Salivary Gland Ultrasound in Primary Sjögren’s Syndrome: Current and Future Perspectives
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Abstract: Salivary gland ultrasound (SGUS) is the imaging modality of choice for the assessment of parotid and submandibular gland parenchyma. Being highly effective, non-invasive and easy to perform, SGUS has become increasingly popular among specialists in assessing salivary gland (SG) abnormalities, including those commonly found in primary Sjögren’s syndrome (pSS). SGUS may be useful in the assessment of pSS and its complications, the most serious being the development of non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma (NHL). SGUS may also be useful in the characterization and differential diagnosis of diffuse and focal abnormalities commonly associated with pSS, and may act as a guide for core-needle biopsy (CNB), an established, safe, and feasible technique, which provides enough viable tissue for the diagnosis and assessment of lymphoproliferative diseases of the SG. The combination of SGUS with other tools, such as sonoelastography and artificial intelligence (AI), could further improve the usefulness of SGUS in the management of pSS. In this perspective, we summarize current and future applications of SGUS in pSS.
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Key Points
- Ultrasound (US) is the imaging modality of choice to assess salivary gland (SG) parenchyma in patients with primary Sjögren’s syndrome (pSS). The Outcome Measures in Rheumatology Clinical Trials (OMERACT) working group has standardized SGUS in pSS with a 0−3 score.
- SGs can be involved in several diseases, with US features similar to those seen in pSS.
- In patients with pSS, newly diagnosed focal lesions should raise the suspicion of non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma (NHL).
- Lymphoproliferative disease is the most serious complication of pSS. SG lymphoma can be revealed by SGUS with either a diffuse or focal appearance.
- US-guided CNB of the major SGs is an established, safe and feasible technique that provides sufficient viable tissue for the diagnosis and assessment of lymphoproliferative diseases.

Introduction
Primary Sjögren’s syndrome (pSS) is a chronic, systemic, autoimmune disease, characterized by lacrimal and salivary gland (SG) involvement, with consequent keratoconjunctivitis sicca and xerostomia and heterogeneous extra-glandular involvement.¹² The pathogenesis of pSS is not completely understood. Immune-mediated destruction of exocrine glands, hyperactivation of lymphocytes, and activation of inflammatory cells expose pSS patients to an increased risk of lymphoproliferative diseases, reported as the highest among autoimmune diseases.³

Today, the diagnosis of pSS relies on a combination of clinical, serological, histological, functional, and instrumental parameters aimed at detecting systemic, SG, and lacrimal gland changes.⁴ Although the most recent classification criteria for pSS do not mention imaging modalities,⁵ since the publication of a study by De Vita in 1992, salivary gland
ultrasound (SGUS) has been increasingly applied to evaluate parotid and submandibular gland structural abnormalities and parenchymal lesions.\(^6\)\(^–\)\(^8\) Other imaging modalities, such as sialography and scintigraphy, were used in the past to assess SG involvement,\(^9\)\(^–\)\(^10\) but these are nowadays considered obsolete.\(^11\)

SGUS is a non-invasive, easy to perform and inexpensive method to assess in detail superficial anatomical structures, including the parotid and submandibular glands. Thus, it is the imaging modality of choice today when evaluating major SGs in patients with pSS.\(^12\) Several studies have reported that the inclusion of SGUS in pSS classification criteria may improve their diagnostic accuracy, feasibility, and sensitivity.\(^13\)\(^–\)\(^15\) In recent years, in addition to its diagnostic role, new applications for SGUS have emerged, such as disease activity monitoring and pSS-related lymphoproliferative diseases assessment.\(^16\)\(^,\)\(^17\) Furthermore, SGUS may be useful as a guide in biopsies to ensure adequate histological sampling in pSS patients with suspected lymphoma, opening new frontiers in clinical research.\(^18\)\(^–\)\(^20\) Aim of our review is to outline the state of the art and future perspectives of SGUS in pSS.

### pSS Diagnosis

**Key Points**

- Ultrasound (US) is the imaging modality of choice to assess SG parenchyma in patients with pSS.
- The Outcome Measures in Rheumatology Clinical Trials (OMERACT) working group has standardized SGUS in pSS to validate the use of SGUS as a possible outcome measurement instrument. According to its system, a score of 0 denotes normal salivary glands, and a score of 3 denotes extensive inhomogeneity with hypo/anechoic areas occupying the entire glandular surface. A higher score increases the likelihood of a diagnosis of pSS.

