

# A Diagnostic Survey for Screening Patients for Post-Covid Conditions

Laurie G Jacobs <sup>1</sup>, Elli Gournas Paleoudis<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medicine, Hackensack Meridian School of Medicine, Nutley, NJ, USA; <sup>2</sup>Department of Medical Sciences, Hackensack Meridian School of Medicine, Nutley, NJ, USA

Correspondence: Laurie G Jacobs, Hackensack University Medical Center, 30 Prospect Avenue, Hackensack, NJ, 07601, USA, Tel +1 551 996 3500, Fax +1 551 996 3298, Email [Laurie.Jacobs@hmn.org](mailto:Laurie.Jacobs@hmn.org)

## Introduction

Post-Covid conditions<sup>1</sup> may afflict 10–30% of individuals following infection with SARS-CoV-2 and have been defined as symptoms present or persisting  $\geq 3$  months after infection, and continue for  $\geq 2$  months, without an alternative diagnosis.<sup>2</sup> They have been characterized by the array of patient reported symptoms across organ system domains, with fatigue, breathlessness, and confusion commonly described.<sup>3</sup> Despite numerous reports, no consensus has been reached regarding the diagnostic symptoms of post-Covid conditions. This cross-sectional study evaluates the use of a standardized symptom survey as a diagnostic screening test for post-Covid conditions.

## Materials and Methods

No extramural funding supported this work. This work was done in accord with the Declaration of Helsinki and received Institutional Review Board approval at Hackensack Meridian Health. Participants were anonymously recruited from electronic medical record data of patients who had a positive COVID-19 PCR test between July 1, 2020 and August 30, 2021, and those who were subsequently evaluated for post-Covid conditions at a Covid Recovery Clinic. Patients were evaluated 3–12 months following their infection. In December 2021, using REDCap,<sup>4</sup> participants were contacted by email, provided informed consent, completed the survey online.

The survey rated the intensity or impact of 25 common symptoms associated with post-covid conditions which occurred “during the past week” and “prior to COVID infection”, using a 5-point scale (0=not present; 1=mild, does not interfere with daily activities; 2=moderate, sometimes interferes; 3=severe, always interferes; 4=very severe, I am disabled by this symptom). Symptom scores, 0 to 4 for each symptom, produced a composite score (0 to 100) for each time point. A change in the composite score between the two time points was calculated for each participant (potential range –100 to +100).

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analyses were used to identify an ideal cut point in the change composite scores for grouping the patients into the two groups - those who had been evaluated for post-Covid conditions in the Clinic and those who had not. The ideal cut point from ROC analysis would be used to recategorize the patients into new groups of participants with post-Covid conditions ( $>$  cut point) versus those who had recovered from their Covid infection ( $\leq$  cut point). A change score of 11 points was identified as the ideal cut point.

## Results

Responses were obtained from 19.2% (144/750) of patients who had been evaluated in Clinic for post-Covid conditions, and 6.5% (97/1500) who were not. The ROC curve analysis identified an ideal cut point of 11 for the change in the composite score from pre-infection to the present, as the ideal cut point separating participants who recovered after infection from those who presented with post-COVID conditions. Demographics and comorbid conditions were similar

(Table 1), with the greatest change in symptom ratings for post-exertional weakness or exhaustion, excessive fatigue, confusion, memory problems and dyspnea (Table 2).

For the Clinic participants, 107 of the 144 had a change in symptom score of >11, indicating a sensitivity for this scale and cut point to identify post-COVID condition patients of 74%; 25 of the 97 Positive PCR participants had a score of <11, with a specificity of 74%.

