COVID-19 Related Emotional Stress and Bedtime Procrastination Among College Students in China: A Moderated Mediation Model
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Purpose: Although bedtime procrastination is prevalent during the COVID-19 pandemic, little is known about the relationship between COVID-19 related emotional stress and bedtime procrastination. Therefore, we investigated the correlation between COVID-19 related emotional stress and bedtime procrastination and examined the mediating role of negative affect and the moderating role of rumination among Chinese college students.

Methods: A multicenter, cross-sectional, and quantitative research was conducted in China from August 11, 2021, to August 27, 2021, during the COVID-19 pandemic. The sampling method used in the study is the convenience sampling method. A total of 913 college students (aged 18–24 years) participated and completed online self-reported questionnaires. Their levels of COVID-19 related emotional stress, negative affect, rumination, and bedtime procrastination were measured by the Coronavirus Stress Measure, the Depression Anxiety Stress Scale, the Ruminative Responses Scale, and the Bedtime Procrastination Scale, respectively. The data were analyzed using Pearson correlations and moderated mediation analysis.

Results: COVID-19 related emotional stress was positively associated with bedtime procrastination. Meanwhile, the association could be mediated by negative affect (Effect = 0.33, Boot 95% CI = [0.26, 0.39]) and moderated by rumination (Effect = 0.05, Boot 95% CI = [0.03, 0.07]) through its moderation in the link between COVID-19 related emotional stress and negative affect. This indicated that the mediation effect of negative affect was stronger in college students with high levels of rumination than in those with low levels of rumination.

Conclusion: The findings of this study shed light on a correlation between COVID-19 related emotional stress and bedtime procrastination. Moreover, this study suggests that interventions could be targeted at alleviating negative affect and rumination to reduce the bedtime procrastination of college students with high levels of COVID-19 related emotional stress.
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Introduction

The novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has severely disrupted daily life around the world.¹ Stress has been ubiquitous due to uncertainty about the future, health concerns, social isolation, home-schooling, and financial hardship.²,³ Combined with strict social distancing rules in many countries around the world, these multiple stressors have had tremendous impacts on mental health and sleep.¹,⁴–⁶ Surveys conducted in several countries since the beginning of the pandemic, have reported an increased risk of post-traumatic stress disorder, fear, anxiety, and depression in different populations.⁷–¹² Sleep problems in response to the COVID-19 pandemic are high in prevalence,¹³,¹⁴ as are psychological symptoms. Problems included poorer sleep quality, increased insomnia symptoms, and delayed bedtime and wake times,¹⁵,¹⁶ which were most common among women,¹⁷ youth,¹⁸ and individuals with poor social support and high pandemic-related stress.¹⁹

COVID-19 is a health threat identified as a significant stressor threatening individuals’ physical and mental health around the world.²⁰–²² It is confirmed that perceived stress is a major obstacle to sleep,²³,²⁴ and sleep can be affected when exposed...
to unpredictable or uncontrollable stressors.\textsuperscript{25–27} According to the ego-depletion theory, prolonged exposure to stress would adversely affect individuals’ subsequent performance of self-regulatory behaviors, leading to procrastination.\textsuperscript{28–31} As a sleep-related procrastination, bedtime procrastination is defined as “going to bed later than intended while no external circumstances are accountable for doing so”.\textsuperscript{32} Bedtime procrastination turns out to be highly prevalent after the outbreak of COVID-19,\textsuperscript{33} having a significant effect on daytime fatigue,\textsuperscript{32} as well as the experience of insufficient sleep.\textsuperscript{34} Moreover, bedtime procrastination correlates with preclinical depressive symptoms of college students, which can be used to predict the occurrence of clinical depressive symptoms.\textsuperscript{35} A survey across different continents conducted during the pandemic exhibited that 89.8\% of participants were going to bed after 10 p.m. on weekdays compared to 57.1\% respectively before the pandemic, with these proportions being higher on weekends.\textsuperscript{36} Also, a study conducted during the pandemic revealed that participants went to bed, on average, 1 h 13 min later on weekdays and 31 min later on weekends.\textsuperscript{15} Despite the prevalence of bedtime procrastination during the pandemic, there is no study in the literature on the linkage between COVID-19 related emotional stress and bedtime procrastination. Based on the ego-depletion theory and empirical evidence, we can therefore hypothesize a positive correlation between COVID-19 related emotional stress and bedtime procrastination (Hypothesis 1).

