Molecular Mechanisms of Luteolin Against Atopic Dermatitis Based on Network Pharmacology and in vivo Experimental Validation
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Purpose: To uncover the underlying mechanisms of luteolin against atopic dermatitis (AD), clinically characterized by recurrent eczematous lesions and intense itching, based on network pharmacology, molecular docking and in vivo experimental validation.

Methods: TCMSP, STITCH and SwissTargetPrediction databases were utilized to screen the corresponding targets of luteolin. Targets related to AD were collected from DisGeNET, GeneCards and TTD databases. PPI network of intersection targets was constructed through STRING 11.0 database and Cytoscape 3.9.0 software. GO and KEGG enrichment analysis were performed to investigate the critical pathways of luteolin against AD. Further, the therapeutic effects and candidate targets/signaling pathways predicted from network pharmacology analysis were experimentally validated in a mouse model of AD induced by 2, 4-dinitrofluorobenzene (DNFB).

Results: A total of 31 intersection targets were obtained by matching 151 targets of luteolin with 553 targets of AD. Among all, 20 core targets were identified by PPI network topology analysis, including IL-6, TNF, IL-10, VEGFA, IL-4, etc., and molecular docking indicated that luteolin binds strongly to these core targets. KEGG pathway enrichment analysis suggested that the intersected targets were significantly enriched in IL-17 signaling pathway, Th17 cell differentiation, Th1 and Th2 cell differentiation, JAK/STAT signaling pathway, etc. The in vivo experiment validated that luteolin could alleviate AD-like skin symptoms, as evidenced by the lower SCORAD score, the reduced infiltration of mast cells and the recovery of skin barrier function. Furthermore, luteolin restored immune balance by regulating the production of Th1/Th2/Th17-mediated cytokines, which were both the predicted core targets. Moreover, luteolin inhibited the phosphorylation of JAK2 and STAT3 in the lesional skin.

Conclusion: Together, the present study systematically clarifies the ameliorative effects and possible molecular mechanisms of luteolin against AD through the combination of network pharmacology and experimental validation, shedding light on the future development and clinical application of luteolin.
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Introduction

Atopic dermatitis (AD), a chronic inflammatory and pruritic skin disorder, is characterized by recurrent eczematous and inflamed skin lesions, compromised barrier function and intense itch.1,2 Itch is burdensome symptom and can negatively influence patients’ sleep quality, social life and emotional well-being. The pathogenesis of AD is multifactorial and complex, most researchers hold the view that immunologic aberrations and epidermal barrier dysfunction constitute critical factors in the development of this disease.3,4 Findings proposed that the Th1/Th2 immune imbalance caused by a predominant Th2 over Th1 immune response has been recognized as a crucial pathogenesis of cutaneous inflammation in AD. Th2-mediated cytokines, such as interleukin (IL)-4, IL-5, IL-13, could activate IgE response to allergens and disrupt skin barrier function. Th17 cells and Th22 cells, another two novel CD4+ T cell subsets, have also been found to be increased in AD lesions and associated with AD severity.5–7
Current treatment options for AD are limited and mainly include topical emollients, corticosteroids, and immunosuppressive agents. However, long-term epicutaneous application of corticosteroid increases the risk of recurrence and results in telangiectasia, petechiae, skin atrophy and thinning. Thus, researchers have moved toward the discovery of alternative therapeutic agents for AD from traditional Chinese medicine or natural products with better long-term benefits and less side effects.

Luteolin, a food-derived flavonoid, which is present in medicinal plants worldwide, was reported to have many noteworthy pharmacological properties, including anti-inflammatory, anti-oxidant and anti-allergic effects. One previous pre-clinical study showed that luteolin suppressed dry skin induced spontaneous scratching behavior in mice via inhibiting IgE-mediated mast cell activation. However, the role of luteolin on AD remains unknown. With the rapid development of bioinformatics, systems biology and pharmacology, network pharmacology has been considered as a frontier in drug discovery and development. Network pharmacology highlights a paradigm shift from the current “one molecule, one target, one disease” mode a new “network multi-targets” mode. Therefore, in the present study, we firstly performed network pharmacology approach to predict potential molecular targets and molecular mechanisms of luteolin in treating AD. In silico molecular docking as well as an in vivo experiment was further conducted to confirm the findings. An overview of this study is shown in Figure 1.

Materials and Methods

Related Databases and Platforms

Figure 1 Flowchart of the current research study.
Prediction of the Luteolin Pharmacological Targets
To obtain the potential targets of luteolin, we searched TCMSP, STITCH 4.0 and SwissTargetPrediction databases, followed by filtering with the term “Homo sapiens”. The operation is as follows: Firstly, we directly enter “luteolin” in the TCMSP and STITCH 4.0 databases and then download the corresponding target genes. Secondly, we got the luteolin’s Canonical SMILES from the PubChem database, imported it to the SwissTargetPrediction database and then obtained the predicted targets of luteolin. Through the UniProt database, we analyzed and annotated potential pharmacodynamic targets and the candidate targets were both modified to the official standardized abbreviation, that is, Gene symbol. Duplicated non-human and non-standard target genes were removed.

