Parasitological detection and molecular evidence of *Hepatozoon canis* from canines in Manila, Philippines
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Abstract: *Hepatozoon canis* infection in canines is allegedly an underreported disease in the Philippines. In over the past four decades, there have been only two published cases in the country. A total of 168 canine blood samples was processed for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification and blood parasite examination (BPE). The PCR method was able to provide molecular evidence for the presence of *Hepatozoon canis* genomic DNA in one sample (0.6%). Consequently, *Hepatozoon canis* gametocytes demonstrating the classical elongated appearance in leucocytes were also consistently seen on Giemsa®-stained blood smears of the PCR-positive animal after BPE. The study elucidates the parasitological detection and first molecular evidence of *Hepatozoon canis* infection in the Philippines by PCR.
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Introduction

Tick-borne infections are an emerging urban and rural problem in canines. One of the common but seemingly underreported tick-borne diseases of dogs in the Philippines is hepatozoonosis. In over the past four decades, there have been only two published cases in the Philippines.¹ ²

*Hepatozoon canis* is a vector-borne blood parasite of carnivores, especially domesticated dogs, with a predilection for white blood cells (WBCs) and blood-forming organs (ie, spleen, bone marrow, and lymph nodes).³ ⁴ Unlike any other arthropod-transmitted disease, the mode of infection is not through bites but rather by ingestion of the infected ticks.⁵ ⁶ Dogs that inadvertently ingest the brown dog tick (*Rhipicephalus sanguineus*), with sporocyst stage formed after sporogony, will lead to the liberation of the sporozoite in the gut. This is followed by gut wall penetration, entrance into the blood circulation, and infection of the mononuclear cells which are disseminated to different target organs including the liver, spleen, and bone marrow to become a schizont. In every cycle of schizogony, a generation of schizonts is being formed. These schizonts are the source of the merozoites which eventually invade leucocytes to become elongated gamonts or gametocytes. Syngamy occurs after gametocytes in mononuclear cells are released in the tick gut. This further leads to the formation of oocysts in the tick’s body cavity containing the infective sporozoite stage.⁷ ⁸

The clinical manifestation of the disease may range from being asymptomatic (due to low parasitemia), to a severe disease with anemia and showing signs of lethargy and cachexia in dogs with high parasitemia.³ However, the main clinical signs include anorexia, fever, depression, weight loss, and lymphadenopathy in infected dogs.⁷ ⁸
Classically, disease diagnosis of hepatozoonosis is based primarily on finding gametocytes in the monocytes or neutrophils of stained blood smears. However, microscopic blood parasite examination is often limited especially in terms of sensitivity in dealing with low grade infection levels. In general, microscopic examination is amenable for use in screening large numbers of animals; however, it has approximately 50% sensitivity. The advent of molecular biology led to the discovery of methods (ie, polymerase chain reaction [PCR]) for more reliable and accurate diagnosis.

During the examination of canine blood samples for hematozoic parasites randomly selected from different cities of Manila, *Hepatozoon canis* was identified using molecular and parasitological detection methods. The PCR method was able to provide molecular evidence for the presence of *Hepatozoon canis* genomic DNA in one sample (1/168; 0.6%). Accordingly, several *Hepatozoon canis* gametocytes showing their classical elongated appearance in leucocytes were also observed on Giemsa®-stained blood smears of the same animal after blood parasite examination. This paper therefore focuses on the molecular evidence and microscopic detection of *Hepatozoon canis* in canine blood samples.

**Materials and methods**

**Dogs**

A total of 168 dogs from randomly selected areas of Manila was used in the study. Samples came from different cities, including Makati, Manila, Quezon, Muntinlupa, Parañaque, Mandaluyong, Caloocan, San Juan, Taguig, Pasig, Malabon, and Las Piñas. All dogs included in the study had a history of tick infestation.

**Blood parasite examination**

A sample of approximately 3 mL canine blood was collected from each dog’s cephalic vein. A portion of the blood was used for blood smear preparation, and the remaining blood sample was stored in ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA)-coated vacutainers and frozen at −40°C for further parasite DNA isolation and PCR assay.