The diagnosis of pSS is based on a set of clinical, laboratory, imaging, and pathological features.\(^21\) In recent decades, key features of SGUS have made it the imaging modality of choice for SG assessment over sialography, magnetic resonance imaging and salivary scintigraphy.\(^12\) These include good reliability and high spatial and contrast resolution due to the superficial position of the SGs.\(^12\) Furthermore, SGUS is non-invasive, nonionizing, inexpensive, and widely available.\(^22\)\(^,\)\(^23\)

SGUS can detect parenchymal abnormalities of the major SGs.\(^24\)\(^–\)\(^27\) Distinctive sonographic features of the parotid and submandibular glands in pSS were highlighted almost 30 years ago and consisted mainly of glandular heterogeneity with hypo/anechoic areas and hyperechoic bands (Figure 1A).\(^28\) Since then, other SGUS abnormalities, such as glandular calcifications, fatty infiltration, and a visible posterior border, have been reported and scored.\(^24\)\(^,\)\(^29\) More recently, SGUS has been shown to be a valuable tool in the assessment of pSS, and some authors have suggested that it could be a minor classification criterion in patients with ocular or oral dryness\(^30\) or with a suspicion of pSS due to systemic features derived from the EULAR Sjögren’s syndrome disease activity index (ESSDAI), with remarkable sensitivity and specificity (97.3% and 90.2%, respectively).\(^13\) The scoring system of the American College of Rheumatology – European League Against Rheumatism (ACR-EULAR), together with SGUS, showed improved accuracy and the potential to replace the ocular staining score, Schirmer’s test, or unstimulated whole saliva flow in pSS classification.\(^13\) In addition, SGUS seems to improve the sensitivity, even in patients with systemic disease and mild or no sicca symptoms.\(^14\)

The increasing application of SGUS in the assessment of pSS requires standardization of imaging modalities. The OMERACT working group recently established a novel, semiquantitative scoring system (0–3) for glandular alterations in pSS.\(^29\) The OMERACT scoring system is based on the presence and distribution of hypoechoic areas within SGs, which are the main sonographic features characterizing pSS.\(^24\) The hypoechoic areas must be small (some millimeters), not compressible by the probe, generate few or no echoes, without blood flow at color-Doppler imaging.\(^28\) These hypoechoic areas can be located anywhere within the SGs.\(^29\) Based on the OMERACT scoring system, “normal parenchyma” is classed as score 0, whereas diffuse changes and extensive inhomogeneity, with hypo/anechoic areas occupying the entire glandular surface is classed as score 3 (Figures 1A–D). Scores 1 and 2 represent mild and moderate SG involvement, respectively.\(^29\) A higher score increases the likelihood of a diagnosis of pSS.\(^31\) The OMERACT score shows substantial inter-reader agreement and is helpful in identifying pSS patients with an increased risk of non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma (NHL) of the major SGs (see in the “Lymphoma” section).\(^32\)\(^,\)\(^33\)
In clinical practice, a diagnosis of pSS can be made in the absence of fulfilling established classification criteria. In such cases, positive SGUS can add information that can help guide the clinician in the diagnostic process and potentially influence patient management. To sum up, SGUS has potential in the diagnostic work-up in cases of suspected pSS, it is emerging as a valid complementary tool to histopathology and as an alternative tool in cases where a biopsy cannot be performed.

**Differential Diagnosis**

**Diseases with Diffuse Pattern at SGUS**

**Key Points**
- SGs can be involved in several diseases, with US features similar to those seen in pSS.
- When SGUS shows diffuse SG involvement, with inhomogeneity and hypoechogenicity, the differential diagnosis relies mainly on clinical, laboratory, and, if necessary, histological findings.

From a clinical viewpoint, pSS is not the only disease that can lead to xerostomia, xerophthalmia, and SG swelling. Thus, the exclusion of other disorders is crucial. Conditions that may cause misdiagnosis of pSS are listed as exclusion criteria in the ACR-EULAR classification criteria. In detail, these are 1) a history of head and neck radiation treatment, 2) active hepatitis C infection, 3) AIDS, 4) sarcoidosis, 5) amyloidosis, 6) graft-versus-host disease, and 7) IgG4-related disease. These conditions may exclude a diagnosis of pSS because of overlapping clinical features or interference with criteria tests.