Prediction of Target Genes Associated with AD
Using “Dermatitis, Atopic” as the key word, the potential targets for the treatment of AD in the DisGeNET, GeneCards and TTD databases were discovered. Among them, GeneCards database was used to screen target genes related to AD with a ‘Relevance score ≥5’ and the DisGeNET database was used to screen target genes related to AD with a ‘Gene-Disease Score ≥0.05’. Combine the search results of the three databases and then remove duplicate targets.

Intersection Targets Between Luteolin and AD
The common potential targets of luteolin and AD, which represented the potential targets of luteolin in the treatment of AD, were further obtained by the online drawing software Venny 2.1.0.

Construction of Protein–Protein Interaction (PPI) Network and Topology Analysis
The intersection targets for luteolin against AD were input to the STRING 11.0 database to construct a PPI network. “Homo sapiens” was chosen and a scoring value >0.7 was selected as the minimum required interaction score protein interactions. Finally, we imported the PPI information into Cytoscape 3.9.0 software to visualize the intersection of PPI diagram. The core targets of luteolin treating AD were mined by Cytohubba and MCODE plugins in Cytoscape 3.9.0 software. Top 20 nodes with the largest “Degree” value generated from the CytoHubba plug-in were selected to establish a Hub gene network. Additionally, the analysis parameters of MCODE were set as follows: degree cutoff = 2, node score cutoff = 0.2, K-score = 2 and Max depth = 100.

GO Functional Enrichment and KEGG Pathway Enrichment Analysis
The common target genes of luteolin and AD were imported into the DAVID database and OmicShare cloud platform for GO function and KEGG pathway analysis. The channels with \(Q\) value <0.01 are filtered out and sorted in descending order based on the \(Q\) value (adjust \(P\)). Top 20 items are selected, and the screening results were visualized by an advanced bubble chart.

Molecular Docking
Firstly, the 3D structure of luteolin was downloaded from the PubChem database. Before docking simulation, the file was imported into PyMOL software to hydrogenate and add charge and then saved in .pdbqt format. Secondly, the X-ray crystal structures of the core targets were retrieved from the PDB database and modified using UCSF Chimera 1.14 software including ligand and water removal and polar hydrogen atoms and Gasteiger charge addition. Finally, luteolin was used as the ligand, and the candidate protein targets were used as receptors for molecular docking using Chimera 1.14.

Experimental Verification
Chemicals
DNFB (purity grade >99%) was obtained from Alfa Aesar, Heysham, UK. Luteolin (purity grade > 99%) was supplied by Aladdin Ltd., Shanghai, China. DEX (dexamethasone, purity grade > 98%) was purchased from Saen Chemical Technology Co. Ltd., Shanghai, China. All other general agents were commercially available.
Experimental Protocol and AD Model

Experimental female KM mice aged 6 weeks were purchased from the Animal Center of Wuhan University (Wuhan, China). All mice were housed in the cages at a 12/12 h day/night cycle and provided a standard diet. The protocol of this experimental study was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC), Wuhan University Center for Animal Experiment, Wuhan, China, and all the procedures of this study were done in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (Eighth Edition, 2011, published by the National Academies Press, Washington, USA). After 1 week of acclimation period, the mice were randomly divided into 4 groups: (i) Normal group, (ii) Model group (DNFB), (iii) 0.5% luteolin (g/mL) group (DNFB +0.5% luteolin), (iv) 0.05% DEX (g/mL) group (DNFB +0.05% DEX).

To experimentally induce AD-like model, we employed cutaneous DNFB sensitization and challenging in mice according to the method of Mandlik et al with some modifications. Briefly, 100 μL of 1.0% DNFB (w/v) in vehicle composed of acetone and olive oil (3:1) was applied onto the shaved dorsal skin (2 cm*3 cm) on day 1. Mice were then topically treated with 100 μL of 0.5% DNFB onto the dorsal skin on day 3, 7 and 11. Simultaneously, apart from Normal group and Model group (only treated with the same volume of vehicle), the 0.5% luteolin and 0.05% DEX (100 μL) were dissolved in ethanol in vehicle composed of ethanol and propylene glycol (3:1) and they were topically applied onto the shaved back of mice daily from day 1 to day 13.

