Multidrug-Resistant Bacteria Isolated from Blood Culture Samples in a Moroccan Tertiary Hospital: True Bacteremia or Contamination?
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Purpose: To demonstrate the relevance of clinico-biological correlation in the interpretation of positive blood cultures (BC) for multidrug-resistant (MDR) bacteria, among adult and pediatric patients, in order to distinguish between true bacteremia (TB) and contaminations and to evaluate the impact on patient management.

Patients and Methods: This six-month study was conducted at Mohammed VI University Hospital in Marrakech. All MDR bacteria isolated from BCs carried out on hospitalized patients during this period were included. For each positive BC to MDR microorganism, demographic and clinical characteristics, laboratory findings, therapeutic and evolution data were collected.

Results: TB was considered in 157 (94.6%) of the 166 positive-culture episodes for MDR bacteria, while 9 (5.4%) were classified as false-positive. Contamination rate was 0.2% (9/3824). TB and contaminations occurred mainly in intensive care units (ICUs), with the neonatal ICU being the most concerned (p = 0.016). Clinical signs of sepsis were present in all TB patients, with a significant difference between the two groups (p = 0.000). CRP values were higher in the TB group (p = 0.000). The most isolated true pathogens were ESBL-producing Enterobacterales (50%) and carbapenem-resistant Enterobacterales (33.3%). They also predominated in contaminated BCs. Isolation of the same microorganism from other sites was significantly associated with TB (p = 0.012). In contrast to the contaminations group, the difference in the clinical course of TB patients, according to whether or not they received appropriate probabilistic antibiotics, was statistically significant (p = 0.000). These patients had longer hospital stays and longer durations of antibiotic therapy. The overall mortality rate was 39.6%.

Conclusion: Distinguishing between MDR-positive BCs representing clinically significant bacteremia or simple contamination requires a careful clinical, biological, and microbiological confrontation of each MDR positive BC in order to avoid unnecessary overuse of broad-spectrum antibiotics and thus reduce resistance selective pressure.
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Introduction

According to the World Health Organisation (WHO), antimicrobial resistance is currently the most urgent threat to global health.1 It contributes to an estimated 700,000 deaths per year and is expected to cause 10 million deaths per year by 2050 if no effective interventions are adopted.2

Multidrug-resistant (MDR) bacteria carry an arsenal of virulence factors and have acquired versatile resistance mechanisms to counteract the most effective antibiotics.3 Among MDR bacteria, the following pathogens: Enterococcus (E.) faecium, Staphyloccocus (S.) aureus, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Acinetobacter (A.) baumannii, Pseudomonas (P.)
aeruginosa, and Enterobacter species have been designated under the acronym “ESKAPE” because of their ability to escape the bactericidal activity of conventional antibiotics. In February 2017, the WHO developed a priority pathogen list where ESKAPEs and other microorganisms were stratified into three categories according to the urgency of the need for new antibiotics: critical, high, and medium priority. Vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* (VRE) faecium and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) were classified as high priority pathogens. Carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* (CRAB), carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (CRPA), and carbapenem-producing or extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing strains of *Enterobacterales* were stratified as critical priority pathogens as they cause life-threatening infections such as pneumonia and bloodstream infections. However, the development of new antibiotics to control the threat of multidrug-resistance will remain insufficient in the absence of efforts focused on infection prevention and appropriate use of existing and newly developed antibiotics.

Bloodstream infections are one of the potentially life-threatening MDR infections in adults and pediatric patients. These are increasing worldwide and are associated with high morbidity, mortality, and significant costs. They represent a real challenge for clinicians in terms of patients’ management, which can even lead to therapeutic impasse. Prompt diagnosis and urgent treatment based on early, adequate, and broad-spectrum antibiotics are required.

The blood culture (BC) is the gold standard for the diagnosis of bacteremia. Its execution procedures are strictly codified. The BC contamination rate is a commonly used indicator of BC processing quality, which needs to be continuously monitored to keep it within the international standards. The most common contaminants are coagulase-negative staphylococci, *Corynebacterium* spp., *Bacillus* spp. (other than *B. anthracis*), *Propionibacterium acnes*, *Micrococcus* spp. and *Streptococcus viridans*. This report is of particular interest because it focuses on unusual BC contamination with MDR bacteria. The microbiology team’s routine communication with clinicians when BCs are positive for MDR germs, in order to inform them about the positivity of BCs and to promptly guide the empirical antibiotic therapy in use, was in some cases unexpected as the patient’s clinical state was stable even under an inappropriate probabilistic antibiotherapy. Therefore, doubt about the possibility of contamination by MDR bacteria is raised, and the interest in correlating the clinical and biological findings of any positive BC with MDR bacteria in order to establish its true clinical significance becomes obvious. This is the first national study to assess the clinico-biologic correlation in MDR bacteremia. BC contamination can be misleading to clinicians and could have a significant impact on patient management, leading to unjustified use of broad-spectrum antibiotics, longer hospital stays, unnecessary further investigations, and therefore increased hospital charges. The aim of this study was to demonstrate the relevance of the clinico-biological correlation in the interpretation of positive BCs for MDR bacteria in order to distinguish between true bacteremia and false-positive BCs and to evaluate their impact on patient management.

