AZGPI Up-Regulation is a Potential Target for Andrographolide Reversing Radioresistance of Colorectal Cancer
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Background: Radiation resistance is a challenge that limits the therapeutic benefit of colorectal cancer (CRC) treatment, but the mechanism underlying CRC radiation resistance remains unclear. Andrographolide shows a broad-spectrum anti-tumor effect in various malignancies, including CRC, its effect and how it functions in CRC initiation, and radiation have not been established. This study aimed to explore the mechanism of CRC radiation resistance and the potential mechanisms of andrographolide on CRC radiation.

Methods: Two acquired radioresistant cell lines were established and high throughput sequencing was employed to screen out the differentially expressed genes. The expression of AZGPI, which was upregulated in the acquired radioresistant tissues, was verified by microarray data recomputing. The common targets of andrographolide, CRC initiation, and radiation resistance were obtained, and the corresponding functional enrichment and pathway analysis were performed. The interaction between AZGPI and andrographolide was investigated using molecular docking.

Results: AZGPI was upregulated in both the radioresistant cell model and microarray data. Moreover, AZGPI was upregulated in cancerous colorectal tissue and displayed a tendency toward elevated expression in patients with an unfavorable prognosis. AZGPI was identified as the common target of andrographolide, colorectal cancer initiation, and radiotherapy resistance. Ultimately, the protein structure of AZGPI proved to be closely intertwined with the crystal texture of andrographolide.

Conclusion: AZGPI is recognized as a crucial factor for both CRC initiation and radioresistance. Andrographolide may affect the radioresistance of CRC via the targeting of AZGPI. Thus, the combination of andrographolide and AZGPI intervention might be a promising strategy for improving the treatment benefit of CRC radiotherapy.
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Introduction

The incidence of colorectal cancer ranks third among all cancers worldwide, with rectal cancer accounting for about one third of cases.¹ Radiotherapy is an important method in the management of colorectal cancer. Neoadjuvant chemoradiation effectively increases the radical resection rate, reduces the risk of local recurrence, and facilitates an opportunity for anal sphincter-sparing.²,³ Postoperative adjuvant radiotherapy annihilates the subclinical residual tumor, reduces the postoperative recurrence rate, and ultimately improves treatment outcomes in terms of overall survival.⁴ Currently, neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy followed by total mesorectal excision (TME) is the preferred therapeutic strategy for locally advanced rectal cancer.⁴ However, due to intrinsic heterogeneity, some tumors respond to radiation and benefit from radiotherapy, while some neoplasms are insensitive or even completely resistant to radiation. These radioresistant patients not only suffer from the adverse effects of radiation, but also endure a superfluous financial burden. A variety of biological factors contribute to
radiotherapy resistance, including hypoxia, anemia, and tumor necrosis. With the development of high-throughput sequencing, many expression profiles that are involved in radiotherapy resistance have been gradually revealed, but the specific molecular mechanism driving radiation resistance in rectal cancer remains unclear and needs to be further explored.

One strategy to overcome radiation resistance is the combination of drug sensitization. For example, cisplatin, etoposide, capecitabine, and fluorouracil have been widely used as radiation sensitization drugs in clinic. However, sensitization drugs have certain levels of toxicity, and their combination with radiotherapy inevitably leads to toxic accumulation, which limits the therapeutic outcomes. Therefore, the development of radiotherapy sensitizers with high efficiency and low toxicity would be promising for increasing radiotherapy sensitivity and improving the benefit of radiotherapy. Traditional Chinese medicine attracts extensive attention but has not been widely used in cancer treatment due to its mixed ingredients and a relative lack of evidence-based basis. In recent years, with the continuous development of the pharmacology of traditional Chinese medicine, many monomer components and action targets of traditional Chinese medicine have been gradually revealed, laying a foundation for its clinical application.

Andrographolide, the major bioactive compound isolated from Andrographis paniculata (Burm. f.) Nees, has been widely reported to possess diverse biological activities, such as anti-inflammation, anti-virus, anti-obesity, and anti-atherosclerotic activities. Andrographolide has broad-spectrum antitumor activity in colorectal, lung, breast, and head and neck cancers. It has been reported that andrographolide has a synergistic effect with various chemotherapeutics including carboplatin, capecitabine, 5-fluorouracil, thus improving the treatment effectiveness of laryngeal, colorectal, and lung cancer. Andrographolide facilitates radiation-induced apoptosis or autophagy, thereby enhancing radiosensitivity in several experimental models. In a study conducted by Li et al, andrographolide sensitized HCT-116 colorectal cells to radiation by attenuating glycolysis. However, the precise mechanism of andrographolide on radiation remains to be explored.