Studies have reported that COVID-19 related emotional stress can trigger mild to severe levels of psychosocial issues, such as depression, anxiety, and somatization.\textsuperscript{20,22,37,38} From the perspective of the stress response mechanism, individuals stimulated by stress may elicit relevant emotional responses.\textsuperscript{39} Expectedly, empirical studies have demonstrated that stress is positively related to negative affect.\textsuperscript{40,41} Moreover, negative affect is a harmful factor for sleep disorders.\textsuperscript{42} According to the internalization of conflicts model, negative affect leads to a high level of emotional arousal, which in turn provokes physiological hyper-arousal and causes the failure to fall asleep.\textsuperscript{43} As a sleep problem, bedtime procrastination has been found to be associated with negative affect.\textsuperscript{44,45} Based on the above, COVID-19 related emotional stress may correlate with negative affect, which in turn correlates with bedtime procrastination. Therefore, we hypothesizes that negative affect would mediate the association between COVID-19 related emotional stress and bedtime procrastination (Hypothesis 2).

Rumination refers to the tendency of dwelling on one’s distress and its potential causes and consequences without any positive changes,\textsuperscript{46} which is a maladaptive response mode and a negative personal trait.\textsuperscript{47} The organism-environment interaction model indicates that the impact of environmental factors on individual’s psychosocial and social adaptation is affected by individual personality traits,\textsuperscript{48} that is, individual’s psychosocial and social adaptation is the result of the interaction between environmental factors and individual traits. In addition, the response style theory suggests that compared with low ruminators, high ruminators tend to repetitively think about the causes and potential adverse consequences of stressful events they encounter in life and fail to take constructive actions to tackle with, which increases the possibility of stressful life events triggering the negative affect such as anxiety and depression.\textsuperscript{49–51} Specifically, compared with individuals with low levels of rumination, individuals with high levels of rumination are more likely to repeatedly think before sleep after a stressful experience, which deepens the negative impact of stress-induced negative emotional responses. Numerous researches have confirmed the view of the organism-environment interaction model and response style theory. For example, studies have found that compared with low ruminators, the effect of negative life events on negative affect is stronger in high ruminators.\textsuperscript{52} As rumination intensifies the impact of the stressful events on negative affect, the adverse effects of COVID-19 related emotional stress may be more significant in students with high rumination. Therefore, we hypothesized that the impact of COVID-19 related emotional stress on negative affect was moderated by rumination, and the mediating effect was stronger in students with high levels of rumination when compared to those with low levels of rumination (Hypothesis 3).

Bedtime procrastination is a relatively new concept. Thus, literature on this topic is scarce. To our knowledge, few of the published studies have tried to explore the relationship between COVID-19 related emotional stress and bedtime procrastination. Considering its high prevalence and adverse outcomes, exploring the occurrence mechanism of bedtime procrastination is crucial for prevention and intervention. Thus, the purpose of the current study was to explore the psychological mechanism between COVID-19 related emotional stress and bedtime procrastination among Chinese college students. We aimed to test whether negative affect would mediate the relation between COVID-19 related emotional stress and bedtime procrastination, and whether the relationship between COVID-19 related emotional stress and negative affect was moderated by rumination. Better solutions to the sleep problems of college students with high levels of COVID-19 related emotional stress may be implied by answering the research questions of our study. The relations are illustrated in Figure 1.
Materials and Methods

Procedure

The research is cross-sectional and quantitative research. Participants were recruited from different universities in different regions of China such as Jiangxi, Hunan, Anhui province, etc. The research was conducted from August 11, 2021 to August 27, 2021, during the COVID-19 pandemic. The sampling method used in the study is the convenience sampling method. We collected primary data on the Questionnaire Star (an online survey platform) by disseminating questionnaires to participants through the WeChat or QQ groups (online social media platforms). As the majority of the population was home isolated, students participated in the survey from various regions of China. The inclusion criteria for participants were as follows: 1) college students; 2) using social media; 3) they volunteer to participate in the study. A total of 936 students were initially approached. After excluding unqualified samples (eg, answering regularly, such as responding “1” to all items; failing an attention check question), we finally collected 913 valid questionnaires with an effective response rate of 97.54%. Because only completed responsive questionnaires could be recorded, there were no missing data. The survey was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Jiangxi Normal University of China (The ethics review approval code is IRB-JXNU-PSY-2020002). All participants signed informed consents online prior to participating in the study and were informed that their personal information would be confidential. Participation in this study was entirely voluntary and participants could exit the survey at any time. Thus, no compensation was given to them. This study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Participants

