Phage Therapy: A Different Approach to Fight Bacterial Infections
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Abstract: Phage therapy is one of the alternatives to treat infections caused by both antibiotic-sensitive and antibiotic-resistant bacteria, with no or low toxicity to patients. It was started a century ago, although rapidly growing bacterial antimicrobial resistance, resulting in high levels of morbidity, mortality, and financial cost, has initiated the revival of phage therapy. It involves the use of live lytic, bioengineered, phage-encoded biological products, in combination with chemical antibiotics to treat bacterial infections. Importantly, phages will be removed from the body within seven days of clearing an infection. They target specific bacterial strains and cause minimal disruption to the microbial balance in humans. Phages for medication must be screened for the absence of resistant genes, virulent genes, cytotoxicity, and their interaction with the host tissue and organs. Since they are immunogenic, applying a high phage titer for therapy exposes them and activates the host immune system. To date, no serious side effects have been reported with human phage therapy. In this review, we describe phage–phagocyte interaction, bacterial resistance to phages, how phages conquer bacterial resistance, the role of genetic engineering and other technologies in phage therapy, and the therapeutic application of modified phages and phage-encoded products. We also highlight the comparison of antibiotics and lytic phage therapy, the pros and cons of phage therapy, determinants of human phage therapy trials, phage quality and safety requirements, phage storage and handling, and current challenges in phage therapy.
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Introduction

Phages are obligate intracellular viruses that infect and kill bacteria. They exist everywhere that bacteria live and there are about $10^{29} - 10^{30}$ phages in the biosphere. They have high durability in natural systems and the inherent potential to reproduce rapidly in their appropriate host. Phages are made up of proteins or proteolipid capsids containing fragments of deoxynucleic acid (DNA) or ribonucleic acid (RNA) (Figure 1). Their genome size ranges from a few thousand to 498 kbs. Phages have no machinery to generate energy or ribosomes to make proteins, even though they carry the genetic information needed to replicate in the right host cell.

Phages are generally specific and their specificity is determined by phage–host receptor surface, genetic and host physical defense mechanisms, the nature of the phage(s), and their co-evolution. Phage lytic enzymes (endolysins) have broader specificity at the genus and/or species level. However, their specificity varies from infecting many bacteria to infecting a single strain. Limitations in sensitivity to a single phage therapy during polymicrobial infection are solved by applying phage cocktails.

Phage therapy is a way of delivering virulent phages to a clinically ill patient to rapidly kill pathogenic bacteria. It involves the use of lytic phages, bioengineered phages, and purified lytic proteins of phages to infect and lyse bacteria at the site of infection. Phages and their lytic proteins can be used specifically to treat multidrug-resistant (MDR) bacteria, either alone or supplemented with antibiotics. Therapeutic approaches using phages are rapidly increasing, although there is still no adequate knowledge on phage–phage, phage–bacteria, or phage–human interactions, mainly because of safety and efficacy concerns. Novel concepts in phage therapy involve direct treatment of bacterial infections, phage-mediated...
prevention of bacterial infection, and the exploration of phage diversity in environmental and human ecological niches (Figure 2).^{5} Currently, human phage therapy trials are being undertaken, although therapeutic use of phages is limited to Georgia, Poland, and Russia.^{7} Phage therapy is a promising approach to fighting bacterial infections, as phages have unique bacteria-killing mechanisms and life cycles; either lytic or lysogenic growth cycles (Figure 3). Only lytic phages are used for therapeutic purposes. They inhibit the emergence of resistant bacteria by killing the bacteria that they infect and are preferable to antibiotics as they cause less damage to the general microbiome.^{8} Lytic phage therapy involves the replication of phages in phage-infected bacteria; the phages disrupt bacterial metabolism and kill the bacteria.

Figure 1 Classification of phages.

