Neck Circumference is Associated with Metabolic Syndrome Components in Chinese Subjects with Type 2 Diabetes
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Introduction: We aimed to investigate the correlation between neck circumference (NC) and metabolic syndrome (MetS) components in type 2 diabetes (T2DM) patients.

Methods: This cross-section study included 610 patients with T2DM, including 312 males and 298 females. Height, weight, body mass index (BMI), NC, waist circumference (WC), hip circumference, and blood pressure were measured. Serum glucose, lipid, and uric acid levels were examined. The correlation between NC and anthropometric parameters and metabolic disorders was analyzed. Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis was performed to determine the best NC cutoff value for predicting MetS.

Results: Either in male or female subjects, NC was positively correlated with BMI, WC, waist-to-hip ratio, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, and serum triglyceride and uric acid levels and negatively correlated with serum HDL-C levels. NC is an independent influencing factor of female serum uric acid levels (standardized coefficient $\beta = 0.141$, $t = 2.088$, $P = 0.038$). NC of the MetS group was significantly larger than that of the non-MetS group (male $38.42\pm3.05$ cm vs $36.20\pm2.90$ cm, female $36.14\pm2.75$ cm vs $34.01\pm2.94$ cm, $P < 0.001$). The NC cutoff value for predicting MetS is $37.3$ cm for males and $35.8$ cm for females. There was no difference between using cutoff points of NC and WC to recognize all MetS components in males and hyperuricemia in females ($P>0.05$).

Conclusion: NC is closely related to BMI, WC, and MetS components in T2DM. The cutoff points of NC can identify all MetS components in males and hyperuricemia in females with the same efficiency as WC.
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Introduction

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is a complex metabolic disorder syndrome, mainly manifested by central obesity, dyslipidemia, hypertension, hyperglycemia, and hyperuricemia. These are important risk factors for cardiovascular diseases in diabetic patients.1,2

Anthropometric indicators such as body mass index (BMI), waist circumference (WC), and waist-to-hip ratio (WHR) can be used for the diagnosis of MetS. BMI is a useful index of whole-body adiposity. WC is usually used to indicate the presence of central obesity and visceral fat,3 which is considered as a key component of MetS. However, the measurement of WC may be inconvenient and not accurate with heavy clothing. The measurement of WC may also be influenced by satiety and patient posture.

Neck circumference (NC) was first reported by Sjostrom et al in 19954 and seems more convenient for measuring than WC. As an index for upper-body fat distribution, NC is a reliable tool to screen obesity,5 and it has been reported to be positively associated with central obesity and overweight in a range of populations.6–8 Studies have shown that NC is correlated with other anthropometric parameters (eg, WC and BMI), and NC performed well as a tool to identify MetS.9,10 NC was also found to be related to MetS in diabetes.11 In a multicenter prospective study of Chinese type 2 diabetes (T2DM) patients, larger NC was associated with the occurrence of cardiovascular events after 8-year follow-up.12 However, whether...
NC is associated with single cardiometabolic risk factors correlated with MetS needs to be further studied. The aim of this study was to investigate the correlation between NC and components of MetS in patients with T2DM.

**Research Design and Methods**

**Study Setting and Participants**

This cross-section study comprised 610 patients with T2DM who were hospitalized in the Endocrinology Department of Shanghai Changhai Hospital, including 312 males and 298 females. Patients with definite diagnosis of T2DM (following WHO 1999 criteria) were included. Patients were excluded if they were in a stress state such as infection, surgery, malignant tumor, if they were pregnant or breastfeeding, or if they had a history of neck thyroid nodules or neck surgery. The study’s protocol was approved by the ethics committee of Shanghai Changhai Hospital.

The following criteria for diagnosing MetS were used. Patients who met at least three of the following five items were considered as MetS patients: ① abdominal obesity (WC ≥ 90 cm for males, ≥ 85 cm for females); ② hypertriglyceridemia (triglycerides [TG] ≥ 1.7 mM or having received treatment); ③ low high-density lipoprotein–cholesterol (HDL-C) (HDL-C < 1.04 mM or having received therapy); ④ high blood pressure (Bp) (systolic Bp [SBP] ≥ 130 mmHg, diastolic Bp [DBP] ≥ 85 mmHg, or having received corresponding treatment); and ⑤ hyperglycemia (fasting plasma glucose [FPG] ≥ 6.1 mM, PG ≥ 7.8 mM 2 h after glucose load, or having a history of T2DM).