On US imaging, major SGs with a diffuse, heterogeneous, hypoechoic appearance are typical of pSS. However, a similar presentation may be seen in rheumatoid arthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus, or systemic sclerosis. In these conditions, autoimmune sialadenitis with sicca syndrome is related to underlying connective tissue disease, and it is defined as secondary SS. Therefore, in patients with sicca syndrome and diffuse, heterogeneous, hypoechoic SGs on US imaging, the differential diagnosis of primary and secondary SS relies mainly on clinical features.

Infiltrative conditions, such as sarcoidosis and amyloidosis, can cause SG enlargement, with a pattern on US similar to that seen in pSS (Figure 2). In such cases, SGUS is not particularly helpful in the differential diagnosis, and the diagnosis depends on clinical, laboratory, and possibly histological findings.
IgG4-related disease is characterized by SG infiltration of IgG4+ lymphocytes that cause bilateral and painless SG swelling. IgG4-related disease shows specific features on SGUS that can aid the differential diagnosis of pSS. Unlike pSS, IgG4 disease presents with a nodal and/or reticular US pattern and more commonly affect the submandibular gland (Figure 3A and B). However, clinical and ultrasonographic overlap between IgG4-related disease and pSS makes a histopathological assessment of the SGs mandatory to establish the diagnosis.

ANCA-associated vasculitis has SGUS appearance similar to that observed in IgG4-related disease, but SG swelling is often unilateral and/or painful. In clinical practice, a biopsy is suggested but not always performed, and the diagnosis is often made based on clinical features, in combination with positive ANCA serology. pSS-like manifestations may also be found in patients following allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation due to a major immune attack against patients’ own tissues, including SGs, leading to graft versus host disease. In addition, they may be seen in in oncological patients exposed to radiation therapy of the head and neck. In these cases, the diagnosis is based on the patient’s clinical history.

Factors other than an immune response may account for parenchymal abnormalities in SGs. For example, lithiasis and infections can induce acute or chronic inflammation, with inhomogeneous hypoechoic changes. Glandular volume and blood flow on color Doppler imaging distinguish acute versus chronic inflammation on SGUS, both of which are increased in the presence of acute inflammation and normal/reduced in cases of chronic inflammation. In terms of lithiasis, associated SGUS findings consist of the stone and the dilated duct. In cases of viral or bacterial infections, multiple, small, oval, hypoechoic lesions may be distributed throughout the glandular parenchyma of the SGs. HCV and HIV infections may be additional causes of chronic salivary inflammation. In these cases, serological tests may aid the differential diagnosis. Finally, chronic nontender bilateral SG swelling may be associated with alcohol consumption, diabetes mellitus, and malnutrition.

Figure 2 Left, nonenlarged submandibular gland with multiple small hypoechoic foci (arrows) in a patient with sicca syndrome. Following a CNB, the diagnosis was sarcoidosis.

Figure 3 Submandibular gland with several confluent hypoechoic areas (arrows) (A) and a large hypoechoic lesion (arrow). (B) Following a CNB, the diagnosis was IgG4 disease.
Diseases with Focal Appearance at SGUS

Key Points

- In patients with pSS, particularly those with OMERACT scores of 2 or 3 for major SGs, newly diagnosed focal lesions should raise a suspicion of NHL.
- Other benign and malignant focal lesions typically found in the general population should be considered in the differential diagnosis.