Figure 2 Novel concepts of phage therapy.
The ability of most lytic phages to encode the enzymes, holins and endolysins, that degrade bacterial structures (cell membrane and cell wall) make them a potential new weapon in the fight against bacterial infections. This property makes them efficacious against both antibiotic-sensitive and antibiotic-resistant bacteria. However, some lytic phages use only endolysins. Indeed, holins degrade the bacterial cytoplasm, allowing endolysins to access bacterial glycoproteins. Holins control the exact point in time for endolysins to access the bacterial murein and synchronize the holin–endolysin system to the late stage of viral replication. The synergetic holin–endolysin system causes cell lysis and the release of mature lytic phage progeny. \(^9\) Thereby, 50–200 mature phage progenies will be released from the lysis of a bacterium. \(^11,45\)

Temperate phages are not used for therapeutic purposes because they integrate their genome into the host chromosome or sometimes maintain it as a plasmid to be transmitted to daughter cells during cell division or horizontally across the bacterial community. They may undergo a typical lytic cycle or lysogenization. Temperate phages enter the lytic life cycle when host conditions are weakened, maybe due to a scarcity of nutrients; then, prophages become active. At this stage, they promote the reproductive cycle, resulting in lysis of the bacterial cell. In the lysogenic life cycle, the virus continues to replicate as the bacterium continues to reproduce, and is found in all bacterial offspring. Example, phage lambda of \(E.\ coli\) is a common phage that has both a lysogenic cycle and a lytic cycle. \(^10\) Surprisingly, temperate phages may increase the pathogenicity of the host bacteria because bacterial virulent genes are identified from their genome. \(^11\)

Phage cocktails are used for phage therapy owing to rapidly emerging bacterial resistance, because many types of phages infect the same species or strain of bacterium. Thus, phage cocktails could be used to target different structural sites and metabolic activities of a bacterium. It may be argued that only a single specific phage should be used against a pathogen to prevent the emergence of resistant bacteria, since the extensive use of phages may promote resistance to phage cocktails. \(^12\) Some of the challenges in applying phage cocktail therapy are the inability to predict the effect of mass use of phages, the very high cost of phage treatment, the issue of efficacy, and the high specificity of phages. \(^13\) The highly increased emergence and spread of resistant pathogens and the lack of new drug production have directed many institutions and commercial companies to become engaged in phage therapy. \(^14\) Antibiotic-resistant opportunistic pathogens are a threat, especially for immune-compromised and immune-incompetent patients in healthcare settings. These are serious problems in medicine, to which phage therapy may provide a solution. \(^15\)

Although the use of phage therapy against bacterial infections is very promising, with plenty of advantages, many advances will be needed to implement phage therapy on a large enough scale for therapeutic purposes, owing to emerging issues on the safety, quality, and stability of phages, and the lack of sufficient evidence for their use in human medication. \(^16\)
Phage–Phagocyte Interaction

A phage selected for phage therapy should be resistant to phagosomal degradation, to avoid or delay the induction of the phage’s specific adaptive immunity response and extend the survival of the phage in immunocompetent individuals.\textsuperscript{17,18} Therapeutic phages are naturally immunogenic, so they stimulate complex interactions between innate and adaptive immune cells that may affect the phage therapy. Bacterial elimination occurs owing to stimulation of local immune responses as a result of phage and bacterial-derived pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs). Since phages are immunogenic, they induce phage-specific humoral memory, which can hamper their therapeutic success owing to neutralization.\textsuperscript{19}

The role of phagocytic cells is to recognize and eliminate foreign antigens and to activate the adaptive immune system response whenever necessary. Leukocytes bind to phages in a time-, concentration-, and temperature-dependent manner, and endocytose them (through phagocytosis for particles >500 nM) to remove them. Polymorphonuclear leukocytes and macrophages can degrade phages, and phage degradation is the first step in stimulating antigen presentation and the development of an adaptive immune response.\textsuperscript{19,20} When phages express proteins that mediate bacteria–phage interaction, they bind together and macrophages become activated. Macrophages phagocytose extracellular bacteria and endocytose the phages along with them. Phagocytosis is stimulated via bacteria and phage-derived PAMPs and continual phagocytosis of phage-infected bacteria occurs. Bacteria and phage-derived PAMPs again co-stimulate macrophage activity.\textsuperscript{21,22} Antibodies produced against the bacteria opsonize the bacteria and facilitate phagocytosis by macrophages, which promotes bacterial clearance. Phage–antibody complexes bind to Fc receptors on macrophages, which triggers endocytosis and subsequent phage clearance.