Measurements of AD Severity Score, Scratching Behaviors and TEWL

To evaluate the ameliorative effects of luteolin on DNFB-induced AD-like morphology, the dermatitis severity score and scratching behavior episodes within 10 min among different groups were examined in this study. The dermatitis severity score was measured macroscopically at day 13 based on four criteria previously described. Briefly, symptom severity was scored as 0 (none), 1 (mild), 2 (moderate), and 3 (severe) for each of the following four different manifestations: erythema/hemorrhage, scaling/dryness, edema/swelling and erosion/excoriation. Immediately after the application of DNFB, scratching behavior was counted for 10 min on day 13. Just scratching of the hind paws to the dorsal skin was recorded as the scratching behavior and the consecutive scratching before putting down the hind paws was counted as once.

In addition, edema was further evaluated through skin swelling by measuring the difference in the thickness/weight among different groups. After sacrifice, the dorsal skin of each group was separated, respectively, and made into a circle slice using a puncher with the diameter of 8 mm. An analytical balance was used for measuring the skin weight and a vernier calliper was used for measuring the skin thickness.

Transepidermal water loss (TEWL) of the shaved dorsal skin was measured by VAPO SCAN AS-VT100RS (Asch, Japan) and the values were determined from three independent measurements at day 12.

Histological Assay

After sacrifice, the dorsal lesional skin of mice among different groups was harvested carefully. Skin specimens were immersed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 24 h and then embedded into wax blocks. Paraffin-embedded skins were then cut into 4.5-μm thin sections and were stained with hematoxylin-eosin (H&E) to detect epidermal thickness or toluidine blue (TB) to examine mast cells, including the granulated and degranulated forms. Quantitative analysis of epidermal thickness and mast cells was measured using ImageJ software taken at 3 randomly selected fields per section.

Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA)

Whole blood was obtained from the eyeball and then centrifuged at 3500 rpm for 15 min at 4 °C to collect serum samples. Skin tissues (100 mg) were homogenized in 9-fold 0.9% saline solution, and the homogenates were centrifuged for 10 min at 4 °C at 12,000 rpm to obtain the supernatant. The total protein concentration of supernatants was determined using the bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay (Kerui Biotechnology Co., Ltd, Wuhan, China). Serum and skin levels of Th1/Th2/Th17-related cytokines were measured using ELISA kits.
Immunohistochemical (IHC) and Immunofluorescence (IF) Analysis

The paraffin sections of mice in each group were subjected to immunohistochemical analysis according to the following steps: deparaffinization and hydration of sections $\rightarrow$ 3% H$_2$O$_2$ blocking endogenous peroxidase $\rightarrow$ EDTA antigen microwave retrieval $\rightarrow$ 5% BSA serum blocking $\rightarrow$ Incubation with the primary antibodies: rabbit anti-FLG, anti-p-JAK2 and anti-p-STAT3 (Cell Signaling Technology, Boston, USA), 4°C, overnight $\rightarrow$ Incubation with goat anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody, 37°C, 1h $\rightarrow$ DAB or DAPI staining.

Statistical Analysis

One-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s post-hoc test using GraphPad Prism 5.0 was used for statistical analysis of data. All the experimental data were presented as the mean ± standard deviation (S.D.) and $p$-value <0.05 or 0.01 was considered significantly.

Results

Identification of the Targets Related to Luteolin Against AD

This study got 56, 10 and 104 candidate targets of luteolin from TCMSP, STITCH and SwissTargetPrediction databases, respectively, and we finally received 151 targets after removing duplicates (Figure 2A). By retrieving the DisGeNET, GeneCards and TTD databases and deleting repeated targets, a total of 553 target genes associated with AD were obtained (Figure 2A). The Venn diagram produced by Venny 2.1.0 showed that 31 intersection targets between luteolin and AD were obtained (Figure 2B).

**Figure 2** (A) Potential targets of luteolin and AD-related genes. (B) Intersecting targets between luteolin and AD-related genes determined by Venny 2.1.
Construction of PPI Network and Topology Analysis

Thirty-one anti-AD targets of luteolin were imported into STRING 11.0 databases to obtain the PPI network (Figure 3A). Cytoscape 3.9.0 was used for network visualization. PPI network stands for a global view of intersection target association, and the PPI network contained 31 nodes and 259 edges. The circles represented the targets of luteolin against AD and the edges represented the interaction between targets. “Degree” value, which is calculated from

![PPI Network Diagram](https://doi.org/10.2147/DDDT.S387893)

**Figure 3** Protein–protein interaction (PPI) network of core targets against AD. (A) PPI network of 31 intersection targets constructed with STRING 11.0. (B) Top 20 hub genes were screened by CytoHubba using Cytoscape 3.9.0. (C) The most significant module was analyzed by MCODE.
CytoHubba plug-in, is also an important topological property that can explain the most important nodes in the network. The size and color trend of the nodes varies from the “Degree” value. The first 20 nodes with the highest ‘Degree’ value were extracted to establish a Hub gene network, including MMP9, IL6, TNF, IL10, VEGFA, IL4, ICAM1, MPO, MAPK1, IL2, MAPK8, JUN, EGFR, PPARG, IFNG, MMP1, MMP2, CD40LG, NFKBIA, AHR (Figure 3B). The results of MCODE obtained the most significant cluster with scores of 18.5. Convincingly, cluster 1 comprised 21 nodes and 185 edges, which further confirmed the importance of 20 core genes obtained from CytoHubba analysis (Figure 3C).