In this study, to elucidate the molecular mechanism of radiotherapy resistance in colorectal cancer, we investigated the crucial genes being involving in colorectal cancer radiation resistance. The potential mechanism of andrographolide in colorectal cancer was also excavated. AZGP1 was identified as the potential target of andrographolide reversing radiation resistance of CRC, and its clinical value was explored. The current study may help to elucidate the molecular mechanism of colorectal cancer initiation and radiotherapy resistance, and furnish novel therapeutic strategy for radiation resistance.

Materials and Methods
The Establishment of Radioresistant CRC Cell Lines and Transcription Profile Analyses
Human colorectal cancer cell lines CX-1 and HCT-116 were purchased from the National Collection of Authentic Cell Cultures (Shanghai, China) and conventionally cultured in DMEM (Gibco, Waltham, MA, USA) in a humidified incubator at 37°C. To establish radioresistant cell lines, CX-1 and HCT-116 parental cells received 4Gy of photon beam radiation twice a week in an X-RAD225 cell and small animal x-ray irradiation (Precision X-Ray, North Branford, USA), with an accumulated dose of 80Gy. To validate the radiation resistance, plate clone formation assay was conducted to detect the surviving fraction, flow cytometry was employed to determined cell cycle, and apoptosis was detected using Annexin V-EGFP Apoptosis Detection Kit and Propidium Iodide (PI) Detection Kit (KeyGen, Nanjing, China) each according to the manufacturer’s procedures.

The Expression Profile Analyses
Total RNA was harvested using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. NanoDrop2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Massachusetts, US) was used to measure the concentration and purity of the isolated RNAs. An RNA Removal Kit (Illumina, San Diego, USA) was employed to remove ribosomal RNA, and the remaining RNAs were fragmented into small pieces. The first-strand cDNAs were reverse-transcribed from the RNA fragments and then synthesized into U-labeled, second-strand DNAs with E. coli DNA polymerase I, DNase H, and dUTP. A two-step PCR was performed to ligate and amplify the synthesized cDNAs. Ultimately, an Illumina Hiseq 4000 (LC Bio, Hangzhou, China) was utilized to conduct paired-end sequencing according to the vendor’s directions. Sequence quality control was performed using FastQC, an open-sourced Java program developed for sequencing quality assessment. The expression values of mRNAs were quantified by calculating FPKM values using StringTie. The differentially expressed
mRNAs were filtered by edge R package, and the genes with both log2 (fold change) >1 and p value < 0.05 were considered radioresistance-related genes.

Screening Radioresistance-Related Genes from GEO and ArrayExpress Databases

CRC-associated microarrays were searched for in the GEO (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/)[22] and ArrayExpress databases (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress)[23] on December 1, 2020. The following terms were used: [“Rectal” OR “Colorectal” MeSH] AND [“Neoplasms” OR “Neoplasm” MeSH Terms]. For the search for radiotherapy-associated microarrays, an additional term was employed: [“chemoradiotherapy” OR “radiation therapy” OR “radiotherapy” OR “radiation treatment” OR “irradiation” or “radiation” MeSH]. The inclusion criteria were: The sample sizes were greater than 3 per group; mRNA expression was quantifiable; and the samples were human CRC tissue or CRC cell lines. The detailed information from each radiotherapy-related microarray dataset was screened and extracted. The radiosensitivity of CRC tissue was assessed by the tumor regression scoring of NCCN guideline V2018,[4] in which a TRG score of 0 was defined as radiosensitive, while a score between 1 and 3 was radioresistant. To screen out radioresistance-related genes, the CRC datasets from GEO or ArrayExpress were log2 transformed. To eliminate batch effects, the datasets from the same detecting platform were merged using the ComBat algorithm of the sva R package.[24] The processed datasets were computed for standard mean difference (SMD) in batches using meta-R package. The genes with an SMD absolute value of more than 0 and a p value of less than 0.05 were regarded as differentially expressed genes; those upregulated in the radioresistant group were intersected with radioresistant genes acquired from in-house high-throughput sequencing. AZGP1 was selected for further analysis because it was upregulated both in radioresistant groups of GEO datasets and the acquired radioresistant cells. There were no human participants or animals being involved in this study, informed consent for specimen usage was waived.