Lymphoproliferative diseases are a serious complication of pSS, with the most common histotype being NHL of mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue. In pSS patients with inhomogeneous glandular patterns, especially those with higher OMERACT scores (2 and 3), the presence of a newly diagnosed focal lesion is suggestive of lymphoma. However, in this clinical scenario, other focal benign and malignant lesions typically found in the general population must first be ruled out (Figure 4). In the general population, focal lesions in SGs are rare. When present, they are often (70–80%) benign and usually (80–90%) located in the parotid glands. Focal lesions are less common (10–12%) in submandibular glands, however, when present, 50% of these lesions are malignant. Among benign focal lesions, the most common are pleomorphic adenomas and Warthin tumors. Pleomorphic adenomas are the most frequent (45–75%) of all SG tumors. A pleomorphic adenoma is a benign lesion but presents a high risk of local recurrence. On SGUS, the typical appearance of a pleomorphic adenoma is a hypoechoic, often inhomogeneous, lobulated lesion, well defined and with posterior acoustic enhancement, without evidence of internal vascularization on color Doppler imaging (Figure 5A and B). Calcifications may be present. Warthin tumors are less frequent, accounting for 5–10% of all benign SG lesions. On SGUS, the appearance is typically a hypoechoic, oval, well-defined lesion, with anechoic areas inside, hypervascular on color Doppler imaging. The tumor may present as a completely cystic lesion. Despite the differences in the typical appearances of these lesions, there is significant overlap among their presentations and with malignant lesions.

Among malignant SG lesions, mucoepidermoid carcinomas (Figure 6A and B) and adenoid cystic carcinomas are the most frequent. Squamous cell carcinomas, acinic cell carcinomas, and adenocarcinomas are less common. The imaging features of SG cancer can be variable. Well-differentiated neoplasms may be homogeneous and well defined, like benign lesions, whereas poorly differentiated or advanced malignant neoplasms can appear as hypoechoic, inhomogeneous, irregular lesions, with blurred margins, with or without cystic components.

Figure 4 A hypoechoic, lobulated, circumscribed lesion with posterior acoustic enhancement and minimal signs of vascular enhancement on color Doppler imaging of the right parotid gland in a patient with pSS. The remainder of the gland parenchyma was unremarkable (OMERACT score: 0). Following a CNB, the diagnosis was Warthin’s tumor.
Lymphoma

Key Points

- Lymphoproliferative diseases are the most serious complication in patients with pSS.
- SG swelling is a clinical sign of lymphoma.
- SGUS is a useful tool in the assessment of swollen parotid and submandibular glands in patients with pSS.
- Lymphomas of the SGs can have either a diffuse or focal appearance.
- An OMERACT score of 3 is associated with a higher risk of lymphoma.
- Lymphomas of the SGs with a focal appearance show distinctive features on SGUS.

Lymphomas are the most serious complication of pSS, with a consistent impact on the mortality rate of pSS patients. Non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma (NHL) of mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT) is the usual histotype involving the major SGs in these patients. The risk of NHL in patients with pSS is estimated to be increased 4 to 40-fold, resulting in the occurrence of NHL in about 5% of patients. The latter has been attributed to chronic SG inflammation in pSS. SG swelling in patients with pSS is a clinical sign of NHL. SGUS is useful to evaluate echo-structural abnormalities of the parotid and submandibular glands. In this clinical scenario, SGUS has demonstrated that NHL of the major SGs can have either a diffuse (Figure 7) or focal appearance (Figure 8A and B).

Recent studies have attempted to shed light on which sonographic features are most associated with NHL of the major SGs in pSS. In case of a diffuse appearance, an OMERACT score of 3 is described as the main feature associated with NHL, which is consistent with the hypothesis that NHL is more likely to develop in a more inflamed SG. However, recent studies highlighted that NHL of major SGs can also present as well-defined focal abnormalities in SGs with no diffuse background lymphomatous infiltration. It has been hypothesized that such areas may represent foci of actively expanding B-cell clones, in keeping with the currently accepted model of lymphomagenesis in pSS.
has also demonstrated that focal NHL exhibits recurrent, distinctive sonographic features and tends to present as a very hypoechoic, oval, well-defined, homogenous lesion, with posterior acoustic enhancement, which frequently contains thin, hyperechoic septa and signs of hypervascularity on SGUS and color Doppler imaging. For these reasons, when NHL of major SGs is suspected in pSS, it is highly advisable to prioritize assessing the presence of focal lesions, as this could potentially aid the selection of the best target and guide the resulting biopsy.

Core Needle Biopsy (CNB)

Key Points

- Generally, in percutaneous assessments of SGs, US-guided fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) is the method of choice, as it is easy to perform, safe, and accurate.
- In patients with pSS, when a SG lymphoma is clinically suspected, FNAC is inadequate for diagnosis. In this scenario, US-guided CNB of major SGs is an established, safe, and feasible technique, which provides enough viable tissue for the diagnosis and assessment of lymphoproliferative diseases.
- When properly executed, US-guided CNB carries only a moderate risk of transient adverse effects and extremely little risk of permanent adverse effects (the most serious being facial nerve damage) and is safer than an open biopsy.

