Wellens’ syndrome with segmental wall-motion abnormalities
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Abstract: Wellens’ syndrome is a pattern of electrocardiographic T-wave changes associated with critical, proximal left anterior descending (LAD) artery stenosis. We herein report 2 cases of Wellens’ syndrome with segmental wall-motion abnormalities. The first case is a 50-year-old man admitted to the emergency department with typical chest pain. Admission ECG showed biphasic T waves in leads V1–V3 with inverted T waves in leads V4–V6, and cardiac enzymes were within normal limits. The second case is a 62-year-old woman admitted to the emergency department with chest pain on rest. Admission ECG showed deeply inverted T waves in leads V1–V4, and troponin T was minimally elevated. The critical lesions in the proximal segment LAD were successfully opened with stent deployments. Wall-motion abnormalities returned to normal after intervention.
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Introduction

Wellens’ syndrome was first described in 1982 by de Zwaan et al.¹ This condition is regarded as preinfarction state and consists of T-wave changes in ECG and critical narrowing of proximal left anterior descending coronary (LAD) artery with additional clinical and laboratory findings. Wellens’ syndrome can be divided into 2 types according to the ECG pattern: cases with biphasic T waves are classified as type 1 and cases with deeply inverted T waves as type 2. These changes are usually seen at leads V2–V3 and may involve leads V1–V4. Patients with Wellens’ syndrome have an increased risk for anterior myocardial infarction and early invasive investigation is essential in the management of these cases.¹–³ We herein report 2 cases of Wellens’ syndrome with segmental wall-motion abnormalities and re-emphasize some critical points that should be kept in mind in the management of these patients.

Case presentations

Case 1

A 50-year-old man was admitted to the emergency department with typical chest pain on rest associated with intense diaphoresis. Admission ECG showed biphasic T waves in leads V1–V3 with inverted T waves in leads V4–V6 (Figure 1A). Physical examination was unremarkable and cardiac enzymes were within normal limits. He was quickly referred to the coronary care unit. On bedside echocardiographic examination, mild apical hypokinesis with a left ventricular ejection fraction of 55% was detected. Coronary angiography revealed a critical proximal LAD stenosis and then angioplasty with stent...
placement was performed successfully (Figure 1B). Wall-motion abnormality in apical segment returned to normal after intervention.

Case 2

A 62-year-old woman with a history of hypertension presented to the emergency department with chest pain on rest. Admission ECG showed deeply inverted T waves in leads V1–V4 (Figure 2A). Troponin T was found to be minimally elevated (peak troponin T value was 0.055 ng/mL). Anterior and apical hypokinesis with an ejection fraction of 40% were detected on echocardiography. She was quickly referred to the coronary care unit for early invasive investigation. On coronary angiography, tight stenosis was observed in the proximal part of the LAD and then the lesion was successfully opened with stent deployment (Figure 2B). Wall-motion abnormality in anterior and apical segments returned to normal after intervention.

Discussion

The inverted T waves produced by myocardial ischemia are classically narrow and symmetrical; however, biphasic T waves may also be associated with ischemia. In 1982, de Zwaan et al emphasized the importance of both types of T-wave changes, and first described the clinical and ECG criteria which is the predictor of extent myocardial infarction for a subgroup of acute coronary syndrome patients.1–3

In the original study, 145 patients admitted to hospital with unstable angina, and 26 (18%) patients showed the typical ECG pattern. Twelve of 16 patients (75%) who did not undergo coronary revascularization developed extensive anterior wall infarction within a few weeks of admission.1 In the second study of 1260 patients, 180 (14%) patients demonstrated the ECG criteria, and angiographically significant LAD stenosis, varying from 50% to complete obstruction, was observed in all 180 patients.4

Two variants of precordial ST-T abnormalities have been described in Wellens’ syndrome. The ST segment itself is often normal; if abnormal, it is minimally elevated, usually less than 1 mm. The more common abnormality (75%) is deeply inverted T waves in leads V2 and V3 (type 2). Biphasic T waves in leads V2 and V3 (type 1) is relatively rare (25%). Although the ST segment and T-wave abnormalities are present in leads V2 and V3, it may also be seen in

Figure 1 Electrocardiogram showing biphasic T waves in leads V1–V3 with inverted T waves in leads V4–V6 (A), and coronary angiogram revealing critical proximal left anterior descending artery lesion (B).

Figure 2 Electrocardiogram demonstrating deeply inverted T waves in leads V1–V4 (A), and coronary angiogram showing 95% stenosis of proximal left anterior descending artery (B).
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Table 1 Criteria for Wellens’s syndrome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ECG pattern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Symmetric and deeply inverted T waves, in leads V2 and V3 (type 2), occasionally in leads V1, V4, V5, and V6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biphasic T waves in leads V2 and V3 (type 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoelectric or minimally elevated (&lt;1 mm) ST segment, No pathological precordial Q waves, No loss of precordial R waves, Normal or slightly elevated cardiac markers, History of angina.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

leads V1–V4 and occasionally in leads V5–V6. Approximately two-thirds of patients also had these changes in lead V1 and three-quarters in lead V4. Patients with abnormalities in lead V4 occasionally had similar abnormalities in leads V5 or V6. The ECG abnormalities may develop during an angina-free period or during chest pain, and may normalize or develop into ST segment elevation.1–5 In the prospective study by de Zwaan et al, 60% of patients diagnosed with Wellens’ syndrome had the characteristic ECG changes on admission and then 31% developed the changes within 24 hours, 5.6% within 2 days, 2.8% within 3 days, and 0.6% within 5 days.4

Differential diagnosis of T wave inversion comprises extensive clinical circumstances such as acute coronary syndrome, past myocardial infarction, acute pulmonary embolism, central nervous system injury, persistent juvenile T wave pattern, digitalis effect, left ventricular hypertrophy, bundle branch block, pre-excitation syndromes, acute myocarditis, and later stages of pericarditis; however the Wellens’ syndrome may be easily diagnosed by considering the specific criteria in Table 1.2,6

When the chest pain patient who presents with a powerful clinical description of acute coronary syndrome and ECG changes is detected, physicians should manage appropriate therapy and refer the patient to the coronary care unit. Provocative testing for certain diagnosis or risk stratification is risky in patients with Wellens’ pattern and it may precipitate an acute myocardial infarction.2,3,5,6

Interestingly segmental wall-motion abnormalities were detected on echocardiography in our cases who had severe critical lesions on the proximal LAD. However wall-motion abnormalities improved after revascularization in all of these cases. Wall-motion abnormalities have not been extensively studied in Wellens’ syndrome, and this issue needs further diagnostic criteria.3

Conclusion

Wellens’ syndrome is a preinfarction stage of coronary artery disease, and it may be present with an angina-free period. Clinical and ECG criteria may provide exact diagnosis of this syndrome. Once Wellens’ syndrome has been diagnosed, the patients should be referred to the coronary care unit for early invasive approach. In patients with Wellens’ syndrome segmental wall motion abnormalities are rarely encountered and usually improve after intervention.
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