In general, for phage therapy to be effective there must be a strong interaction between host-derived ligands and host pattern recognition receptors. Unfortunately, weak pattern recognition receptor activation in immune-deficient individuals affects the individual innate immune response. Thus, clinical studies need to be conducted by enrolling individuals with different immune deficiency states to apply phage therapy.

Phage–Adaptive Immune System Interaction

Phages strongly influence adaptive immunity via their effects on humeral immunity and effector polarization. They modulate the immune response and have a profound effect on the outcome of bacterial infection.\textsuperscript{23} Individuals exposed to phage therapy or naturally existing phages will clearly develop antibodies because phages are composed of densely packed immunogenic DNA or RNA and a protein coat.\textsuperscript{22,24} Phages alone are not sufficient to fight bacterial infection. The combined effect of the immune system along with phage therapy is essential to fight bacterial infections. Phages are themselves immunogenic microbes which can activate the human adaptive immune system. Phage-mediated bacterial lysis stimulates the human adaptive immune response, which enhances the efficacy of phage therapy. However, adverse phage treatment may cause toxicity owing to the release of endotoxins as a result of bacterial lysis.\textsuperscript{19,25}

Phage–immune interactions depend on immune recognition through pattern recognition receptors, the immunogenic nature of the phage, and the multiplication rate of the phage. The pattern recognition receptor recruits phagocytes to the site of infection to resolve the infection. It recognizes phage-derived DNA and RNA, resulting in phage-mediated activation of innate immune cells. The commitment of the pattern recognition receptor and the level of immune activation depend on phage type, phage dose, and nucleic acid synthesis activity.\textsuperscript{26,27} Phages are normally immunogenic in nature. So, to evade host immune response, repeated administration of phages is required to clear bacterial infection. Therefore, immunogenicity should be considered before phages are used for therapy.\textsuperscript{28} Phages were widely administered intravenously decades ago to diagnose and monitor primary and secondary immunodeficiency without reported complications even in patients with prolonged phage survival in their bloodstream. This implies their inherently low toxic effect.\textsuperscript{46} Fifty healthy volunteers who were not involved in phage therapy or in phage work were evaluated for anti-phage antibody production against phage T4, and they were positive for the naturally occurring phage antibody.\textsuperscript{22} A remarkable decline in phage activity was observed in 81% of participants seropositive for the phage antibody. In these positive sera, natural IgG antibodies specific to the phage proteins gp23\textsuperscript{*}gp24\textsuperscript{*}Hoc and Soc were identified. These findings show that
anti-T4 phage antibodies are frequent in the human population. The multiplication rate of phages can be reduced by IgG or IgA. Phages can be removed from the human body by high antibody levels and the Fc receptor-mediated uptake of phage/antibody complexes by macrophages. One of the drawbacks of phage therapy is that phages are immunogenic, so that antibodies are produced against them, which neutralize the phages and hinder infection of a bacterium by phages. At present, there is a knowledge gap on whether this regulatory function of anti-phage antibodies can prevent the appearance of resistance to phages and pre-existing immunity to natural phages, affecting phage therapy. More importantly, it is not known which phage-specific factors are responsible for the mechanism of phage clearance. To evade adaptive humeral immunity, further work on phage modification, to remove their immunogenicity and retain their lytic effect, is required.

**Bacterial Resistance to Phages**

Bacteria can develop resistance to phage therapy through spontaneous mutations, acquisition of restriction–modification (RM) systems, adaptive immunity via the clustered regular interspaced short palindromic repeat-associated (CRISPR-Cas) system, plasmids, temperate genes, and mobile genetic islands (that can carry genes coding for resistance to antibiotics). These mechanisms can be used by a bacterium to target different steps in the phage life cycle, including phage attachment, penetration, replication, and host cell lysis. Prominent resistance phenotypes are noticed as a result of distinct resistance mechanisms. There are different prominent resistance phenotypes depending on whether the resistance is partial or complete, the fitness cost associated with resistance, and whether the mutation can be countered by a mutation in the infecting phage.

