Body Mass Index and New-Onset Atrial Fibrillation in Patients with Acute Myocardial Infarction
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Background: The “obesity paradox” has not been elucidated in the long-term outcomes in acute myocardial infarction (AMI) patients. This study sought to characterize the relationship between body mass index (BMI) and the risk of new-onset atrial fibrillation (NOAF).

Methods: A total of 4282 participants free from AF at baseline were identified at Beijing Friendship Hospital. Baseline body mass index (BMI) was categorized into four groups. Incidence of NOAF was observed at the follow-up visits. The associations between different BMI categories and the incidence of NOAF were assessed by multivariate Cox regression analysis.

Results: Over a median follow-up period of 42.0 months, 4282 participants (age 62.7 ± 6.6 years, 38.7% women) were enrolled, 23.0% were BMI <23.0 kg/m², 22.5% were 23.0–24.9 kg/m², 44.3% were 25.0–29.9 kg/m² and 10.2% were ≥30.0 kg/m². Compared with patients with the lowest BMI levels, those with BMI≥30 kg/m² showed a younger, higher inflammatory response and a larger left atrium and were more likely to be combined with traditional cardiovascular risk factors. After adjustment for confounding variables, compared to BMI ≥30 kg/m² group, patients with lower BMI (<23 kg/m²) significantly increased the risk of NOAF in AMI patients (HR 2.884, 95% CI 1.302–6.392). Moreover, the all-cause mortality and cardiac mortality in BMI <23.0 kg/m² group was apparently higher than that in BMI≥30 kg/m² group after a long-term follow-up.

Conclusion: In this AMI cohort study, the present finding of an inverse association between BMI and risk of NOAF supports the “obesity paradox”. Decreasing BMI was associated with an increased risk of NOAF.

Trial Registration: Prospective registered.
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Introduction

Obesity is a major public health problem all over the world, and it is also an important risk factor for a wide range of chronic diseases, such as coronary heart disease (CHD) or diabetes mellitus (DM). The management of cardiovascular disease (CVD) in patients with obesity undoubtedly presents numerous challenges. Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most common heart arrhythmia worldwide. Multiple risk factors for cardiovascular disease, including obesity, hypertension, diabetes mellitus and old age, can increase the incidence of AF. Once AF occurs, non-negligible risks such as stroke will exist, and treatments aimed at eliminating AF are associated with limited long-term success rate.1,2

Body mass index (BMI) is the most widely used measure of adiposity. Previous studies showed elevated BMI was associated with an increased risk of AF in the general population.1,3,4 However, a phenomenon termed the “obesity paradox” was existed that in patients with heart failure, stable coronary artery disease, and acute coronary syndrome (ACS), obesity was associated with lower mortality than normal weight.5–7

The presence of the obesity paradox in AMI and AF populations remains unclear.
There have been limited investigations regarding the long-term prognosis into how BMI relates to new-onset atrial fibrillation (NOAF) risk in patients with AMI. Therefore, we examined the prognostic significance of BMI with NOAF in AMI patients in the study cohort.

Methods

Study Population

The study subjects were identified from the database at the Cardiovascular Center of Beijing Friendship Hospital. From December 2012 to December 2020, the exclusion criteria included 1. patients with missing clinical data (N = 161), 2. patients with AF or atrial flutter at baseline, or who had a prior history of AF (N = 408), and 3. patients who did not receive follow-up screening (N = 20). Finally, a total of 4282 consecutive patients with AMI were enrolled in this study (Supplementary Figure 1). The present study cohort included individuals who did not meet the exclusion criteria and subsequently received ≥1 annual examination. All patients were followed up to December 2021 with a median follow-up of 42.0 months (IQR: 18.7, 67.3 months). The primary endpoint was the incidence rate of new-onset AF (NOAF) during the clinical follow-up period. All-cause mortality and cardiac mortality were also observed as secondary endpoints in our study.

The local institutional review board at our hospital approved the study protocol, and this study was in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Ascertainment of AF

NOAF diagnosis was ascertained based on the European Society of Cardiology guidelines, and all the following 12-lead electrocardiogram (ECG) criteria were met: 1) irregular R-R intervals, 2) absence of repeating P waves, and 3) irregular atrial activity. ECG was reviewed by two cardiologists and the final diagnosis of NOAF was confirmed only when both cardiologists independently confirmed the same. The incidence time of NOAF was defined as the time of the first signs of AF.

Assessment of BMI

BMI was defined as weight (kg) divided by height in meter squared (m²) and categorized according to the classified by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute and World Health Organization defined categories. We classified BMI into four groups: BMI < 23.0 kg/m², BMI 23.0–24.9 kg/m², BMI 25.0–29.9 kg/m², and BMI ≥ 30.0 kg/m².

Other Data Collection and Definitions

Patient demographic information, medical and medication history, and laboratory measurements were collected and confirmed through electronic medical records. The left atrium (LA), left ventricular end-diastolic dimension (LVEDD), E/A ratio, ventricular wall motion and left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) were determined using 2-dimensional echocardiography during the index hospitalization.

