Surgical Management of Peripheral Ulcerative Keratitis: Update on Surgical Techniques and Their Outcome
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Abstract: Peripheral ulcerative keratitis (PUK) is an inflammatory, necrotic condition in the peripheral cornea which may end in corneal perforation and visual morbidity if not treated adequately. PUK can occur due to infectious or non-infectious causes. Early cases need medical therapy, both locally and systemically (for some cases). However, advanced PUK may necessitate surgical removal of inciting cause of the pathology and maintaining tectonic stability. Such surgical treatment, including corneal transplantations, may be used in an emergency setting or for visual rehabilitation following preliminary stabilization of the affected cornea. The outcome of these surgeries need to be analyzed to understand the long-term visual prognosis of such eyes. This is an attempt to analyze surgical modalities in the management of PUK and their outcomes.
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Introduction

Peripheral ulcerative keratitis (PUK) is an inflammatory condition in peripheral cornea with potential of devastating necrosis. It is characterized by a crescentic area of epithelial defect with stromal melting, subepithelial infiltrate at the edge and progressive corneal thinning (Figure 1). It may be unilateral or bilateral.¹ PUK is sometimes associated with anterior uveitis or contiguous involvement of surrounding conjunctiva, episclera and sclera.²

The peripheral cornea has a higher propensity for immune-related damage compared to the central cornea due to certain physiological variations.²,³ This area has less neural innervation and higher supply from the perilimbal vascular and lymphatic arcades as compared to central cornea. This causes the influx of inflammatory cells and immune mediators such as immune complexes, immunoglobulins and complement factors. This area also has higher concentration of MUC4, a cell surface glycoprotein, which causes differentiation of epithelial cells. All these factors lead to increased risk of corneal necrosis leading to PUK.

PUK can occur from systemic or local causes. Nearly 50% association of collagen vascular disease is seen in PUK with high risk of morbidity and mortality.³,⁴ Aggressive management of underlying systemic disease is necessary in such cases.

Ocular causes of PUK can be infective or autoimmune. Bacterial, viral, fungal, and parasitic etiology have been found in PUK.⁵–⁷ Localized PUK due to immunological reaction can manifest as Mooren’s ulcer. In this condition, there is no underlying systemic disease, hence it is diagnosed after extensively investigating and ruling out all systemic conditions. The differentiating feature of this condition is non-involvement of scleral tissue. For treating early cases of Mooren’s ulcer, immunosuppression is the first line of treatment.⁸,⁹
Medical management is the mainstay of treatment for PUK. The principle behind treatment of the systemic conditions is immunosuppression and control of inflammation. Infective etiology is managed by proper identification of microorganism from corneal scraping and appropriate antimicrobial therapy.

Surgical intervention for PUK is required for the following conditions:

(a) threat to tectonic integrity of the eyeball: this can occur with localized or circumferential sterile corneal necrosis leading to descemetocele formation and corneal perforation.
(b) Removal of causative agent: in Mooren’s ulcer, the conjunctiva along the lesion is the primary source of antibody-producing inflammatory cells present in the ulcer. Resection of this conjunctiva with adjuvant procedures are needed to control the disease. Similarly, in infective PUK, surgical debulking may be needed in aggressive disease not responding to antimicrobial therapy.
(c) Visual rehabilitation: once the active PUK is controlled, optical surgeries such as penetrating or lamellar keratoplasty can be undertaken for visually rehabilitating the patient.

There are multiple surgical options for managing PUK, with scarce amount of scientific literature on the case selection, methodology and outcome of each technique. The advantages and disadvantages of each technique are summarized in Table 1. This review attempts to analyze the outcomes and benefits of different surgical techniques used for PUK management.

**Surgical Techniques**

**Diathermy Coagulation**

Diathermy coagulation was reported in 1957 for treating Mooren’s ulcer. Somerset and Vancea reported good outcome after repeated application of light diathermy to the ulcer edges. However, with understanding of the pathophysiology of Mooren’s ulcer, diathermy coagulation has been discarded for managing this disease process.