Spontaneous bacterial mutation results in the emergence of phage resistance and phage–bacterium co-evolution, which may lead to phage resistance by modifying phage-associated receptors on the bacterial surface. Importantly, such alterations may be associated with reduced fitness relative to non-resistant strains. When mutation occurs in bacterial lipopolysaccharides, or when the bacterium undergoes impaired growth as a result of mutations in genes involved in essential cell function, phage-resistant bacteria may become less virulent.

Bacterial RM systems, which are often called primitive immune systems in bacteria, are ubiquitous. They are important defense mechanisms against invading phage genomes. They consist of two contrary enzymatic activities: a restriction endonuclease (REase) and a methyltransferase (MTase). The mechanism of bacterial RM systems in defense is through recognition of the methylation status of invading phage genomes. Methylated sequences are recognized as self, while sequences on the invading phage genome lacking methylation are recognized as foreign and are cleaved by the REase. The role of REase is to recognize and cleave non-self-nucleic acid sequences at specific sites, while the role of MTase activity is to ensure identification of self and foreign nucleic acids, by transferring methyl groups to the same specific nucleic acid sequence within the bacterial genome.

CRISPRs regulate the adaptive immunity of bacteria. Bacteria can develop adaptive immunity against phages by acquiring a unique bit of the phages’ DNA CRISPR-Cas machinery, called spacers, from prior exposure or infection. Bacterial adaptive immunity against phages is different from other defense mechanisms because bacteria are able to recognize prior infections by storing pieces of phage DNA (spacers) in their own DNA to neutralize future infections. Surprisingly, bacteria can not only recognize prior infections by using CRISPR-Cas, but also transfer this experience to future generations.

Bacterial mobile genetic elements may promote bacterial resistance to phage therapy. They are responsible for the horizontal transfer of phage-resistant bacterial genes among bacteria. For instance, phage resistance-conferring conjugative plasmids can disseminate quickly both within and between bacterial species by expressing mating-pair complexes that are physically in close proximity. Regardless of antibiotic selection, antibiotic resistance plasmids survive in abundance in bacterial populations because plasmids cause minimal bacterial fitness cost. In any case, if there is a fitness cost, it will be balanced rapidly by mutation in the phage or bacteria, or in both. Phages that specifically bind to the mating-pair complex encoded by conjugative, drug resistance-conferring plasmids have the potential to limit the spread of antibiotic resistance-conferring plasmids.
Conquering CRISPR

CRISPR-Cas is a genome editing system found in bacteria that helps a bacterium to defend against phages by inhibiting the integration of phage DNA to a bacterium via CRISPR and endonuclease activity (Cas). Phages undergo point mutation or deletions to escape bacterial adaptive immunity. Therefore, CRISPR-Cas (the effector molecule) fails to recognize and cut the specific genomic sequences of phages that had point mutations and/or deletions. This implies that in CRISPR-Cas systems, a single mutation in the protospacer-adjacent motif is enough to avoid targeting. Unfortunately, some phage mutations may promote CRISPR immunity by enhancing the gaining of many new spacers. Phages can evade detection by deleting part of or the entire protospacer target. Despite conquering CRISPR, this strategy can have a fitness cost to the phage, depending on the region deleted.

Phages produce different proteins that inhibit CRISPR-Cas defense, of which anti-CRISPR (Acr) proteins are the most prominent. Inhibition mechanisms of CRISPR-Cas defense include blocking target binding by DNA mimicry or steric blocking and the prevention of DNA cleavage by nucleases. An emerging way to inhibit CRISPR-Cas activity is by utilizing phages’ subversion of cellular regulatory pathways that bypass CRISPR-Cas activity. Phages may possess regulatory protein homologs to bacterial proteins that suppress bacterial defenses, known as bacterial CRISPR-Cas repressors. Otherwise, phages can use proteins that bind and inhibit bacterial regulators. Multiple bacteria modify their CRISPR-Cas activity via quorum sensing, but this behavior may be manipulated by phage-encoded proteins. Genomic modifications are another way for phages to escape from CRISPR-Cas attack. For example, five distinct anti-CRISPR genes are present in P. aeruginosa temperate phages. These genes encode a small protein that can immediately neutralize the immune system of the host by interfering with the formation or action of CRISPR-Cas ribonucleic protein.