**Patients and Methods**

**Settings and Study Design**

This monocentric prospective observational study was carried out in the University Hospital Center (CHU) Mohammed-VI of Marrakech, Morocco, North Africa, a public establishment of fourth resort healthcare. It is composed of four hospitals with a complete range of specialized disciplines and a haematology center (capacity of 1548 beds) and provides care to the whole population of Marrakech-Safi and the southern regions with approximately 57,096 admissions per year. Over a consecutive 6-month period from June 2019 to December 2019, all MDR strains isolated from BCs of patients hospitalized in the different departments of the CHU during the study period, without age restriction, were included.

**Data Collection and Definitions**

Baseline demographic characteristics, clinical details, laboratory findings, therapeutic and evolution data were collected for each MDR-positive BC from the patients’ charts and computerized medical records (Hosix software).

For therapeutic management, we collected probabilistic and definitive antibiotherapy, duration of antibiotic therapy, and hospital stay. Probabilistic and definitive antibiotherapy refer to antibiotics administered before and after receipt of
the susceptibility testing results, respectively. The inappropriateness of the probabilistic regimen was judged, after analysis of the susceptibility testing data, based on comparison with the remaining active antimicrobial agents for each MDR bacteria. It was considered adequate if the isolated microorganisms exhibited in vitro sensitivity to one of the antibiotics administered to the patient and vice versa.

Among the positive BCs with MDR bacteria, TB was defined as any positive BC in a patient with clinical and biological evidence of sepsis. In adults, sepsis was defined according to the definition of the Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3) using the Sequential Organ Failure Assessment Score (SOFA score), calculated at the time of BC on the basis of clinical and biological criteria. A SOFA score of 2 or greater is used to define sepsis.\textsuperscript{15} Given the lack of consensus on the definition of sepsis in pediatrics, BCs were considered contaminated when the patient was clinically stable and the laboratory findings were not consistent with the BC result in the absence of any antibiotics, or when the patient had a good clinical course under empirical antibiotics not corresponding to the susceptibility pattern of the cultured isolate, or when the clinician clearly stated in the medical record that the result represented contamination. In this study, all neonates with abnormal vital signs, poor feeding, apparent change in mental status, tone, or perfusion with a positive BC and compatible biological parameters were assumed to have neonatal sepsis.\textsuperscript{16,17}

The MDR bacteria included in this study were: ESBL-producing Enterobacterales, carbapenem-producing Enterobacterales (CPE), CRAB, CRPA, MRSA, and VRE.

The isolation of a single MDR microorganism from BC vials was defined as a “monomicrobial episode”. The presence of at least two different MDR bacteria in the same BC was referred to as a “polymicrobial episode”.

MDR bacteremia was considered to be nosocomial when the first positive BC was collected >48 hours after admission.

**Microbiology**

**Bacterial Strains and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing**

Blood samples, collected in BD BACTEC\textsuperscript{®} vials (Becton Dickinson, USA), were processed by the BD BACTEC™ FX-400 (Becton Dickinson diagnostics, Sparks, USA) automated system according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Positive samples for bacterial growth were Gram stained and subcultured on appropriate media.

The species identification was carried out using the BD Phoenix automated microbiology system (Becton–Dickinson Diagnostic Systems, Sparks, MD, USA). The antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) was performed using the standard disk diffusion method on Mueller–Hinton agar according to the 2019 guidelines of the Antibiogram Committee of the French Society for Microbiology/European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (CA-SFM/EUCAST).\textsuperscript{18} The AST was also determined by the automated method (Phoenix BD system). The minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of selected antibiotics was measured using the gradient diffusion method: E-test\textsuperscript{®} (BioMérieux, Marcy L’Étoile, France).

For Enterobacterales species, 16 antibiotics (bioMérieux, Marcy L’Étoile, France) were tested, namely, amoxicillin (AMX; 10 μg), amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (AMC; 20–10 μg), piperacillin (PIP; 30 μg), piperacillin-tazobactam (TZP; 75–10 μg), ceftoxitin (FOX; 30 μg), cefazidime (CAZ; 10 μg), cefotaxime (CTX; 30 μg), cefepime (CPM; 30 μg), aztreonam (ATM; 30 μg), ertapenem (ETP; 10 μg), imipenem (IPM; 10 μg), amikacin (AMK; 30 μg), gentamicin (GEN; 10 μg), nalidixic acid (NAL; 30 μg), ciprofloxacin (CIP, 5 μg) and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (SXT; 1.25–23.75 μg).

The AST panel for P. aeruginosa and A. baumannii contained 11 antibiotics: ticarcillin (TIC; 75 μg), piperacillin (PIP; 30 μg), piperacillin-tazobactam (TZP; 75–10 μg), ticarcillin-clavulanic acid (TIM; 75–10 μg), cefazidime (CAZ; 10 μg), cefepime (CPM; 30 μg), imipenem (IPM; 10 μg), amikacin (AMK; 30 μg), gentamicin (GEN; 10 μg), tobramycin (TOB; 10 μg), ciprofloxacin (CIP, 5 μg). In addition to aztreonam (ATM; 30 μg) for P. aeruginosa and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (SXT; 1.25–23.75 μg) for A. baumannii.