A total of 913 Chinese college students ($M_{age} = 19.72, SD = 1.24$, range = 18–24, 54% female) were included in this study. The participants consisted of 217 (23.77%) freshmen, 391 (42.83%) sophomores, 237 (25.96%) juniors, and 68 (7.44%) seniors. The sample size required for a study depends on many factors. Fritz and MacKinnon provided researchers with sample size requirements for various combinations of parameters in the single mediator model, and they showed that if small mediated effects are to be detected, the sample size requirement is 462 for bias-corrected bootstrap test. Considering that the moderated mediation model in this paper is more complex than the simple mediation model, we use a larger sample size in this study. Using G*Power 3.1, a post hoc power analysis revealed that the sample size of this study was adequate to attain a power of 1 (effect size = 0.25, $\alpha = 0.05$).

Materials

Coronavirus Stress Measure

The Chinese version of the Coronavirus Stress Measure was used to assess COVID-19 related emotional stress. The scale consists of 5 items (eg, “How often have you been upset because of the COVID-19 pandemic?”). All items were measured on a 5-point Likert scale ($0 = never$, $4 = always$), and higher total scores indicate higher levels of COVID-19 related emotional stress. In the present study, the Cronbach’s alpha of the scale was 0.96.
Depression Anxiety Stress Scale
The Chinese version\textsuperscript{57} of the Depression Anxiety Stress Scale\textsuperscript{58} was used to assess participants’ levels of negative affect.\textsuperscript{59} The scale contains 21 items (eg, “I found it hard to wind down.”). All items were measured on a 4-point Likert scale (0 = never, 3 = often or always), and higher total scores indicate higher levels of negative affect. In the present study, the Cronbach’s alpha of the scale was 0.98.

Ruminative Responses Scale
The Chinese version\textsuperscript{60} of the Ruminative Responses Scale\textsuperscript{46} was used to assess participants’ levels of rumination. It consists of 22 items (eg, “Think ‘why do I have problems other people don’t have?’”). All items were measured on a 4-point Likert scale (1 = never, 4 = always), and higher total scores indicate higher rumination. In the present study, the Cronbach’s alpha of the scale was 0.98.

Bedtime Procrastination Scale
The Chinese version\textsuperscript{61} of the Bedtime Procrastination Scale\textsuperscript{32} was used to assess participants’ propensity to unnecessarily delay their bedtimes. The scale consists of 9 items (eg, “I go to bed later than I would like to”) and all items were measured on a 5-point Likert scale (1 = never, 5 = always). Responses to all items were averaged, and higher total scores indicate more bedtime procrastination behaviors. In the present study, the Cronbach’s alpha of the scale was 0.80.

Statistical Analyses
First, descriptive statistics and Pearson correlations analyses were conducted to investigate the correlation between COVID-19 related emotional stress, negative affect, rumination, and bedtime procrastination. Second, we used Model 4 of the Hayes PROCESS macro to examine the mediation effect of negative affect. Bootstrapping (5000 bootstrap samples) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) was conducted to test the significance of indirect effects.\textsuperscript{62} The 95% CIs did not include zero, indicating a significant effect. Third, Model 7 of the Hayes PROCESS macro was used to test whether rumination moderated the mediation process. The 95% CIs did not include zero, indicating a significant moderation effect. Finally, simple slope tests were performed to demonstrate the interaction patterns, and significant moderation effects were probed at plus and minus one standard deviation from the mean of the predictor variables. All variables were standardized prior to being analyzed and all data were analyzed using SPSS Statistics 22.0.

Result
Preliminary Analysis
Descriptive statistics and Pearson correlations among the study variables are presented in Table 1. As expected, COVID-19 related emotional stress was positively correlated with bedtime procrastination and negative affect. Rumination was positively associated with negative affect. Therefore, hypothesis 1 was supported.