Two general models have been implemented to manage the risk of bacterial resistance to phage therapy. These involve applying phage cocktails and adapting a single phage to each patient. Combining many phages in cocktails provides them a wide host range and improves their effectiveness. Synergizing different phages and targeting different receptors on the bacterial surface reduces bacterial resistance to phages. Such an approach has a major benefit for empirical treatment. The personalized phage therapy approach utilizes single phages or targeted phage cocktails directly based on the etiologic agent isolated. This approach is more flexible with respect to the phage spectrum, and minimizes the emergence of bacterial resistance effectively, but carries a higher cost for treatment.

In summary, although bacteria have the potential to develop resistance to phage therapy via different mechanisms, phages have several mechanisms by which they can escape bacterial resistance against them. Therefore, upgrading current practices and knowledge on phage interactions with phages, bacteria, and humans, is a promising way to treat bacterial infections in the era of increasing incidence and transmission of MDR bacterial species and strains, where the production of new antibiotics is limited.

Role of Genetic Engineering and Other Genetic Technologies for Phage Therapy

The role of genetic engineering in phage therapy is to produce phages with a broader host range and the recombination of two distinct phages, while the role of synthetic biology is to construct the whole genome of the phages, so that artificial phages that can infect bacteria can be developed. Whole genome sequencing allowed the production of new phage variants with an expanded host range and a few phage strains to cover diversified bacteria. Genetic engineering can be used to incorporate bacteriocins, enzybiotics, quorum sensing inhibitors, and biofilm-degrading enzymes into phages. These molecules inhibit bacterial metabolism and other vital bacterial activities. Therefore, when a bacterium is infected by a phage carrying these molecules, it will die. For example, an engineered T7 phage was engineered to encode lactonase. (Lactonase has a broad activity and inhibits quorum sensing molecules in bacteria, required for biofilm formation.) Engineered phages improve on conventional methods used to kill bacteria. This implies that phages can be engineered with entirely novel mechanisms to kill bacteria and alter the mode of gene expression of targeted bacteria. Recently, a cystic fibrosis patient with a disseminated Mycobacterium abscessus infection was treated by applying engineered bacteriophages for the first time. There are over 1800 mycobacterial phages in the bank, but only one of them effectively killed the clinical isolate of M. abscessus.
Modified Phages and Their Therapeutic Applications

Bioengineered phages highly minimize the drawbacks of conventional phage therapies owing to their ability to reach and kill the targeted pathogens or reverse the drug resistance of bacteria. Phage-derived biochemical endolysin (phage lysin) is effective against Gram-positive bacteria and it can be used for bacterial treatment instead of viable phages.5,14 Modified phages are phages whose specificity is deliberately altered into non-native forms. Their host recognition specificity is conferred by receptor binding domains found on phages.66 Modified phages are used to target non-native hosts; they are designed to serve as a vehicle into which antimicrobials are incorporated or attached to the surface, to suppress the host SOS DNA repair system.67,68 Modifications enable phages to overcome the narrow host range of phages, and can reduce the potential of bacteria to develop resistance, avoid challenges in phage manufacturing, exclude systemic side effects (especially endotoxin release), and prevent the phage being attacked by the immune system. To avoid the release of endotoxins by Gram-negative bacteria, mainly due to lytic phages or antibiotic treatment, phages can be made lysine deficient. For example, MRSA-infected mice were successfully treated by lysine-deficient phages because the bacterium was killed without lysis. Another means of using modified phages is a targeted gene delivery system to the site of infection using engineered filamentous phages.12 Modified phages can bypass the host immune system, persist within the body, and deliver lethal genes to the bacterial host. Many experiments in animal models revealed that engineered phages are efficient in treating infections. Filamentous phages that do not lyse the host are used as a vehicle to provide lethal genes or substances such as holins, lethal transcription regulators, and addiction toxins to induce apoptosis specifically at the site of infection.69