For S. aureus, 11 antibiotics were tested: cefoxitin (FOX; 30 μg), norfloxacin (NX; 10 μg), ciprofloxacin (CIP, 5 μg), erythromycin (ERY, 15 μg) clindamycin (CLI; 2 μg), gentamicin (GEN; 10 μg), tobramycin (TOB; 10 μg), kanamycin (KAN; 30 μg), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (SXT; 1.25–23.75 μg), fusidic acid (FA; 10 μg), linezolid (LZD; 10 μg).
For *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium*, the AST panel consisted of 10 antibiotics: ampicillin (AMP; 2 μg), norfloxacin (NX; 10 μg), ciprofloxacin (CIP; 5 μg), erythromycin (ERY; 15 μg) clindamycin (CLI; 2 μg), gentamicin (GEN; 30 μg), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (SXT; 1.25–23.75 μg), vancomycin (VAN; 5 μg), teicoplanin (TEC; 30 μg) and linezolid (LZD; 10 μg).

The following reference strains were used for routine quality control of AST: *E. coli* ATCC 25922, *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 27853, *S. aureus* ATCC 29213 and *E. faecalis* ATCC 29212. All AST results were interpreted in accordance with the 2019 CA-SFM/EUCAST guidelines.¹⁸

**Detection of Multidrug Resistance**

Using the double-disk synergy test, the ESBL production was concluded when the inhibition zone around CTX, CAZ, CPM, and ATM (30 μg each) antibiotic discs was expanded close to AMC (20/10 μg), resulting in the appearance of a characteristic shaped-zone referred to as a “champagne cork”.¹⁹

Following detection of reduced susceptibility to carbapenems in *Enterobacterales* isolates (inhibition zone diameter ≤25 mm for 10-μg ertapenem disc or MIC > 0.5 mg/L), the imipenem MIC was determined and a phenotypic method based on the Combined Disk Test (CDT) was applied.²⁰ Each isolate was then subjected to a lateral flow immunochromatographic test, Resist-5 O.O.K.N.V. (CORIS Bioconcept, Belgium), as per the manufacturer’s directions, to confirm the presence of one or more carbapenemases (NDM, VIM, KPC, OXA-48, and OXA-163). The Resist-5 O.O.K.N.V. test was also used for imipenem-resistant *A. baumannii* (MIC > 4 mg/L) and *P. aeruginosa* (MIC > 8 mg/L).

For *S. aureus* isolates, a 30-μg cefoxitin disk was used as a surrogate marker for determining mecA-mediated oxacillin resistance. An inhibition zone with a diameter ≤22 mm around the cefoxitin disk was interpreted as MRSA. *S. aureus* NCTC 12493 was used as a quality control for mecA positive strains.

**Statistical Analysis**

The data were collected using Microsoft Excel 2007 and analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 25.0. (IBM Corp., Armonk, N.Y., USA). The TB and contamination groups were compared on the basis of the demographic characteristics, the inpatient wards, clinical details, laboratory findings, therapeutic data, and clinical course. Both groups were also compared for the main MDR bacteria isolated. Values were presented as medians (interquartile ranges [IQRs]) for quantitative variables and as percentages for qualitative variables. Comparison between the two groups was performed using the Chi-square test or two-tailed Fisher exact test for categorical variables and the Student *t* test or Mann–Whitney *U*-test for quantitative variables. *p*-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant for all analyses.

**Results**

During the six months of the study, 3824 BCs were received in the microbiology laboratory, obtained from patients hospitalized in the different departments of the Mohammed-VI University Hospital of Marrakech. Bacterial growth was detected in 1006 BCs with a positivity rate of 26.3%. In all, 154 patients were identified, giving a prevalence of 4.3% (166/3824). This prevalence was 2.9% in pediatrics and 1.4% in adults. TB was assumed in 157 episodes among 145 patients, representing 94.6% of all culture-positive episodes for MDR bacteria. Nine patients presented several bacteremic episodes with different MDR germs during the same hospitalization (two episodes for six patients and three episodes for three patients). Burn patients represented 55.5% (5/9) of these cases. Positive BCs to MDR bacteria classified as contaminated BCs accounted for 5.4% (n = 9) over this period. The rate of contamination was 0.2% (9/3824) (Figure 1).

**Patients’ Characteristics**

The age group of less than 1 month was the most represented in both TB (n = 67, 42.7%) and contamination groups (n = 7, 77.8%) with an average age of 7.78 and 2.86 days, respectively. Neonates with contaminated BCs were significantly younger than those with TB (7.78 days versus 2.86 days, *p* = 0.014). No contaminated BCs were identified in the age group >15 years (35.7% versus 0.0%, *p* = 0.029). Male predominance was observed in both groups (sex ratio: 1.70 versus 3.5, *p* = 0.490). TB (n = 129, 82.2%) and contaminations (n = 7, 77.8%) occurred mainly in intensive care units (ICUs).
The neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) was significantly more concerned ($p = 0.016$). No significant difference was observed in terms of initial diagnosis between the two groups. In addition, 59.2% of patients had a central line in the TB group versus 0.0% in the contamination group ($p = 0.000$). At the time of blood sampling, clinical signs of sepsis were present in all patients who had TB, with a significant difference between the two groups ($p = 0.000$). Regarding biological tests, C-reactive protein (CRP) was positive in 44.4% of patients with contaminated BCs. Its values were higher in the TB group, with a median of 140.83 mg/L compared to 13.71 mg/L in the contamination group ($p = 0.000$). Thrombocytopenia and lymphopenia were noted in a large number of patients with TB. This difference was statistically significant (platelets (G/L): 129 versus 246, $p = 0.038$) and (lymphocytes (G/L): 1.62 versus 4.09, $p = 0.017$) (Table 1).

