Pathogenesis and management of alcoholic liver cirrhosis: a review

Yi-wen Huang1,2
Sien-Sing Yang1,3
Jia-Horng Kao2,4,5

1Liver Center, Cathay General Hospital Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan; 2Division of Gastroenterology, Department of Internal Medicine, National Taiwan University College of Medicine and National Taiwan University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan; 3Faculty of Medicine, Fu-Jen Catholic University College of Medicine, Taipei, Taiwan; 4Graduate Institute of Clinical Medicine, National Taiwan University College of Medicine, Taipei, Taiwan; 5Hepatitis Research Center, National Taiwan University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan

*Sien-Sing Yang and Jia-Horng Kao contributed equally to the work

Correspondence: Sien-Sing Yang
Liver Center, Cathay General Hospital Medical Center, No. 280, Sec. 4, Jen-Ai Road, Taipei 10630, Taiwan
Tel +886-2-27082121 ext. 3123
Fax +886-2-27074949
Email jaab@cgh.org.tw
or
Jia-Horng Kao
Director and Distinguished Professor, Graduate Institute of Clinical Medicine, National Taiwan University College of Medicine, No. 1, Chang-Te St., Taipei 10002, Taiwan
Tel +886-2-23123456 ext. 67307
Fax +886-2-23825962
Email kaojh@ntu.edu.tw

Abstract: Little is known about how alcohol causes liver disease and cirrhosis. The strongest evidence of the causality between alcohol and liver disease stems from epidemiological observations. Factors contributing to alcohol-induced fibrosis and cirrhosis include cytokines, oxidative stress, and toxic metabolites of ethanol. Patients with alcoholic cirrhosis generally have complications at diagnosis, and cirrhotic complications should be actively assessed because they are closely associated with subsequent morbidity as well as mortality. Abstinence is strictly required to prevent disease progression and is critical for eventual liver transplantation. In addition, nutritional therapy remains the mainstay of managing alcoholic cirrhosis.

Keywords: alcohol, cirrhosis, complication, treatment

Introduction
Alcoholism is a global health problem. Liver metabolizes most of the ingested alcohol. Among individuals who consume more than 70 drinks (1 drink = one 12 oz. beer at 4% alcohol or one 1.5 oz glass of wine at 11% alcohol) per week for over 20 years, 19% developed alcoholic liver disease and 7% developed cirrhosis.1 Thresholds of ethanol consumption per week for the development of alcoholic liver disease were 7 to 13 drinks for women and 14 to 27 drinks for men.1 Alcoholic liver disease can be divided on histology into steatosis, hepatitis, hepatitis superimposed on early cirrhosis, and cirrhosis.2 When symptoms occur in individuals with alcohol abuse, many of them already have progressed to cirrhosis. The risk of cirrhosis correlates strongly with past and current alcohol drinking,3 and many patients with alcoholic cirrhosis have complications at diagnosis.

Pathogenesis of alcoholic fibrosis and cirrhosis
It is incompletely understood how alcohol causes liver disease and cirrhosis. The strongest evidence of the causality is deduced from epidemiological studies, showing a strong correlation between ethanol consumption and alcoholic liver disease as well as cirrhosis.1,3 Prohibition of alcohol drinking can result in a marked reduction of liver-related deaths in patients with alcoholic liver disease.4 Data from genetic polymorphisms in alcohol metabolism further support this association. Ethanol is metabolized in hepatocyte cytosol by alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) to acetaldehyde, which is subsequently metabolized in the mitochondria by acetaldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) to acetate. Polymorphisms of ADH and ALDH genes correlate with occurrence of alcoholic liver disease in Japanese5,6 and with alcoholic cirrhosis in Taiwanese.7,8
Most patients with alcoholic steatosis progress to steatohepatitis and subsequent fibrosis or even cirrhosis. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are produced in hepatocytes through induction of cytochrome P450 2E1. Oxidative stress, hepatocytes injury by ROS, is a major determinant in alcoholic liver injury and fibrosis. Production of ROS results in reduced antioxidant glutathione/glutathione disulfide ratio. On liver histology, hepatocyte injury is most significant in pericentral regions, where pericentral fibrosis occurs. The latter is also known as sclerosing hyaline necrosis or perivenular fibrosis. In addition, Mallory bodies are an important marker of alcoholic liver injury, but they do not have a pathogenic role in the liver damage.