Verification of the Upregulation of AZGP1 in Radioresistant Tissue and Cancerous Tissue

To verify the upregulation of AZGP1 in radioresistant tissue, the expression values of AZGP1 were obtained from each dataset. After removing the batch effects, the expression of AZGP1 in each dataset was visualized by boxplots, using the ggplot2 R package. To investigate the power of AZGP1 to discriminate between radiosensitive and radioresistant tissue, we used the pROC R package to generate receiver operating characteristic curves (ROC), and the area under the curve (AUC) quantified the discrimination between individual groups. To enable a direct comparison between different detection platforms, the expression values were pooled into a continuous variable meta-analysis in Stata 15.0 software to calculate the SMD, and the results were visualized in the form of forest plots. An $\chi^2$ test of Q and an I$^2$ statistic were performed to detect heterogeneity across studies. The values $p \geq 0.05$ and I$^2 \leq 50\%$ indicated no existence of heterogeneity, in which case a conditional fixed-effects model was employed; otherwise, a random fixed-effects model was applied. A linear regression model (Begg’s test) was applied to examine the publication bias. Subsequently, a diagnostic meta-analysis was performed, and the specificity, sensitivity, positive likelihood ratio (LR), negative LR, diagnostic odds ratio (OR), and the summarized ROC were obtained. The differential expression of AZGP1 in cancerous and normal colorectal tissue was calculated in the same way.

The Expression of AZGP1 at the Protein Level

The Human Protein Atlas (THPA) (https://www.proteinatlas.org),[25] an open-source database that includes the expression profiles of various proteins in human tissues was searched. Immunohistochemical staining of AZGP1 protein in CRC tissue and normal colorectal tissue was subsequently employed to display the differential expression of AZGP1.

The Prognostic Value of AZGP1 in CRC Patients

To determine the impact of AZGP1 expression on the prognosis of CRC patients, gene expression profiling interactive analysis (GEPIA) (http://gepia.cancer-pku.cn)[26] was used, following the default setting of the database. The prognoses were categorized as overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival (DFS), and the corresponding survival curves were generated.
Collection of Potential Targets for Andrographolide in the Treatment of Radioresistance of Colorectal Carcinoma

The targets of andrographolide were obtained using the Traditional Chinese Medicine Systems Pharmacology (TCMSP), Drugbank, SuperPred, and Swiss Target Prediction databases, following the default instructions. The overlapping genes from potential targets of andrographolide and CRC radioresistance-related genes were recognized as the target of andrographolide reversing radioresistance in CRC.

Functional Enrichment and Pathway Analysis
To reveal the biological characteristics of the putative target genes, gene ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) enrichment analyses were both performed using the ClusterProfiler R package with the default setting. The R package ggplot2 was employed to display the enrichment results.

Molecular Docking
Molecular docking of andrographolide and AZGP1 were conducted using SYBYL-X 2.1.1 software to verify their interaction activity. The SYBYL-X 2.1.1 software evaluated the binding quality of small molecules to proteins, primarily through the total score. A score greater than 6 indicated that the ligand and the receptor could spontaneously bind, and a higher value indicated that the active component bound to the receptor more easily. At present, no unified standard for the screening of active molecules using SYBYL-X is available. A review of the literature found that the docking conducted in this study showed good results.

Statistics Analysis
For a comparison of AZGP1 expression in individual datasets, a group t-test was conducted, and the values of AZGP1 were displayed as box plots using the ggplot2 R package. The corresponding ROCs were generated to reveal the discriminating power of AZGP1 in CRC cancerous samples versus normal tissue. To determine the impact of AZGP1 on the prognosis of CRC, rank logic was employed to compare the DFS and OS of patients with high or low AZGP1 expression. In this study, \( p < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

Results

The Flow Diagram in Figure 1 Shows the General Design of the Study
Briefly, the acquired radioresistant cell lines were generated, and high-throughput sequencing and comprehensive microarray data-mining were conducted to screen out radioresistance-related genes. After AZGP1 was screened out, its expression in CRC tissue or cells with discrepant radiosensitivity was calculated and visualized. Then the upregulation of AZGP1 in CRC tissue was confirmed by a SMD calculation, and its influence on the prognosis of CRC was investigated. Finally, the interaction of AZGP1 with andrographolide was investigated, and molecular docking was conducted.