**Table 1** Baseline Characteristics of Patients with Positive BCs with MDR Bacteria (True Bacteremia and Contaminations)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>True Bacteremia (n = 157)</th>
<th>Contaminations (n = 9)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age groups:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1 month (n = 74)</td>
<td>67 (42.7%)</td>
<td>7 (77.8%)</td>
<td>0.079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 month – 15 years (n = 36)</td>
<td>34 (21.7%)</td>
<td>2 (22.2%)</td>
<td>&gt;0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;15 years (n = 56)</td>
<td>56 (35.7%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (n = 106)</td>
<td>99 (63.1%)</td>
<td>7 (77.8%)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (n = 60)</td>
<td>58 (36.9%)</td>
<td>2 (22.2%)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wards:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICUs (n = 136)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· NICU</td>
<td>67 (51.9%)</td>
<td>7 (100%)</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· PICU</td>
<td>22 (17.1%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0.598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Adult ICU</td>
<td>40 (31%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical unit (n = 17)</td>
<td>15 (9.6%)</td>
<td>2 (22.2%)</td>
<td>0.231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical unit (n = 3)</td>
<td>3 (1.9%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>&gt;0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemato-oncology (n = 10)</td>
<td>10 (6.4%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>&gt;0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Underlying conditions:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &lt;1 month (n = 74)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Prematurity (n = 51)</td>
<td>47 (70.1%)</td>
<td>4 (57.1%)</td>
<td>0.670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA &lt;34 SA (n = 32)</td>
<td>31 (46.3%)</td>
<td>1 (14.3%)</td>
<td>0.131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA between 34–36 SA (n = 19)</td>
<td>16 (25%)</td>
<td>3 (42.9%)</td>
<td>0.363</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
Table 1 (Continued).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>True Bacteremia (n = 157)</th>
<th>Contaminations (n = 9)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extreme ages (n = 83)</td>
<td>76 (48.4%)</td>
<td>7 (77.8%)</td>
<td>0.168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior hospitalization (n = 25)</td>
<td>23 (14.6%)</td>
<td>2 (22.2%)</td>
<td>0.626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior antibiotic therapy** (n = 22)</td>
<td>20 (12.7%)</td>
<td>2 (22.2%)</td>
<td>0.340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term corticosteroid use (n = 7)</td>
<td>6 (3.8%)</td>
<td>1 (11.1%)</td>
<td>0.328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemotherapy (n = 10)</td>
<td>10 (6.4%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>&gt;0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central line (n = 93)</td>
<td>93 (59.2%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carriage of MDR*** (n = 6)</td>
<td>6 (3.8%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>&gt;0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comorbidity*:

Age <1 month (n = 74)
- Neonatal pulmonary infection (n = 13) 11 (16.4%) 2 (28.6%) 0.599
- Neonatal pulmonary infection + prématurity (n = 26) 24 (35.8%) 2 (28.6%) >0.99
- Neonatal respiratory distress (n = 5) 4 (6%) 1 (14.3%) 0.400
- Neonatal respiratory distress + prématurity (n = 20) 18 (26.9%) 2 (28.6%) >0.99
- Perinatal asphyxia (n = 10) 8 (11.9%) 2 (28.6%) 0.238
- Jaundice (n = 8) 7 (10.4%) 1 (14.3%) 0.567
- Neonatal seizures (n = 5) 5 (7.5%) 0 (0%) >0.99
- Congenital malformations (n = 7) 7 (10.4%) 0 (0%) >0.99
- Ulcero-necrotizing enterocolitis (n = 4) 4 (6%) 0 (0%) >0.99

Burns (n = 24) 24 (15.3%) 0 (0%) 0.360
Trauma (n = 14) 14 (8.9%) 0 (0%) >0.99
Hematological malignancy (n = 10) 10 (6.4%) 0 (0%) >0.99
Kidney disease (n = 11) 10 (6.4%) 1 (11.1%) 0.469
Post-surgery (n = 15) (cardiac, cranial, malformative, traumatic.) 15 (100%) 0 (0%) >0.99
Others (n = 24) 23 (95.7%) 1 (11.1%) >0.99

Presence of clinical signs of sepsis at the time of blood sampling (n = 157) 157 (100%) 0 (0%) 0.000

Laboratory parameters: median (interquartile range)

- White blood cells (G/L) 13.92 (0.11–56.27) 8.55 (8.43–12.63) 0.551
- ANC (G/L) 8.87 (0–53.8) 5.12 (1.99–7.71) 0.076
- Lymphocytes (G/L) 1.62 (0.05–7.23) 4.09 (0.71–5.61) 0.017
- Platelets (G/L) 129 (1–632) 246 (90–540) 0.038
- CRP (mg/L) 140.83 (31.29–492.10) 13.71 (3.72–30.69) 0.000