Mediation Analysis
Hypothesis 2 assumed that negative affect would mediate the relation between COVID-19 related emotional stress and bedtime procrastination. To test this hypothesis, we used Model 4 of the PROCESS macro.\textsuperscript{62} Table 2 showed that COVID-19 related emotional stress was positively related to bedtime procrastination ($\beta = 0.44$, $p < 0.001$) and negative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. COVID-19 related emotional stress</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Negative Affect</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.74**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Rumination</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.65**</td>
<td>0.84***</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Bedtime Procrastination</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.44**</td>
<td>0.53**</td>
<td>0.60***</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: **p < 0.01.
affect ($\beta = 0.72$, $p < 0.001$) after controlling for gender. When controlling for COVID-19 related emotional stress, negative affect was positively related to bedtime procrastination ($\beta = 0.45$, $p < 0.001$). Bootstrapping indicated that the mediation effect of negative affect was significant ($ab = 0.33$, Boot SE = 0.03, Boot 95% CI = [0.26, 0.39]), and it accounted for 73.95% of the total effect. Taken together, negative affect mediated the relationship between COVID-19 related emotional stress and bedtime procrastination. Hence, hypothesis 2 was supported.

### Moderated Mediation Analysis

Hypothesis 3 proposed that the relationship between COVID-19 related emotional stress and negative affect would be moderated by rumination. To test this hypothesis, we used Model 7 of the PROCESS macro.\(^{62}\) Controlling for gender, Table 2 showed the interaction between COVID-19 related emotional stress and rumination. Results showed a significant, positive interaction between COVID-19 related emotional stress and rumination on negative affect ($\beta = 0.05$, $p < 0.001$), suggesting that rumination moderated the effect of COVID-19 related emotional stress on negative affect. Figure 2 showed the interaction effect graphically. Simple slope tests showed that, COVID-19 related emotional stress were positively associated with negative affect for both students with low ($Z = -1$, $\beta_{simple} = 0.25$, $t = 9.03$, $p < 0.001$) and high ($Z = 1$, $\beta_{simple} = 0.35$, $t = 16.74$, $p < 0.001$) levels of rumination, but the correlation was significantly stronger in the latter.

![Figure 2](https://doi.org/10.2147/NSS.S371292)

**Figure 2** The moderation effect of rumination.

---

**Table 2** Testing the Mediation Effect and the Moderated Mediation Effect of COVID-19 Related Emotional Stress on Bedtime Procrastination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>Model 1 Bedtime Procrastination</th>
<th>Model 2 Negative Affect</th>
<th>Model 3 Bedtime Procrastination</th>
<th>Model 4 Negative Affect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>$\beta$ = 0.07, $t = -1.16$</td>
<td>$\beta$ = -0.24, $t = 5.47$***</td>
<td>$\beta$ = 0.04, $t = 0.69$</td>
<td>$\beta$ = -0.14, $t = -4.49$***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COVID-19 related emotional stress</td>
<td>0.44, $t = 14.75$***</td>
<td>0.72, $t = 32.82$***</td>
<td>0.11, $t = 2.75$***</td>
<td>0.30, $t = 13.89$***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative Affect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rumination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COVID-19 related emotional stress × Rumination</td>
<td>0.45, $t = 10.63$***</td>
<td>0.62, $t = 30.59$***</td>
<td>0.05, $t = 4.42$***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F$</td>
<td>111.25***</td>
<td>569.08***</td>
<td>120.93***</td>
<td>825.43***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes**: ***$p < 0.001$; **$p < 0.01$.**
The bias-corrected percentile bootstrap analysis further indicated that rumination moderated the mediating effect. For college students with high rumination, the indirect effect of negative affect on COVID-19 related emotional stress and bedtime procrastination association was stronger ($\beta = 0.15, SE = 0.02, \text{Boot 95\% CI} = [0.12, 0.19]$) than those with low rumination ($\beta = 0.11, SE = 0.02, \text{Boot 95\% CI} = [0.07, 0.15]$). Thus, hypothesis 3 was supported.