**Table 1** Participant Demographics and Comorbidities

	<b>Post-COVID Condition Subjects (Change Score &gt;11) N=132</b>	<b>Recovered Subjects (Change Score ≤11) N=109</b>	<b>P-value</b>
Participant Characteristics			
Age: Mean ± SD	51.26 ± 13.37	49.37 ± 17.08	0.3375
Range	22.00 to 81.00	20.00 to 99.00	
Female Gender at birth	96/132 (72.7%)	67/109 (61.5%)	0.0526
Race			0.4288
Asian	4/125 (3.2%)	8/104 (7.7%)	
Black or African American	8/125 (6.4%)	7/104 (6.7%)	
Other	9/125 (7.2%)	5/104 (4.8%)	
Unknown	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
White	104/125 (83.2%)	84/104 (80.8%)	
Ethnicity			0.0126
Hispanic	24/126 (19.0%)	8/107 (7.5%)	
Non-Hispanic	101/126 (80.2%)	99/107 (92.5%)	
Unknown	1/126 (0.8%)	0 (0.0%)	
Comorbid Conditions			
Hypertension	37/132 (28.0%)	27/109 (24.8%)	0.5685
Diabetes	12/132 (9.1%)	7/109 (6.4%)	0.4441
COPD	1/132 (0.8%)	2/109 (1.8%)	0.5910
Asthma	14/132 (10.6%)	10/109 (9.2%)	0.7118
Cardiac conditions	8/132 (6.1%)	10/109 (9.2%)	0.3601
Chronic kidney disease	3/132 (2.3%)	2/109 (1.8%)	1.0000
Stroke	1/132 (0.8%)	1/109 (0.9%)	1.0000
Immunodeficiency (medical, drug)	10/132 (7.6%)	3/109 (2.8%)	0.0990
Active cancer	12/132 (9.1%)	6/109 (5.5%)	0.2919
Hospitalized due to COVID-19	48/132 (36.4%)	32/109 (29.4%)	0.2503

**Notes:** Chi-square test or Fisher's exact test used for categorical variables, as appropriate. t-tests or Wilcoxon rank sum tests used for continuous variables, as appropriate.

**Abbreviation:** SD, standard deviation.

**Table 2** Change in Symptom Scores (Pre-Covid-19 to  $\geq 3$  Months After Acute Covid-19 Infection)

Symptom, Change in Score	Post-COVID Condition Subjects (Score >11) N=132	Recovered Subjects (Score $\leq 11$ ) N=109	P-value
Intermittent fever (N)	131	107	<0.0001
Mean $\pm$ SD	0.62 $\pm$ 1.09	-0.12 $\pm$ 0.70	
Excessive fatigue (N)	131	106	<0.0001
Mean $\pm$ SD	2.11 $\pm$ 1.05	0.33 $\pm$ 0.94	
Excessive weakness or exhaustion after exertion (N)	132	105	<0.0001
Mean $\pm$ SD	2.17 $\pm$ 1.11	0.16 $\pm$ 0.93	
Lightheadedness	130	104	<0.0001
Mean $\pm$ SD	1.35 $\pm$ 1.15	0.08 $\pm$ 0.72	
Palpitations or a racing heart at rest	132	104	<0.0001
Mean $\pm$ SD	1.36 $\pm$ 1.15	0.06 $\pm$ 0.89	
Shortness of breath	131	103	<0.0001
Mean $\pm$ SD	1.85 $\pm$ 1.15	0.17 $\pm$ 0.91	
Cough	124	96	<0.0001
Mean $\pm$ SD	0.98 $\pm$ 1.10	0.11 $\pm$ 0.60	
Chest pain while taking a breath	130	102	<0.0001
Mean $\pm$ SD	0.75 $\pm$ 1.09	-0.08 $\pm$ 0.61	
Chest pain not associated with breathing	127	97	<0.0001
Mean $\pm$ SD	0.72 $\pm$ 1.04	-0.04 $\pm$ 0.32	
Muscle aches	129	97	<0.0001
Mean $\pm$ SD	1.47 $\pm$ 1.08	0.09 $\pm$ 0.52	
Joint pain or arthritis	129	104	<0.0001
Mean $\pm$ SD	1.27 $\pm$ 1.15	0.07 $\pm$ 0.58	
Diarrhea	126	97	<0.0001
Mean $\pm$ SD	0.56 $\pm$ 1.02	-0.07 $\pm$ 0.60	
Nausea or vomiting	128	103	<0.0001
Mean $\pm$ SD	0.50 $\pm$ 0.90	-0.07 $\pm$ 0.68	
Hearing loss	127	96	<0.0001
Mean $\pm$ SD	0.55 $\pm$ 0.98	0.00 $\pm$ 0.15	
Loss of ability to taste	130	105	<0.0001
Mean $\pm$ SD	0.94 $\pm$ 1.29	0.08 $\pm$ 0.83	
Loss of ability to smell	130	97	<0.0001
Mean $\pm$ SD	0.91 $\pm$ 1.20	0.22 $\pm$ 0.83	
Vision change or eye irritation	129	99	<0.0001
Mean $\pm$ SD	0.91 $\pm$ 1.20	0.22 $\pm$ 0.83	
Headaches	130	98	<0.0001
Mean $\pm$ SD	1.27 $\pm$ 1.17	0.03 $\pm$ 0.67	
Confusion or difficulty concentrating	128	102	<0.0001
Mean $\pm$ SD	1.95 $\pm$ 1.19	0.06 $\pm$ 0.56	
Memory problems	129	100	<0.0001
Mean $\pm$ SD	1.93 $\pm$ 1.19	0.14 $\pm$ 0.45	
Feeling of pins and needles and/or numbness in hands or feet	127	96	<0.0001
Mean $\pm$ SD	1.22 $\pm$ 1.27	0.16 $\pm$ 0.55	