Hepatic stellate cells, the major source of extracellular matrix in hepatic fibrosis, are also stimulated by ROS. Activation of stellate cells is observed during liver injury, resulting in their proliferation and resulting fibrogenesis. Studies in experimental alcoholic injury and human alcoholic fibrosis support the central pathway of stellate cell activation in their pathogenesis. Initiation of the activation results mostly from paracrine stimulation by Kupffer cells, hepatocytes, sinusoidal endothelium, and platelets. Recent identification of the receptor for bacterial lipopolysaccharide, Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4), in stellate cells and Kupffer cells reveals its role in fibrogenesis. TLR4 enhances TGF-beta-1 signaling, which is the major fibrogenic cytokine in the liver.

Other mediators may also play a role in alcoholic fibrogenesis. Acetaldehyde, but not alcohol itself, has adjunctive fibrogenic activity in cultured stellate cells. In experimental rodents fed with alcohol, there is an increased in lipid aldehydes, which are unstable intermediates during interaction of ROS and cellular proteins. In addition, several reports have addressed the involvement of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor and interleukin-6 in hemodynamic changes of patients with cirrhosis.

Clinical manifestations and diagnosis of alcoholic cirrhosis
Clinical presentations of alcoholic cirrhosis vary from asymptomatic to hepatic decompensation with complications. Specific diagnosis for alcoholic cirrhosis is summarized in Table 1. Detail history taking is mandatory for diagnosis. Alcoholic cirrhosis is diagnosed in many individuals with alcohol abuse when they have symptoms. These patients may have malnutrition, parotid enlargement, vascular spiders, palmar erythema, hepatosplenomegaly, portal hypertension, fluid and electrolyte redistribution, feminization, neuropathy, and encephalopathy. Laboratory findings show disproportionate elevation of serum aspartate transaminase compared to alanine transaminase, hypoalbuminemia, hyperbilirubinemia, anemia, leukopenia, thrombocytopenia, prolonged prothrombin time, and partial thromboplastin time. Reduced platelet count and function reflect hypersplenism.

Ultrasound, computed tomography scan, and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are well-known imaging tools to diagnose cirrhosis or concomitant neoplastic diseases. Typical imaging studies of alcoholic cirrhosis show hepatomegaly, bluntness of liver edge, irregular liver surface, and coarse liver texture. MRI may also be used to differentiate alcoholic cirrhosis from cirrhosis of viral hepatitis by the detection of caudate lobe enlargement and the presence of the right posterior hepatic notch. Patients with alcoholic cirrhosis with lower phosphodiesterase to adenosine triphosphate ratios may be differentiated from cirrhosis of other etiologies by using hepatic phosphorus-31 magnetic resonance spectroscopy (HP31 MRS). In addition, HP31 MRS has the ability to calculate hepatic phospholipid metabolism, which can be used to distinguish alcoholic cirrhosis from noncirrhosis.

On liver histology, cirrhosis is characterized by hepatic architecture distortion and the formation of regenerative nodules. For patients with advanced alcoholic cirrhosis, the diagnosis is usually made on the basis of clinical, biochemical, imaging, and hemodynamic findings. However, for those without typical manifestations, a liver biopsy is required to establish the diagnosis. Liver biopsy is also beneficial to exclude coexisting liver diseases and to evaluate the severity of concurrent alcoholic hepatitis. The reversibility of alcoholic fibrosis may be greater than other causes of fibrosis if there is a prominent inflammatory component due to recent ethanol abuse. Histological factors predicting the irreversibility and advanced cirrhosis include micronodular cirrhosis and thickened septae. Both alcoholic hepatitis and fibrosis first appear in the pericentral zone, and progress to panlobular fibrosis in continuous drinkers. Therefore, the pericentral fibrosis can serve as an early marker of progression to cirrhosis.