**Discussion**

This study explored the relationship between COVID-19 related emotional stress and bedtime procrastination in college students and tested the internal mechanism of formation and deterioration. The results showed that COVID-19 related emotional stress was not only directly related to bedtime procrastination, but also indirectly correlated with bedtime procrastination by the mediating variable of negative affect, and the indirect effect of this model was moderated by rumination. Further, the mediation effect of negative affect was stronger for those students with higher levels of rumination than for those with lower levels of rumination. During the pandemic crises, international research revealed several negative effects of the lockdown on mental health and were associated with emotional strains such as stress, fear, depression, and anxiety, as well as sleep disturbance. \(^2,10,12,36,63,64\) Similarly, results from Chinese studies indicated that the COVID-19 outbreak engendered anxiety, depression, sleep disturbances, and other psychological issues. \(^65\) With the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on negative affect and bedtime procrastination of Chinese college students, the present findings supported these suggestions from the literature and highlight that the COVID-19 outbreak is a common risk factor for mental health and sleep problems across different populations and contexts. \(^14,66–69\)

**The Relationship Between COVID-19 Related Emotional Stress and Bedtime Procrastination**

First, the study found that COVID-19 related emotional stress was related to bedtime procrastination. It was consistent with existing research, indicating that lockdown was associated with later bedtime across different continents. \(^36\) This was also in line with a study noting that bedtime procrastination was affected by people’s daily stress. \(^70\) In the same vein of the ego-depletion theory, controlling emotions may deplete the pool of internal limited cognitive resources, so a high level of stress may predict subsequent poor self-regulatory behavior, \(^71,72\) and procrastination is a typical behavioral outcome of those who are unwilling to exercise self-control and tend to choose short-sighted, short-term benefits over long-term ones. \(^73–76\) Why do people engage in more bedtime procrastination after a stressful day? According to the so-called ego-depletion theory, there are two plausible explanations. While most people know the importance of getting enough sleep, short-term motives may discourage them from going to bed on time. For instance, as the uncertainty about the future and the treatment of the virus has brought great stress to people, students may want to have some “me time” before bedtime and relax from the day. \(^70\) When experiencing high levels of COVID-19 related emotional stress, students may have a greater need to recover from the stressful day, and therefore relaxing activities are prolonged into the evening. An alternative explanation was that students do not have enough resources to start the aversive evening routine after a stressful day, \(^77\) leading to bedtime procrastination. It may seem paradoxical that some students want to relax or recover at the end of a stressful day but delay going to bed. After all, sufficient sleep seems to be the best way to actually relax and recover. From this perspective, bedtime procrastination does not seem to be a highly functional strategy. Hence, effective prevention and intervention strategies are necessary to mitigate college students’ susceptibility to bedtime procrastination behaviors.

**The Mediating Effect of Negative Affect**

Besides, the mediation results of this study suggested that negative affect partially mediated the relationship between COVID-19 related emotional stress and bedtime procrastination, indicating that excessive COVID-19 related emotional stress induced strong negative affect, which in turn tended to more bedtime procrastination. This result was consistent with the findings of existing research, indicating that the COVID-19 pandemic has major impacts on mental health and sleep, \(^2\) and confirmed the stress response mechanism which suggests that stress can cause dramatic changes in emotion which in turn trigger health problems, including sleep. \(^78–80\) Some researchers have explained the
relationship between stress and negative affect from the perspective of attention. When individuals are stimulated by stress, they tend to produce mind wandering, which is a spontaneous shift of attention from dealing with the primary task at hand to processing irrelevant information.\textsuperscript{81} According to prior research, mind wandering can increase anxiety, depression, worry, and other negative affect.\textsuperscript{40,41,82} and those adverse outcomes directly result in sleep problems.\textsuperscript{83,84}

It should be noted that the relationship between negative affect and bedtime procrastination was significant in our study. This finding was consistent with previous studies\textsuperscript{85} and the internalization of conflicts model.\textsuperscript{43} Individuals with high levels of negative affect focus more on short-term goals that benefit them immediately than long-term goals that bring them delayed rewards.\textsuperscript{86} More specifically, instead of pursuing the long-term benefits of going to bed on time, they are eager to deal with the current negative affect. Therefore, they may divert their attention to activities that make them feel happy (eg, playing online games, watching videos, and chatting with friends) and delay bedtime.\textsuperscript{87} In this case, bedtime procrastination can be seen as a way to deal with negative affect.\textsuperscript{45,88}

Interestingly, we found that the mediating effect of negative affect accounted for 73.95% of the total effect, which was stronger than the direct effect from COVID-19 related emotional stress to bedtime procrastination. This suggests that negative affect as a variable, has a more direct and important influence when examining the effect of COVID-19 related emotional stress on bedtime procrastination.