(Continued)

Table 2 (Continued).

Symptom, Change in Score	Post-COVID Condition Subjects (Score >11) N=132	Recovered Subjects (Score ≤11) N=109	P-value
Generalized pain	129	100	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
Mean ± SD	1.26 ± 1.15	0.02 ± 0.43	
Anxiety	131	105	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
Mean ± SD	1.34 ± 1.15	0.05 ± 0.70	
Depression	131	103	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
Mean ± SD	1.08 ± 1.07	-0.01 ± 0.51	
Insomnia	127	103	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>
Mean ± SD	1.32 ± 1.19	-0.02 ± 0.70	

**Notes:** Chi-square test or Fisher's exact test used for categorical variables, as appropriate. With bold all p-values <0.001.

**Abbreviation:** SD, standard deviation.

## Discussion

Although this study represents a small sample size due to a low response rate, participant demographics and comorbidities were similar in both groups defined by the cut point of 11. The use of a value of change in composite symptom score for this 25-symptom survey accounts for pre-infection symptoms due to other conditions which may confound the diagnosis of post-Covid syndromes. It also quantifies and provides a comparison for the range, severity and functional impact of symptoms, while minimally limiting the number of symptoms considered to 25 for diagnostic purposes.

## Conclusion

Given the prevalence of potential patients with post-COVID conditions, a clinical screening test to identify patients for care within specialized clinical programs and screen subjects for clinical trial enrollment, this survey performs well with 74% sensitivity and specificity in identifying participants with post-COVID conditions.

## Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

## References

- Jacobs LG, Gourni Paleoudis E, Lesky-di bari D, et al. Persistence of symptoms and quality of life at 35 days after hospitalization for COVID-19 infection. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(12):e0243882. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0243882
- World Health Organization. A clinical case definition of post COVID-19 condition by a Delphi consensus 6 October 2021. Available from: [https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-2019-nCoV-Post\\_COVID-19\\_condition-Clinical\\_case\\_definition-2021.1](https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-2019-nCoV-Post_COVID-19_condition-Clinical_case_definition-2021.1). Accessed March 29, 2022.
- Michelen M, Manoharan L, Elkheir N, et al. Characterising long COVID: a living systematic review. *BMJ Global Health*. 2021;6:e005427. doi:10.1136/bmjgh-2021-005427
- Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, et al. Research electronic data capture (REDCap) – a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. *J Biomed Inform*. 2009;42(2):377–814. doi:10.1016/j.jbi.2008.08.010

International Journal of General Medicine

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

The International Journal of General Medicine is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal that focuses on general and internal medicine, pathogenesis, epidemiology, diagnosis, monitoring and treatment protocols. The journal is characterized by the rapid reporting of reviews, original research and clinical studies across all disease areas. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/international-journal-of-general-medicine-journal>