Molecular Docking Verification of Luteolin with Core Target Genes
Molecular docking, as one of bioinformatics tools, has been increasingly used in the early stages of drug discovery. The luteolin was molecularly docked with 20 core targets to further verify the interaction between the compound and the core targets. In the docking output results, the more negative docking score is predicted to have a higher binding affinity between the luteolin to protein. In general, the binding energy (affinity) below −5.0 kcal/mol means strong binding activity between the receptor and the ligand. The bonding interaction data are shown in Table 1, and the binding affinities were found to be ranged from-5.8 to −10.1 kcal/mol.

GO Function Analysis
As illustrated in Figure 4, the input of the 31 overlapping target genes derived the top 20 biological process (BP) enrichment items including response to cytokines, cellular response to cytokine stimulus, cellular response to chemical stimulus and cytokine-mediated signaling pathway. Cellular component (CC) enrichments involve extracellular region, membrane raft and cell surface. Molecular function (MF), enrichments involve cytokine receptor binding, signaling receptor binding, and MAP kinase activity.

KEGG Pathway Analysis and Luteolin-Target-Pathway Network Construction
The KEGG pathway enrichment analysis revealed that 31 intersection targets were mainly enriched in 71 signaling pathways (adjust $P < 0.01$). To further uncover the possible mechanisms of luteolin in the prevention of AD, top 20 (based on adjust $P$ value) AD-related KEGG analysis results were visualized by the OmicShare tool. As shown in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>PDB ID</th>
<th>Affinity (kcal/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL4</td>
<td>Interleukin-4</td>
<td>2B8U</td>
<td>−7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL10</td>
<td>Interleukin-10</td>
<td>2ILK</td>
<td>−6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL6</td>
<td>Interleukin-6</td>
<td>1ALU</td>
<td>−7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFNG</td>
<td>Interferon gamma</td>
<td>6F1E</td>
<td>−7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPK8</td>
<td>Mitogen-activated protein kinase 8</td>
<td>3ELJ</td>
<td>−8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFKBIA</td>
<td>NF-kappa-B inhibitor alpha</td>
<td>5TQW</td>
<td>−7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHR</td>
<td>Aryl hydrocarbon receptor</td>
<td>4M4X</td>
<td>−8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF</td>
<td>Tumor necrosis factor</td>
<td>5MU8</td>
<td>−7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL2</td>
<td>Interleukin-2</td>
<td>1M49</td>
<td>−6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPK1</td>
<td>Mitogen-activated protein kinase 1</td>
<td>4O6E</td>
<td>−8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICAM1</td>
<td>Intercellular adhesion molecule 1</td>
<td>1PS3</td>
<td>−6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD40LG</td>
<td>CD40 ligand</td>
<td>3LKJ</td>
<td>−9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP9</td>
<td>Matrix metalloproteinase-9</td>
<td>2OW1</td>
<td>−10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP1</td>
<td>Interstitial collagenase</td>
<td>1HFC</td>
<td>−9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPO</td>
<td>Myeloperoxidase</td>
<td>1MHL</td>
<td>−7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>Epidermal growth factor receptor</td>
<td>5Y2S</td>
<td>−8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGFA</td>
<td>Vascular endothelial growth factor A</td>
<td>3QTK</td>
<td>−7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPARG</td>
<td>Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma</td>
<td>2ZNO</td>
<td>−8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUN</td>
<td>Transcription factor AP-1</td>
<td>1JNM</td>
<td>−5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP2</td>
<td>72 kDa type IV collagenase</td>
<td>1QIB</td>
<td>−9.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Molecular Docking Results in Terms of the Binding Energy of Luteolin with 20 Anti-AD Core Targets
Figure 5A and Table 2, key mechanisms of luteolin against AD might include IL-17 signaling pathway, T cell receptor signaling pathway, Th17 cell differentiation, TNF signaling pathway, Th1 and Th2 cell differentiation, JAK/STAT signaling pathway, etc. The luteolin-target-pathway network visualized by Cytoscape 3.9.0 software comprised 20 signaling pathways and enriched gene targets is shown in Figure 5B.

Experimental Verification
Luteolin Alleviates Dorsal Skin Lesions in DNFB-Induced AD Mice
At the end of the experiment, the AD model mice successfully developed severe by repeated application of DNFB (Figure 6A). Compared to the Normal group, the lesional score in the AD model group was significantly increased about
In line with the development of skin lesions, repeated treatment with DNFB triggered a significant increase in the scratching episodes within 10 min (Figure 6C). Treatment with 0.5% luteolin markedly decreased DNFB-induced increases in dermatitis score and scratching bouts (Figures 6B and C).