The Moderating Effect of Rumination

Furthermore, the current results demonstrated that rumination moderated the relationship between COVID-19 related emotional stress and negative affect in students. Specifically, compared with students with low levels of rumination, individuals with high levels of rumination were more likely to experience negative affect when exposed to COVID-19 related emotional stress. This result supported the organism-environment interaction model,\textsuperscript{48} indicating that environmental factors (eg, COVID-19 related emotional stress) and individual traits (eg, rumination) have a superposition effect on psychosocial and social adaptation, also known as the “aggravation effect”, that is, negative personality traits (eg, rumination) aggravate the negative impact of adverse environmental factors (eg, COVID-19 related emotional stress) on individual’s psychosocial and social adaptation. This can be explained by the response style theory of rumination.\textsuperscript{49} According to the theory, when experiencing stress, individuals with high rumination tend to repeatedly think about the stressful event, its causes and potential adverse consequences, which aggravates the stress-related negative affect. Rumination is not conducive to the adoption of positive coping strategies, and it makes individuals in the long-term adverse impact of stressful events and the erosion of stress emotions, which harms individual’s psychosocial and social adaptation, such as inducing negative affect. On the contrary, individuals with less rumination tend to focus their attention on the current states or feelings and tend to adopt positive coping strategies, which not only helps them to properly deal with stressful life events, but also reduces the possibility of the negative impact of stressful life events on negative affect. Thus, rumination may function as a catalyst in the relation between COVID-19 related emotional stress and negative affect. Individuals with high rumination are more likely to experience negative affect when suffering from COVID-19 related emotional stress.

Limitations and Implications for Practice

Despite the important implications, the present study had its methodological limitations. First, the measure of the data relied on subjective reports completely, which may be prone to bias though high reliability and validity of the selected scales. For example, participants could have given responses that included a tendency to either underreport or overreport socially desirable attitudes. Thus, to address this problem, future studies should use multiple assessment techniques to investigate the relationships between the study variables. Second, the present study employed a cross-sectional design, thus, we cannot ascertain a causal relationship between the variables. Subsequent researches using longitudinal or experimental designs need to be adopted which may have the potential to explore the causal implications of the relations among COVID-19 related emotional stress, negative affect, rumination, and bedtime procrastination.

Our study showed that COVID-19 related emotional stress and rumination should be considered as important risk factors in prevention and intervention programs for bedtime procrastination. Unfortunately, COVID-19 is highly contagious, making it an uncontrollable source of stress for many people.\textsuperscript{89} In this context, it is necessary to select the content of public information carefully, avoid messages that may increase stress, and carry out health promotion to
reduce the level of stress in society during the pandemic. In terms of rumination, it can be reduced by changing the poor cognitive and emotional reaction patterns. Therefore, college students can mitigate the adverse effects of COVID-19 related emotional stress by reducing rumination through targeted learning and training. Meanwhile, a highlighted finding in our study that negative affect mediated the relationship between COVID-19 related emotional stress and bedtime procrastination may imply some new solutions to the sleep problems. That is, prevention and intervention programs for sleep problems can partially consider reducing the negative affect to help college students with high levels of rumination attain more and better sleep. On this basis, we recommend Cognitive Behavioral Techniques (CBTs), which is a type of self-regulation intervention that can be used in these prevention and intervention programs. Cognitive Behavioral Techniques include cognitive reappraisal, response modulation, and mindfulness-based techniques. It has been well established that these techniques can help college students with high levels of rumination relieve their negative affect. According to a study, a four-week program of mindfulness exercises, including body scan, breath awareness, and loving-kindness meditation exercises, achieved great success in reducing ruminative thoughts and anxiety before sleep. Therefore, people experiencing high levels of stress during the COVID-19 pandemic can employ Cognitive Behavioral Techniques to mitigate negative affect and thus reduce bedtime procrastination behaviors.

**Conclusion**

In a nutshell, this study explored how COVID-19 related emotional stress may be related to bedtime procrastination among Chinese college students. The results showed that negative affect served as one mechanism linking COVID-19 related emotional stress to bedtime procrastination. Further, the interaction effect provided a positive implication showing that COVID-19 related emotional stress may be coupled with rumination to further reinforce students’ negative affect while promoting bedtime procrastination. The present study provides some initial avenues for future interventions that help people get more of the sleep they need during the COVID-19 pandemic. The new findings not only explained the mechanism of bedtime procrastination during the COVID-19 pandemic, but also revealed feasible approaches to reduce bedtime procrastination for college students.
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