Table 1 Specific diagnosis for alcoholic cirrhosis
| Detail history taking |
| Clinical: hepatosplenomegaly and/or malnutrition |
| Biochemical: disproportionately high aspartate aminotransferase compared to alanine aminotransferase |
| Imaging studies: |
| Ultrasound: convenient and repeatable |
| Magnetic resonance imaging: etiologically specific |
| Hepatic phosphorus-31 magnetic resonance spectroscopy: etiologically specific |
| Liver biopsy: pericentral fibrosis and/or micronodular cirrhosis |
Transient elastography (TE) has been introduced as a noninvasive assessment of hepatic fibrosis in patients with chronic viral hepatitis. However, TE may not be reliable in patients with concurrent hepatitis and cirrhosis. The clinical application of TE in alcoholic cirrhosis, especially in those with concurrent alcoholic hepatitis, thus requires further examinations.

**Complications and prognosis of alcoholic cirrhosis**

Patients with alcoholic cirrhosis are vulnerable to various complications which threaten their life expectancy (Figure 1). Studies have shown the high prevalence of complications at the time of initial diagnosis of alcoholic cirrhosis. Complications include occurrence of ascites, varices with their related hemorrhage, hepatic encephalopathy, spontaneous bacterial peritonitis, hepatorenal syndrome, hepatopulmonary syndrome, and hepatocellular carcinoma. These complications lead to high mortality in patients with alcoholic cirrhosis. In contrast, prevention and treatment of complications may lead to the prolonged survival.

Patients are considered to have decompensated liver disease when complications of cirrhosis develop. Factors predicting poor prognosis include continued alcohol use and the presence of hepatic inflammation. For example, patients with decompensated alcoholic cirrhosis who continue drinking have a 5-year transplant-free survival of 30% versus 60% for those who quit drinking.

**Ascites**

Portal hypertension leads to development of ascites, ie, fluid retention in the peritoneal cavity. Ascites is the most common complication of cirrhosis. The mechanisms of sodium and water retention include activation of the renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system and sympathetic nervous system. The impairment in urinary sodium excretion in cirrhosis correlates with liver function. In addition, nonosmotic hypersecretion of antidiuretic hormone is observed. Ascites develop in 58% of patients within 10 years of compensated cirrhosis. In a population-based cohort study on the clinical course of alcoholic cirrhosis, the presence and type of 3 complications (ie, ascites, variceal hemorrhage, and encephalopathy) could predict mortality. However, this study may have underestimated the rate of complications, due to the detection of ascites mainly by physical findings and nonassessment of varices per se. Ultrasound is useful to detect minimal amount of ascites, as well as the presence of portal hypertension. Early detection of ascites in patients with cirrhosis is important to predict long-term outcomes. Complications of cirrhosis should be assessed actively to allow early management of complications and reduce subsequent mortality.

**Varices and related hemorrhage**

The detection of varices in patients with cirrhosis is important. Endoscopy is well known to detect varices in patients with cirrhosis. The newly developed narrow band imaging system may assist the detection of varices. The occurrence of varices per se has been reported to predict mortality in patients with alcoholic cirrhosis. Variceal hemorrhage occurs in 25% to 40% of patients with cirrhosis, and it is a devastating complication, with 1-year mortality of 20%.
Hepatic encephalopathy

Hepatic encephalopathy is a potentially reversible alteration of brain function in patients with liver decompensation. The diagnosis of hepatic encephalopathy should be made after exclusion of unrelated neurologic or metabolic abnormalities. The definition of hepatic encephalopathy is difficult in patients with alcoholic liver disease who have initial neurologic manifestations as part of diffuse central and peripheral neuropathy. Patients with alcoholic cirrhosis have delayed nerve conduction and evoked potentials. Neuropsychiatric testing to detect minimal hepatic encephalopathy may be considered for patients with alcoholic liver disease who are at increased risk. A study reported that alcoholic cirrhotic patients with minimal hepatic encephalopathy have driving impairment and should be avoided.

Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis

Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis (SBP) refers to an ascitic fluid infection without evidence for an intra-abdominal surgically treatable source. SBP mostly occurs in patients with advanced cirrhosis. Diagnostic criteria for SBP are a positive ascitic fluid bacterial culture and elevated ascitic fluid absolute polymorphonuclear leukocyte (PMN) count (≥250 cells/mm³). The latter is adequate to start empirical antibiotic treatment.

