Rare association of prune belly syndrome with pouch colon
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Abstract: Prune belly syndrome is a triad characterized by abdominal wall musculature deficiency, cryptorchidism and urinary tract abnormalities, and is often associated with other anomalies. Although associated anorectal anomalies have been reported with this syndrome, only two cases of pouch colon, a rare type of anorectal malformation, have been reported. We report a case of prune belly syndrome with pouch colon presenting with retention of urine.
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Introduction
Prune belly syndrome is a specific constellation of anomalies, consisting of an abdominal wall deficiency in muscular tissue, a dilated urinary tract, and bilateral cryptorchidism. The syndrome derives its name from its characteristically distended protruding abdominal wall which is thin-walled with wrinkled skin resembling a dried prune. Gastrointestinal anomalies are seen in over 30% of these patients. Pouch colon is a rare type of anorectal malformation in which the colon is malformed into a retort-shaped pouch partly or totally, and is confined to a few geographical locations, which include the Indian subcontinent. Association of pouch colon with prune belly syndrome is extremely rare, and there are only two such cases reported in the English literature. We report a case of prune belly syndrome with pouch colon presenting with retention of urine.

Case history
A term male neonate, born by vaginal delivery to a 19-year-old primigravida, was brought to our hospital at 40 hours of life with an absent anal opening and having not passed urine since birth. The baby looked pink and active, with a 3 kg birth weight. On examination, a normal cardiovascular and respiratory system was found. The abdomen was flabby, with visible loops of intestine and peristaltic movement. The urinary bladder was distended and decreased in size, with passage of urine when pressure was applied over it. The testes were absent in the scrotum and impalpable on both sides. The penis was conical in shape with a wide base and lax excessive skin, as shown in Figure 1. The urethral meatus was located in the penoscrotal junction and was wide. There was a well formed median raphe on the ventrum of the penis. There was an absent anal opening with a flat perineum, and the gluteal folds were not well formed, as shown in Figure 2. The sacrum was also not well formed. Invertogram showed one large air-fluid level covering more than 50% of the width.
of the abdomen occupying the left iliac fossa and crossing the midline, suggestive of a high anorectal anomaly with pouch colon. Ultrasonogram showed a distended urinary bladder and bilateral grossly hydronephrotic kidneys, with a globular structure in the left iliac fossa. The location of the testes could not be commented on. A micturating cystourethrogram showed a fistulous connection between the bladder and pouch colon, and the posterior urethra was dilated with no outflow obstruction. Under sterile conditions, a bladder catheterization was done with a No. 5 size infant feeding tube. Exploratory laparotomy done by an oblique incision in the left iliac fossa revealed no muscular tissue in the abdominal wall except for the fascial layer. The whole colon was replaced by a pouch-like structure of about 8 × 8 cm in size with a colovesical fistula, proximal to the bladder neck and posteriorly. The blood supply to the pouch was mostly from the inferior mesenteric artery, except for the small posterior part being supplied by a twig from the ileocolic artery. It lacked haustrations, appendices epiploicae, and taenia coli. There was an abrupt transition from the normal-caliber proximal bowel to the distal dilated pouch. The blood vessels formed an arcade on the antimesenteric border from which creeping serpentine-like vessels were going to the bowel wall, as shown in Figure 3. The colovesical fistula was disconnected, and the pouch colon was converted into a tube by “colorrhaphy” from its antimesenteric border for further pullthrough, and was brought out as an end-colostomy. Postoperative recovery was uneventful, and the child was able to void well after catheter removal. In view
of preserved renal function and spontaneous emptying of the bladder, watchful waiting was planned. Histopathological examination of the resected colonic pouch showed normal colonic mucosa and ganglion cells.

**Discussion**

Prune belly syndrome represents a spectrum of anomalies first recognized by Frohlich in 1839 and named prune belly syndrome by Osler in 1901. It is also known as triad syndrome or Eagle-Barrett syndrome, and consists of three major findings, ie, deficiency of the abdominal musculature, bilateral intra-abdominal testes, and an anomalous urinary tract. Associated gastrointestinal anomalies are seen in over 30% of patients. Although anorectal agenesis is quite common with prune belly syndrome, congenital pouch colon has been reported in only two cases.

The possible embryogenesis of prune belly syndrome is a fascinating subject for study. However, because no experimental animal model is available, any discussion on the subject has to be largely speculative. Three theories have been put forward to explain this syndrome, ie, early uterourethral obstruction leading to urinary tract dilatation and fetal ascites, the mesodermal arrest theory, and a defect in the yolk sac. The most tenable theory is a muscular deficiency of the abdominal wall and urinary tract resulting from an early disturbance in embryogenesis due to aberrant mesenchymal development, as opposed to a mechanical obstruction as the cause. This is further supported by the histological findings in the abdominal wall and urinary and genital tracts, and an association of exomphalos and gastrochisis with prune belly syndrome also strengthens this theory. Ives proposes the timing of the basic defect in disturbance of mesodermal development to be in the third week of gestation, which would account for all three parts of the triad. The coexistence of anorectal agenesis with pouch colon and genitourinary abnormalities lends credence to the concept of an early error of embryogenesis, but classifying it as a VACTERL anomaly is debatable.

Nearly three-quarters of patients with prune belly syndrome may have cardiac, pulmonary, skeletal, and gastrointestinal anomalies. Most individuals with this syndrome have intestinal malrotation, persistence of the wide embryonic mesentery, and absence of fixation of the colon to the posterior abdominal wall. Infants with prune belly syndrome also appear to be at higher risk for persistence of the common fetal cloaca. The relatively high frequency of distal stenosis and bowel atresia suggests that the anomalous mesenteric attachments may predispose to prenatal volvulus and subsequent anatomic bowel obstruction. This postulate explains why the chance of anorectal malformation is high in patients with prune belly syndrome.

Congenital pouch colon, also known as congenital short colon, is an unusual high anorectal malformation in which a varying length of colon is replaced by a dilated pouch that almost invariably has a high fistulous communication with the genitourinary tract, and is exclusively found in Southeast Asia, particularly in India. We assume that the presence of a dilated pouch can aggravate the severity of manifestation of prune belly syndrome, because the pouch in the abdomen and pelvic cavity can behave like a distended bladder urethral obstruction which causes the prune belly syndrome as per the urethral obstruction theory. In our case, there was also postnatal retention of urine in spite of normal urethral outflow. The thick meconium in the bladder coming via the colovesical fistula or the angulations of the bladder neck due to the fistula might have hindered the act of micturition. The possibility of a genetic basis for prune belly syndrome is the subject of debate, in spite of a high incidence of association of prune belly syndrome with trisomies 21, 13, and 18, because there is 100% discordance among all twins in whom monozygosity has been proven.

**Conclusion**

This case is presented because of its rarity, and suggests that further animal studies are needed to determine the precise embryogenesis of prune belly syndrome, especially its association with pouch colon.
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