Phage-Encoded Products

Phages encode proteins that recognize and adhere to sites on the bacterial surface, such as peptidoglycans, pili, flagella, or efflux pumps, and to specific sugar moieties in lipopolysaccharides.70 They encode two types of lysosomes, porin endolysins and phage tail-associated murein lytic enzymes. These enzymes degrade the cell wall of the host. Endolysins, with the help of holin, lyse the bacterial cell wall from the inside and allow phage progenies to be released.71 The ability of endolysins to bind firmly to substrates on the host cell wall minimizes the turnover of endolysins and the requirement of many endolysin molecules to degrade bonds on the cell of the host.72 Phage tail-associated murein lytic enzymes hydrolyze the cell wall after adsorption of the phage to the host cell wall from the outside;73 their activity is limited to Gram-positive bacteria because Gram-negative bacteria have an outer membrane that blocks direct enzyme contact with the peptidoglycan of the host cell wall. Structurally engineered phage lysosomal molecules that have specific binding and fusing ability with other modified lysosomes have shown encouraging results against Gram-negative bacteria.74 Phage-encoded products are used to kill pathogens directly. Their merits over viable phage therapy include their enhanced ability to penetrate and diffuse to the site of action by bypassing sequestration by the spleen, lymph nodes, and other organs. Lysine is an example of a phage product assumed as antibacterial weapons. It is safe and efficient against bacteria and resistance to lysine is less frequent compared to antibiotics. They are successful in animal models against Gram-positive bacteria including S. pneumonia, S. pyogenes, B. anthracis, E. faecium, and S. aureus, but not against Gram-negative bacteria, to date.75

It is unlikely for a bacterium to evolve resistance to lysins, since lysins target sites on the peptidoglycan, which is vital for bacterial cell viability.76,77 In addition, mass preparation and administration of engineered recombinant lytic proteins is much easier than mass preparation and administration of actual phages. Modified products of phages have more potential than natural phages because viable phages have limitations due to their short shelf life, sequestration by the reticuloendothelial system, and potential to induce neutralizing antibodies.78 Applying phage lysin therapy in combination with antibiotics is more effective than the single use of either lysins or antibiotics.79,80

Advances in sequencing technology and synthetic biology have provided new opportunities to modify and use temperate genes to fight the ever-increasing antibiotic resistance.65 The gene editing technology CRISPR is used to target a specific genome sequence for site-specific cleavage. For example, it has been applied to carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae and enterohemorrhagic E. coli.9

Phage-encoded products are used to kill pathogens directly. Their merits over viable phage therapy include their enhanced ability to penetrate and diffuse to the site of action by bypassing sequestration by the spleen, lymph nodes, and other organs. Lysine is an example of a phage product assumed as antibacterial weapons. It is safe and efficient against bacteria and resistance to lysine is less frequent compared to antibiotics. They are successful in animal models against Gram-positive bacteria including S. pneumonia, S. pyogenes, B. anthracis, E. faecium, and S. aureus, but not against Gram-negative bacteria, to date.75

It is unlikely for a bacterium to evolve resistance to lysins, since lysins target sites on the peptidoglycan, which is vital for bacterial cell viability.76,77 In addition, mass preparation and administration of engineered recombinant lytic proteins is much easier than mass preparation and administration of actual phages. Modified products of phages have more potential than natural phages because viable phages have limitations due to their short shelf life, sequestration by the reticuloendothelial system, and potential to induce neutralizing antibodies.78 Applying phage lysin therapy in combination with antibiotics is more effective than the single use of either lysins or antibiotics.79,80
**Phage Therapy in Humans**

During phage therapy, lytic phages are mainly applied to kill their bacterial hosts with no effect on human cells and no or minimal disturbance to the human microbiota relative to conventional antibiotics. Phage therapy is rapidly being revived, with encouraging effects in life-saving therapeutic use and multiple clinical trials. However, it is meeting obstacles with respect to regulations and policy issues for clinical use and implementation.81

For clinical trials on phage therapy, phages should be sufficiently characterized and there must be selection of the right phage, human, and bacterium, and consideration of the right disease target for phage therapy. In addition, information on phage formulation, dosage, and efficacy is vital for effective therapy. For example, very specific phages are desired for monobacterial disease. However, this may be a limitation during polybacterial infections, unless the phage is provided in combination with antibiotics. This approach is relevant to patient safety because the removal of a single pathogen and the growth of other bacteria may threaten the patient’s life.82 In fact, phages with a broad host range may be more abundant than currently identified phages, although further investigations are required.83 Principally, all precautions, policies, and regulations for phage therapy need standardization, as is the case for antibiotics for human use.