**Data Collection**

The medical history of participants was collected. Physical examinations including body weight, height, NC, WC, hip circumference (HC), and Bp were conducted as described below. The patients stood upright and faced the researchers with their shoulders relaxed. NC was measured at the horizontal circumference of the neck through the lower border of the Adam's apple, WC was measured at the midpoint of the line connecting the coastal border and the iliac spine after the patient exhaled calmly, and HC was measured at the greater trochanter. Bp was measured three times continuously after 10 min of rest, and the average value was taken. BMI was calculated as (weight [kg]/height $^2$ [m$^2$]) and WHR was calculated as (WC/HC).

**Laboratory Assessments**

Laboratory data were measured from blood, which was taken in the morning after an overnight fast of at least 10 h. Serum glucose and uric acid levels and the lipid profile, including total cholesterol (TC), TG, HDL-C, and LDL-C, were determined on a HITACHI 7600–120 automatic biochemical analyzer (Hitachi Co., Japan).

**Statistical Analyses**

All analyses were performed using SPSS 22.0 statistical software. Continuous variables are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (X±S), and the independent-samples $t$-test was used for comparison. Categorical variables are expressed as percentages, and $\chi^2$ analysis was used to compare differences between groups. Pearson’s correlation coefficient was used to evaluate the relationship between NC and MetS risks. Multiple linear regression was used to analyze the factors independently affected by NC. The best NC cutoff value to predict MetS was estimated by using Youden’s index and receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analyses. P<0.05 was used for statistical significance.

**Results**

This study included 610 participants: 312 males with a mean age of 58.45±13.23 years, a mean BMI of 23.84±3.23 kg/m$^2$, and a mean WC of 90.14±11.19 cm and 298 females with a mean age of 62.66±12.06 years, a mean BMI of 24.79±4.13 kg/m$^2$, and a mean WC of 90.96±12.88 cm, as shown in Table S1

**Correlation Between NC and Anthropometric Parameters and MetS Components**

As shown in Table 1, in both male and female subjects, NC was positively correlated with BMI, WC, and WHR. And NC is linearly related to WC in both male and female subjects, as shown in Figure S1. As shown in Table 2, NC was
positively correlated with SBP, DBP, serum TG, and uric acid levels in both males and females and negatively correlated with serum HDL-C levels in both males and females.

Multiple linear regression analysis showed that after adjusting for age, duration of diabetes, serum glucose, Bp, serum lipids, and other factors, NC had an independent effect on female serum uric acid levels (standardized coefficient $\beta = 0.141$, $t = 2.088$, $P = 0.038$); however, there was no independent correlation between WC and female serum uric acid levels (standardized coefficient $\beta = 0.096$, $t = 1.430$, $P = 0.154$).

Comparison of Neck Circumference in MetS and Non-MetS Groups
Subjects were divided into MetS (n=397) and non-MetS (n=213) groups. As shown in Table S2, there was no difference of gender, diabetic duration and HbA1c level between the MetS and non-MetS groups. As shown in Table 3, in both male

---

**Table 1** Correlation of Neck Circumference with Anthropometric Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Males (n=312)</th>
<th>Females (n=298)</th>
<th>All (n=610)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$r$</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>$r$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>0.575</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC</td>
<td>0.464</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR</td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.368</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviations: $r$, Pearson’s correlation coefficient; BMI, body mass index; WC, waist circumference; WHR, waist-to-hip ratio.

**Table 2** Correlation of Neck Circumference with Metabolic Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Males (n=312)</th>
<th>Females (n=298)</th>
<th>All (n=610)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$r$</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>$r$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.531</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C</td>
<td>-0.125</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.589</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c</td>
<td>-0.005</td>
<td>0.927</td>
<td>-0.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UA</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.261</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviations: $r$, Pearson’s correlation coefficient; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; TG, triglyceride; TC, total cholesterol; HDL-c, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-c, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; UA, uric acid.