Table 2 KEGG Pathway Enrichment Analysis of Luteolin Against AD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathway ID</th>
<th>KEGG_B.Class</th>
<th>Pathway</th>
<th>Q (Adjust P)</th>
<th>Gene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ko04657</td>
<td>Immune system</td>
<td>IL-17 signaling pathway</td>
<td>2.61E-10</td>
<td>MAPK1, NFKBIA, MMP9, MAPK8, IFNG, IL4, IL6, JUN, MMP1, TNF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ko04660</td>
<td>Immune system</td>
<td>T cell receptor signaling pathway</td>
<td>4.90E-09</td>
<td>MAPK1, NFKBIA, CD40LG, IL2, IFNG, IL4, IL10, JUN, MMP1, TNF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ko04625</td>
<td>Immune system</td>
<td>C-type lectin receptor signaling pathway</td>
<td>4.90E-09</td>
<td>MAPK1, NFKBIA, MAPK8, IL2, IL6, IL10, SYK, JUN, TNF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ko04659</td>
<td>Immune system</td>
<td>Th17 cell differentiation</td>
<td>1.66E-07</td>
<td>MAPK1, NFKBIA, AHR, MAPK8, IL2, IFNG, IL4, IL6, JUN, ICAM1, MAPK1, NFKBIA, MMP9, MAPK8, IL6, JUN, TNF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ko04668</td>
<td>Signal transduction</td>
<td>TNF signaling pathway</td>
<td>1.76E-07</td>
<td>ALOX5, MAPK1, MAPK8, IL4, SYK, TNF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ko04664</td>
<td>Immune system</td>
<td>Fc epsilon RI signaling pathway</td>
<td>3.42E-06</td>
<td>IL2, IFNG, IL4, IL6, IL10, JUN, TNF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ko05321</td>
<td>Immune diseases</td>
<td>Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD)</td>
<td>5.39E-06</td>
<td>IL2, IFNG, IL4, IL6, IL10, JUN, TNF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ko04658</td>
<td>Immune system</td>
<td>Th1 and Th2 cell differentiation</td>
<td>1.14E-05</td>
<td>MAPK1, NFKBIA, MAPK8, IL2, IFNG, IL4, JUN, ICAM1, IFNG, VEGFA, IL6, JUN, MMP1, TNF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ko05323</td>
<td>Immune diseases</td>
<td>Rheumatoid arthritis</td>
<td>2.23E-05</td>
<td>MAPK1, NFKBIA, MAPK8, IL6, JUN, TNF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ko04620</td>
<td>Immune system</td>
<td>Toll-like receptor signaling pathway</td>
<td>2.43E-05</td>
<td>MAPK1, NFKBIA, MAPK8, IL6, JUN, TNF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ko04060</td>
<td>Signaling molecules and interaction</td>
<td>Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction</td>
<td>8.36E-05</td>
<td>CD40LG, IL2, IFNG, IL4, IL6, IL10, CXCR1, TNF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
Skin swelling, typically as a result of inflammation or fluid retention in the skin, is an indicator of skin edema.\textsuperscript{21,22} In this study, skin edema was evaluated by comparing the difference in the thickness/weight among different groups. As shown in Figures 6D and E, the dorsal skin thickness and skin weight were gradually elevated in DNFB-treated AD mice. In contrast, compared to the model group, 0.5\% luteolin substantially reduced dorsal skin thickness from $(1.19 \pm 0.28)$ to $(0.72 \pm 0.04)$ mm ($p < 0.01$) and alleviated the skin swelling by weight from $(43.02 \pm 8.71)$ to $(25.38 \pm 11.72)$ mg ($p < 0.05$).

