B Cell Receptor Signaling Pathway Mutation as Prognosis Predictor of Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors in Lung Adenocarcinoma by Bioinformatic Analysis
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Purpose: The advent of immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) is a revolutionary breakthrough. However, without the selection of a specific target population, the response rate of ICI therapy in lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) is low, so a clinical challenge has arisen in effectively using biomarkers to determine which patients can benefit from ICI therapy.

Methods: In this study, patients were divided according to whether or not nonsynonymous mutations were present in the BCR signaling pathway, and univariate and multivariate Cox regression models were established based on a LUAD cohort treated with ICIs (Miao-LUAD). Then the relationship between the mutation status of the BCR signaling pathway and the prognosis of immunotherapy was examined. Finally, data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) LUAD cohort, the Rizvi-LUAD, the Samstein-LUAD, and the Zhujiang Hospital of Southern Medical University LUAD (Local-LUAD) cohort were combined, and the mutation panorama, immunogenicity, tumor microenvironment (TME) and pathway enrichment analysis between the BCR signaling pathway mutant group (BCR signaling MUT) and the BCR signaling pathway wild group (BCR signaling WT) were comprehensively compared.

Results: It was found that, compared with the BCR signaling WT, the BCR signaling MUT had a significantly improved progression-free survival (PFS) rate and overall survival (OS) rate, higher immunogenicity (tumor mutational burden, neoantigen load, and DNA damage response signaling mutations), and anti-tumor immune microenvironment.

Conclusion: These results revealed that the mutation state of the BCR signaling pathway has potential as a biomarker to predict the efficacy of ICIs in LUAD.
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Introduction
Among all cancer-related deaths, those caused by lung cancer rank first both globally and in China, posing a significant threat to human health.1,2 Lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) is the main histological type in NSCLC, accounting for 40% of total lung cancer instances. Although surgery is the first choice of treatment for patients with early-stage LUAD, more than 70% of patients have reached advanced stages by the time they see a doctor. The 5-year survival rate of patients with advanced LUAD under traditional chemotherapy and radiotherapy is less than 5%.3 The advent of immune checkpoint inhibition (ICI) therapy has brought about revolutionary changes in the treatment of solid tumors including lung cancer. The ICIs target the PD-1 or the PD-L1 axis, thus restoring the anti-tumor activity of T cells.4 In the treatment of NSCLC, the use of ICIs alone or together with chemotherapy has demonstrated remarkable curative effects, and it has already
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become a standard treatment program for patients with advanced NSCLC. According to the results of a Phase III clinical trial, the 5-year survival rate of NSCLC patients who have previously received platinum therapy is increased by more than 5 times (13.4% vs 2.6%) after PD-1 inhibitor treatment, compared with the docetaxel treatment group. However, due to factors including the exhaustion of T cells, the defect of antigen presentation, the influence of other immune checkpoint molecules and damage to the IFN-γ signaling pathway, the effective rate of ICI therapy rarely exceeds 40%. Therefore, it is important to apply clinical biomarkers to screen potential beneficiaries of immunotherapy.

At present, many studies have revealed potential biomarkers for predicting the efficacy of ICI therapy such as PD-L1 expression and nonsynonymous tumor mutation burden (TMB). However, some studies have found that NSCLC patients can benefit from nivolumab immune checkpoint therapy regardless of the expression level of PD-L1. Furthermore, the expression of PD-L1 is a dynamic process. It can be induced by IFN-γ secreted by tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs), which can be affected by the process of treatment. At present, TMB, which is documented as being positively correlated with the OS of ICI therapy, has uneven detection quality, and there is no unified cutoff standard. Some studies have even shown that high TMB does not suggest a positive ICIs curative effect. Neither PD-L1 nor TMB alone is sufficient as a predictor of the efficacy of ICIs. Therefore, more practical biomarkers are needed to screen the population of LUAD patients under ICI therapy.