AZGP1 Was Upregulated in Acquired Radio-Resistant Cells
After repeated radiation exposure, two radioresistant cell lines (namely HCT-116-R and CX-1-R), which were derived from HCT-116 and CX-1, were established. HCT-116-R and CX-1-R cells showed resistance to radiation, compared with the corresponding parental cells. Specifically, the cell apoptosis ratio declined, while the proportion of cell survival and the G2/M phase cell-cycle arrest increased (unpublished data). In comparison with the corresponding parental cell lines, there were 51 and 37 genes upregulated in HCT-116-R and CX-1-R, respectively. The downregulation of 47 and 61 genes were observed in HCT-116-R and CX-1-R, respectively (Supplemental Table 1). The volcano plots of the differentially expressed genes are shown in Figure 2. After taking the intersection, two upregulated genes (GPX1, AZGP1) and three downregulated genes (HSPA8, PYCR1, MORF4L2) remained. Alpha-2-glycoprotein 1, zinc-binding (AZGP1), was upregulated in both HCT-116-R and CX-1-R cell lines, with a fold change value of log2 (fold change) of 1.22 and...
1.37, respectively. The results of GO and KEGG enrichment analyses on differential expressed genes in the radioresistant cellular model are displayed in Supplemental Figure 1.

AZGP1 Was Upregulated in Radioresistant CRC Tissue and Cells

Based on the pooled SMD computing, there were 155 genes upregulated in CRC tissue with radiation resistance, while 169 downregulated genes were detected. AZGP1, which was upregulated in the acquired radioresistant cells, was also among the 155 upregulated genes, with a SMD value of 0.268 ($p = 0.03$). We then recomputed the expression of AZGP1 in individual datasets. Among eight microarray datasets from five platforms (Supplemental Table 2), AZGP1 was upregulated in three platforms, including GPL570 (Figure 3A), GPL6244 (Figure 3B) and GSE60331 (Figure 3E), while a downregulation of AZGP1 was observed in the radioresistant group of the GSE20298 dataset (Figure 3D). No difference in AZGP1 expression was found between the radioresistant and the radiosensitive cells in the GPL13497 platform (Figure 3C). As the ROC curves show in Figure 4, the AUC of each dataset was 0.579 (GPL570) (Figure 4A), 0.704 (GPL6244) (Figure 4B), 0.527 (GPL13497) (Figure 4C), 0.568 (GSE20298) (Figure 4D), and 0.617 (GSE60331) (Figure 4E). Since the expression pattern of AZGP1 was

Figure 1 Flow diagram of the study design. (A) The procedure of selecting AZGP1 as the key gene. (B) AZGP1 expression in rectal samples and its visualization; (a) Box plots of AZGP1 differential expression in radioresistant and radiosensitive CRC samples; (b) The integrated SMD and diagnostic meta-analysis of AZGP1 expression in radioresistant versus radiosensitive CRC samples; (c) Survival analysis in patients with CRC in terms of disease-free survival and overall survival. (C) Target prediction for andrographolide and molecular docking. GEO, Gene Expression Omnibus database; SMD, standard mean difference; TCMSP, traditional Chinese medicine systems pharmacology.
AZGP1 expression is illustrated in forest plots, with a SMD value of 0.28 (95% CI, 0.03–0.52) (Figure 5A). The forest plot in Figure 5B indicated no existence of heterogeneity. The funnel plots revealed no publication bias in this analysis model (p > 0.05, Figure 5C). The AUC value of the sROC was 0.72 (95% CI, 0.68–0.76, Figure 5D). The pooled sensitivity, specificity, positive likelihood ratio (PLR), negative likelihood ratio (NLR), and diagnostic odds ratio (DOR) were 0.61 (95% CI, 0.32–0.84), 0.78 (95% CI, 0.47–0.87), 2.11 (95% CI, 1.41–3.16), 0.55 (95% CI, 0.32–0.95), and 3.84 (95% CI, 1.98–3.47) (Supplemental Figure 2).