Median duration of antibiotic therapy (days) 10 (1–36) 8 (2–12) 0.049

Median length of stay (days) 16 (2–90) 10 (2–20) 0.008

- ≤48h (n = 2) 1 (0.7%) 1 (11.1%) 0.114
- 48h-10 days] (n = 38) 33 (22.8%) 5 (55.6%) 0.041
- 10–21 days] (n = 58) 55 (37.9%) 3 (33.3%) 0.542
- >21 days (n = 154) 56 (100%) 0 (0%) 0.015

Overall mortality 61 (42.1%) 0 (0%) 0.009

Notes: *The association of more than one comorbidity is possible. **Previous antibiotic therapy (in the previous 3 months) could not be verified in all patients. ***Screening for MDR carriage was not systematically performed in all patients.

Abbreviations: ICUs, intensive care units; NICU, Neonatal ICU; PICU, pediatric ICU; GA, Gestational age; ANC, Absolute neutrophil counts.
Characteristics of Positive BCs

One hundred and seventy-one (171) non-redundant MDR bacteria were isolated from 1006 positive BCs during the six-month period. A total of 162 (94.7%) were true MDR pathogens, isolated from 152 monomicrobial and 5 polymicrobial positive BCs for two MDR organisms. Nine (5.3%) were considered contaminants (Table 2). Among TB, *Enterobacteriales* widely dominated the bacteriological profile (136/162; 83.9%), with 50% (81/162) of ESBL-producing *Enterobacteriales* and 33.3% (54/162) of CPE. *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (77/136; 56.6%) and *Enterobacter cloacae* (37/136; 27.2%) were the most isolated MDR-*Enterobacteriales*. MDR non-fermenting Gram-negative bacteria (GNB) represented 13.6% (22/162) of the true pathogens isolated, and MRSA was identified in 4 TB cases (2.5%). No VRE strain was found. These TB were nosocomial in 95.5% of cases. The spectrum of contaminants had the same distribution as the true pathogens, with contamination mainly by MDR-*Enterobacteriales* (Table 2). There was no significant difference between the two groups according to the type of MDR strains identified. In 41.7% of the cases, the same MDR microorganism was isolated from other sites, which was significantly associated with TB (p = 0.012).

Treatments and Outcomes

Antibiotic Therapy in Patients with Contaminated BCs

Before the susceptibility testing results, eight (n = 8) patients with contaminated BCs (n = 9) were on antibiotics. Three of them were receiving “aminopenicillins and aminoglycosides”. Three were given 3rd generation cephalosporins and two were on carbapenems. According to the antibiogram, this antibiotic therapy was inappropriate in 87.5% of cases.

Table 2 Distribution of the Main Monomicrobial and Polymicrobial Causative Agents (True Pathogens and Contaminants) in Positive BCs with MDR Bacteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDR Isolates (n=171)</th>
<th>True Bacteremia (n=157)</th>
<th>Contaminations (n=9)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monomicrobial episode</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● ESBL-E (n=80)</td>
<td>152 (96.8%)</td>
<td>9 (100%)</td>
<td>&gt;0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o <em>Klebsiella pneumoniae</em> (n = 41)</td>
<td>77 (50.7%)</td>
<td>3 (33.3%)</td>
<td>0.495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o <em>Enterobacter cloacae</em> (n = 25)</td>
<td>38 (49.4%)</td>
<td>3 (100%)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o <em>Escherichia coli</em> (n = 10)</td>
<td>25 (32.5%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Others* (n=4)</td>
<td>10 (13%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● CPE (n=51)</td>
<td>50 (32.9%)</td>
<td>1 (11.1%)</td>
<td>0.274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o <em>Klebsiella pneumoniae</em> (n = 34)</td>
<td>34 (68%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o <em>Enterobacter cloacae</em> (n = 10)</td>
<td>9 (18%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o <em>Escherichia coli</em> (n = 3)</td>
<td>3 (6%)</td>
<td>1 (18%)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Others* (n=4)</td>
<td>4 (8%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● CRPA (n=4)</td>
<td>3 (2%)</td>
<td>1 (11.1%)</td>
<td>0.207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● CRAB (n=20)</td>
<td>18 (11.8%)</td>
<td>2 (22.2%)</td>
<td>0.310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● MRSA (n=6)</td>
<td>4 (2.6%)</td>
<td>2 (22.2%)</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Polymicrobial episode</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● CP-KP and CP-Citrobacter freundii</td>
<td>5 (3.2%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>&gt;0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● CP-KP and CRAB</td>
<td>1 (20%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● ESBL-KP and ESBL-EK</td>
<td>1 (20%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● ESBL-KP and CP-EK</td>
<td>2 (40%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other sites positive for the same isolates (n=65)</td>
<td>65 (41.7%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nosocomial acquisition</td>
<td>149 (95.5%)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *ESBL-E Enterobacter aerogenes (n = 2), ESBL-Citrobacter freundii (n = 1), ESBL-Proteus mirabilis (n = 1), CP-Enterobacter aerogenes (n = 1), CP-Klebsiella oxytoca (n = 2), CP-Serratia fonticola (n = 1). **Five polymicrobial BC positive for two MDR germs (9 MDR-Enterobacteriales and 1 CRAB). Abbreviations: ESBL-E, Extended-Spectrum Beta-Lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae; CPE, carbapenem-producing Enterobacteriaceae; CRAB, carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii; CRPA, carbapenem-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa; MRSA, Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus; VRE, vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus; KP, Klebsiella pneumoniae; EK, Enterobacter cloacae.
with a good clinical course in all these patients (Table 3). It was interrupted and not substituted in two patients and carried on in five others even though it was not consistent with the sensitivity profile of the isolated microorganisms. It was also adjusted to the antibiogram in one patient with the combination “imipenem and aminoglycoside”.