---

**Table 3** Comparison of NC Between MetS Group and Non-MetS Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MetS (n=397)</th>
<th>Non-MetS (n=213)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male NC (cm)</td>
<td>38.42±3.05</td>
<td>36.20±2.90</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female NC (cm)</td>
<td>36.14±2.75</td>
<td>34.01±2.94</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All subjects NC (cm)</td>
<td>37.29±3.16</td>
<td>35.15±3.11</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviations: NC, neck circumference; MetS, metabolic syndrome.*
and female subjects, NC was significantly larger in in MetS group than in the non-MetS group (male 38.42±3.05 cm vs 36.20±2.90 cm, female 36.14±2.75 cm vs 34.01±2.94 cm, \( P < 0.001 \)).

The areas under the ROC curves (AUCs) were calculated to evaluate the predictive values of NC for MetS (Figure 1). The AUCs of NC were 0.701 (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.643–0.760) for males and 0.707 (95% CI, 0.641–0.773) for females. Youden index was calculated and NC ≥ 37.3 cm (sensitivity 0.662, specificity 0.649) for males and NC ≥ 35.8 cm (sensitivity 0.59, specificity 0.67) for females was considered as the best cutoff values in identifying MetS.

Identification of MetS Components by NC or WC

As shown in Table 4, there was no difference between using cutoff points of NC (≥ 37.3 cm) and WC (≥ 90 cm) to recognize MetS components in males, which include high Bp (SBP ≥ 130 mmHg or DBP ≥ 85 mmHg), hypertriglyceridemia (TG ≥ 1.7 mM), low HDL-C (HDL-C < 1.04 mM) and hyperuricemia (uric acid ≥ 420 nM), in males, in females. However, in females, there was no difference between using cutoff points of NC (≥ 35.8 cm) and WC (≥ 85 cm) only when identifying hyperuricemia (uric acid ≥ 360 nM). In females diagnosed with high Bp, hypertriglyceridemia and low HDL-C, the recognition rate of NC ≥ 35.8 cm was lower than that of WC ≥ 85 cm, seen in Table 4. It is suggested that this cutoff point of NC can identify MetS components with the same efficiency as WC in males, but only in female hyperuricemia.

| Table 4 Identification Rate of MetS Components by NC and WC* |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|        |       |       |        |        |       |        |
|        | NC    | WC    | P value| NC    | WC    | P value|
| High SBP| 59.43%| 54.29%| 0.331  | 55.90%| 74.87%| 0.000  |
| High DBP| 65.63%| 60.42%| 0.455  | 55.84%| 76.62%| 0.006  |
| Hypertriglyceridemia | 71.74%| 77.17%| 0.398  | 60.00%| 80.95%| 0.002  |
| Low HDL-C  | 59.86%| 57.82%| 0.722  | 54.17%| 69.44%| 0.059  |
| Hyperuricemia | 63.16%| 76.32%| 0.212  | 66.04%| 79%    | 0.127  |

Note: *Using NC cut-off point (male≥37.3cm, female≥35.8cm) and WC cut-off point (male≥90cm, female≥85cm) to recognize disorders.

Abbreviations: High SBP, SBP ≥ 130 mmHg; High DBP, DBP ≥ 85 mmHg; Hypertriglyceridemia, TG ≥ 1.7 mmol/L; Low HDL-C, HDL-C < 1.04 mmol/L; Hyperuricemia, uric acid ≥ 420 mmol/L in males and ≥ 360 mmol/L in females.
Discussion
In this research, we found that NC was positively correlated with anthropometric parameters (BMI, WC, and WHR) and MetS components (SBP, DBP, TG and uric acid) in patients with T2DM, while it was negatively correlated with serum HDL-C levels. After adjusting for multiple interference factors, NC was an independent factor associated with serum uric acid levels in females. We also estimated the optimal cut-off value of NC to predict the risk of MetS and found that the estimated value of NC was significantly associated with MetS components in T2DM.

With the improvement of living standards, the incidence rate of MetS is increasing. Obesity, especially abdominal obesity, plays an important role in MetS. Vague et al reported that when the physical shape and fat distribution type of obese individuals are different, the health risks to the body are also different.\(^\text{14}\) Studies suggest that fat of the upper body releases more free fatty acids (FFAs) than that of the lower body.\(^\text{15}\) The increase in FFA levels can seriously interfere with the signal transduction pathway of insulin and hence cause or aggravate insulin resistance. Therefore, compared with lower body obesity, upper body obesity is more likely to lead to MetS, including impaired glucose tolerance, hyperinsulinemia, hyperlipidemia, and hyperuricemia.\(^\text{16}\) Upper body subcutaneous fat is positively correlated with MetS and is an independent risk factor for MetS.