**Conjunctival Resection with Cryotherapy**

Aviel, in 1972, reported 5 cases of Mooren’s ulcer treated with conjunctival peritomy, diathermy coagulation of leaky vessels followed by cryotherapy to the center and edges of the ulcer at −40 to −50 degrees Celsius for 20 seconds in each round of application. Maximum follow-up until 5 months showed improvement in the lesions.

Cryotherapy as a treatment option was also reported by Comte et al and Genvert et al in Mooren’s ulcer. In 10 of 13 cases, conjunctival recession and suturing at 3mm behind limbus was done, while in 3 eyes, conjunctiva was resected. In a period of 1–50 months, vascularized pannus was noted in all cases, while one eye needed a repeat surgery. Recently, successful management by...
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cryotherapy with conjunctival flap suturing over ulcer area was reported in a 70 year old male suffering from granulomatosis with polyangitis on immunosuppression by Cheng et al.\textsuperscript{22} In South West Nigeria, Fasina et al treated progressive Mooren’s ulcer using cryotherapy with conjunctival resection in 14 patients with good anatomical stability.\textsuperscript{23}

Conjunctival resection with cryotherapy is not routinely used as the risk of pannus formation is high in this technique.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surgical Technique</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Penetrating keratoplasty                 | 1. Visual rehabilitation  
2. Tectonic support  
3. Debulking in infectious etiology | 1. High risk of graft rejection  
2. Long post-operative care  
3. Surgical expertise required  
4. Donor cornea required  
5. Cost factor |
| Lamellar keratoplasty                    | 1. Visual rehabilitation  
2. Tectonic support  
3. Lower risk of graft rejection  
4. Donor tissue can be shaped as per area of pathology | 1. Relative risk of graft rejection  
2. Long post-operative care  
3. Surgical expertise required  
4. Donor cornea required  
5. Cost factor |
| Patch graft (corneal/scleral)            | 1. Tectonic support  
2. Perforations >3mm can be closed  
3. Optical keratoplasty can be planned later | 1. Vascularization of graft  
2. Opacification of graft  
3. High irregular astigmatism  
4. Long post-surgery care  
5. Donor tissue required  
6. Surgical expertise required |
| Amniotic membrane grafting               | 1. Inhibits stromal lysis  
2. Promotes epithelial healing  
3. Multiple layers with fibrin glue to seal perforations < 1mm  
4. Disintegrates in a few weeks | 1. No tectonic strength  
2. Availability of membrane  
3. Cost factor  
4. Surgical expertise needed  
5. Poor outcome in Mooren’s ulcer |
| Cyanocrylate glue                        | 1. Closes <3mm perforations  
2. Good tectonic support  
3. Easy to apply  
4. Rapid polymerization  
5. Cost-effective | 1. Irritates ocular surface, bandage contact lens required  
2. Non-biodegradable, has to be removed after healing occurs  
3. Risk of inducing vascularization, infection, papillary conjunctivitis |
| Fibrin glue                              | 1. Biodegradable  
2. Used along with amniotic membrane for adhesion | 1. No tectonic support  
2. Cost factor  
3. Cannot be used in isolation for closing corneal perforations |
| Conjunctival resection                   | 1. Simple procedure  
2. Effective in controlling Mooren’s ulcer along with cyanocrylate glue application | 1. Ocular surface scarring  
2. Excessive cauterization can cause scleral necrosis  
3. Needs adequate systemic immunosuppression |
| Conjunctival flap                        | 1. Simple procedure | 1. Poor outcome, so not used currently |
| Cryotherapy                              | 1. Can be used with conjunctival resection | 1. Pannus formation  
2. Cost factor  
3. Not practiced currently |
| Diathermy coagulation                    | 1. Simple procedure  
2. Cost effective | 1. High recurrence, so not used currently |
Conjunctival Recession or Resection
The limbal conjunctiva is believed to be the source of collagenase and other enzymes that destroy the collagen and ground matrix in PUK. Based on this theory, Wilson et al performed conjunctival recession with suturing around 1 mm behind limbus in 7 patients with varied etiology of PUK. There was positive response in 6 cases, while one case had multiple recurrences. Mondino et al performed conjunctival resection in Mooren’s ulcer cases with successful outcome in 3 of 4 unilateral ulcers and 3 of 3 bilateral non-simultaneous ulcers. However, only 2 of 15 bilateral simultaneous ulcers healed. This technique is nowadays popular for managing Mooren’s ulcer. The role of aggressive medical management with immunosuppressives cannot be undermined in such acute, bilateral simultaneous cases, especially in young patients.