The B cell receptor (BCR) is a membrane immunoglobulin that transmits signals downstream by binding extracellular antigens and ligands, thus regulating the proliferation, activation, differentiation, cell selection and apoptosis of B cells. B cells infiltrated in a tumor microenvironment are thought to have a dual function in tumor promotion and anti-tumor immunity. B cells activated by the BCR signaling pathway can act as antigen-presenting cells, presenting antigens to the cell surface, and then activating tumor-specific CD8+ T cells, thus enhancing anti-tumor immunity. It is also reported that plasma cells differentiated from a B cell can infiltrate tumors and play an anti-tumor role by producing the tumor-specific antibody IgG1. However, an overactivated BCR signaling pathway is also considered an important contributing factor in the occurrence and development of B-cell-derived malignant tumors such as chronic lymphocytic leukemia and diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Clinically, there are many inhibitors of BCR and related pathways, such as Bruton’s tyrosine kinase inhibition. However, at present, the relationship between the BCR signaling pathway and LUAD is not clear, and more research is needed on the relationship between the mutation of this pathway and the efficacy of ICIs. Therefore, we hope to explore the relationship between the mutation state of the BCR signaling pathway and the efficacy of ICIs through analysis of clinical, genome and transcriptome information.

In this study, a TCGA-LUAD data set, Samstein-LUAD, Rizvi-LUAD and Miao-LUAD ICIs-treated data sets, as well as Local-LUAD data sets were analyzed; the prognosis of ICI treatment was compared after grouping according to the nonsynonymous mutation status of the BCR signaling pathway; the relationship between BCR signaling pathway mutation and ICI treatment efficacy from the gene level to the tumor microenvironment level was comprehensively analyzed using the bioinformatics method; and hypotheses were formed about the related mechanism.

**Materials and Methods**

**LUAD Sample Collection**

Three data sets of LUAD patients treated with ICIs were collected. The Rizvi-LUAD group included 186 advanced LUAD patients treated with anti–PD-(L)1 monotherapy alone or in combination with anti–cytotoxic T-cell lymphocyte-4. The Miao-LUAD group included 47 advanced LUAD patients treated with anti-PD-1, anti-PD-L1, anti-CTLA-4, or a combination of these therapies. The Samstein-LUAD included 266 LUAD patients who received at least one dose of immunotherapy (atezolizumab, avelumab, durvalumab, ipilimumab, nivolumab, pembrolizumab, or tremelimumab). Both ICIs-treated cohorts included mutation data from before they had received ICI treatment and prognosis data. In addition, the LUAD dataset was downloaded from the TCGA database using the TCGA biolinks R package, which included mutation data, expression data and clinical data of 511 patients.

70 LUAD samples were collected from Zhujiang Hospital of Southern Medical University, and targeted sequencing was used (HapOncoTM680 Panel) to obtain mutation data. (see Supplementary Table 1 for Panel on targeted sequencing). The
written informed consent of all participants was obtained, and this study was approved by the Zhujiang Hospital Research Ethics Committee of Southern Medical University.

**Status Analysis of BCR Receptor Signaling Pathway**

The B cell receptor signaling pathway gene set (KEGG_B_CELL_RECEPTOR_SIGNALING_PATHWAY) comes from the MsigDB database (Supplementary Table 2). The somatic mutation data of patients was processed (deleting synonymous mutation data and retaining nonsynonymous mutation data, and the nonsynonymous mutation type include “Frame_Shift_Del”, “Frame_Shift_Ins”, “Splice_Site”, “Translation_Start_Site”, “Nonsense_Mutation”, “Nonstop_Mutation”, “In_Frame_Del”, “In_Frame_Ins”, “Missense_Mutation”). Then, the number of gene mutations in the B cell receptor signaling pathway was counted in every LUAD patient. If the number of gene mutations in the B cell receptor signaling pathway in LUAD patients is zero, then the patient is a B cell receptor signaling pathway wild-type (WT). Otherwise, the patient is a B cell receptor signaling pathway mutant-type (MUT). The baseline clinical information on Miao-LUAD, TCGA-LUAD is shown in the Supplementary Tables 3 and 4.

