Effect of Preoperative Oral Saline Administration on Postoperative Delirium in Older Persons: A Randomized Controlled Trial
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Objective: Postoperative delirium (POD) seriously affects recovery of older persons, increasing their mortality rate after surgery. We aimed to evaluate preoperative oral saline administration on postoperative delirium in older persons undergoing spinal decompression.

Design: A randomised controlled trial in a large tertiary hospital.

Setting and Participants: A total of 76 older persons (≥ 65 years old) undergoing spinal surgery from May 2020 to January 2021. Older persons (65–83 years old) who underwent elective spinal canal decompression were randomly grouped into either the control group (n = 38) or the intervention group (n = 38). The control group was forbidden from drinking 8 hours prior to the operation while the intervention group was administered 5 mL·kg⁻¹ of normal saline 2 hours before anesthesia. Hemodynamic indicators, diagnostic biomarkers, preoperative mini-mental status scores, and intraoperative fluid dynamics were recorded at baseline and at various postoperative timepoints. Subjects were then scored for POD and postoperative pain.

Results: S100β protein was lowered in S₁ (F₁ = 12.289, P < 0.001) and S₂ (F₂ = 12.440, P < 0.001) in the intervention group while mean arterial blood pressure (F₁ = 42.997, P < 0.001) and heart rate (F₁ = 8.974, P = 0.004) were increased. The Ln c-reactive protein of the intervention group was lowered 1 day postoperatively (F₂ = 6.305, P = 0.014). The incidence of postoperative delirium in the control group was higher than in the intervention group (27.8% vs 8.3%, χ² = 4.547, P = 0.033).

Conclusion: Preoperative oral saline can reduce the incidence of postoperative delirium in older persons by minimizing perioperative hemodynamic fluctuations and central nervous system damage.
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Introduction

Due to the prevalence of comorbidities in the elderly, postoperative complications like postoperative delirium (POD) are predicted to rise. Meanwhile, there is evidence that POD is associated with deteriorating cognition in both the short term (months) and long term (≥ 1 year) after its occurrence which be often referred to as postoperative cognitive dysfunction (POCD).1 Many studies have shown that POD to be associated with PCOD up to 12 months postsurgery and even associated with dementia up to 5 years after POD.2 Overall, POD seriously affects recovery of older persons, increasing their mortality rate after surgery.

POD is a transient psychiatric syndrome and it mainly occurs 1 to 5 days after surgery.3 The incidence of POD can be anywhere from 4% ~ 61%, with a higher incidence after cardiothoracic, orthopedic and general surgery.4,5 Specifically, delirium occurs in 3.8–40.4% of older persons after orthopedic spinal surgery and 13.6% of patients undergoing lumbar spinal surgery.6,7 Patients with POD can exhibit hypoactive (50%), hyperactive (25%), or mixed (25%) delirium subtypes. Delirium can be accompanied by apathy, confusion, emotional agitation or hallucinations, as well as...
sympathetic arousal. Increased age is the predisposing factor for the hypoactive subtype, where the prognosis is the worst. This is largely because it is difficult for clinicians to recognize this subtype, which leads to delays in intervention and treatment. The early prevention and treatment of POD is very important for postoperative outcome improvement. Studies have shown that about 30% to 40% of POD is preventable. Clinically, it is believed that restricting drinking for 6 to 8 hours before surgery is needed to complement the recommended fasting guidelines. There is no evidence that reducing the ban on drinking to just 2–3 h preoperatively will increase the risk of vomiting, aspiration and reflux. One study pointed out that the emptying half-life of neutral isotonic solutions such as 500 mL isotonic saline is 12 min, with 90% of the liquid passing through the pylorus within 1 h and almost complete elimination within 2 h.

A large cohort study found that an independent risk factor for POD is a fasting time of >6 hours (OR = 10.6), demonstrating that prolonged prohibition of liquids before surgery is particularly unfavorable to the patient. A statement was advocated that an appropriate amount of water can be safely taken orally 2 hours before induction of anesthesia to maintain hydration while reducing postoperative complications. Despite the rising incidence of POD in the elderly, clinicians are not sufficiently aware of POD in patients undergoing spinal surgery and generally lack evidence based prevention and treatment strategies. To address this problem, this study longitudinally evaluated the effect of oral saline administration 2 h preoperatively on POD in older persons undergoing elective spinal canal decompression surgery. Inflammatory responses and neurodegeneration were explored as possible mechanisms for the protective effect of normal saline on POD.