**AZGP1 Was Upregulated in CRC Tissue and Correlates with Poor Prognosis**

To investigate whether AZGP1 is involved in the initiation of CRC, the expression of AZGP1 in normal colon tissue and CRC tissue was compared in 23 datasets from 17 detecting platforms (Supplemental Table 3). As shown in Figure 6, AZGP1 was elevated in CRC tissue, except for in the GSE28000 (Figure 6H) and GSE141174 datasets (Figure 6O). The discriminative value of AZGP1 was satisfactorily high, as the AUC values shown in Figure 7A–Q, were 0.846 (95% CI, 0.803–0.838) (GPL96), 0.930 (95% CI, 0.893–0.968) (GPL10558), 0.954 (95% CI, 0.907–1.000) (GPL15207), 0.598 (95% CI, 0.413–0.782) (GSE15781), 0.970 (95% CI, 0.930–1.000) (GSE20842), 0.861 (95% CI, 0.749–0.973) (Supplemental Figure 2).
GSE24713), 0.940 (95% CI, 0.867–1.000) (GSE25071), 0.600 (95% CI, 0.224–0.976) (GSE28000), 0.958 (95% CI, 0.926–0.989) (GSE44076), 0.929 (95% CI, 0.789–1.000) (GSE47063), 0.939 (95% CI, 0.911–0.968) (GSE7211), 0.835 (95% CI, 0.694–0.976) (GSE103512), 0.949 (95% CI, 0.874–1.000) (GSE113513), 0.950 (95% CI, 0.847–1.000) (GSE115261), 0.556 (95% CI, 0.000–1.000) (GSE141174), 1.000 (95% CI, 1.000–1.000) (GSE156355), and 0.930 (95% CI, 0.910–0.949) (TCGA+CTEx). To directly compare data from different platforms, the SMD was calculated. In comparison with normal colorectal tissue, AZGP1 was upregulated in CRC tissue, with a SMD value of 1.87 (95% CI, 1.480–2.250) in the random effect model (Figure 8A). The forest plot for sensitivity analysis is shown in Figure 8B, and no heterogeneity was detected. The funnel plot revealed no publication bias in this integrative computation (p > 0.05; Figure 8C). An AUC value of 0.95 (95% CI, 0.92–0.97) substantiated the distinguishing power of AZGP1 (Figure 8D). The pooled sensitivity, specificity, PLR, NLR, and DOR were 0.95 (95% CI, 0.90–0.98), 0.86 (95% CI, 0.80–0.90), 17.18 (95% CI, 8.31–35.52), 0.15 (95% CI, 0.11–0.22) and 112.78 (95% CI, 43.82–290.27), respectively (Supplemental Figure 3). We then determined the expression of AZGP1 at the protein level. AZGP1 protein was detected in the cytoplasm and membrane of rectal carcinoma. In contrast, no AZGP1 staining was observed in normal small-intestine tissue, normal colon tissue, or normal rectum tissue (Figure 9). According to GEPIA, AZGP1 showed a tendency to negatively impact the prognosis of CRC patients in terms of disease-free survival. Patients with low AZGP1 expression had a better disease-free survival than those with high AZGP1 expression, though the difference was not statistically significant (p=0.3) (Figure 10A). In terms of overall survival, the AZGP1 expression has no impact (p=0.65) (Figure 10B).
Andrographolide May Alleviate Radioresistance of CRC via Targeting of AZGP1

As mentioned above, there were 155 genes upregulated in radioresistant colorectal cells, while 874 genes were upregulated in CRC tissue, based on the pooled SMD calculation. After taking the intersection, 19 genes remained, including AZGP1, CD55, CLDN1, DACH1, DPEP1, FAM84B, GAS2, KLK6, KRT23, LCN2, PTPN12, S100P, SFTA2, SP5, SULT2B1, TACSTD2, TBC1D4, TMPRSS3, and TNFRSF11B. There were 209 potential targets of andrographolide from multiple databases and text mining, including two genes that overlapped with the abovementioned 19 genes, including AZGP1 and SULT2B1 (Figure 11). The targets of andrographolide were then subjected to GO enrichment analysis, and the top 10 terms were response to steroid hormone, cellular response to steroid hormone stimulus, steroid metabolic process, response to peptide, hormone-mediated signaling pathway, steroid hormone-mediated signaling pathway, regulation of lipid metabolic process, response to molecule of bacterial origin, and extrinsic apoptotic signaling pathway (Figure 12A). For KEGG, the top 10 enriched pathways were Th17 cell differentiation, the AGE-RAGE signaling pathway in diabetic complication, the C-type lectin receptor signaling pathway, hepatitis B, tuberculosis, prostate cancer, osteoclast differentiation, t-cell receptor signaling pathway, thyroid hormone signaling pathway, and Yersinia infection (Figure 12B). Because AZGP1 was not only upregulated in cancerous colorectal tissue and radioresistant rectal tissue, but also the potential targets of andrographolide, molecular docking between AZGP1 and andrographolide was conducted. As the schematic diagram in Figure 13 shows, the protein structure of AZGP1 was closely intertwined with the crystal texture of andrographolide, with a total score of 7.0941.
Discussion