**Immunogenicity, Immune Infiltration and Pathway Enrichment Analysis**

Drawing on previously published research results, Immunogenicity data on tumor TMB and neoantigen loads (NAL) was included, NAL of the TCGA-LUAD cohort was directly obtained from a published literature. TMB score of Samstein-LUAD cohort and Riziv-LUAD cohort was directly obtained from the public datesets, and TMB score of Local-LUAD cohort and Miao-LUAD cohort was quantified by dividing the number of somatic mutations by 38 Mb. In addition, we collected the gene sets of DNA damage repair-related pathways from MSigDB, and counted the number of nonsynonymous mutations in each patient’s DNA damage repair (DDR)-related pathways. The immune cell analysis and the immune related pathway score analysis were the main types of immune infiltration analyses used. In the analysis of immune cells, we applied a CIBERSORT algorithm to estimate the relative abundance of 22 types of immune cells in each patient’s TME. Immune-related scores come from a previously published study. The pathway enrichment analysis included a gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA), and a single sample gene set enrichment analysis (ssGSEA).

**Statistical Test**

The Mann–Whitney U-test and Fisher’s exact test were used to evaluate the statistical differences between the two groups of categorical variables. The univariate and multivariate Cox risk proportional regression models and the Kaplan-Meier analysis were used to explore the prognosis of the B cell receptor signaling pathway for patients with LUAD who received ICI treatment. All data analysis and data visualization in this study are based on R software (Version 3.6). The p value is bilateral and p<0.05 has statistical difference.

**Results**

**LUAD Patients with BCR Signaling Pathway Mutation Have Better PFS and OS After ICI Treatment**

Figure 1 is a brief flowchart of this study. The Miao-LUAD cohort was used as our discovery cohort, and the Samstein-LUAD and Riziv-LUAD, TCGA-LUAD cohort and Local-LUAD cohort were used as verification cohorts. The detailed analysis process is showed in the flow chart. According to whether or not the BCR signaling pathway gene showed nonsynonymous mutation, the 47 patients in the Miao-LUAD cohort were divided into a BCR signaling MUT group (31) and a BCR signaling WT group (16). The results of the univariate Cox regression model showed that the BCR signaling pathway was a protective factor in the mutant group, which could predict better PFS and OS. After adjusting for related clinical confounding factors such as gender, pack years, TMB, and smoking, the results of the multivariate Cox regression model further confirmed that the mutation of the BCR signaling pathway can be used as an independent protective factor for LUAD patients receiving ICI treatment (Figure 2A). Next, a Kaplan-Meier survival analysis of the Miao-LUAD cohort between BCR signaling MUT and WT groups was carried out to establish whether the mutant state of this pathway can effectively predict the prognosis of ICI treatment. The results showed that the BCR signaling MUT
group had significantly longer PFS (Logrank test, HR = 0.25, 95% CI [0.03–2.07], p = 0.018) (Figure 2B) and longer OS (Logrank test, HR = 0.48, 95% CI [0.20–1.14], p = 0.032) (Figure 2C).\(^{21}\)

**Overview of Gene Mutation Between BCR Signaling MUT and WT Groups**

In the Miao-LUAD cohort, the somatic mutant genes were compared with the top 20 mutation frequencies across BCR signaling MUT patients and BCR signaling WT patients (Figure 3A). It was found that in the BCR signaling MUT group, KRAS (52% vs 0%; p<0.05), CSMD3 (42% vs 0.06%; p<0.05), and COL11A1 (39% vs 0; p<0.05), the mutation frequency of the three genes, of which only the KRAS gene is tumorigenic, increased significantly. It is also worth noting that there was no statistically significant difference in gender or in the proportion of smokers and non-smokers between the MUT and WT groups, suggesting that these two clinical factors may not be related to the mutation of the BCR signaling pathway. Subsequently, mutually exclusive co-occurrence analyses were conducted on these 20 genes (Figure 3B). We then used the lollipop diagram to show the mutation sites of the KRAS gene and COL11A1 gene which mutated only in the BCR signaling MUT group (Supplementary Figure 1).

