

LETTER

Commentary and Critique to "VTE Prophylaxis Therapy: Clinical Practice vs Clinical Guidelines" by Abukhalil et al [Letter]

Alper Tuna Güven 101, Murat Özdede 102

Başkent University Adana Hospitals, Department of Internal Medicine, Adana, Turkey; ²Hacettepe University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Division of General Internal Medicine, Ankara, Turkey

Correspondence: Alper Tuna Güven, Email alper.tuna.guven@gmail.com

Dear editor

We read with enthusiasm the article "VTE Prophylaxis Therapy: Clinical Practice vs Clinical Guidelines" by Abukhalil et al¹ since they report major problems regarding inpatient VTE prophylaxis practices that need to be addressed. However, some important points attracted our attention that we think are of particular importance. We had published an article² on the same subject and had used similar methodology to obtain data, thus we are thoroughly familiar with the content. Although our article reports the similar finding that inappropriate VTE prophylaxis is also common in our tertiary care academic center, we also have contrasting data. While Abukhalil et al's article reports that 144 out of the 296 patients received prophylaxis although not indicated, namely overuse, our overuse rate was only 8 out of the 206 patients. This significant discrepancy may have emanated from two reasons:

First of all, a limitation reported by the authors is the lack of BMI records for most of the patients. Since obesity adds one point to the Padua risk assessment model and obesity is highly frequent among the middle east region³ where the study was conducted, this limitation is not a minor issue and it might have caused the Padua risk scores to be underestimated by 1 point for obese patients. This underestimation may be the first reason for the reported high overuse

Another reason for the discrepancy may be the fact that the authors have defined the appropriate candidates of prophylaxis as those patients with a Padua score ≥4 and an IMPROVE bleeding score <7. Although an IMPROVE score ≥7 is associated with increased risk of bleeding, higher scores do not directly preclude VTE prophylaxis but rather they are a caveat to the clinicians to assess risk-benefit ratio. Defining patients whose Padua and IMPROVE bleeding scores are over 4 and 7, respectively, is somewhat arbitrary. A common scenario: an elderly obese male patient with an underlying lung cancer admitted to an ICU with pnuemosepsis requiring central venous catheter has an IMPROVE score of 9 but also has a Padua score of 10. According to the presented dichotomous logic, this patient should directly be precluded from receiving VTE prophylaxis due to the high IMPRROVE score even though he has high Padua score. Therefore, defining patients with Padua score ≥ 4 and IMPROVE bleeding score ≥ 7 as inappropriate might be the second reason for the reported high overuse rate.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

1. Abukhalil AD, Nasser A, Khader H, et al. VTE prophylaxis therapy: clinical practice vs clinical guidelines. Vasc Health Risk Manag. 2022;18:701-710. doi:10.2147/VHRM.S382050

Güven and Özdede **Dove**press

2. Güven AT, Altintop SE, Özdede M, Uyaroğlu OA, Tanriöver MD. Quality gap in venous thromboembolism prophylaxis practices in inpatients: assessment of prophylaxis practices in a University Hospital. Int J Qual Health Care. 2021;33(3):mzab104. doi:10.1093/intqhc/mzab104

3. Okati-Aliabad H, Ansari-Moghaddam A, Kargar S, Jabbari N, Velotti N. Prevalence of obesity and overweight among adults in the middle east countries from 2000 to 2020: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Obes. 2022;2022:8074837. doi:10.1155/2022/8074837

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The contentTxt of the Vascular Health and Risk Management 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Vascular Health and Risk Management editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the contentTxt of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the contentTxt of any letter, nor is it responsible for the contentTxt and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Vascular Health and Risk Management

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Vascular Health and Risk Management is an international, peer-reviewed journal of therapeutics and risk management, focusing on concise rapid reporting of clinical studies on the processes involved in the maintenance of vascular health; the monitoring, prevention and treatment of vascular disease and its sequelae; and the involvement of metabolic disorders, particularly diabetes. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central and MedLine. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/vascular-health-and-risk-management-journal