The lack of validated and sufficiently controlled clinical trials presents a challenge for phage therapy for clinical use. Planning and designing pharmacological aspects and dosages are major activities to consider for clinical use.84 The dissemination of phages in the body may reduce their efficacy because phages require direct contact with bacteria at an optimum concentration to effectively act on the bacteria. Topical applications and many other methods are used to administer phages. Phage therapy can be given as monotherapy, combination therapy, or phage cocktails; however, the last of these provides broad-spectrum activity and a low risk of the development of resistance. More importantly, combination therapy largely elevates the challenge of diagnosing inflammatory effects, the potential for gene transfer, and phage resistance development for all phages in the cocktail.85 Another vital consideration to be addressed before any clinical trial is the onset of toxic shock, as phages are bactericidal.86

**Clinical Trials Involving Phages**

Clinical trials on phage therapy practices in Georgia and Poland were discussed by Kutter et al87 and are prominently mentioned in many studies in the literature, confirming the safety of phages in treating venous leg ulcers88 and their safety and efficacy in chronic otitis.89 Rhoads et al reported no adverse side effects in a patient with venous leg ulcers in a small phase I clinical trial on phage therapy.88 The efficacy and safety of anti-pseudomonal phages in late-stage recurrent otitis, which was mainly controlled by MDR *P. aeruginosa*, were demonstrated by Wright et al.89 Although phage therapy is incorporated in the health policies of Eastern European countries, the above-mentioned controlled clinical trials were among the first trials conducted in humans in the Western world. Currently, many clinical trials have been registered.88,89

Scientifically sound clinical trials are essential for phage therapy to be accepted by the Western clinical world. Although many observational phage therapy studies have been conducted and were effective, they have limitations owing to small sample sizes and poor control. In addition, despite the existence of promising case studies, strong clinical trial data are expected by regulators to prepare guidelines for phage therapy81 to be approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA).

**Determinants of Human Phage Therapy Trials**

**Virulent Genes**

The pathogenicity and severity of a disease may increase when virulent genes are acquired from other virulent species, which may cause treatment failure.90 Phages can carry virulent genes that may increase the virulence and pathogenicity of bacteria during lysogenization. Phage virulent genes are detected in many human pathogens, including *E. coli*, *P. aeruginosa*, *S. aureus*, and *S. pyogenes*. Currently, not all phage-encoded virulent genes have been identified. Therefore, it is vital that the metagenome and each genome sequence are added to databases of bacterial virulent genes and antibiotic resistance genes to ensure safety.91,92
Transduction
Bacteria can acquire virulent and antibiotic-resistant genes through phage-mediated transduction. This can be mitigated by avoiding known transducing phages.\(^9^3\)

Disturbance of Commensal Microbiota
It is crucial to know about and investigate all interactions of phages with human niche microbiota when using phages as therapeutic agents, and specifically any possible perturbations in the human microbiota due to strong selective pressure of lytic phages. Additional mechanistic investigations that clearly show the nature of host–phage dynamics in niche microbiota will help to hasten the process of obtaining regulatory approval for phage therapy in Western medicine.\(^9^4\)
This is sometimes mentioned as a concern. However, phages are generally species specific, so that disturbance of the commensal microbiota is minimal.\(^9^5\)

Quality and Safety Requirements
The safety and quality of phage preparations will determine the success of phage therapy. The production of phages for therapy must follow strict regulations to assure their quality for their intended use, even if no clear guidelines have been established for the manufacture of phages.\(^9^6\) The presence of impurities such as endotoxins must be avoided or kept below the threshold in phage preparations, but none has reached the optimal level so far. The quality of phage therapy is regulated by assessing and checking their stability, sterility, and cytotoxicity, and performing pH measurements regularly.\(^9^7\)