The Giemsa®-stained (Gurr; BDH Chemicals Ltd, Glossop, UK) blood smears were examined to locate gametocytes in WBCs. Positive detection of infected cells were documented with a Canon Digital IXUS I, 5.0 megapixels camera (Canon, New York, NY).

**DNA extraction**

Parasite DNA extraction was performed as previously described. A total of 100 µL of blood sample was pipetted off and transferred to a single 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube. Nine volumes of extraction buffer and 10 µL/mL of proteinase K (100 µg/mL) were added to the blood sample then incubated at 55°C for 12 hours. Phenol-chloroform-isoamyl alcohol (PCI) at pH 8.0 was mixed with the incubated samples and centrifuged at 14,000 rpm at room temperature for 10 minutes. The aqueous layer was transferred to a new microcentrifuge tube, and chloroform of equal volume was added to the layer and centrifuged as previously described. The aqueous layer was transferred to another tube and mixed with 1 mL of 99.5% ethanol and 10–15 µL of 3 mol/L NaCOOH and similarly centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 10 minutes. Resulting solution was decanted, and 1 mL of 70% ethanol was added and centrifuged again at 14,000 rpm for 10 minutes. The extracted DNA pellet was afterwards air-dried at room temperature. Finally, 50–100 µL of Tris-EDTA buffer was mixed with the pellet, and samples were frozen at −40°C.

**PCR amplification**

PCR amplification was performed with 25 µL reaction mixture containing 1 µL of each DNA template, 200 µmol/L dNTP mixture, 20 pmoles of each primer (Figure 1A), distilled water, and 1.5 U of Taq DNA polymerase in a 10× PCR reaction buffer. Autoclaved distilled water was used as negative control. Amplification was carried out using the following conditions: initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 minutes; 40 repeated cycles of denaturation at 94°C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primers</th>
<th>Sequence 5’ to 3’</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Babesia-F</td>
<td>(5’-GTGAAAACCTGGAATGGCTCA-3)*</td>
<td>Oyamada et al10 Inokuma et al13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Babesia-R</td>
<td>(5’-CCATGCTGAAATTTCAAGAC-3)*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Manufactured by Eurogentec AIT (Singapore)

**Figure 1** *Hepatozoon canis* in canine blood sample. A) The PCR primer pair used for the amplification. B) The amplicons indicative of *H. canis* parasite after gel electrophoresis analysis. White broken and solid arrows denote the approximately 500 bp and 200 bp PCR bands respectively. C) The gametocyte of *H. canis* in leucocyte (black arrow).

**Abbreviations:** bp, base pair; PCR, polymerase chain reaction.
for 30 seconds, annealing at 45°C for 30 seconds, and chain extension at 72°C for 90 seconds. A final extension at 72°C for 5 minutes completed the last step.15

**Gel electrophoresis**

Amplicons were electrophoresed through 1.8% TAE (Tris-acetate-EDTA) agarose gel stained by ethidium bromide in the gel electrophoresis chamber (Bio-Rad, Philadelphia, PA). Observed results were photographed by a DigiDoc-It Imaging System (UVP, Upland, CA). Negative control was included, and a molecular weight marker served as an indicator of the product size and was used in the proper identification and estimation of PCR bands.

**Results and discussion**

The study endeavored to detect hemoparasites in canine blood samples using molecular and parasitological methods, and as a corollary, these diagnostic tests yielded molecular evidence and microscopic detection of *Hepatozoon canis* in canine blood samples.