**The BCR Signaling MUT Group Indicates Higher Immunogenicity Compared with the BCR Signaling WT Group**

Sufficient immunogenicity is the basis of the immune response. In order to explore the relationship between the mutation status of the BCR signaling pathway and immunogenicity, the TMB, NAL and mutation numbers of DNA damage repair (DDR) related pathways were compared. The results of TMB analysis showed that among the Local-LUAD, Rizvi-LUAD, Samstein-LUAD and TCGA-LUAD, the TMB of the BCR signaling MUT group was significantly higher than that of the BCR signaling WT group (Figure 4A–D; all p<0.05). Furthermore, in the TCGA-LUAD, the analysis results
### Univariable Cox (Miao et al.) [OS]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BCR signaling (MUT versus WT)</td>
<td>0.419 (0.185–0.948)</td>
<td>3.69e-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (Male versus Female)</td>
<td>1.475 (0.702–3.100)</td>
<td>3.05e-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMB (High versus Low)</td>
<td>0.976 (0.927–1.029)</td>
<td>3.68e-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pack Years (High versus Low)</td>
<td>1.007 (0.991–1.024)</td>
<td>3.99e-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker (Never versus Former/Current)</td>
<td>1.179 (0.498–2.789)</td>
<td>7.07e-01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Multivariable Cox (Miao et al.) [OS]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BCR signaling (MUT versus WT)</td>
<td>0.313 (0.122–0.805)</td>
<td>1.60e-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (Male versus Female)</td>
<td>1.750 (0.782–3.916)</td>
<td>1.73e-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMB (High versus Low)</td>
<td>0.973 (0.921–1.029)</td>
<td>3.42e-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pack Years (High versus Low)</td>
<td>1.018 (0.995–1.040)</td>
<td>1.21e-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker (Never versus Former/Current)</td>
<td>0.880 (0.263–2.941)</td>
<td>8.35e-01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Univariable Cox (Miao et al.) [PFS]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BCR signaling (MUT versus WT)</td>
<td>0.148 (0.024–0.911)</td>
<td>3.93e-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pack Years (High versus Low)</td>
<td>1.020 (0.990–1.051)</td>
<td>1.93e-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker (Never versus Former/Current)</td>
<td>2.464 (0.294–20.686)</td>
<td>4.06e-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMB (High versus Low)</td>
<td>0.992 (0.938–1.048)</td>
<td>7.66e-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (Male versus Female)</td>
<td>1.009 (0.259–3.936)</td>
<td>9.89e-01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Multivariable Cox (Miao et al.) [PFS]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BCR signaling (MUT versus WT)</td>
<td>0.130 (0.017–1.014)</td>
<td>5.15e-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (Male versus Female)</td>
<td>1.202 (0.213–6.764)</td>
<td>8.35e-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMB (High versus Low)</td>
<td>0.981 (0.913–1.053)</td>
<td>5.90e-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pack Years (High versus Low)</td>
<td>1.025 (0.987–1.065)</td>
<td>1.96e-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker (Never versus Former/Current)</td>
<td>1.356 (0.099–18.524)</td>
<td>8.19e-01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2** The predictive value of clinical characteristics and the mutation status of the BCR signaling pathway for ICI efficacy. (A) Forest plot of the results of the univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses in the Miao-LUAD cohort (ICI-treated cohort). The main portion of the forest plot presents the hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). The p value represents the statistical significance of the variable. The HR indicates whether the factors are predictors of favorable (HR < 1) or poor (HR > 1) outcomes. KM survival curves for (B) PFS and (C) OS in 47 LUAD patients from the Miao-LUAD cohort.