Conjunctival Advancement or Flap
In 1989, Portnoy et al used conjunctival flap in PUK cases for tectonic support. However, Tan et al reported a case of flap melt in PUK due to Crohn’s disease, needing sectorial penetrating keratoplasty. Similarly, Li et al reported two cases of Mooren’s ulcer, where conjunctival flap technique led to rapid deterioration of the ulcer. Due to such poor outcomes, conjunctival flap is seldom used nowadays in PUK.

Use of Tissue Adhesives
Synthetic cyanoacrylate glue was first used by Refojo and Webster in 1968. Two types of tissue adhesives – cyanoacrylate derivatives (butyl monomers) and biological fibrin glue – are used for extreme corneal thinning and perforation.

Cyanoacrylate Glue
This compound has optimum strength and rapid polymerization; hence it is used to close corneal perforations up to 3 mm in diameter. However, being non-biodegradable, it may cause inflammation, papillary conjunctivitis, corneal neovascularization, infection and tissue necrosis. If glue enters the anterior chamber, it can cause iridial adhesion, pupillary block or secondary glaucoma due to peripheral anterior synechiae, granulomatous reaction and cataract.

Cyanoacrylate glue has been widely used in surgical management of PUK. In 1968, Webster used this glue in closing corneal perforation. The use of this glue in treating PUK with impending or actual perforation has been well documented in literature. The role of cyanoacrylate glue in healing PUK is twofold; firstly, for tectonic strength, and secondly for acting as a barrier to the influx of inflammatory cells from the conjunctiva into the adjacent corneal stroma. In Mooren’s ulcer, the standard procedure for surgery is the trimming of the overhanging necrotic ulcer lip and conjunctival resection adjacent to the ulcer followed by application of cyanoacrylate glue, with placement of bandage contact lens over the cornea. The glue remains for at least a month until it spontaneously dislodges due to underlying epithelial healing.

In 2013, Sharma et al reported a series of 16 eyes where PUK-related corneal perforation between 3.5 to 4.5 mm was sealed with scleral patch graft using cyanoacrylate glue. 14 eyes healed in 5–9 weeks, with 2 eyes needing repeat surgery due to loosening of the glue. 5 grafts needed few 10–0 nylon sutures. Once the graft fused with the host cornea, the glue was removed. A similar technique of managing PUK-related perforation was reported by Hinduik et al.

Bernauer et al used cyanoacrylate glue in rheumatoid arthritis (RA)-related corneal perforations up to 3mm diameter without concurrent use of immunosuppressives. Though temporary closure of perforations occurred in all 6 eyes, the PUK continued due to aggressive disease, proving that systemic management of such conditions is of paramount importance. Similar reports were published by Weiss et al and Messmer et al as a temporary measure. Temporary closure of a perforated PUK with a 2mm size surgical drape and cyanoacrylate glue until a penetrating keratopasty could be done 7 days later, has also been reported.
Fibrin Glue
This biological and biodegradable adhesive is prepared from fibrinogen and thrombin component of blood. The rate of complications is very low, including granuloma and cyst formation, foreign body sensation and non-adherence of material to underlying tissue. Fibrin glue also lacks tectonic strength.
Lagouette et al used fibrin glue to treat 8 cases of 2.5mm perforated peripheral corneal ulcers with favorable results. However, re-application of the glue was needed for a few cases. Due to weak tectonic strength, this adhesive is used with biological membranes or tissue grafts in PUK cases.

