green behavior. Active green bankers can motivate new one as bankers always attend to the recommendations from their colleagues, friends, and family members to comply with a particular task. Hence, these bankers should be taken care of primarily by giving extra facilities (eg, due time promotion, financial rewards, and other supports).

Limitations and Future Study
The current study, like most others, has some limitations. First, we collected the data during the COVID-19 pandemic in Bangladesh, a developing country, which may limit the generalization of study findings to different geographical locations and periods. Hence, future studies can be conducted considering the respondents from other culturally different countries. Second, because the study was cross-sectional, prone to methodological biases, and causation between variables may be limited. However, a follow-up study with a longitudinal design can confirm the causality of the association across time. Third, the information was acquired from the supply-side only (ie, bankers); the common method variance (CMV) might be an issue in this study. Although CMV test results found no CMV problem, future studies may collect data from customers and bankers (ie, demand and supply sides).

Concluding Remarks
The study aims to empirically validate a unique model incorporating perceived cognitive effort, sustainability perception, and management supports into TRA. First, the study understands the bankers’ complex decision-making processes.
Second, it also determines bankers’ attitudes toward green banking and GBUB by integrating three new dimensions, eg, perceived cognitive efforts, management support, and environmental support. Finally explores that environmental sustainability, perceived cognitive efforts, subjective norms, and attitudes might reshape bankers’ green bank usage behavior. Accordingly, it provided theoretical and managerial contributions to relevant stakeholders.
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