**Abbreviations:** BCR, b cell receptor; ICI, immune checkpoint inhibitor; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; PFS, progression-free survival; OS, overall survival; MUT, mutation group, WT, wild group.
on the number of DDR related pathways mutations also support the hypothesis that the BCR signaling MUT group had higher immunogenicity; that is, the BCR signaling MUT group had higher numbers of BER, DDR, DSB, FA, HR, SSB, NHEJ, NER and MMR pathway gene mutations than the BCR signaling WT group (Figure 4E; all p<0.05). In addition,
Figure 4 Comparison of TMB between the MUT and WT groups in the (A) Local-LUAD cohort, (B) Rizvi-LUAD cohort, (C) Samstein-LUAD cohort, and (D) TCGA-LUAD cohort. (E) Comparison of DDR related signaling pathways alterations between the MUT and WT groups in the TCGA-LUAD cohort. (F) Comparison of NAL between the MUT and WT groups in the TCGA-LUAD cohort. MUT, B cell receptor signaling pathway mutant type; WT, B cell receptor signaling pathway wild type; (*p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001; and ****p<0.0001).

Abbreviations: TMB, tumor mutation burden; DDR, DNA damage repair; NAL, neoantigen load.
the TCGA-LUAD cohort BCR signaling MUT group had higher NAL than the BCR signaling WT group (Figure 4F; p<0.05).

**Differences in the Tumor Microenvironments Between BCR Signaling MUT and BCR Signaling WT**

Based on the mutation data and transcriptome data of the TCGA-LUAD cohort, a comparative evaluation was conducted to determine the difference between the mutation status of the BCR signaling pathway and the 22 kinds of immune cells infiltrated in tumors, using a CIBERSORT algorithm (Figure 5A). The results showed that the numbers of CD8+ T cells, activated CD4 memory T cells and activated mast cells in the BCR signaling MUT group were higher (all p<0.05) than in the BCR signaling WT group. However, the numbers of regulatory T cells (Tregs), monocytes, resting CD4 T memory cells, resting mast cells, and resting dendritic cells increased significantly in BCR signaling WT group (all p<0.05). Then the immune-related scores (TH2 score, number of segment score and Homologous recombination score) were calculated in the TCGA-LUAD cohort (Figure 5B), and all of them were significantly higher in the BCR signaling MUT group (all p<0.05).

**Pathway Enrichment Analysis Between BCR Signaling MUT and BCR Signaling WT**

Based on transcriptome data of the TCGA-LUAD cohort, ssGSEA and GSEA were used to enrich and analyze the functional gene sets. The results of ssGSEA (Figure 5C) showed that the ssGSEA scores of several cytokines and chemokines pathways related to IL-1β, IL-10, CXCL2, CXC2 and TGF-β, were significantly lower in the BCR signaling MUT group (all p<0.05). The ssGSEA score of arachidonic acid and fatty acid metabolism pathways in the BCR signaling MUT group was also significantly lower than that in the WT group (all p<0.05). In addition, GSEA results showed that the SMAD signaling pathway and the FoxO signaling pathway were significantly activated in the BCR signaling MUT group (p<0.05, ES>0), whereas pathways of the signaling by WNT in cancer and constitutive signaling by aberrant PI3K in cancer were down-regulated in the BCR signaling MUT group (p<0.05, ES<0) (Figure 6A). Similarly, within the BCR signaling MUT group the signal pathways relating to angiogenesis such as vascular endothelial cell proliferation, vascular endothelial growth factor signaling pathway, Hedgehog signaling pathway and fibroblast growth factor receptor signaling pathway were significantly down-regulated (p<0.05, ES<0 (Figure 6B). Finally, based on the results of the analysis, we hypothesized the possible underlying mechanism for the better prognosis in the BCR signaling MUT group (Figure 6C).

**Discussion**

In this study, it was found that nonsynonymous BCR signaling pathway mutation status before treatment could predict better PFS and OS after ICI treatment in the Miao-LUAD cohort. This was then combined with other published data sets and the Local-LUAD group from our own hospital to calculate the degree of immune cell infiltration, the number of TMB, NAL and DDR related pathway mutations from the perspective of immunogenicity and immune microenvironment, and were then further combined with pathway enrichment analysis. With these results the ability of nonsynonymous mutation status in the BCR signaling pathway as a predictive marker of the efficacy of ICIs was further validated.