Materials and Methods

Case Selection and Trial Grouping

Case Selection

This clinical trial (NCT01374893) complied with the recommendations of the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) statement. We enrolled 76 older persons who were scheduled to undergo spinal canal decompression in a large tertiary hospital from May 2020 to January 2021, with strictly controlled inclusion and exclusion criteria. Patients were randomized into either the intervention or control group, with 38 cases in each group.

Selection Criteria

① Age ≥ 65 years;
② The patient agrees to participate in the study;
③ Complete collection of medical records;
④ Open elective spinal canal decompression.

Exclusion Criteria

① Incomplete collection of medical records;
② Patients have a history of mental illness and take relevant drugs or preoperative mini-mental state examination (MMSE) <23 points (MMSE evaluates preoperative cognitive function 1 day before surgery);
③ Hearing and language barriers that affect communication;
④ Preoperative delirium;
⑤ Patients with oral eating contraindications, gastroesophageal reflux and gastric emptying disorders, patients with gastrointestinal obstruction, patients with history of upper gastrointestinal tumors;
⑥ Patients with airway difficulties.
⑦ Patients receiving any corticosteroid therapy.

Grouping

The control group observed a normal diet 1 day before surgery, avoiding spicy, irritating, and greasy food. Patients ate a semi-liquid diet 1 night before surgery, avoiding drinking 8 hours before surgery. The intervention group similarly observed a normal diet 1 day before surgery and a semi-liquid diet 1 night before surgery. Patients in the intervention group received 5 mL·kg⁻¹ oral administration of normal saline 2 hours before surgery, with the total volume < 400 mL.
Main Reagents
S100β ELISA kit (Wuhan Elite Biotechnology Co., Ltd. Lot number: E-EL-H1297c), CRP test box (Beckman, USA, batch number: GS621M)

Research Plan
Researcher Arrangement
A random number table was used to determine patient allocation. Patients were randomised (1: 1) to be selected for the control or intervention group according to inclusion and exclusion criteria. A researcher conducted preoperative visits and interviewed candidates who met the inclusion criteria and signed the informed consent. The other investigator assigned normal saline to patients in the intervention group based on the time of the previous surgery. The perioperative management was performed by the same group of physicians. Anesthesiologist, outcome assessors and the surgical team were blind to the distribution of participants in the study. Nursing delirium screening scale (nursing delirium screening scale, Nu-DESC) was used in the post-anesthesia care unit (PACU). Postoperative confusion assessment method (CAM), and visual analogue scale (VAS) scoring were performed for postoperative continuous 3 days.

Preoperative Evaluation
Patients were evaluated the day before surgery in a quiet environment by the Preoperative Simple Mental State Scale. Patients with an MMSE score of <23 were excluded from the study.

Diagnosis of POD
The CAM scale was used for the detection of POD, and the specific diagnostic criteria were: ① acute change of mental state with volatility; ② attention disorder; ③ confusion of thinking; ④ change of consciousness level. ① and ② existing at the same time, plus any one of ③ or ④. Specific scales included acute onset, attention disorder, confusion, changes in the level of consciousness, disorientation, memory loss, perceptual disturbance, psychomotor agitation, psychomotor retardation, volatility, and sleep-wake cycle changes. A score of 19 or less indicated that the patient did not have delirium while a score of 20 to 22 indicated the suspicion of delirium and a score of 22 or more indicated delirium.

Patients were sent to the PACU where they were scored by Nu-DESC including 5 clinical features. Each item was recorded as 0 ~ 2 points according to the presence or absence of clinical symptoms and severity. The highest score possible was 10 points, and a total score of ≥ 2 points was regarded as delirium.

Perioperative Management
Patients were given mask oxygen and peripheral venous access was opened. Electrocardiogram (ECG), blood pressure (BP), pulse oximetry (SpO2) and EEG bispectral index (business information system, BIS) were monitored. Radial artery puncture was used for intraoperative monitoring of BP and intraoperative blood gas. All patients were anesthetized by tracheal intubation and general anesthesia, no dexmedetomidine or penehyclidine hydrochloride was used. All patients received postoperative patient controlled intravenous analgesia (PCIA) with 2.5 μg·kg⁻¹ sufentanil, 4 mg·kg⁻¹ flurbiprofen axetil and 0.2 mg·kg⁻¹ tropisetron (total volume of 100 mL, including 0.9% normal saline, bolus 2 mL, basal rate 2 mL/h, and lockout time 15 mins) for 48 hours after surgery.