Amniotic Membrane Graft (AMG)
Amniotic membrane was first used in surgeries as early as 1910. For use as a corneal graft, AMG transplantation was attempted by De Roth and Sorsby in the 1940s. Use of AMG on cornea gained popularity in 1997 due to the published works of Tseng et al and Dua et al. AMG causes rapid epithelialization and suppresses the expression of cytokines in damaged ocular surface epithelia. It also contains protease inhibitors, causing apoptosis of inflammatory cells. AMG has a high content of nerve growth factor needed for surface healing.
Solomon et al used multiple layers of AMG for corneal perforations less than 0.5mm size with poor results in PUK due to autoimmune diseases.
The use of AMG for treating aggressive Mooren’s ulcer is controversial. Motowa et al used a double layered AMG sandwich technique for a single case of Mooren’s ulcer. Good results have been reported in AMG use with lamellar corneal graft. In both cases, immunosuppressive drugs were continued. Similar satisfactory outcome was reported in cases with additional conjunctival resection or instillation of serum eye drops. However, Chen et al noted recurrence in a multilayered AMG with conjunctival autografting for Mooren’s ulcer. Schallenberg et al reported relapse of aggressive Mooren’s ulcer in 6 of 7 eyes which underwent AMG transplantation with conjunctival resection, even on oral immunosuppression.
There has been mixed response to the use of AMG with fibrin glue for sealing corneal perforations in PUK. Hicks et al reported good outcome (80% success rate) in perforations less than 3mm in diameter. However, Hanada et al had success with multilayered AMG in a Mooren’s ulcer, but recurrence in two cases with rheumatoid arthritis. Rodrigues-Ares et al reported success in 2 cases of rheumatoid arthritis with failure in an erythema multiforme major case. In a series of 45 eyes with perforation less than 3mm, Fan et al reported healing with good visual outcome in 6 cases with marginal ulcers. They filled the perforation with rolled-up AMG, covered by 3 layers of larger sized AMG and anterior chamber formation by injection of 0.3mL of 20% perfluoropropane. However, 3 cases had anterior iris synechiae postoperatively.
Liu et al conducted a meta-analysis of visual outcome and epithelial healing following cryopreserved AMG use in infectious and noninfectious corneal ulcers. The use of AMG was found to benefit early epithelialization and stromal healing. Multilayered AMG was found to be better for repair of deep ulcers. Freeze-dried AMG has recently been used to treat different ocular surface disorders.

Corneal Patch Graft
As early as 1989, Portnoy et al used periosteal graft from anterior tibia for closing peripheral corneal perforations due to PUK. They also reported using crescentic or round corneal patch grafts for treating PUK.
In 1991, Kinoshita et al used donor corneal lenticules with intact epithelium along with conjunctival resection for treating 20 eyes with aggressive Mooren’s ulcer. Additional corneoscleral lamellar grafts were done in 5 eyes. With a follow-up period of 3.1 years, 90% eyes had complete recovery while on steroid therapy. Soong et al used free hand dissected lamellar corneal patch grafts in 31 eyes with PUK. With an average follow-up period of 1 year, 17 cases maintained stable grafts with good visual outcome. Complications noted were corneal melt necessitating repeat patch graft, cataract and need for penetrating keratoplasty for visual recovery.
Krysik et al performed patch graft for peripheral lesions (29% of cases with total 247 eyes) with infective, autoimmune and traumatic etiology. Common complications noted were vascular ingrowth (50% cases), persistent
epithelial defects, graft melt (mostly in autoimmune disease related eyes) and early loosening of sutures. Compared to the penetrating keratoplasty cases, visual acuity at follow-up was better in this group of cases.