Immunogenicity of tumors is considered to be a prerequisite for exerting anti-tumor immunity, and it can be assessed via NAL, TMB, or DDR pathway mutation. The number of NAL measures the quantity of neoantigen in tumor cells, so as to evaluate the ability of the tumor to induce a tumor-specific immune response. TMB is now a clinically used ICI treatment predictor in LUAD, and it is documented that a damaged DNA repair mechanism can result in enhanced immunogenicity and a high TMB status. Wang et al showed that DDR pathway mutations can act as potential biomarkers of ICI therapy in multiple tumor types. Furthermore, Teo et al. Showed that DDR pathway mutations are related to a better clinical response and prolonged PFS and OS in urothelial carcinoma patients who had received ICI therapy. In our study, we found that the BCR signaling MUT group in TCGA cohort had higher TMB, NAL and DDR-related pathways mutations, and the TMB was higher in all five cohorts in the BCR signaling MUT group.
In addition, TME is a complex system mainly composed of tumor cells, surrounding immune and inflammatory cells, tumor-related fibroblasts, nearby interstitial tissues, capillaries, and various cytokines and chemokines. Before ICI baseline treatment, TILs infiltrated in the TME were reported to be positively correlated with ICI treatment efficacy. Therefore, we analyzed the infiltration degree of 22 types of immune cells in the TCGA-LUAD cohort via a CIBERSORT method. There were shown to be more CD8+ T cells and activated memory CD4+ T cells infiltrating...
in BCR signaling MUT group. CD8+ T cells were shown to be specific anti-tumor T cells. They can kill tumor cells by producing IFN-γ, TNF and granzyme B.38 CD4+ T cells play an important role in helping CD8+ T cells to enhance anti-tumor immunity.39,40 Once activated by tumor-associated antigens, CD4+ T cells can promote the maturation of dendritic cells, present more antigens, and promote the differentiation of naive CD8+ cells into effector CD8+ T cells, thus increasing the number of CD8+ T cells. CD4+ T cells can also simultaneously act as cytotoxic cells by secreting IFN-γ and TNF or express receptors of TNF family factors such as FASL and TRAIL, mediating a direct cytotoxic immune response. MHC class II restricted antigen recognition of CD4+ T cells, as opposed to MHC class I restricted antigen recognition of CD8+ T cells, are an important supplementary part of antigen recognition in anti-tumor immunity.

Figure 6 (A and B) Comparison of GSEA analysis between MUT and WT groups in the TCGA-LUAD cohort. (C) Potential mechanism underlying the prognostic value of the BCR signaling pathway mutation.
On the contrary, the degree of Tregs, can directly inhibit CD8+ T cells infiltration in LUAD. Infiltration in the BCR signaling MUT group was significantly lower than that in the WT group. In addition, our results showed that there were significant differences in the degree of mast cell infiltration between the BCR signaling MUT group and the WT group. The role of mast cells in tumor immunity has been controversial. According to epidemiological surveys, the existence of mast cells in TME is negatively correlated with the progress of lung cancer, and a humanized-mouse melanoma model proves that tumor-infiltrated mast cells are related to the tolerance of anti-PD-1 antibody treatment, which is a negative factor in immunotherapy efficacy. However, mast cell-derived TNF can kill tumor cells. Further research is required to determine the role that it plays. Furthermore, the anti-tumor immune microenvironment clearly activated in BCR signaling MUT group could be a reason why this group has significantly better ICIs treatment efficacy.