Observation Indicators
A detailed medical history of each research subject was taken one day preoperatively. The content included Basic information, presence of medical diseases, history of sleep disorders, history of alcohol intake, operative blood loss and surgical time.

Venous blood was taken 5 minutes before operation (S₀), 30 minutes after awakening from anesthesia (S₁), and 24 hours after operation (S₂) for evaluation of S100β. CRP analysis was taken from S₀ and S₂ blood samples. After sitting at
room temperature for 2 h and centrifugation for 20 min at 4°C (The centrifugal force is 1000 g, by model TDL-5L table low speed centrifuge), the supernatant was frozen at −80 °C for evaluation of S100β.

The mean arterial blood pressure (MAP) and heart rate (HR) were measured at 4 time points: 5 min before anesthesia (T₀), 1 min after induction of anesthesia (T₁), 5 min after surgery (T₂), and 5 min after extubation (T₃).

Perioperative pain was scored using the VAS score sheet (0 ~ 10 points) preoperatively (S₀), after awakening from anesthesia (S₁), the first postoperative day (S₂), the second postoperative day (S₃), and the third postoperative day (S₄). And the patients were monitored for nausea and vomiting at all five research time points.

Statistical Analysis
According to the study, the highest incidence of POD in spinal surgery elderly patients was 40.5%. Power And Sample Size were used to calculate the Sample Size. In the end, the sample size of each group was finally determined to be 38, with a total of 76 patients in the two groups. SPSS 25.0 statistical software was used for analysis. Continuous data was expressed as mean ± standard deviation (± s) or median (¼, ¾). All continuous data with normal distribution were compared by independent sample t-test while the Mann–Whitney U nonparametric test was used for abnormally distributed data. Multiple time points within the group were analyzed by repeated measures analysis of variance. The comparison of continuous data was performed by chi-square test or Fisher’s exact probability method, P <0.05.

Results
Baseline Data
In this study intention-to-treat analysis was used and a total of 76 patients were analyzed (see Figure 1). There were no statistically significant differences in the age, gender, BMI, ASA classification, length of hospitalization,
comorbidities, history of alcohol and sleep disorders, education level, surgical type, bleeding volume, operation time, preoperative MMSE score, preoperative VAS score, preoperative blood glucose, preoperative Hb, or preoperative albumin (see Table 1).

**Comparison of the Incidence of POD**

The incidence of POD in the control group was higher than that in the intervention group (27.8% vs 8.3%, $\chi^2=4.547, P=0.033$) (see Table 2).

**Comparison of Serum S100β and CRP at Different Time Points**

Compared with $S_0$, the S100β of both groups gradually increased over time ($F_{control\ group}= 94.655, P<0.001$; $F_{intervention\ group}= 57.825, P<0.001$). By the $S_1$ timepoint, S100β was significantly lower in the intervention group ($F_{S_1}= 12.289, P<0.001$), echoed in $S_2$ ($F_{S_2}= 12.440, P<0.001$) (see Table 3).

Log CRP in both groups increased significantly 1 day after surgery ($F_{control\ group}= 35.780, P<0.001$; $F_{intervention\ group}= 8.588, P=0.004$). While no significant difference was observed between the two groups preoperatively ($F_{S_1}= 0.491, P=0.486$), Log CRP of the intervention group was significantly lower than the control group ($F_{S_2}= 6.305, P=0.014$) 1 day postoperatively (See Table 3).

**MAP and HR at Different Time Points**

MAP values ranked over time were $T_1<T_2<T_3<T_0$ while HR values were $T_2<T_1<T_3<T_0$ in the intervention group. At $T_1$, the MAP ($F_{T_1}= 42.997, P<0.001$) and the HR ($F_{T_1}= 8.974, P=0.004$) of the intervention group was significantly higher than that of the control group (see Table 4).