### Table 2 (Continued).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathway ID</th>
<th>KEGG_B_Class</th>
<th>Pathway</th>
<th>Q (Adjust P)</th>
<th>Gene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ko04630</td>
<td>Signal transduction</td>
<td>Jak-STAT signaling pathway</td>
<td>1.20E-04</td>
<td>IL2, IFNG, IL4, IL6, IL10, EGFR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ko04066</td>
<td>Signal transduction</td>
<td>HIF-1 signaling pathway</td>
<td>1.32E-04</td>
<td>MAPK1, IFNG, VEGFA, IL6, EGFR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ko04621</td>
<td>Immune system</td>
<td>NOD-like receptor signaling pathway</td>
<td>2.35E-04</td>
<td>MAPK1, NFKBIA, MAPK8, IL6, JUN, TNF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ko04064</td>
<td>Signal transduction</td>
<td>NF-kappa B signaling pathway</td>
<td>3.40E-04</td>
<td>Icam1, NFKBIA, CD40LG, SYK, TNF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ko04662</td>
<td>Immune system</td>
<td>B cell receptor signaling pathway</td>
<td>5.20E-04</td>
<td>MAPK1, NFKBIA, SYK, JUN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ko04012</td>
<td>Signal transduction</td>
<td>ErbB signaling pathway</td>
<td>8.55E-04</td>
<td>MAPK1, MAPK8, EGFR, JUN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ko04151</td>
<td>Signal transduction</td>
<td>PI3K-Akt signaling pathway</td>
<td>9.11E-04</td>
<td>MAPK1, IL2, VEGFA, IL4, IL6, EGFR, SYK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ko05310</td>
<td>Immune diseases</td>
<td>Asthma</td>
<td>1.87E-03</td>
<td>CD40LG, IL4, IL10, TNF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ko04010</td>
<td>Signal transduction</td>
<td>MAPK signaling pathway</td>
<td>2.77E-03</td>
<td>MAPK1, MAPK8, VEGFA, EGFR, JUN, TNF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6 Luteolin alleviates DNFB-induced AD-like lesions in AD mice. (A) Representative pictures of dorsal skin among different groups at day 13. (B) The severity of AD was macroscopically evaluated by SCORAD at day 13. (C) The number of scratching bouts within 10 min was counted at day 12. (D) Skin swelling by thickness (mm) in each group. (E) Skin swelling by weight (mg) in each group. Values are expressed as mean ± SD (n = 5–6). * Indicates $p < 0.05$ and ** indicates $p < 0.01$ as compared to Normal group; # Indicates $p < 0.05$ and ## indicates $p < 0.01$ as compared to Model group.
Luteolin Alleviates Histopathological Changes in DNFB-Induced AD Mice

H&E staining was performed to examine the histopathological changes, including the epidermal hyperplasia and inflammatory cell infiltration, which are the major features of AD. In DNFB-induced AD mice, marked increases in epidermal thickness and infiltration of inflammatory cells in the dermis were observed (Figure 7A). TB staining was further carried out to observe the infiltration of granulated and degranulated mast cells into inflamed tissues (Figure 7B). Compared to normal mice, AD mice significantly increased the infiltration of mast cells, including granulated and degranulated forms ($p < 0.05$ or $p < 0.01$, Figure 7C–E). However, these above mentioned pathological changes were both remarkably reversed by topical treatment of 0.5% luteolin ($p < 0.05$ or $p < 0.01$, Figures 7C–E).

Luteolin Improves the Skin Barrier Function in DNFB-Induced AD Mice

Clinically, both the affected and unaffected skin of AD patients exhibit epidermal barrier dysfunction, manifested by the enhanced transepidermal water loss (TEWL) and capacity reduced water retention. TEWL is a gold indicator used for

![Figure 7](https://doi.org/10.2147/DDDT.S387893)

Figure 7 Effects of luteolin on the histopathological changes of skin lesions in AD mice. (A) HE staining (magnification 200×; scale bar= 100 μm), straight line indicates thickness of the epidermis. (B) Toluoyl blue (TB) staining (magnification 100×; scale bar =200 μm). The insets are magnified twice to observe granulated ▶ and degranulated ◁ mast cells in the skin stained with TB. Granulated mast cells appear round or oval granules. The granules show red and purple, and the nuclei located in the center of cells are blue and small visualized by TB staining. While degranulation of mast cells releases lots of particle matters, such as histamine, cytokines and eicosanoid mediators when they are activated by an allergen through their FcɛRI receptor. (C) Epidermal thickness (μm) was quantified as means in randomly selected in 3 fields of view per section. Infiltration of the (D) granulated and (E) degranulated mast cells in 3 fields of view per section. Values are expressed as mean ± SD (n = 3). * Indicates $p < 0.05$ and ** indicates $p < 0.01$ as compared to Normal group; # Indicates $p < 0.05$ and ## indicates $p < 0.01$ as compared to Model group.
evaluating the skin barrier function.21 As shown in Figure 8A, DNFB-sensitized skin showed a significant increase in TEWL value until the end of modeling ($p < 0.01$, Model $[46.22 \pm 5.61]$ g/m$^2$/h vs Normal $[8.28 \pm 3.95]$ g/m$^2$/h). 0.5% luteolin significantly reduced the TEWL value from $46.22 \pm 5.61$ to $28.00 \pm 2.17$ g/m$^2$/h. The TEWL value in the 0.05% DEX group was $32.65 \pm 7.52$ g/m$^2$/h, which was significantly higher than that of the 0.5% luteolin group.

The degradation product of filaggrin (FLG) in normal skin is the important source of moisturizing factors (NMF) that are essential for maintaining stratum corneum hydration.21 We further evaluated the expression of FLG protein using immunofluorescence staining (Figure 8B). As expected, the positive expression of FLG (green) was significantly suppressed in the AD model compared with the Normal group, while luteolin reversed the FLG expression.