Generally, in non-pSS patients, in the percutaneous diagnosis of SG lesions, FNAC is the method of choice, as it is a well-established, easy to perform, safe, and minimally invasive technique to acquire material for cytological analysis. However, in patients with pSS, due to the specific pathological features of the disease and possible complications (such as NHL), FNAC has been reported to be inadequate by itself and inferior to CNB in terms of its diagnostic potential. In this clinical
scenario, US-guided CNB biopsy of major SGs, most commonly the parotid glands (Figure 9), has the potential to overcome FNAC limitations and can be used when NHL is suspected, for example, in cases of persistent SG swelling. Compared to FNAC, a CNB has the advantage of providing viable tissue samples, which allows immunohistochemical staining and flow cytometry; the sampling is of paramount importance in assessing lymphomatous lesions. Current evidence suggests that a CNB of submandibular glands is very safe. For parotid glands, US-guided CNB can be safely performed in the postero-caudal part of the gland, from the so-called “safety-zone” (ie, the posterior, caudal, superficial part of the parotid gland). A posterior to anterior approach should be preferred, and the needle should be kept as superficial as possible, within 10−15 mm from the glandular surface, to minimize the risk of facial nerve injury (Figure 10A–C). Despite the theoretical risk of facial nerve injury and other complications, when properly performed, a CNB of major SGs may determine transient adverse reactions, with no permanent complications. Therefore, US-guided CNB of the SGs can be considered a safe, feasible, and accurate technique in patients with pSS.

A number of recent studies have demonstrated that US-guided CNB is an effective and a safer alternative to an open biopsy for the characterization of major SG lesions, particularly NHL, in pSS patients. Compared to a surgical biopsy, the possibility of causing serious complications, such as facial nerve damage, sialoceles, and salivary fistulae, in a CNB is reduced, while maintaining similar diagnostic value. Furthermore, with US-guided CNB, it is possible to target specific focal areas within major SGs, which is of significant added value in cases of NHL with a focal appearance. In this regard, a previous study reported that a sample obtained from a parotid focal lesion in a patient with pSS was characterized as NHL at pathology, whereas a sample obtained from the adjacent parenchyma of the same gland showed only diffuse chronic sialadenitis. This report raises the suspicion that focal presenting NHL of major SGs could be underdiagnosed when relying on the classic surgical biopsy approach.

Figure 9 US-guided CNB of a focal area suspicious of NHL in a patient with pSS.

Figure 10 Several approaches to US-guided CNB of the parotid and submandibular glands. (A) Parotid gland, classic approach in the “safety-zone”. When possible (posterior, superficial lesions) or when no focal lesion sampling is required, this approach minimizes the risk of damaging the facial nerve. (B) Submandibular gland, posterior approach. Unlike the parotid gland, there is no risk of nerve damage when sampling submandibular glands. (C) Submandibular gland, anterior approach. Of note, when sampling submandibular glands, posterior and anterior approaches are equally safe.
Monitoring Disease Activity with SGUS

Key Points

- SGUS may play a role in monitoring pSS activity and treatment effectiveness.
- Nowadays, further studies are needed to establish SGUS value in this clinical setting.

The role of SGUS as a tool to assess pSS disease activity and monitor treatment effectiveness is still under investigation. Studies reported an association between SGUS scores and the presence of extra-glandular pSS manifestations and systemic, disease activity evaluated by ESSDAI and EULAR Sjogren’s Syndrome Patient Reported Index (ESSPRI).34,97–99

SGUS may represent a disease activity marker in the ESSDAI glandular domain, as well as a marker of damage progression.25 Studies reported that SGUS may detect morphological changes in some salivary gland features as a consequence of pharmacologic intervention with rituximab or ianalumab, likely related to disease activity.100–102

Recently, the use of Doppler assessment has been thoroughly investigated, and the OMERACT ultrasound working group proposed a semiquantitative scoring systems to evaluate vascularization by color Doppler in pSS patients.103,104

In the future, the combination of Doppler and grey scale scoring system may lead to a global and comprehensive SGUS scoring, allowing a better assessment of disease activity and damage in the major salivary glands.