Statistical Analysis

Depending on the distribution of the data, continuous variables were expressed as mean value± SD or median and interquartile range (IQR). Frequencies and percentages were used to describe categorical data. Differences between continuous and categorical variables were assessed using Student’s t-test, analysis of variance, Chi-square test, and Wilcoxon signed rank test as appropriate.

The association of baseline BMI with incidence of NOAF was determined by calculation of hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) with use of Cox hazard models, after verification of the proportional hazard assumption with schoenfeld residuals. Baseline variables that were significantly correlated with outcomes by univariate analysis and clinically relevant were entered into the multivariate model. In model 1, we adjusted for age and sex. In model 2, we further adjusted for LA, Killip (II–IV) and chronic total occlusion (CTO). In model 3, we further included estimated
glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), peak value of N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide (pNT-proBNP), triglyceride and peak value of troponin I (pTNI).

All analyses were two-tailed and P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Data were analyzed using SPSS statistical package version 26.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results
Baseline Characteristics
As shown in Supplementary Table 1, 4282 eligible patients (mean age 63.9 years, men 74.2%) included in our study, BMI was log-normally distributed, with a mean BMI of 25.5 ± 3.7kg/m². More than half of patients (64.5%, N = 2762) were identified as having hypertension, 38.0% (N=1629) had diabetes mellitus (DM), 27.4% (N = 1176) had CHD, 16% (N = 683) had a history of stroke and 11.0% (N = 470) had a history of myocardial infarction. AF was newly diagnosed in 132 patients, and the incidence of NOAF was 3.1%. Compared with the AF-free group, the NOAF group showed significantly older, lower BMI, total cholesterol (TC), triglyceride, estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) and LVEF, larger LA, higher percent of Killip II–IV at admission and chronic total occlusion (CTO) after coronary angiogram.

We further evaluated the estimated infarction size by using serum peak value of troponin I (pTNI) levels. Peak value of N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide (pNT-proBNP) was also compared in our study. The NOAF patients showed higher pTNI and pNT-proBNP levels than AF-free patients.

All the patients were categorized into four groups according to their BMI categories as <23 kg/m² (23.0%), 23–24.9 kg/m² (22.5%), <25–29.9 kg/m² (44.3%), or ≥30 kg/m² (10.2%). Compared with patients with lower BMI, those with BMI ≥30 kg/m² showed significantly younger, higher white blood cells (WBC), hypersensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP), eGFR, TC, triglyceride, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), larger LA and LVEDD, higher E/A ratio, higher percent of hypertension and current/ex-smokers, lower percent of abnormal anterior wall motion and E/A<1. In addition, patients in BMI ≥30 kg/m² group had lower percent of old myocardial infarction (OMI), killip II–IV and left main coronary artery (LM). In addition, patients in BMI ≥30 kg/m² group had lower high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) than those in BMI <23.0 kg/m² group (Table 1).

As shown in Table 2, we found that patients in BMI<23 kg/m² group had the lowest pCKMB and pTnI levels (P = 0.059; P = 0.069, respectively). Patients with BMI<23 kg/m² had significantly higher pNT-proBNP than other groups (P < 0.001).

The Primary Endpoint During Follow-Up Period
During a median follow-up of 42.0 months (IQR: 18.7, 67.3 months), the incidence of NOAF was 3.1%. Figure 1 shows the unadjusted proportions of NOAF in different BMI categories. The BMI <23.0 kg/m² group had a significantly higher incidence of NOAF during the long-term follow-up period, and the BMI≥30 kg/m² group had a lowest incidence of NOAF (4.4% vs 3.8% vs 2.3% vs.1.8%, respectively, P = 0.004).

Association of BMI with NOAF in AMI Patients
A multivariable Cox regression analysis was conducted to determine the BMI associated with the incidence of NOAF during the follow-up period as shown in Table 3. On repeat analysis using the BMI ≥30.0kg/m² group as reference, after adjusting for potential confounders including sex, age, LA, Killip (II–IV) and CTO, eGFR, triglyceride, pNT-proBNP and pTNI, BMI group of <23.0 kg/m² and BMI group of 23.0–24.9 kg/m² significantly increased the risk of NOAF in AMI patients. The HRs of NOAF across BMI categories (≥30 kg/m², 25.0–29.9 kg/m², 23.0–24.9 kg/m², <23.0kg/m²) were 1 (reference); HR 1.281, 95% CI 0.597–2.750; HR 2.253, 95% CI 1.031–4.920; HR 2.884, 95% CI 1.302–6.392, respectively. When BMI was modeled as a continuous variable, we found a decreased risk of NOAF along with increasing BMI (P < 0.001) during the median follow-up period of 42.0 months.

Subgroup Analysis of NOAF
The subgroup analyses stratified by sex, age, diabetes mellitus, STEMI, hypertension and LVEF are shown in Figure 2. In all subgroups, patients with lower BMI had a trend of higher risk of NOAF, except for LVEF ≤0.45 group. However, the
patients with BMI <23.0 kg/m² in subgroup of age ≥65 years, age <65 years, male, no diabetes mellitus, NSTEMI, hypertension, no hypertension, LVEF >0.45 showed significantly higher risk of NOAF (all P < 0.05).