Chemokines and cytokines secreted by immune cells in TME are important components of LUAD anti-tumor immunity. The ssGSEA results showed that cytokines and chemokine produced pathways related to immunosuppression, such as IL-10, IL-1β, CCL2 and CXCL2, were significantly down-regulated in the BCR signaling MUT group. These factors recruit tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs), Tregs and Myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) into the TME, helping tumor cells escape detection by the immune system and promoting the development and metastasis of tumors. Evidence has been shown that IL-10 secreted by cancer cells activated type II macrophages, the latter promoting tumor growth by inhibiting T cells. Tumor cells can also recruit a large number of Tregs and MDSCs for the regulation of IL-10, which can promote the differentiation of native CD4 T cells into Tregs. IL-1β is a pro-inflammatory factor, and in the NSCLC mouse model, IL-1β derived from mast cells and tumor-associated neutrophils has been proven to be beneficial to tumor growth. The effects of IL-1β are significantly related to a poor prognosis for NSCLC. At the same time, a drug clinical trial using IL-1β inhibitor showed that, after the secretion of IL-1β is inhibited, the mortality rate related to NSCLC decreased significantly. In addition, in the study of the mouse model of pleural cancer with lung cancer metastasis, researchers found that the vicious circle of IL-1β and CCL2 working together explained the occurrence and development of malignant pleural effusion in NSCLC.

At last, in our GSEA results, some classic signaling pathways that promote tumor occurrence and development were significantly down-regulated in the BCR signaling MUT group, such as signaling by WNT in cancer, and constitutive signaling by aberrant PI3K in cancer. It is worth noting that the pathways related to tumor angiogenesis, which are basic to the survival and metastasis of tumor cells, were also significantly down-regulated in the BCR signaling MUT group. Tumor angiogenesis in solid tumors can also prevent tumor-specific T cells from infiltrating TME. Finally, the analysis revealed that the signal pathways related to tumor inhibition were significantly up-regulated in MUT group, such as positive regulation of pathway restricted SMAD protein phosphorylation; activated SMAD protein inhibited the activation of TGF-β signal pathway, which was reported to be related to the enhancement of tumor invasiveness and metastasis in advanced NSCLC. Correspondingly, in the ssGSEA results, the BCR signaling MUT group had lower scores of TGF-β signaling pathway. FoxO signaling pathway not only inhibits the abnormal expression of Fox family proteins, but also, consequently, inhibits the role of these proteins in promoting tumor growth. In addition, we also found that the MUT group had lower scores in ssGSEA, a signaling pathway related to fatty acid metabolism, which was related to immune failure and tumor metastasis.

This study explored the impact of BCR signaling pathway nonsynonymous mutation status on the progress of LUAD patients treated with ICIs from the perspective of immune microenvironment (ie immune cells, immunogenicity and cytokines). However, this study still had several limitations. First, because the survival data of the LUAD cohort treated with ICIs is very limited, it was only possible to sufficiently explore the relationship between the BCR signaling pathway and the ICI treatment survival rate in the Miao-LUAD cohort. Secondly, due to the lack of information on TNM classification, Gene-altering events and the ICI treatment program, including factors such as whether ICI therapy was conducted alone or in combination with chemotherapy/radiotherapy, we cannot exclude the influence of these clinical factors on the relationship between BCR pathway mutations and ICIs prognosis. In future studies, we will try to collect more clinical data to explore the potential bias of these clinical factors. Thirdly, because of the quantity and complexity
of mutation sites in the BCR signaling pathway, we did not explore the specific molecular mechanism between mutation and ICI efficacy, but we compared the TME differences between the wild group and the mutant group, and formed a hypothesis for the mechanism, using immune infiltration analysis and pathway enrichment analysis combined with previous literature reports. Finally, we recommend that readers interpret our findings with caution, since we did not carry out related cell and animal experiments to further verify our results, and we hope to supplement with further studies in the future.

**Conclusion**

In this study, we found that there is a significant relationship between the mutation state of BCR signaling pathway and the therapeutic effect of ICIs. As a result, the BCR signaling MUT group had better PFS and OS. With further analysis of RNA-seq data, mutation data and clinical data in multiple cohorts, it was found that the MUT group had higher immunogenicity and a more anti-tumor activated microenvironment, and these results indicate that the BCR signaling MUT group has longer PFS and OS. To sum up, these results support the mutation status of the BCR signaling pathway as a potential biomarker to predict the efficacy of ICI therapy in LUAD patients.
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