In the present study, we established two colorectal cancer cell lines with acquired radioresistance and found that AZGP1 was upregulated in the radioresistant cell model by high-throughput transcriptome sequencing. Subsequently, the upregulation of AZGP1 in radioresistant CRC tissue was confirmed with microarray data. In addition, AZGP1 was upregulated in colorectal cancerous tissue, in comparison with normal colon tissue, with an appreciable discriminability. AZGP1 displayed a tendency toward elevated expression in patients with an unfavorable prognosis. Through target prediction and text mining, AZGP1 was identified as the common target of andrographolide, colorectal cancer initiation, and radiotherapy resistance. Ultimately, the protein structure of AZGP1 was closely intertwined with the crystal texture of andrographolide.

Due to improvements in treatment modalities and cancer screening, the last two decades have seen a decrease in the incidence rate and mortality of colorectal cancer. Preoperative chemoradiation followed by total mesentery excision (TME) and adjuvant chemotherapy has been established as the standardized treatment pattern for local advanced rectal cancer. Some patients are not suitable for TME due to advanced age or cardiovascular pulmonary diseases, thus a “wait and watch” approach after pelvic radiation is applicable for some patients. Radiation resistance, however, limits the therapeutic outcomes and necessitates an understanding of its underlying mechanism.

It was conventionally believed that radiation resistance was a spontaneous phenomenon and that intrinsic genetic variation played an essential role in this biological process. However, intrinsic genetic variation could not fully explain the generation of radiation resistance. Actually, repeated exposure to x-rays or drugs also attenuates the response of tumors to radiation and chemotherapy, which subsequently leads to worse local control and even unfavorable treatment.

Figure 5 The integrated SMD and diagnostic meta-analysis of AZGP1 expression in radioresistant versus radiosensitive CRC samples. (A) forest plots of SMD values; (B) forest plot of sensitivity analysis; (C) funnel plot; (D) SROC curve of all included studies.
Figure 6 Boxplot of the differentiated expression of AZGP1 in CRC tissue and normal colorectal tissue. (A) GPL96; (B) GPL10558; (C) GPL15207; (D) GSE15781; (E) GSE20842; (F) GSE24713; (G) GSE25071; (H) GSE28000; (I) GSE44076; (J) GSE47063 dataset; (K) GSE87211 dataset; (L) GSE103512 dataset; (M) GSE115261 dataset; (N) GSE115313 dataset; (P) GSE11555 dataset; (Q) TCGA+CTEx. TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas. The Orange boxes represent the expression of AZGP1 in normal colorectal tissue, while the turquoise boxes represent the expression of AZGP1 in CRC tissue.
Figure 7 The corresponding ROC curves of AZGP1 differential expression in CRC tissue versus normal colorectal tissue. (A) GPL96; (B) GPL10558; (C) GPL15207; (D) GSE15781 dataset; (E) GSE20842; (F) GSE24713; (G) GSE25071; (H) GSE28000; (I) GSE44076; (J) GSE47063; (K) GSE87211; (L) GSE103512; (M) GSE113513; (N) GSE115261; (O) TCGA+CTEx. TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas.
outcomes. In the present study, we established two acquired radioresistant cell lines, which were derived from radiosensitive cell line HCT-116 and relatively radioresistant cell line CX-1. Thus, the overlapping differential expression profile not only reflects the intrinsic driving force of radiation resistance, but also the crucial genes involved in the acquired radiation resistance. Another approach to identifying the pivotal genes in radiation resistance is batch data processing, which integrates expression profiles from different detecting platforms and provides more persuasive evidence. For example, our team screened out the crucial driving genes in hepatic carcinoma by analyzing expression profiles from different detecting platforms in batches. Using pooled SMD recalculation, the differentially expressed genes in radioresistant and radiosensitive tissues were screened out, and a SMD value of 0.268 indicated that AZGP1 was upregulated in radioresistant tissues.