**Postoperative Complications**

The incidence of thirst in the intervention group was significantly lower than that in the control group (36.80% vs 10.50%, $\chi^2=7.280, P=0.007$). There was no significant difference in nausea or vomiting nor reflux aspiration or VAS scores between groups at either of the 5 time points ($P>0.05$) (see Table 5).

| Table 1 Comparison of the General Conditions of the Two Groups of Patients (n = 38) |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| General Information                          | Control         | Intervention Group | $t$, $c^2$ or $Z$ | $P$              |
| Age                                           | 71.63 ± 4.55    | 71.87 ± 4.79       | −0.221           | 0.826           |
| Male [n(%)]                                    | 22 (57.80)      | 19(50.00)          | 0.477            | 0.490           |
| BMI (kg/m$^2$)                                 | 23.73 ± 3.31    | 23.98 ± 3.74       | −0.315           | 0.754           |
| ASA grade                                     |                 |                  | 0.000            | 1.000           |
| II [n(%)]                                     | 19 (50.00)      | 19 (50.00)         | −              | −               |
| III [n(%)]                                    | 19 (50.00)      | 19 (50.00)         | −              | −               |
| Days of hospitalization(d)                    | 14.00(9.75, 20.25) | 13.50(9.00, 18.25) | −0.599          | 0.549           |
| Comorbidity [n(%)]                            | 20(52.60)       | 26(68.40)          | 1.983            | 0.159           |
| History of alcohol consumption [n(%)]         | 3(7.80)         | 1(2.60)            | 0.264            | 0.603           |
| Education level [n(%)]                        | 36(94.70)       | 35(92.10)          | 0.000            | 1.000           |
| History of sleep disorders [n(%)]             | 10(26.30)       | 5(13.10)           | 2.077            | 0.150           |
| Type of surgery                               | −               | −                 | 1.000            | −               |
| Cervical spine surgery [n(%)]                 | 13(34.20)       | 13(34.20)          | −               | −               |
| Thoracic spine surgery [n(%)]                 | 2(5.20)         | 2(5.20)            | −               | −               |
| Lumbar spine surgery [n(%)]                   | 23(60.50)       | 23(60.50)          | −               | −               |

(Continued)
**Table 1** (Continued).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Information</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>t, c² or Z</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bleeding volume(mL)</td>
<td>250(188, 400)</td>
<td>200(188, 300)</td>
<td>-0.704</td>
<td>0.481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation time(h)</td>
<td>3.32 ± 1.38</td>
<td>3.02 ± 1.10</td>
<td>1.047</td>
<td>0.299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative VAS score(score)</td>
<td>3.00(2.00, 3.00)</td>
<td>2.00(2.00, 3.00)</td>
<td>-1.367</td>
<td>0.172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative MMSE score</td>
<td>27(26, 28)</td>
<td>28(27, 28)</td>
<td>-1.483</td>
<td>0.352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative blood glucose(mmol/L)</td>
<td>5.50(5.00, 7.00)</td>
<td>5.60(4.99, 6.85)</td>
<td>-0.156</td>
<td>0.876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative Hb(g/L)</td>
<td>135.70 ± 15.43</td>
<td>132.84 ± 14.94</td>
<td>0.822</td>
<td>0.768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative albumin(g/L)</td>
<td>41.47 ± 3.74</td>
<td>42.25 ± 4.70</td>
<td>-0.805</td>
<td>0.720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative CRP(mg/L)</td>
<td>3.35 (2.01, 6.27)</td>
<td>2.74 (1.97, 4.56)</td>
<td>-0.691</td>
<td>0.490</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** (Normally distributed continuous data are represented by mean±SD and non-normally distributed data are represented by M (¼, ¾); BMI, body mass index; MMSE score, simple intelligence score; VAS score, pain visual analog score; Hb, Hemoglobin; CRP, C-reactive protein).

**Table 2** Comparison of the Incidence of POD Between the Two Groups (n = 38 [n(%)])

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Number of Cases of Delirium [n(%)]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>10(27.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>3(8.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** ($\chi^2$ = 4.547, P= 0.033).