**Luteolin Alleviates Th1, Th2 and Th17-Related Cytokines**

Emerging evidences show that elevated Th1/Th2/Th17-associated chemokines could be implicated in AD pathogenesis.24,25 Network pharmacology analysis also revealed that IL-17 signaling pathway, Th17 cell differentiation, TNF signaling pathway, Th1 and Th2 cell differentiation serve as the targets for luteolin against AD. Herein, we estimated Th1/Th2/Th17-associated cytokine levels in the serum and inflamed skin of DNFB-sensitized mice. As illustrated in Figure 9, we observed that topical applied 0.5% luteolin markedly decreased the serum or skin levels of the Th2-related cytokine, IL-4 ($p < 0.01$ or $p < 0.05$, Figure 9A and B) and Th17-related cytokines, such as IL-6 ($p < 0.05$, Figure 9E and F), TNF-α ($p < 0.01$ or $p < 0.05$, Figure 9G and H) and IL-17 ($p < 0.05$, Figure 9I and J). Th1-related cytokines, such as IFN-γ, are reported to inhibit the differentiation of Th2 cells and the secretion of cytokines, thus restoring the impaired balance of Th1/Th2 immune responses in AD patients.26 In this study, we also found that the production of IFN-γ in the skin was significantly increased in the group of mice treated with luteolin ($p < 0.05$, Figure 9D) and a similar trend can also be seen in the serum IFN-γ level, while there was no statistically significant difference ($p > 0.05$, Figure 9C).

**Luteolin Inhibits Jak2/Stat3 Signaling Pathway Activation**

The results of KEGG pathway enrichment in the network pharmacology study demonstrated the mechanism of luteolin in treating AD was significantly associated with the Jak-STAT signaling pathway. Thus, we examined the protein expression
levels of phosphorylated (p)-JAK2 and p-STAT3 in dorsal skin by immunohistochemistry staining to explore whether the JAK2/STAT3 pathway is involved in the anti-AD effects of luteolin (Figures 10A and B). Compared with the normal group, the protein expression of p-JAK2 and p-STAT3 in paraffin section of skin biopsies was noticeably increased about 1.36-fold and 1.40-fold in the DNFB group (p < 0.01, Figures 10C), while luteolin prominently reduced the increased levels of p-JAK2 and p-STAT3 (p < 0.05 or p < 0.01, Figure 10C).
AD is one of the most common skin diseases. Recurrent eczematous lesions and intense pruritus seriously affect social interactions and quality of life of AD patients. The standard therapy for AD in clinic mainly involves the corticosteroids or immunosuppressive agents. However, there is still an urgent need for more effective and fewer side effects anti-AD therapeutics because of their limited efficacy and unwanted additional adverse effects. To date, various studies have shown that luteolin possesses pharmacological activities such as anti-inflammatory, antioxidant and anti-allergic, which exhibits its great potential in the treatment of AD.

Zhang et al previously demonstrated that luteolin could effectively diminish collagen production and attenuate skin fibrosis via the inhibition of TGF-β/Smads signaling mediated by binding to ALK5. Moreover, it has been reported that topical application of luteolin significantly inhibited the scratching behavior associated with allergic cutaneous anaphylaxis. However, the effects and underlying mechanisms of luteolin against AD have not been currently defined. In the present study, we firstly conducted a systemic study via a combination of network pharmacology molecular docking and in vivo studies to explore the possible mechanism of luteolin in treating AD.

**Figure 10** Effect of luteolin on JAK2/STAT3 signaling pathway in skin biopsies. Immunohistochemistry staining images for (A) p-JAK2 and (B) p-STAT3 in paraffin sections of skin biopsies (magnification 200×). (C) Average optical density (AOD) analysis of p-JAK2 and p-STAT3. Values are expressed as mean ± SD (n =3). ## Indicates p < 0.01 as compared to Normal group; *Indicates p < 0.05 and **indicates p < 0.01 as compared to Model group.

