An atypical presentation of adult ileocolic intussusception
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Abstract: Adult intussusception is a rare condition usually associated with a lead point. However, 8%–20% of cases are idiopathic. We describe here the case of a 56-year-old Nigerian male who presented to the accident and emergency unit with features of acute intestinal obstruction. The findings at laparotomy were ileocolic intussusception without a lead point. A segment of the terminal ileum was nonviable. A right hemicolectomy with ileocolic anastomosis was performed, and the resulting specimen sent for histologic examination which confirmed no extra- or intraluminal lesion. Two years of postoperative evaluation was normal. This report further reiterates the fact that adult intussusception could be idiopathic (without a lead point) and could present as acute intestinal obstruction. Early recognition is necessary to facilitate timely intervention before the onset of gangrene, so as to improve prognosis.
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Introduction

Intestinal intussusception is common in children, usually in infants between four and 10 months of age. However, there are reported cases of adult intestinal intussusception. The first reported case was in 1674 by Barbette of Amsterdam,1 and was further described in a detailed report by John Hunter2 in 1789. Adult intussusception is considered a rare condition, accounting for 5% of all cases of intussusception and almost 1%–5% of bowel obstruction cases.3,4 It is usually caused by organic lesions,5 but 8%–20% of cases are idiopathic without a lead point. Here we present a case of adult ileocolic intussusception in which no lead point was identified.

Case report

The patient was a 56-year-old man who presented to our accident and emergency unit with a four-day history of abdominal pains, vomiting, abdominal distension, and constipation. The abdominal pain was of sudden onset following a bout of alcohol consumption the previous night. The pain was colicky in nature, generalized, and later became continuous. It was aggravated by movement. He vomited six times before presentation. The vomitus was projectile and contained recently ingested food. The quantity of the vomitus was about 500 mL. There was associated constipation to both feces and gas two days before presentation. Also, there was associated loss of appetite and weakness. He has not had surgery in the past. He was not a known diabetic or hypertensive. He was not married, was a secondary school teacher, a smoker, and consumed alcohol regularly.
On examination, he looked acutely ill, and was dehydrated and pale, but afebrile (36.2°C). The abdomen was distended but moved with respiration. There was tenderness in the iliac fossae and hypogastric region. There was guarding but no rigidity. The percussion note was resonant and bowel sounds were hypoactive. The rectum on digital examination was empty. Examination of the chest revealed no abnormality. The pulse rate was 100 beats/minute and regular, with a supine blood pressure of 100/70 mmHg. An assessment of mechanical intestinal obstruction with dehydration was made. A plain abdominal radiograph (lateral decubitus and supine) showed multiple air fluid levels and dilated loops of large and small bowel. An abdominal ultrasound scan showed dilated loops of bowel with no free fluid within the peritoneal cavity. Serum biochemistry showed elevated urea (9.3 mmol/L) and serum creatinine (442.5 µmol/L), and normal levels of potassium, sodium, bicarbonate, and chloride. Hematocrit was 28%. The consideration at this point was mechanical intestinal obstruction with imminent peritonitis. He was commenced on broad-spectrum antibiotics and adequately rehydrated with intravenous fluid.

Findings at exploratory laparotomy were ileocolic intussusception (12 cm of nonviable terminal ileum telescoping into the ascending colon, see Figure 1). He underwent a limited right hemicolecctomy and an ileocolic anastomosis. The immediate postoperative period was uneventful. The histology report revealed no extraluminal or intraluminal lesion. Two years of follow-up have been uneventful.

Discussion

Adult intestinal intussusception affects mainly the small intestine (52%–55%), while the colon is affected in 38%–45% of cases. Various methods have been applied in the classification of intussusception. Based on location, it has been classified into four categories, ie, enterointestinal, colocolic, ileocolic, and ileocecal. In addition, based on etiology, adult intussusception has been classified as benign, malignant, and idiopathic. In the small intestine, an intussusception can be secondary either to the presence of intra- or extraluminal lesions. On the other hand, intussusception occurring in the large bowel is more likely to have a malignant etiology and accounts for up to 66% of cases.

Although our patient presented with an ileocolic intussusception, no extra- or intraluminal lesion was seen. This atypical presentation is seen in 8%–20% of cases.

Furthermore, the clinical presentation of adult intussusception varies considerably. Various reports in the literature suggest that the signs and symptoms are often chronic, consistent with partial obstruction and not with acute abdomen. The classic pediatric presentation of acute intussusception (a triad of cramping abdominal pains, bloody diarrhea, and a palpable tender mass) is rare in adults. Our patient’s presentation had characteristics of an acute intestinal obstruction, unlike the reports in the literature.
In addition, the clinical diagnosis of adult intestinal intussusception is usually difficult because of the variable patterns of presentation. In evaluating such patients, a plain abdominal radiograph is useful as a diagnostic tool in that it demonstrates signs of intestinal obstruction, when abdominal ultrasonography shows the typical concentric hyperechoic double ring coupled with thickening of the intestinal walls, a diagnosis of colonic intussusception should be considered. There are situations where only distended loops of bowel would be demonstrated on abdominal ultrasonography without the above typical findings, as was the case in our patient. This is attributed to the presence of massive amounts of air in the distented bowel loops.

Abdominal computed tomography is currently considered to be the most reliable radiologic method to confirm intussusception, with a reported diagnostic accuracy of 58%—100%.

The features demonstrated by computed tomography scan include inhomogeneous “target” or “sausage-shaped” soft tissue mass with a layering effect. Kim et al reported that abdominal computed tomography scan was able to differentiate intussusception without a lead point from that with a lead point.

Colonoscopy has been used to confirm intussusception in some cases. Its advantage is that it can demonstrate if there is an underlying organic lesion serving as a lead point.

Treatment for adult intestinal intussusception is surgical resection of the involved bowel segments. Intestinal anastomosis after resection or colostomy is the surgeon’s prerogative, and is usually determined by the performance status of the patient involved and the extent of disease. Preoperative reduction with barium or air is not recommended as a treatment modality in adults. This is due to the high risk of perforation of the gut and seeding of microorganisms and tumor cells to the peritoneal cavity.

**Conclusion**

Adult bowel intussusception is a rare but challenging condition for the surgeon. This case report shows that adult intestinal intussusception may not have a lead point and can present as acute intestinal obstruction. Early recognition is necessary to facilitate timely intervention before the onset of gangrene, so as to improve prognosis.
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