**Table 3** Comparison of Serum Log CRP and S100β Levels Between the Two Groups of Patients (n = 38)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>S₀</th>
<th>S₁</th>
<th>S₂</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Log CRP</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>5.25 ± 0.79</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18.02 ± 2.06</td>
<td>35.78</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>4.47 ± 0.79</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.73 ± 2.06</td>
<td>8.588</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P Log CRP</td>
<td>0.0491</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.305</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S100β (pg/mL)</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>45.52 ± 6.66</td>
<td>76.08 ± 23.03²</td>
<td>80.06 ± 20.79</td>
<td>94.655</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>41.51 ± 6.45</td>
<td>59.72 ± 17.26</td>
<td>73.65 ± 14.20</td>
<td>57.825</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F S100β</td>
<td>7.079</td>
<td>12.289</td>
<td>12.440</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P S100β</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** (Continuous data is expressed by mean±SD; S₀: preoperatively, S₁: 30 minutes after awakening from anesthesia, S₂: 1 day after operation; intra-group comparison: a: Compared with T₀ in the same group, P < 0.05, b: Compare with the control group, P<0.05).

**Table 4** Comparison of MAP, HR Between the Two Groups at Different Time Points (n=38)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>T₀</th>
<th>T₁</th>
<th>T₂</th>
<th>T₃</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAP (mmHg)</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>112.78 ± 15.60</td>
<td>79.81 ± 8.05</td>
<td>85.44 ± 9.21</td>
<td>97.35 ± 4.71</td>
<td>81.697</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>112.20 ± 18.96</td>
<td>91.15 ± 6.31²</td>
<td>89.25 ± 12.25</td>
<td>98.54 ± 7.65</td>
<td>31.303</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F MAP</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>42.997</td>
<td>2.154</td>
<td>0.609</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P MAP</td>
<td>0.949</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>0.438</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
Discussion

This study found that oral administration of normal saline 2 hours before surgery can reduce the incidence of POD in older persons undergoing spinal decompression. Compared to other surgeries, spinal surgery is more complicated, involving heavier bleeding, longer operation time, and increased occurrence in older persons. The perioperative hemodynamic fluctuations are also more obvious in this type of surgery, thus, the incidence of POD is higher. Also, our study found that the incidence of POD in older persons receiving spinal decompression was higher, while the incidence of POD in the intervention group was significantly lower than that in the control group. In addition to lowered S100β levels, hemodynamics (MAP, HR) fluctuations in the intervention group were smaller than in the control group.

In this study, the incidence of POD in older persons undergoing spinal decompression surgery was 17.1%, which is slightly lower than previous studies. With the advancement of surgery and the strengthening of anesthesia management, the shortening of surgical operation time and the reduction of bleeding may have contributed to reductions in the incidence of POD. Compared with previous studies, patients in this study were not administered benzodiazepine or anticholinergic drugs, which may have also contributed to reductions in the incidence of POD as benzodiazepines may increase the risk of POD. In addition, the observation time of this study was relatively short (only 3 consecutive days after surgery), and the number of daily evaluations was minimal (only once a day), which could have led to missed diagnosis of delirium cases, particularly hypoactive delirium, resulting in an underestimation of POD cases. One study pointed out that the incidence of POD in patients who underwent cervical spine surgery was higher than those undergoing lumbar surgery. In this study, anesthesia was delivered under the guidance of the depth monitoring, minimizing the risk of brain damage and possibly contributing to the reduced incidence of POD.

Prolonged abstinence before surgery is known to increase perioperative insulin resistance, contributing to a patient’s physical and mental discomfort. Simultaneously, surgery (especially heart and orthopedics surgery) can cause endocrine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Thirst [n(%)]</th>
<th>Nausea [n(%)]</th>
<th>Vomiting [n(%)]</th>
<th>Reflux aspiration [n(%)]</th>
<th>VAS score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>14(36.80)</td>
<td>1(2.60)</td>
<td>1(2.60)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>3.00(2.00, 3.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>4(10.50)</td>
<td>2(5.20)</td>
<td>1(2.60)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>2.00(2.00, 3.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Comparison of Postoperative Complications Among the Two Groups of Patients (n = 38)

Notes: (Continuous data is represented by M(¼, ¾); S0, preoperative; S1, Awakening from anesthesia; S2, 1d after operation; S3, 2d after operation; S4, 3d after operation; VAS score, pain visual analog score).
and inflammatory stress responses leading to perioperative insulin resistance simulating a transient and reversible type 2 diabetes. Single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) evaluation has demonstrated that hyperglycemia can aggravate cerebral blood flow decline in older persons, which can trigger POD. Thus, administering normal saline 2 hours before surgery may improve insulin sensitivity, facilitating anabolism. The current study found no correlation between perioperative hyperglycemia and POD. This may be related to differences in the research subjects and/or research design. Further exploration of perioperative insulin resistance and POD is needed.