**Antibiotic Therapy in Patients with TB**

Empirical antibiotic therapy was started in all patients with TB (n = 145), based on 3rd generation cephalosporins in 46.2% of the cases, carbapenems (31%), combination of “beta-lactams and glycopeptides” or colistin (1.4%), and tri-antibiotic therapy (11%). In vitro susceptibility testing revealed that the probabilistic therapy was appropriate in 35.9% (52/145) of the cases: 26.9% (39/145) were on “carbapenems and aminoglycosides”, 2.06% (3/145) on “colistin and aminoglycosides” and 5.5% (8/145) on tri-antibiotic therapy. Good clinical outcome was noted in 55.5% (29/52) of these patients, with a mortality rate of 9.6% (5/52). Of the 47 survivors who were on appropriate empirical antibiotic therapy, this was continued in 41 patients, with de-escalation to a narrower spectrum in 6 patients.

This empirical antibiotic therapy was inappropriate in 64.1% (93/145) of cases based on 3rd generation cephalosporins in 70.9% (66/145) of cases, the combination “aminopenicillins and aminoglycosides” in 11.8% (11/145) of cases, and carbapenems in 6.4% (6/145) of cases. The clinical course was unfavourable in 79.6% (74/93) of these patients, with a mortality rate of 20.4% (19/93). All survivors with an unfavourable outcome from inappropriate antibiotic therapy (n = 74) received an adjustment of the regimen according to the alternatives available on the antibiogram.

**Clinical Course of Patients in the TB Group versus the Contaminations Group**

The difference in the clinical course of patients in the TB group, according to whether or not they received appropriate probabilistic antibiotic therapy, was statistically significant (p = 0.000). In contrast to the contamination group, where 87.5% of patients had a favourable course despite inappropriate empirical antibiotic therapy (p = 0.882) (Table 3). Patients with TB had longer hospital stays (median: 16 days versus 10 days, P<0.008) and a prolonged duration of antibiotic therapy (median: 10 days versus 8 days, p = 0.049). The final outcome was favourable in 60.4% (93/154) of patients, with an overall mortality rate of 39.6% (61/154). This rate was significantly higher in the TB group (42.1% versus 0.0%, P = 0.009).

**Discussion**

The increased use of antibiotics has led to the emergence and spread of MDR bacteria globally. This multidrug-resistance is of particular concern in GNB (Enterobacterales and non-fermenting GNB) because of their broad and diversified resistome. Beyond their innate resistance, these bacteria have the potential to acquire additional resistance mechanisms through mutations in chromosomal genes or through the horizontal transfer of resistance genes carried on vectors such as plasmids, transposons, or integrons. Bacteria can resist antimicrobial agents by enzymatic and/or non-enzymatic mechanisms. Non-enzymatic pathways include altered membrane permeability, efflux pumps, or modifications in drug targets. Among the enzymatic mechanisms employed to inactivate or hydrolyse antibiotics, ESBLs and carbapenemases are two enzyme groups of high epidemiologic importance, involved in impairing the effectiveness of last resort

---

**Table 3** Comparison of the Clinical Course of Patients According to the Appropriateness of Probabilistic Antibiotic Therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical Course Under Probabilistic Antibiotic Therapy</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Favourable</td>
<td>Unfavourable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>True bacteremia (n= 145)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriate (n=52)</td>
<td>29 (55.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inappropriate (n=93)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contaminations (n=9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriate (n=1)</td>
<td>1 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inappropriate (n=8)</td>
<td>7 (87.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S373065
Dovepress
Infection and Drug Resistance 2022:15

5698

Nachate et al

Dovepress

Powered by TCPDF (www.tcpdf.org)
antimicrobials in the treatment of life-threatening infections. The appropriate and judicious use of currently available antibiotics is of utmost importance.