Sonoelastography

Key Points

- Sonoelastography is an adjunct to US.
- Sonoelastography of SGs could be useful in diagnosing and monitoring pSS; however, lack of data prevents to make a final statement about the usefulness of elastography in diagnosing and monitoring pSS.

Sonoelastography is a US imaging method to evaluate tissue stiffness.105 There are three techniques available: strain-based elastography, shear-wave elastography, and virtual touch tissue imaging and quantification.106 In strain-based elastography, the operator applies direct mechanical compression to the tissue surface to obtain a qualitative measure of elasticity.107 No quantitative analysis is possible using this technique. In contrast, shear-wave elastography provides qualitative and quantitative information, expressed in m/s or kPa. This technique is based on measurements of wave propagation after tissue excitation by acoustic radiation force impulse imaging.108 Finally, virtual touch imaging and quantification produce a qualitative gray-scale map of variations in tissue stiffness and shear wave velocity.22

Some studies have shown that elastography combined with SGUS may be useful for the diagnosis of pSS.109–111 pSS is associated with inflammation and fibrosis. Thus, patients with pSS can present with increased SG stiffness, mainly because of structural changes of glandular parenchima.112 Stiffness values vary, depending on the level of inflammation and fibrosis. These values can serve as a marker of histological damage in pSS.113–115 Thus far, elastography studies of SGs of pSS patients have been conducted in small cohorts of patients.111–115 Moreover, there are substantial differences among the three aforementioned modalities to obtain elastography.108 Therefore, it is not possible to make a final statement about the usefulness of elastography in diagnosing and monitoring pSS.

Artificial Intelligence (AI)

Key Points

- Artificial intelligence is being developed as a diagnostic tool to support SGUS assessments.
- The application of AI tools, such as machine learning and deep learning, can be expected to aid clinical decision making in pSS.

In pSS, SGUS is used to score glandular parenchyma using various scoring systems, such as the De Vita score or OMERACT score.28,29 The scoring of glandular patterns during SGUS evaluations is operator dependent, and it has shown low inter- and intraobserver reliability in some cases.116 The use of computer algorithms for texture analysis could eventually lead to the
development of AI tools to assist human experts in the evaluation of SGs. Such tools might become available in the near future, as AI has been shown to be able to individuate complex patterns in a large variety of images, reaching excellent technical expertise that could support clinical decisions. In SGUS in patients with pSS, some authors have analyzed a series of radiomics-based AI algorithms using the scoring system proposed by De Vita et al. Among these algorithms, a multilayer perceptron classifier proved to be the most accurate and least error prone in SG segmentation.

Developments in AI research and computerized software tools may herald a progress in SG screening by SGUS, reducing screening times and reliance on human experts. However, automatized tools for segmentation and reconstruction of SGs from SGUS are not yet in routine use in the clinic in the pSS management. The current lack of data may be justified by the small cohorts of patients in previous studies. Multicenter studies of a large number of pSS patients may aid the development of robust automatized SGUS assessment and reduce both screening times and operator dependency. With the aim of improving automated image segmentation of SGs, as part of the HarmonicSS initiative, a group of pSS experts have begun to recruit large European cohorts of patients with different grades of pSS. Thus far, they have reported reaching close-to-human performances in terms of SGUS assessment. It seems reasonable to assume that AI methods will lead to the use of novel tools for the diagnosis of pSS in the future.

Conclusions
In pSS patients, the usefulness of SGUS in the assessment of major SG parenchyma has been clearly demonstrated, and it is currently applied in daily clinical practice as a complementary tool for the diagnosis of pSS. SGUS may also have uses in monitoring disease activity, in assessing glandular damage and in early detection of focal or diffuse patterns indicative of lymphoproliferative diseases, although its potential usefulness in this area needs further investigation. The importance of SGUS may increase in the future. SGUS could play a pivotal role in pSS as a guide for CNB, a feasible and accurate technique that recent studies showed to be safer than open surgical biopsy. In addition, advances in AI, with the development of more accurate AI algorithms, could expand and support the use of SGUS in clinical practice in the future.
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