Subclinical POD may be related to cerebrovascular events, such as intraoperative hemodynamic fluctuations related to transient cerebral hypoperfusion. Obvious intraoperative hypotension often requires the use of vasoactive drugs. One observational study found that POD is related to the frequent use of vasoactive drugs during surgery. Interestingly, Taipale et al also reported that changes in intraoperative MAP is one of the physiological variables closely related to the occurrence of POD. The results of this study are consistent with the above research reports, with the MAP and HR of the control group decreasing significantly after induction of anesthesia, followed by gradually increase. Meanwhile, the hemodynamic changes of the intervention group during induction of anesthesia were smaller.

The results of this study confirmed that perioperative inflammation in patients with delirium was significantly increased, and that CRP levels were significantly increased on the first day after surgery. CRP is a positive protein in the acute phase of the inflammatory response, and an objective and reliable indicator of inflammatory response and tissue damage. High levels of serum CRP can increase the permeability of the blood-brain barrier, indirectly reflect increased inflammation in the central nervous system, a risk factor for delirium. Glumac et al showed that preoperative dexamethasone administration improve cognitive outcome following cardiac surgery because dexamethasone could reduce inflammation response, particularly postoperative CRP protein levels. A number of clinical studies have suggested that CRP can predict the occurrence and recovery of delirium in elderly and ICU patients. The results of this study are consistent, as increases in CRP 1 day after surgery were correlated with the occurrence of POD. Under inflammatory conditions, activated astrocytes release of S100β, a calmodulin protein regulating calcium homeostasis, axon growth and neuronal differentiation. Thus, postoperative S100β increases may indicate brain dysfunction, such as cognitive impairment. The release of S100β into the peripheral blood is related to increased BBB permeability, with the subsequent inflammatory response working to reduce the BBB permeability. Glumac et al showed that there is no significant relationship between cognitive dysfunction and S100β. However, Hughes et al corroborate that increased S100β is related to the occurrence of POD. In this study, the control group experienced a significant increase in S100β protein after awakening from anesthesia and 1 day postoperatively, particularly in patients experiencing delirium, suggesting that S100β protein may help clinicians identify POD.

While at present there is no experimental evidence to explain the complex mechanisms which may reduce POD by preoperative normal saline, some mechanisms can be speculated. First, patients with prolonged drinking prohibition are prone to insulin resistance during the perioperative period, leading to blood sugar increases which may alter cerebral blood flow and increase the occurrence of POD. Secondly, the blood volume of patients who have been prohibited from drinking for long periods of time may be relatively insufficient, predisposing patients to perioperative hemodynamic fluctuations which can increase the incidence of POD. Third, patients with extended periods of prohibitive drinking have disordered blood electrolyte and acid-base balances, leading to an increased stress response, sympathetic-adrenal medulla system excitement, gastrointestinal vasoconstriction, reduced blood flow, gastrointestinal mucosal ischemia and hypoxia, gastrointestinal mucosal damage, and even severe acidosis which weakens the mucosal barrier and promotes the translocation of intestinal bacterial, toxin absorption, and enhanced systemic inflammatory response- all of which can lead to the occurrence of POD.

There are some limitations in this study: 1) Uncertainty of the clinical procedure time makes it difficult to control the preoperative abstinence period; 2) The study limited detection of S100β protein and CRP to only the first postoperative day for practical reasons despite POD occurring up to 5 days after surgery; 3) The study is a small sample and single-center test.

**Conclusion**

Oral administration of normal saline 2 hours before surgery can reduce the incidence of POD in older persons undergoing spinal decompression, though the specific mechanisms remain unclear and require experimental evidence.
The protective effect of preoperative normal saline may include reduced inflammation, inhibition of S100β, and reduction in perioperative blood flow fluctuations. In clinical practice, anesthesiologists and surgeons should formulate a reasonable and individualized plan for older persons, considering factors which may help prevent POD and improve the prognosis.
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