During the six-month study period, the number of documented TB cases caused by MDR bacteria was alarming (n = 157, 15.6%). ICUs (82.2%), including NICU (51.9%), were the most affected. A marked predominance of ESBL-E (50%) and CPE (33.3%) was observed. The same trends have been reported in several studies.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine bloodstream infections in both adult and pediatric populations, pointing out the likelihood of contamination with MDR microorganisms. This study reports a percentage of contaminated BCs of 5.4% (n = 9) among the 166 BCs positive for MDR bacteria. The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) recommends an overall BC contamination rate of <3% as the standard benchmark. BC contamination is a critical phenomenon with prevalence rates ranging from 0.6% to 12.5% or more among different institutions. Our contamination rate was 0.2% (9/3824). These contaminated BCs originated mainly from the pediatrics department and particularly concerned neonates hospitalized in the NICU (n = 7). Reported rates in the neonatal population range from 2.6 to 18%. This would be due to the difficulties of blood sampling in these patients; the small amount of blood taken for culture; the reduced number of vials usually drawn; and the common use of intravascular catheters instead of peripheral venipunctures as a sampling method for the patient’s comfort to minimise microtrauma and to preserve the patient’s venous capital. False-positive BC occurs when microorganisms isolated from BCs are brought from outside the patient’s bloodstream, most often from their immediate environment. Distinguishing contaminated BCs from clinically significant bacteremia can be challenging for clinicians and microbiologists. When the patient has clinical features requiring BCs and the contaminant is a potential pathogen, it is very likely to be considered a true bacteremia, which has serious repercussions not only for the patient but also for the healthcare resources. Thus, rigorous interpretation of positive BCs is imperative.

Clinical signs of sepsis were present in all patients with TB, with a significant difference between the two groups (p=0.000). In contrast to sepsis definitions in adults and children, the distinction between infection and sepsis in the NICU is actually not well defined. A recent case-control study established a neonatal sequential organ failure assessment (nSOFA) score that can be useful to define sepsis in this population but still needs to be validated in additional cohorts. Laboratory findings may help in distinguishing between true and false-positive BCs. In neonates, CRP is one of the most commonly used tests. A single positive CRP obtained at least 12 hours after the onset of symptoms has been shown to be useful in supporting the clinical suspicion of sepsis. In the current study, CRP values were higher in the TB group (p=0.000), and lymphopenia and thrombocytopenia were also noted in a large number of patients with true positive BCs with a statistically significant difference. Similarly, Chiu et al reported that elevated CRP and WBC levels were significant predictors of TB in the paediatric population. El-Naggari et al, found a significant association between high WBC and ANC in children with TB, whereas there was no statistically significant difference in CRP levels between the two groups. From a microbiological basis, several clues may be used in the interpretation of clinical significance of the positive BC: the identity of microorganism, number of positive culture sets, number of positive BC bottles within a set, and time to positivity. The identity of the microorganism was found to be the most important predictor to differentiate TB from contaminations. Some microorganisms, such as S. aureus, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Escherichia coli and other Enterobacterales, P. aeruginosa, should almost always be considered true pathogens when isolated from a BC. Nevertheless, it is not possible to interpret BC results only on the basis of the identity of isolated bacteria, infrequent exceptions are possible. In this report, we emphasize the importance of correlating microbiological results to the clinical condition and laboratory data of patients. Their clinical status, incompatible with bloodstream infections or the good clinical course under antibiotics that are ineffective against the MDR bacteria isolated from BCs, led us to discuss the likelihood of contamination by these MDR bacteria, despite belonging to the group of germs almost always considered as true pathogens.

In a review of 500 episodes of bacteremia, 25% of S. aureus isolates were considered contaminants. Amongst BCs positive for Enterobacterales, 2% of E. coli, 7% of Serratia marcescens, and 15% of Enterobacter aerogenes strains were of no clinical significance and represented contamination. A retrospective study in the United States has reported the contamination of BCs with MDR bacteria. The authors classified BCs as “probably contaminated” when the result of the BC did not require additional antibiotics, discontinuation of existing antibiotherapy did not affect the patient’s outcome, or when the result was considered by the clinician to be a ‘likely contaminant’. VRE faecalis (n = 12) and VRE
faecium (n = 4) accounted for 3.5% of all probable contaminants. The rate of BC contamination in this study was significantly influenced by periods of high activity and flow in the emergency department.\(^4\) The number of positive BC sets is used to differentiate contaminated BCs from TB. However, it is not always the rule to obtain at least two sets; solitary BCs are frequent. The interpretation of a positive solitary BC as contaminated is difficult.\(^4\) At the least suspicion of contamination, a new BC set should be taken in strict aseptic conditions. Furthermore, the number of positive BC vials in a given BC batch is helpful for interpretation. As reported, if only one vial grows organisms in a given set, the likelihood of contamination is greater.\(^3\) In our setting, a single vial (BD BACTEC Peds Plus T/F culture vial) was usually collected from paediatric patients. This criterion was not useful to us in this category, especially for patients in whom bacteremia was unlikely. The presence of an identifiable source, particularly a central venous catheter, has been reported to be predictive of true bacteremia.\(^4\) Similarly, microbiological identification of the same MDR bacteria from other sites was significantly associated with TB in the present study.