Hepatorenal syndrome

The hepatorenal syndrome (HRS) is an acute renal failure usually found in patients with cirrhosis or severe alcoholic hepatitis. HRS represents the end-stage of a sequence of reductions in renal perfusion with the scenario of deteriorating liver injury. The diagnosis of HRS is made by excluding other causes of renal impairment. The prognosis is poor unless underlying liver disease can be improved or liver transplantation can be performed.

Hepatopulmonary syndrome

Hepatopulmonary syndrome (HPS) is diagnosed in patients with the triad of liver disease, increased alveolar–arterial gradient while breathing room air, and evidence for intrapulmonary vascular dilatations. Prevalence of HPS ranges from 4% to 47%. Patients with cirrhosis commonly have mild hypoxemia which may have resulted from ascites or pleural fluid compression to the lung parenchyma. HPS should be suspected if severe hypoxemia (PaO₂ < 60 mmHg) is detected in the absence of cardiopulmonary disease.

Hepatocellular carcinoma

Although advanced fibrosis is known to be a strong risk factor for hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) development, patients with alcoholic liver disease are not at an increased risk until cirrhosis develops. Patients with small HCC (<3 cm) are often asymptomatic. The development of HCC should be suspected in a patient with cirrhosis who has serum alphafetoprotein (AFP) elevation. However, serum AFP level is normal in up to 40% of small HCCs. Elevated AFP may be more likely in viral hepatitis-related than in alcoholic liver disease-related HCC.

Patients with alcoholic cirrhosis should undergo surveillance for HCC by using ultrasound and AFP. AFP alone should not be used for the screening purpose. Regenerative nodules, as one of histological features of patients with alcoholic cirrhosis, are commonly detected on ultrasound or other imaging studies. Sometimes it may be difficult to distinguish regenerative nodules from HCC, even by contrast-enhanced imaging modalities. In such cases, liver biopsy to the target lesion should be performed.

Prognosis and predictive models

The prognosis of liver cirrhosis is influenced by the following factors: etiology, severity, presence of complications, and comorbid illness. Child–Pugh classification based on 5 parameters (ascites, bilirubin, albumin, prothrombin time, and encephalopathy) is useful to predict the overall prognosis, development of complications, and surgical risk. One-year survival rates for patients with Child–Pugh A, B, and C cirrhosis are 100%, 80%, and 45%, respectively. Model for End Stage Liver Disease (MELD) using bilirubin, creatinine, INR, and etiology of cirrhosis can also be used to predict outcomes in cirrhosis.

Histologic findings can also predict prognosis in alcoholic cirrhosis, ie, patients with alcoholic hepatitis on cirrhosis had higher mortality. In addition, patients with alcoholic hepatitis on cirrhosis usually have elevated sum of asymmetric dimethylarginine and its stereoisomer. To predict disease severity and mortality risk in alcoholic hepatitis, Maddrey’s discriminant function, Glasgow alcoholic hepatitis score, and the Lille model are commonly used. Finally, obese patients with alcohol abuse may have higher risk of advanced liver disease.

Prevention and treatment of alcoholic cirrhosis

Current prevention and treatment of alcoholic cirrhosis are summarized in Table 2. Among these measures, abstinence...
of alcohol drinking is fundamental and still beneficial even in cirrhotic patients to prevent disease progression and is critical for eventual liver transplantation. Patients who stop drinking may reduce or normalize portal pressure, reduction of ascites, and improve fibrosis.

Serum albumin level has been used as an indicator for nutritional status in patients with cirrhosis, and nutritional therapy is a mainstay of good practice in alcoholic cirrhosis. In order to improve nutritional balance, frequent small quantity feeding with a morning meal and snack at night time are recommended. Micronutrients and vitamin replacement should also be provided as part of the treatment. Nutritional deficiencies are common in alcoholism. However, few data have confirmed that aggressive nutritional supplementation can reverse the catabolism and inflammation of alcoholic liver disease. Nevertheless, short-term survival outcomes are improved in enteral feeding during hospitalization for severely malnourished alcoholic patients with hepatic decompensation. Long-term nutritional supports are also beneficial in patients with alcoholic cirrhosis or chronic hepatic decompensation.

A higher-than-usual dietary intake with protein amount of 1.2 to 1.5 g/kg and kilocalorie intake of 35 to 40 kcal/kg is recommended. This recommendation by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases and the American College of Gastroenterology is based on a study in patients with cirrhosis, and such approaches were found to improve the efficiency of nitrogen metabolism and then reduce hospitalization as well as complications.