A simple and easy measurement index of upper body obesity is of great significance to MetS epidemiology. At present, WC is an internationally recognized diagnostic index of central obesity, but the body surface mark to measure WC is not obvious, it is inconvenient to measure in winter, and it is easily disturbed by factors such as a full stomach and recent exercise. As one of the evaluation indexes of upper body adipose, the measurement of NC is relatively convenient and simple. NC is highly correlated with traditional anthropometric indicators such as WC, BMI, WHR, especially WC.\(^\text{11}\) NC was shown to be positively correlated with central obesity and with visceral fat accumulation\(^\text{17,18}\) by computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging. Li et al\(^\text{19}\) found that neck adipose area was correlated with abdominal visceral adipose tissue (VAT) area significantly in men ($r = 0.57$) and women ($r = 0.53$). They considered NC can be used as an indicator for evaluating central obesity and MetS.

Some studies even showed that NC can better evaluate metabolic health than WC.\(^\text{20,21}\) ROC analyses showed that NC was better in distinguishing T2DM, insulin resistance, MetS, and hypertension in individuals with severe obesity.\(^\text{21}\) NC was an independent predictor for fatty liver disease and provided an additional contribution when applied with other anthropometric measures.\(^\text{22}\) Compared with BMI and WC, NC alone can improve the prediction of cardiovascular disease risk factors.\(^\text{23,24}\) Research suggests that in males and females, an NC of 37 and 34 cm, respectively, is equivalent to a BMI of 25.0 kg/m\(^2\), and an NC of 39.5 and 36.5 cm, respectively, is equivalent to a BMI of 30.0 kg/m\(^2\).\(^\text{5}\) NC can be used as a new anthropometric index to help judge MetS and metabolic indexes.

The NC cutoff value is a good predictor of MetS and associated diseases. However, the NC cutoff values for identifying MetS and obesity differed due to region,\(^\text{25}\) age,\(^\text{26}\) medical history,\(^\text{11}\) etc. A previous study suggested that NC \(\geq 38\) cm for males and NC \(\geq 33\) cm for females are the optimal cutoff values for predicting MetS in a Thai population.\(^\text{25}\) A survey of 3182 Chinese patients with T2DM showed that NC \(\geq 39\) cm in males and NC \(\geq 35\) cm in females was the best cutoff value for the classification of MetS in this population.\(^\text{11}\) In an elder population, an analysis of 2092 individuals aged over 65 years suggested that NC \(\geq 38\) cm for males and \(\geq 35\) cm for females was the best cutoff value for diagnosing MS.\(^\text{26}\) According to the diagnostic criteria of MetS proposed by the International Diabetes Federation (IDF) in 2005, WC \(\geq 90\) cm in males and WC \(\geq 80\) cm in females were defined as central obesity, and the optimal NC cutoff value corresponding to this WC was 38.5 cm in males and 34.5 cm in females.\(^\text{1}\) In our study, the population we selected was diabetic patients in the middle-elderly population. The optimal NC cutoff value in predicting MetS was 37.3 cm in males and 35.8 cm in females. The results are similar to those of other studies. This NC cutoff value could be used to identify male all MetS components such as high Bp, hypertriglyceridemia, low HDL-C, hyperuricemia and female hyperuricemia with the same efficiency as WC in our study.

There are some limitations in our study. First, the sample size of the study was relatively small. Second, the subjects are all patients with T2DM, further studies are needed to identify the relationship of NC with MetS in general population. Thirdly, the female NC cutoff value in our study was higher than that in other studies, which may be one of the reasons why the recognition rates of some female MetS components were lower than that of WC. Therefore, further statistical analysis of large samples is needed.
In conclusion, NC and WC have comparable associations with the components of MetS in Chinese T2DM. NC is expected to be an easy upper body surface measurement for predicting MetS and its components, especially in males and female hyperuricemia.
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