AZGP1 (also known as alpha-2-glycoprotein 1, zinc-binding), located at chromosome 7, is the coding gene of ZAG protein. Because the organization and nucleotide sequence of AZGP1 is similar to the first four exons of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) I genes, it is involved in multiple physiological processes, including lipolysis, glucose metabolism, epilepsy, and Alzheimer’s disease. Moreover, AZGP1 inhibits fibrosis of the kidney and heart induced by chronic kidney disease or heart stress by negatively targeting the TGFβ pathway. The role of AZGP1 in cancer development has also been investigated by some research teams, and the functions of AZGP1 has varied according to type of malignancy. AZGP1 inhibits the TGF-β pathway and thus suppresses the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition of hepatocellular carcinoma. In addition, AZGP1 inhibits the proliferation, invasion, and migration of HCC and soft-tissue sarcoma. It has also been reported that AZGP1 is negatively correlated with the
prognosis of several malignancies, including soft-tissue sarcoma, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, and HPV-positive oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma.\(^{39-41}\) The prognostic value of AZGP1 has been extensively explored in prostate carcinoma, and it has been reported that absent or low AZGP1 expression was not only an independent predictor of biochemical relapse after radical prostatectomy, but also related to increased mortality in several cohort studies.\(^{42-44}\) The function of AZGP1 in colorectal carcinoma remains controversial. Yu et al reported that AZGP1 suppresses the proliferation, invasion, and migration of colorectal SW480 cells by upregulating FASN expression.\(^{45}\) However, another

Figure 9 AZGP1 expression in normal tissue and colorectal cancer tissue from the Human Protein Atlas database [antibody CAB016087]. (A) Female, age 56. Patient ID 3343. Normal small intestine (T-65000) tissue, NOS(M-00100), low expression of AZGP1. The relative results of glandular cells are as follows: staining: low; intensity: moderate; quantity: <25%; location: cytoplasmic/membranous. (B) Female, age 56. Patient ID 1423. Normal colon (T-67000) tissue, NOS(M-0010), deficient expression of AZGP1. The relative results of glandular cells are as follows: staining: not detected; intensity: negative; quantity: none; location: none. (C) Female, age 66. Patient ID 2060. Normal rectum (T-68000) tissue, NOS(M-00100), deficient expression of AZGP1. The relative results of glandular cells are as follows: staining: not detected; intensity: negative; quantity: none; location: none. (D) Male, age 51. Patient ID 3298. Rectum (T-68000) adenocarcinoma, NOS(M-81403), moderate expression of AZGP1. The relative results of tumor cells are as follows: staining: medium; intensity: moderate; quantity: 75%–25%; location: cytoplasmic/membranous.
team observed that AZGP1 was highly expressed in colorectal cancer tissue with liver metastasis and associated with unfavorable survival, and that AZGP1 facilitates metastasis by regulating the epithelial-mesenchymal transition. In our study, the expression of AZGP1 was upregulated both in HCT-116-R and CX-1-R cells, suggesting its participation in the acquisition of radioresistance. Subsequently, the microarray data from GEO validated the upregulation of AZGP1 in both radioresistant cells and tissues. Contrary to the findings of Yu et al, we found that AZGP1 functions as an oncogene, since patients with low AZGP1 expression enjoyed a more favorable disease-free survival rate, though the influence of AZGP1 on the overall survival of CRC patients was not statistically significant. It may be that the long-term survival of patients with malignancy was actually affected by multiple factors, including age, sex, treatment strategy, and so on, and that the effect of AZGP1 was masked by other more predominant parameters. In other words, AZGP1 was among the factors affecting the prognosis, but not the sole one or the most crucial factor.

**Figure 10** Survival analysis in patients with CRC in terms of disease-free survival and overall survival. (A) Kaplan-Meier curves for disease-free survival; (B) Kaplan-Meier curves for overall survival.