In 2013, Lin et al used patch grafts from non-antigenic glycerol preserved corneas in rheumatoid arthritis related perforated PUK.\textsuperscript{78} Except one case with graft melt, all cases had stable though opaque grafts. A similar result was noted by Shi et al earlier in 8 cases of PUK.\textsuperscript{79} The same group also studied the results in 25 eyes with perforated Mooren’s ulcer who first underwent a suturing of posterior corneal donor button having intact endothelium, then covered by glycerine preserved lamellar graft.\textsuperscript{80} The immunosuppressive regime was maintained. 87.1% eyes had anatomical and visual recovery with clear grafts. The dendritic cell population was monitored in the grafts to understand the antigenic tendency and need for immunosuppression. A gradual decline of this cell population was observed in the patch grafts over 6-month period.

In 2015, Sharma et al used crescentic and circular corneal patch grafts for 4 cases of moderate level and 5 cases of severe level of involvement in PUK.\textsuperscript{81} They achieved 100% anatomical success in moderate cases with maximum follow-up of 3 years. The resolution rate was 83.3% in the severe cases.

A novel technique of suturing the lenticule obtained during small incision refractive lenticule extraction (SMILE) technique for myopia correction as donor patch graft in 14 PUK cases was reported by Jiang et al.\textsuperscript{82} In deep ulcers, multiple layers of lenticule were used. There was globe stability in all cases until around 1 year, though 3 cases needed repeat procedure.

Scleral Patch Graft
Scleral tissue from cadaveric eyeball is used for tectonic stability only in PUK cases as the tissue is opaque. Such patch grafts were used with cyanoacrylate glue by Sharma et al.\textsuperscript{45} Fasina et al used scleral patch grafts in 7 aggressive cases of Mooren’s ulcer not responsive to immunosuppression with good anatomical stability.\textsuperscript{46} Krysik et al used corneoscleral patch grafts for peripheral corneal perforations up to 5mm diameter in 117 eyes.\textsuperscript{16} There was graft vascularization in 50% cases. 16 grafts melted due to infection or uncontrolled autoimmune disease, necessitating urgent penetrating keratoplasty. Stilma et al used scleral patch grafts in 6 Mooren’s ulcer in Sierra Leone with visual and anatomical stability.\textsuperscript{83}

The use of patch grafts, both corneal and scleral, is restricted by the limited availability of donor tissue and risk of graft vascularization and opacification. Hence, this technique is usually reserved for globe threatening PUK cases.

Lamellar Keratoplasty
This technique, as shown in Figure 2, first used by Paufique et al in 1947, is useful in PUK with larger area of corneal involvement without perforation.\textsuperscript{84} In a case series by Krysik et al, 11 cases with descemetocoele underwent lamellar grafts using trephine or femtosecond laser with good outcome.\textsuperscript{16} Earlier, Messmer et al reported stable cornea in 3 cases of rheumatoid arthritis related PUK.\textsuperscript{47}

Xie et al used glycerin cryopreserved limbal lamellar graft from corneoscleral rims in 4 cases of Mooren’s ulcer with tectonic instability while continuing topical immunosuppression.\textsuperscript{85} All grafts were stable with no rejection. The authors attributed this to the effect of glycerin in eliminating keratocytes, dendritic cells and other antigenic cells in the preserved tissue.\textsuperscript{80,86} Gao et al utilized a similar technique of ring shaped donor tissue in 11 eyes with PUK.\textsuperscript{37} Only one graft melted. Cheung et al used a similar technique in perforated active Fuch’s marginal keratitis case with complete recovery.\textsuperscript{88}

Tavassoli reported a case of vasculopathy related perforated PUK in an asymptomatic human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) positive patient managed by deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty and systemic antiretroviral therapy.\textsuperscript{89} The graft was stable at 3 months follow-up. Recently tenon sling graft has been used in an hourglass shaped corneal melt following tuberculosis-associated PUK.\textsuperscript{90}

Lamellar keratoplasty needs surgical expertise and availability of donor corneas, hence this technique is limited to tertiary level eye hospitals with access to eyebanks and proper systemic therapy by intensivists. However, with adequate management, the results of this surgery are satisfactory for visual rehabilitation of PUK cases.
Penetrating Keratoplasty (PK)

This procedure has been used for tectonic stability in PUK cases since the 1950s. Extreme corneal thinning or actual perforation involving extensive areas of the cornea benefit from PK (Figure 3). The surgery timing, underlying disease severity, and concurrent use of systemic immunosuppression determine the success of the PK procedure.