**Discussion**

AD is one of the most common skin diseases. Recurrent eczematous lesions and intense pruritus seriously affect social interactions and quality of life of AD patients. The standard therapy for AD in clinic mainly involves the corticosteroids or immunosuppressive agents. However, there is still an urgent need for more effective and fewer side effects anti-AD therapeutics because of their limited efficacy and unwanted additional adverse effects. To date, various studies have shown that luteolin possesses pharmacological activities such as anti-inflammatory, antioxidant and anti-allergic, which exhibits its great potential in the treatment of AD. Zhang et al previously demonstrated that luteolin could effectively diminish collagen production and attenuate skin fibrosis via the inhibition of TGF-β/Smads signaling mediated by binding to ALK5. Moreover, it has been reported that topical application of luteolin significantly inhibited the scratching behavior associated with allergic cutaneous anaphylaxis. However, the effects and underlying mechanisms of luteolin against AD have not been currently defined. In the present study, we firstly conducted a systemic study via a combination of network pharmacology molecular docking and in vivo studies to explore the possible mechanism of luteolin in treating AD.
31 overlapping targets of luteolin against AD were obtained and PPI network result indicated luteolin may need to simultaneously regulate multiple anti-inflammatory or immune-regulatory targets to attenuate AD-like symptoms rather than single target. Twenty core gene targets were screened out by topology analysis, and they were MMP9, IL6, TNF, IL10, VEGFA, IL4, ICAM1, NFKBIA, IFNG, etc. Among them, IL6, IL10, IL2, and IL4 belong to the interleukin family, which play critical role in regulating immune and inflammatory processes.\(^{29,30}\) MAPK8 and MAPK1, members of the MAPK family, are reported to mediate rapid responses to abiotic stress stimuli.\(^ {31,32}\) The targets closely related to the chemotaxis and adhesion function of leukocytes are ICAM1 and MPO.\(^ {33,34}\) Targets closely related to immune regulation are IL10, IFNG, IL-4, IL2 and CD40LG, AHR.\(^ {35,36}\) Targets closely related to angiogenesis are EGFR and VEGFA.\(^ {37,38}\) Immune disorder, leukocyte chemotaxis and angiogenesis are reported to play critical roles in the acute and chronic phases of AD.\(^ {39,40}\) Altogether, the above results showed that luteolin could effectively improve AD-like symptoms through multiple targets and pathological process.

To further reveal the biological functions of luteolin in AD treatment, we performed GO and KEGG enrichment analysis of the common targets. GO analysis results showed revealed that these targets were correlated with the regulation of cytokines, cytokine-mediated signaling pathway, inflammatory response, and other biological processes. Additionally, KEGG pathway enrichment analysis suggested that common targets were enriched mainly in IL-17 signaling pathway, T cell receptor signaling pathway, Th17 cell differentiation, TNF signaling pathway, JAK/STAT signaling pathway, Th1 and Th2 cell differentiation, etc., which were the critical mechanism of luteolin against AD. Studies have confirmed that AD is a typical chronic inflammatory skin disease, largely mediated by T cells. Various T-cell subsets, such as Th1, Th2, Th17, and CD4\(^ +\) T cells are critical in immune pathogenesis and chronic inflammatory responses in AD.\(^ {41,42}\) The dominance of Th2 over Th1 type response is recognized as a main hallmark of AD. A biased Th2-mediated immune response can lead to Th1/Th2 immune imbalance and skin barrier disruption, which triggers the occurrence and development of AD.\(^ {41}\) Besides, Th17 cells and IL-17 signaling have also been proved to be implicated in both the initiation and maintenance of AD.\(^ {24,41}\) Th17-related cytokines, IL-17, IL-6 and TNF-α, can activate Th2 immune response and impair skin barrier function.\(^ {43}\) Consistent with the results predicted from network pharmacology analysis, our results demonstrated that topical luteolin treatment effectively reduced the secretion of Th2-related cytokine (IL-4) and Th17-related cytokines (IL-17, TNF-α and IL-6) and elevated the level of Th1-type cytokine (IFN-γ) in dorsal skin, thus restoring the Th1/Th2 immune balance in AD.

The JAK/STAT signaling pathway, a widely expressed intracellular signal transduction pathway, is reported to involve in many immune and inflammatory diseases, among which the JAK2/STAT3 pathway has been proposed as a well-accepted therapeutic target against AD.\(^ {44,45}\) Previous studies reported that activated JAK2/STAT3 signaling could upregulate the Th2 immune response and induce the secretion of excessive inflammatory cytokines and IgE, thus exacerbating skin inflammation.\(^ {46,47}\) In the present research, KEGG pathway analysis predicted that JAK/STAT signaling could be the crucial mechanism of luteolin against AD and the core targets, such as IFNG, IL4, IL6 etc., were significantly enriched in the JAK/STAT signaling pathway. Taking the JAK2/STAT3 signaling as the breakthrough, the protein levels of p-JAK2 and p-STAT3 in skin tissues were further examined. In this study, we found that the expression of p-JAK2 and p-STAT3 were significantly increased in the dorsal skin of AD mice, exhibiting the activation of JAK2/STAT3 signaling pathway, while this phenomenon was reversed by topical application of luteolin. We here concluded that luteolin exhibits protective effects on AD-like skin injury potentially by inhibiting the JAK2/STAT3 signaling, thereby significantly reducing expression of inflammatory cytokines and alleviating the inflammatory responses.

**Conclusion**

To summarize, this study has identified the core targets and key pathways of luteolin against AD through network pharmacology combined with the experimental validation. Our study suggests that luteolin can ameliorate the AD-like lesions through the synergistic interaction between multiple core targets and pathways, and Th1/Th2/Th17-related cytokines and JAK2/STAT3 signaling pathway are the possible core targets. Although the in-depth mechanism is warranted, the current research provides a basis for the clinical application and basic research of luteolin in the treatment of AD.
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