It is also recommended that patients could have protein amount of 1.5 g/kg and kilocalorie intake of 40 kcal/kg during acute illness or intermittent exacerbation of chronic disease. Branched-chain amino acids may be considered in patients who develop encephalopathy during protein feeding.

Patients with decompensated cirrhosis should be referred for the possibility of liver transplantation. Transplantation for alcoholic liver disease provides survival benefit, better quality of life, and is thus cost-effective. Delayed referral for evaluation of transplantation leads to mortality during the waiting period. However, delayed referral may be due to active alcoholism. Psychosocial support and abstinence are important before transplantation. A 6-month period of abstinence has been widely used as a minimal listing criterion. Living donor liver transplantation (LDLT) in alcoholic liver disease has ethical issues on the indications and timing. LDLT should be considered only when there is a low risk of recidivism and a likelihood of good outcome.

The rate of recidivism after orthotopic liver transplantation (OLT) ranges from 7% to 31% in patients with alcoholic cirrhosis. A higher percentage of cirrhotic patients resuming alcohol use after transplantation has been reported, but only 5% to 7% have excessive drinking. Post-transplantation alcoholic liver disease patients in the United Kingdom have regular appointments with a psychiatrist in addictions treatment training.

Alcoholic liver disease patients who receive liver transplantation have increased risk of lung, liver, and oropharyngeal cancer. It has been reported that up to 40% of post-transplant patients with alcoholic liver disease resume smoking. The high rate of post-transplant cancer may be due to prior smoking in combination with the impact of immunosuppression on tumor surveillance.

Two meta-analyses reported the benefit of corticosteroids in severe alcoholic hepatitis. A pooled analysis of data from randomized controlled trials also revealed their efficacy in improving short-term survival. However, the benefit of corticosteroids in severe alcoholic hepatitis superimposed on alcoholic cirrhosis remains unknown. Pentoxifylline of 400 mg 3 times daily for 6 months can reduce bacterial infection, renal failure, and hepatic encephalopathy in patients with advanced cirrhosis but not the short-term mortality.

Potential hepatotoxic agents should be avoided in patients with cirrhosis, which include herbal remedies, acetaminophen, and drugs with hepatotoxic side effects. Hepatitis A and B vaccination should be considered to prevent additional insult to the liver with limited functional reserve. Pneumococcal and yearly influenza vaccination may also be beneficial.
Prevention and treatment of complications of alcoholic cirrhosis

Ascites

Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs may induce water retention and should be avoided. Dietary sodium restriction to 88 meq (2000 mg) per day is recommended, which includes sodium in all foods, liquids, and medications. When liver function deteriorates, urinary sodium excretion declines. A combination of diuretics with sodium restriction is required. Successful treatment refers to reducing ascitic fluid volume without intravascular volume depletion. Treatment of ascites improves quality of life and protects against SBP.

Varices and related hemorrhage

Patients with alcoholic cirrhosis should undergo evaluation to assess the presence of varices and to determine the risk of variceal hemorrhage. To prevent varices and a first variceal bleeding, nonselective beta blockers are recommended for small varices which have a high risk of bleeding or varices in Child–Pugh B or C cirrhosis. Furthermore, nonselective beta blockers or endoscopic band ligation are suggested for medium or large varices. For treatment of acute variceal bleeding, combination of vasopressor and endoscopic band ligation are recommended for Child–Pugh A or B patients or patients with an hepatic venous pressure gradient (HVPG) of less than 20 mmHg, together with short-term prophylactic norfloxacin or ceftriaxone. In patients with Child–Pugh C or an HVPG of more than 20 mmHg, more aggressive treatment should be considered. Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt is a salvage therapy for patients who responded poorly to previous treatment, and early placement is suggested. Endoscopic variceal obturation with butyl cyanoacrylate is recommended for acute bleeding of gastric varices. To prevent recurrent variceal bleeding, a combination of endoscopic band ligation and nonselective beta-blockers is recommended.

Hepatic encephalopathy

A precipitating factor can usually be identified when hepatic encephalopathy occurs. These factors include constipation, infection, gastrointestinal bleeding, increased protein intake, hypokalemic alkalosis, hypoxia, and sedatives. Treatment of these precipitating factors leads to a continuous and rapid improvement of encephalopathy. Nevertheless, protein restriction in acute encephalopathy is not recommended.