**Figure 11** Venn diagrams for the intersections between genes acting on andrographolide and radiotherapy-resistance related genes in colorectal cancer. The 209 andrographolide targets from the different databases and text mining, and the overlapping targets were AZGP1 and SULT2B1.
One interesting phenomenon is that the SMD value of AZGP1 between cancerous and normal tissues was larger than that between radiosensitive and radioresistant samples. A potential explanation is that AZGP1 is more capable of distinguishing cancerous tissue from non-cancerous tissue, in comparison to its ability to distinguish between radioresistant and radiosensitive samples. In other words, the role of AZGP1 in CRC initiation is more critical than its role in the development of radioresistance. The AUC values of the ROCs in Figure 7 were generally larger than those in Figure 5, further confirming this discrepancy. However, uncovering the exact role and action mechanism of AZGP1 in CRC occurrence, development, and radiation resistance requires more sophisticated experimentation.

The development of network pharmacology has gradually revealed the pharmacological mechanisms underlying a range of traditional Chinese medicine and promoting the popularization and application of traditional Chinese medicine in clinical practice. Andrographolide is the major bioactive component isolated from the plant *Andrographis paniculata* and possesses multiple biological activities, including anti-bacterial, anti-inflammatory, anti-virus, anti-fibrosis, anti-obesity, immunomodulatory, and hypoglycemic activities. The role of andrographolide as an anticarcinogen has been widely studied in the past decade. It has been reported that andrographolide impedes the initiation and development of various malignancies, including colorectal...
carcinoma, gastric cancer, acute lymphoblastic leukemia, lymphoma, prostate cancer, non-small cell lung cancer, breast cancer, HCC, oral squamous cell cancer, and multiple myeloma. However, because andrographolide can be rapidly transformed into 14-deoxy-12-sulfo-andrographolide and has low aqueous solubility, its bioavailability is low. Thus, the application of andrographolide as a sole therapy is of low efficacy and has not been widely used in clinic; the combination of andrographolide with other treatments might to some extent improve its effectiveness. In fact, andrographolide could function as a sensitizer to chemotherapy or radiotherapy. For instance, in one study, andrographolide attenuated autophagy, and therefore alleviated the resistance of A549 cells to cisplatin and paclitaxel in vitro and in vivo. Andrographolide also reversed both acquired and intrinsic resistance of colorectal cell lines to 5-FU. The synergistic effect of andrographolide on radiation has also been reported, but relatively less is known about it. Wang et al found that andrographolide sensitizes human esophageal cancer cell line ECA109 to radiation in vitro by enhancing cellular apoptosis. The combination of andrographolide and radiation in CRC was reported, but only by one team. Li et al investigated the effect of andrographolide on the radiosensitivity of HCT-116 cells and found that the combination of andrographolide and irradiation increased the response of HCT-116 cells to radiation compared with irradiation alone. In addition, inactivity of the PI3K-Akt-mTOR signaling pathway was observed upon the administration of andrographolide. Though their study revealed the potential of andrographolide in radiation sensitization, the usage of a single cell line failed to reflect the profound mechanism underlying radiation resistance. In this study, we used high-throughput sequencing to screen out the differentially expressed genes in the acquired radioresistant cell model, which could more comprehensively demonstrate the major driving force of radiation resistance. Interestingly, AZGP1 is not only a crucial gene in CRC initiation and radioresistance, but also the target of andrographolide. Based on molecular docking, the crystal texture of andrographolide closely intertwines with the protein structure of AZGP1, indicating that andrographolide might inhibit the development or reverse the radioresistance of CRC by targeting AZGP1, a possibility that needs further, more elaborate experiments to elucidate.

This study has some shortcomings. First, some of the findings were based on in silico analysis, which occasionally did not fully reflect the nature of radiation resistance. Second, though the results indicated that AZGP1 participates in CRC initiation and radioresistance, the lack of real-world clinical samples of CRC patients undergoing radiotherapy weaken the conclusion. Also, the synergistic effect of andrographolide on radiation was preliminarily investigated, but more sophisticated experiments involving andrographolide and AZGP1 intervention should be conducted to further elucidate whether andrographolide can reverse radiation resistance in CRC by directly targeting AZGP1.

**Conclusion**

In this study, by establishing the acquired radioresistant cell lines and performing the SMD recomputing, AZGP1 was recognized as a crucial factor for both CRC initiation and radioresistance. Andrographolide may reduce the radioresistance of CRC by targeting AZGP1. Thus, the combination of andrographolide and AZGP1 intervention might be a promising strategy for improving the treatment benefits of CRC radiotherapy.
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