Mooren’s ulcer refractory to immunosuppression and conjunctival resection may need PK for globe preservation. However, the survival and visual outcome are not satisfactory in most of the cases. Grafts done for Mooren’s ulcer had tectonic stability with systemic immunosuppression with poor visual outcome.

Riedel et al performed eccentric PK in cases with rheumatoid arthritis (21 cases) and infective PUK (47 cases) with higher complication rate and poor visual outcome. Messmer and Foster repeated PKs in 3 of 16 eyes with aggressive rheumatoid arthritis. Cases on cytotoxic drugs after surgery had clear grafts at 15–67 months of follow-up. Similar results were reported by Palay et al in 23 eyes. 12 eyes underwent repeat PK with the survival rate at only 48% in 5 years. Bernaeur et al reported 16 eyes with rheumatoid arthritis related corneal perforation peripherally undergoing PK. Grafts with post-operative systemic immunosuppression had better outcome.

Figure 2 Eccentric lamellar patch graft in impending corneal perforation in peripheral ulcerative keratitis (PUK).

Figure 3 Total penetrating keratoplasty with overlying amniotic membrane placement in a large area of corneal perforation in rheumatoid arthritis with unstable ocular surface.
Tan et al reported good visual outcome in a Crohn’s disease patient undergoing PK for PUK-associated corneal perforation. Sharma et al performed PK in 12 eyes with advanced PUK refractory to medical management. 2 eyes had phthisis bulbi, while the other eyes maintained tectonic stability on immunosuppression.

Cartwright et al mentioned a substantial decrease of only 16% in the surgical rate in patients using modern immunosuppressive drugs for PUK treatment. The outcomes documented for corneal transplantation in non-infectious PUK are worse compared to infectious cases, probably due to the underlying autoimmune pathology leading to poor tissue healing. Hence, a thorough systemic evaluation and immunosuppression is a requirement for planning corneal surgery in such cases. In case of infectious etiology, identification of the microorganism via corneal smear, culture and biopsy (as needed) helps to medically control the infection before and after surgery.

**Conclusion**
The quality of published literature regarding surgical management of PUK is low in scientific evidence as most of the studies are case series or case reports. There are no randomized controlled trials (RCT) published to date. Alhassan et al conducted a meta-analysis of the medical and surgical management of Mooren’s ulcer in 2014. They concluded that there is no scientific evidence in the form of RCT to prove the effectiveness of different treatment options in Mooren’s ulcer. With recent advances in the understanding of the pathogenesis of various autoimmune diseases and corneal inflammation, the need for well-designed RCTs to assess the methodology, benefits, timing and concurrent medical management of different surgeries for PUK is paramount. Amniotic membrane grafting and cyanoacrylate glue remain the mainstay for managing early cases of corneal melts in PUK. Conjunctival resection is the preferred choice for treating Mooren’s ulcer. Most cases of corneal transplantation in PUK are reported in large perforations in an emergency setting or for visual rehabilitation after the primary disease has been controlled in the cornea. Increased use of femtosecond laser in corneal grafting is another interesting avenue to explore for managing such complicated pathologies. Any surgical management has to be compounded with excellent medical therapy for controlling the underlying cause of the PUK, whether autoimmune or infectious. This improves the prognosis of visual outcome in such eyes.

This review attempted to amalgamate the published data on surgical management of PUK to date with their outcomes.
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