Out of 168 Giemsa®-stained canine blood samples processed for blood parasite examination, only one animal (1/168; 0.6%) was found infected with *Hepatozoon canis* gametocytes showing the classical elongated appearance in the leucocytes (Figure 1B).13 This percentage of infection was likewise reflected in the study of Jensen et al involving 153 dogs in Athens, Greece.16 It also reported that *Hepatozoon canis* was less frequently diagnosed (0.7%) as compared with *Ehrlichia canis* (41.1%), *Borrelia* spp. (22.9%), *Leishmania* (18.3%), *Dirofilaria immitis* (13.1%), and *Babesia canis* (2.6%). In addition, Karagenc et al previously stated that in Turkey, a 10.6% prevalence of *Hepatozoon canis* was obtained after subjecting 349 canine blood samples to microscopic examination.17 Moreover, in Brazil, examination of 12 urban dogs showed that one out of the 12 animals (8.3%) was positive using parasitological examination.18 Conversely, recent data by Menn et al from serological examination, exhibited that the least prevalent blood pathogen detected by antibody titers is *Hepatozoon canis* (2.7%).19 The other parasites detected in ascending prevalence based on antibody titers were microfilariae (7.7%), *Ehrlichia canis* (10.1%), *Leishmania infantum* (12.2%), and *Babesia canis* (23.4%). Furthermore, the PCR detection of *Hepatozoon canis* in tick vectors (*Ixodes ricinus*) in central Europe also yielded low percentage of detection (0.1%).20

In contrast, the study of Karagenc et al revealed that PCR assay was proven to have a higher sensitivity (25.8%) compared with blood parasite examination (10.6%).17 Molecular studies of Oyamada et al disclosed parallel findings wherein 33 dogs among the 78 total canine population (42.3%) were positive for *Hepatozoon* species.21 Recently, the presence of *Hepatozoon canis* was reported in wild canids (*Vulpes vulpes*) from Italy. Out of 119 examined foxes by PCR assay, 16 foxes (13.4%) were found harboring *Hepatozoon canis*.22 Likewise, the result of a molecular survey by Criado-Fornelio et al in Southern Europe described *Hepatozoon canis* in all 10 wild red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) sampled (100%).23 In addition, *Hepatozoon* species and *Hepatozoon canis* were also seen in immature spotted hyenas (100%) in Tanzania, water pythons (*Liasis fuscus*) (100%) in Australia, and African wild dogs (*Lycaon pictus*) (0.66%) in South Africa.24,25

Correspondingly, the study was able to amplify PCR bands (Figure 1B) associated with *Hepatozoon canis* genomic DNA in one sample (1/168; 0.6%) using primer pair (Figure 1A) that were recently confirmed capable of detecting *Hepatozoon canis* DNA.21 Although, the expected 780 base pair (bp) amplicon for *Hepatozoon* spp. was not observed, PCR revealed two PCR bands (~500 bp and ~200 bp). The computational addition of the molecular weights (bp) of these two unexpected bands, however, resulted in an amplicon size of ~700 bp. This variation in the results was most likely attributed to geographical or strain variation as commented by Little et al.26 Furthermore, microscopic identification of *Hepatozoon canis* gametocytes further reinforces the findings of PCR assay, since it is known that definitive diagnosis of *Hepatozoon* spp. can be made by direct detection of the pathogens in peripheral blood under the microscope.27 Consequently, *Hepatozoon canis* gametocytes were detected only from the PCR-positive sample, thus establishing that crude *Hepatozoon canis* genomic DNA was indeed present.

In the likelihood that amplicons were to show high sequence similarity to *Hepatozoon canis* DNA sequence, this might be a manifestation of new data on the genetic and geographical variation of the parasite. Consequently, this will add to the usual reported cases of single base variations found in isolates from different animals which were merely considered as polymerase errors or rare polymorphisms in hypervariable regions.23,29 Moreover, according to the study of Gomes et al as cited by Ramadan et al, genetic variations or polymorphisms establish the possibility that genomic variants between organisms of the same species occur.30 If the amplicons were to come out as a homologue of several *Hepatozoon canis* clones stored in the public database, the present data may show that the Philippines may possibly harbor a different strain of *Hepatozoon canis*. Accordingly, the outcome of further study and verification of the sample
and parasite species will have important implications in the epidemiology, diagnosis, and control of the vector-borne parasite (*Hepatozoon canis*) in the Philippines.

The study elucidates the parasitological detection of *Hepatozoon canis* in Manila, Philippines. Furthermore, this paper is a plausible indication of the first molecular evidence of *Hepatozoon canis* infection in the country by PCR assay.
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