Globally, none of these criteria, taken on their own, is sufficiently conclusive. A clinico-biological correlation remains the only reliable approach to determine the clinical significance of an isolated microorganism.\(^4\) Confrontation of the probabilistic antibiotic therapy with the antibiogram results is also useful.\(^4\) In this cohort, the clinical course of patients with TB was significantly associated with the appropriateness of the empirical regimen (p = 0.000), in contrast to the group of patients with contaminated BC (p = 0.882). A favourable clinical evolution under empirical antibiotics not concordant with the susceptibility profile of the isolated germ raises the question of its real presence and pathogenicity in the patient bloodstream. The first-line antibiotic therapy used in our patients was mainly 3rd generation cephalosporins in combination with an aminoglycoside. Improvement of symptoms and laboratory abnormalities leads the clinician to rule out a positive BC for MDR bacteria. This categorisation should avoid the adjustment of the current antibiotic therapy by the available alternative drugs on susceptibility testing results and thus prevent an escalation, in the case of MDR bacteria, to unnecessary broad-spectrum antibiotics. In the contamination group, we reported the case of a newborn who underwent probabilistic antibiotic therapy that was adjusted to the combination “imipenem and aminoglycoside” after receipt of the microbiology results despite the absence of clinical and biological signs of sepsis. There is a major dilemma for clinicians managing patients with comorbidities or vulnerable backgrounds, in whom some localized infections may manifest as a sepsis-like presentation. In these patients, interpreting the significance of a positive BC can be difficult, and the prescription of antibiotic therapy targeting the isolate may be of easy recourse. More prolonged hospital stays (P<0.008), longer duration of antibiotic therapy (p = 0.049) and higher mortality (P = 0.009) were noted in patients with TB. The same results were reported in a similar cohort in Oman.\(^4\) One of the major impacts of misclassifying cases of contamination, especially with MDR bacteria, is related to therapeutic management. False-positive BCs result in unjustified use of broad-spectrum antibiotics and consequently worsen the multidrug resistance crisis through increased selective pressure of new resistance mechanisms and cross-transmission of MDR strains, particularly in hospitals. Moreover, these pseudobacteremia can also be associated with additional costs due to the use of further unnecessary investigations and prolonged lengths of stay. This substantial financial impact has been demonstrated in a multivariate analysis which revealed that they were independently associated with a 20% increase in laboratory costs and a 39% increase in costs related to unnecessary intravenous antibiotics.\(^2\) Early recognition of contamination by clinicians and laboratorians is obviously important to prevent these serious negative consequences. The morbidity and mortality associated with MDR bacteremia is high and multifactorial, related to the fragility of the patient’s condition, the severity of the disease, and the inadequacy of the initial antibiotic therapy.\(^4\)\(^7\)\(^8\)

Contamination of BCs can occur at any stage throughout the pre-analytical phase, most commonly indicating a breakdown in asepsis from BC collection to laboratory processing.\(^2\) The experience of the phlebotomist is a factor closely linked to the risk of contamination, particularly when dealing with young patients.\(^4\) An outbreak of MRSA pseudobacteremia was reported in 1984 at Boston City Hospital, resulting from cross-contamination in the automated radiometric BC analyzer (BACTEC 460) used in the microbiology department, in which bacterial growth was detected by regular automatic sampling of incubated vials, the error being due to a problem with needle decontamination.\(^5\) Current BC instruments, such as the Bactec™ FX, used in our laboratory, are based on fluorescence detection technology without vial sampling, which avoids the risk of contamination within the instrument. A cluster of Enterobacter cloacae false bacteremia has also been reported in Shiprock Hospital, Mexico. This outbreak was suspected by matching clinical and bacteriological data of patients, where 74% of BCs were obtained from patients.
with no clinical evidence of Gram-negative sepsis. It was attributed to the use of a new agar slant blood culturing system.\textsuperscript{51} Contamination of hospital surfaces with MDR bacteria is being increasingly described.\textsuperscript{52} Most of these microorganisms are cross-resistant to various antibiotics and antiseptic agents, which enables them to persist for long periods in the environment.\textsuperscript{27,53,54} This represents a real danger to public health as it can be a source of cross-transmission of MDR bacteria between patients, between the hospital and the community, and is also a potential reservoir for contamination of specimens, hence the necessity of strict adherence to hand hygiene and surface disinfection guidelines in hospitals.\textsuperscript{55}

**Limitations**

This is a single-center study that reflects its own practices. The results cannot be generalised to other hospitals or patient populations. A single BC in a neonate with suspected bacteremia limits the ability to differentiate with confidence between true and false bacteremia, especially as the signs of infection in this population are not specific. As there is no gold standard for the diagnosis of bacteremia, the risk of classification bias may exist. Additional costs due to false bacteremia have not been estimated.

**Conclusion**

This study highlights the problem of true MDR bacteremia in the ICUs, which mainly affects neonates and is caused by \textit{ESBL-E} and CPE. These true MDR bacteremias complicate the therapeutic management of these serious infections in our context and lead to the use of broad-spectrum antibiotics, prolonged hospitalization, and a high mortality rate.

The contamination of BCs with MDR is a critical and alarming phenomenon. In the era of pan-resistant bacteria, it is imperative to distinguish between MDR-positive BCs representing clinically significant bacteremia and simple contamination with no clinical threat, in order to avoid unwarranted overuse of broad-spectrum antibiotics and to reduce the delay of inappropriate antibiotic therapy and thus decrease resistance selective pressure. Only a thorough clinical, biological, and microbiological confrontation of all MDR bacteremia can ensure accurate interpretation of results. Collaboration between clinicians and microbiologists as part of a multidisciplinary approach to patient management and respect of hygiene rules and strict aseptic conditions at the time of sampling is mandatory to prevent BC contamination.
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