Elevation of serum ammonia is detected in 60% to 80% of patients with hepatic encephalopathy. Lowering of the ammonia levels improves encephalopathy. Hypokalemia should be corrected because hypokalemia increases renal ammonia production. Lactulose is widely used to reduce ammonia and to inhibit its production. Although there is limited evidence from controlled trials, lactulose is the mainstay of treatment to improve encephalopathy, and to prevent its recurrence. Lactulose enemas have also been reported to be more effective than tap water enemas.

Antibiotics can be also used to inhibit intestinal ammonia production and absorption. Rifaximin prevents recurrent hepatic encephalopathy over a 6-month period and is better tolerated than nonabsorbable disaccharides. Neomycin has been found to be similar in efficacy to lactulose, but also to placebo. However, the alteration in gut flora due to antibiotics use is a concern.

Intestinal ammonia production may also be inhibited by acarbose, an alpha glycosidase inhibitor. Acarbose has been shown in a randomized trial to reduce blood ammonia and improve encephalopathy in diabetes patients. Its efficacy and possible side effects in nondiabetic patients need further study.

Another approach to reduce ammonia is by stimulating glutamine synthesis which leads to enhancement of ammonia metabolism and removal. This can be achieved by ornithine and aspartate. Ornithine–aspartate has been described to be effective in mild hepatic encephalopathy, but not in acute liver failure.

An increase in the plasma aromatic amino acids to branched-chain amino acids (BCAA) ratio has been proposed as another hypothesis of hepatic encephalopathy, besides the ammonia hypothesis. Parenteral BCAA supplementation has contradictory results in mortality. Several trials have found beneficial effects of oral BCAA in cirrhotic patients intolerant to protein or under a low protein diet.

A third hypothesis of hepatic encephalopathy is the neuronal inhibition by GABA-receptor complex. This principal inhibitory network in the central nervous system includes the benzodiazepine receptor site. An increase in benzodiazepine receptor ligands has been proven in patients with hepatic encephalopathy. A meta-analysis showed that treatment with flumazenil, a benzodiazepine receptor antagonist, improves encephalopathy in some patients.

Zinc and melatonin have been suggested in the treatment of hepatic encephalopathy. Cirrhotic patients with encephalopathy have zinc deficiency. Zinc supplement
to improve encephalopathy has been described in case reports, but has not been proven in a double-blind trial.

Cirrhotic patients have elevated daytime melatonin levels which may contribute to the disturbances of the sleep–wake cycle. Melatonin supplement may be an option to alter the sleep–wake cycle in these patients.

**Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis**

Judicious use of diuresis can increase ascitic fluid opsonic activity and may prevent SBP. Aggressive treatment of infections at other sites can also prevent SBP. Antibiotics should be initiated early to maximize survival of the patients. Empirical antibiotics should be started when there is an unexplained presence of fever, abdominal pain or tenderness, altered mental status, or ascitic polymorphonuclear count of ≥250 cells/mm³. Most of the ascitic cultures in SBP reveal gut bacteria such as Escherichia coli and Klebsiella. A third-generation cephalosporin is a reasonable empirical antibiotic.

A follow-up ascitic fluid after antibiotics treatment is not necessary in most patients with SBP who have dramatic clinical response. A 5-day treatment duration has been proven to be as effective as a 10-day.

**Hepatorenal syndrome**

The onset of renal impairment is insidious and may be precipitated by gastrointestinal bleeding, infection, or overly rapid diuresis. The combination of a systemic vasoconstrictor (midodrine) and an inhibitor of endogenous vasodilator release (octreotide) but not octreotide alone improves renal and systemic hemodynamics. Vasopressin analogs plus plasma volume expansion may also be beneficial for hepatorenal syndrome.

**Hepatopulmonary syndrome**

Currently, no medical therapy has been shown to significantly improve oxygenation. Liver transplantation is indicated for patients with severe and refractory hypoxemia.

**Hepatocellular carcinoma**

Surveillance for hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with alcoholic cirrhosis is recommended to allow earlier detection of hepatocellular carcinoma to achieve better treatment response.
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