Phage Storage and Handling
Phages for therapy are mainly in the form of water suspension and are freshly made. There is not enough understanding of how to process phages with well-defined pharmaceutical properties and stability. Phages lack stability, which is one of the ideal characteristics of drugs, along with specificity, high affinity, solubility, and safety.\(^9^8\)-\(^9^9\) They are partially stable in solution owing to their protein structure. The structural instability of phages makes long-term storage difficult and

Table 1 Comparison of Antibiotic and Lytic Phage Therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Antibiotics</th>
<th>Lytic Phage</th>
<th>Reference(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mechanism of action</td>
<td>Inhibition of cell-wall synthesis, DNA replication, or protein synthesis</td>
<td>Infection and subsequent lysis of bacteria</td>
<td>[61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>(Usually) broad spectrum: Gram-negative or Gram-positive species, or both</td>
<td>Narrow spectrum: one or many individual strains within a bacterial species</td>
<td>[54,55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vital microorganism</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes (inactivation by heat or low pH)</td>
<td>[16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innate immune stimulation</td>
<td>No direct effect on innate immune cells</td>
<td>Phages contain PAMPs such as DNA and RNA. Release of PAMPs upon loss of bacterial cell-wall integrity, Release of PAMPs upon bacterial cell lysis</td>
<td>[16–18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ab induction</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes (phages are complex biological organisms bearing immunogenic proteins)</td>
<td>[19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half-life</td>
<td>Several hours up to 1 day</td>
<td>Depending on host immunity and target species bioburden, hours to weeks</td>
<td>[16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistance development</td>
<td>Natural resistance (target missing)</td>
<td>Natural resistance (presence of no susceptible strains)</td>
<td>[62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acquired resistance (accessory genomic elements encoding resistance mechanisms)</td>
<td>Acquired resistance (selection of no susceptible strains based on CRISPR-Cas system, target modification, etc.)</td>
<td>[63–65]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Development of resistance upon exposure (mutations)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
cooling is essential to store phage preparations. Phages in aqueous solution can be stabilized by the addition of stability enhancers or by processing them into another formulation, through lyophilization, spray drying, or incorporating them into ointments, biodegradable polymer matrices, or microparticles.

**Conclusion and Perspectives**

Current challenges facing phage therapy are: compatibility with current quality and safety requirements, necessitating the stability of phage preparations for long periods of time, designing effective assays for phage screening, overcoming the limited activity of phages in biofilms, controlling and disabling the appearance of bacterial resistance to phages, production of antibody-neutralizing phages, sequestration by the spleen and liver, and launching an appropriate regulatory framework for phage products. A comparison of different antibacterial treatment mechanisms by administering antibiotics and phages is shown in Table 1. The pros and cons of phage therapy are shown in Table 2.

Phage therapy is a promising approach to combat bacterial infections, including multidrug-resistant bacteria. For efficacious phage therapy, phages need to be present in high concentrations, stable, able to encounter bacteria with no restrictions, and able to replicate. Phage therapy can be used either as an alternative or as a supplement to antibiotics. The increment of antibiotic-resistant bacteria can be reduced using phage cocktails, phage-derived lytic proteins, bioengineered phages, and/or antibiotics. Phage therapy is highly specific, effective in lysing the targeted bacteria, safe (as seen in Eastern Europe), and quickly modifiable to fight newly emerging bacterial threats. Although it has been shown to be effective in some clinical trials, many of the trials do not meet the existing high standards for clinical trials and many questions remain regarding the therapeutic use of phages. Better understanding of phage–host and phage–human interactions, phage diversity, phage dynamics, and genome function is essential to develop a new strategy in the fight against bacterial infections and to overcome the challenges associated with phage therapy. Nevertheless, despite numerous studies having been conducted on phage therapy in the last few decades in the Western world, and before that in Eastern Europe, no phage therapies for humans have been approved by the European Union or the US FDA. Finally, further all-inclusive intensive studies are necessary to justify phage therapy for large-scale clinical use.
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