**Kaplan-Meier Analysis of Secondary Endpoints**

During a median follow-up period of 42.0 months, the total all-cause mortality was 12.7% (545/4282), the total cardiac mortality was 7.8% (335/4282) in all AMI patients. The Kaplan–Meier analysis showed the mortalities in different BMI

### Table 1 Clinical Characteristics According to BMI Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI (kg/m²)</th>
<th>Male (%)</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>SBP (mmHg)</th>
<th>DBP (mmHg)</th>
<th>Heart rate (bpm)</th>
<th>STEMI (%)</th>
<th>Killip II–IV (%)</th>
<th>Hypertension (%)</th>
<th>DM (%)</th>
<th>CHD (%)</th>
<th>OMI (%)</th>
<th>CABG (%)</th>
<th>Stroke (%)</th>
<th>Current/ex-smoker (%)</th>
<th>Laboratory values</th>
<th>Angiography values</th>
<th>Echocardiographic values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;23.0 N = 985</td>
<td>635 (64.5)</td>
<td>68.2±11.9</td>
<td>127.1±22.0</td>
<td>71.5±12.0</td>
<td>73 (65.83)</td>
<td>512 (52.0)</td>
<td>192 (19.5)</td>
<td>583 (59.2)</td>
<td>356 (36.1)</td>
<td>276 (28.0)</td>
<td>126 (12.8)</td>
<td>11 (1.1)</td>
<td>192 (19.5)</td>
<td>547 (55.5)</td>
<td>7.5 (5.8, 9.1)</td>
<td>5.7 (1.7, 18.6)</td>
<td>82.0 (60.1, 97.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.0–24.9 N = 964</td>
<td>752 (78.0)</td>
<td>64.9±11.8</td>
<td>128.6±21.6</td>
<td>73.5±11.9</td>
<td>73 (65.84)</td>
<td>474 (49.2)</td>
<td>134 (13.9)</td>
<td>568 (58.9)</td>
<td>356 (36.9)</td>
<td>270 (28.0)</td>
<td>96 (10.0)</td>
<td>19 (2.0)</td>
<td>134 (13.9)</td>
<td>605 (62.8)</td>
<td>7.9 (6.4, 9.8)</td>
<td>5.7 (2.0, 17.7)</td>
<td>85.1 (68.0, 98.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.0–29.9 N = 1898</td>
<td>1456 (76.7)</td>
<td>62.5±12.0</td>
<td>129.0±21.9</td>
<td>74±12.6</td>
<td>73 (64.82)</td>
<td>952 (50.2)</td>
<td>292 (15.4)</td>
<td>1280 (67.4)</td>
<td>745 (39.3)</td>
<td>515 (27.1)</td>
<td>213 (11.2)</td>
<td>26 (1.4)</td>
<td>292 (15.4)</td>
<td>1219 (64.2)</td>
<td>8.0 (6.4, 10.0)</td>
<td>6.6 (2.3, 17.1)</td>
<td>84.8 (69.3, 100.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥30.0 N = 435</td>
<td>333 (76.6)</td>
<td>58.5±12.0</td>
<td>134.8±22.1</td>
<td>78.0±14.1</td>
<td>74 (65.83)</td>
<td>196 (45.1)</td>
<td>65 (14.9)</td>
<td>31 (71.6)</td>
<td>172 (39.5)</td>
<td>114 (26.2)</td>
<td>35 (8.0)</td>
<td>7 (1.6)</td>
<td>65 (14.9)</td>
<td>296 (68.0)</td>
<td>8.6 (6.9, 10.3)</td>
<td>8.2 (3.1, 18.6)</td>
<td>86.2 (69.2, 102.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| P value | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | 0.307 | 0.941 | 0.040 | 0.439 | 0.005 | <0.001 | <0.001 | 0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |

**Abbreviations:** BMI, body Mass Index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; STEMI, ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction; DM, diabetes mellitus; CHD, coronary heart disease; OMI, old myocardial infarction; GABG, coronary artery bypass graft; WBC, white blood cells; hsCRP, hypersensitivity C-reactive protein; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; FBG, fasting blood glucose; TC, total cholesterol; LDL-C, low density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high density lipoprotein cholesterol. LM, left main trunk; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; CTO, chronic total occlusion; LA, left atrium; LVEDD, left ventricular end-diastolic dimension; E/A ratio of early to late ventricular filling velocities, LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction.
categories. Figure 3 indicates that patients with BMI <23.0 kg/m² had significantly higher all-cause mortality and cardiac mortality risk (all Log-Rank P < 0.001).

Discussion
In this study cohort of AMI patients, we found that the patients with higher BMI were more likely to be combined with traditional cardiovascular risk factors such as hypertension, smoking and hyperlipidemia, and more likely to be combined with severe inflammatory response. The “obesity paradox” existed in the relationship between BMI and NOAF, and lower BMI increased the risk of NOAF in AMI patients, which was inconsistent with previous studies. Furthermore, patients with lower BMI had higher all-cause mortality and cardiac mortality, which were consistent with previous studies.

Obesity is a chronic metabolic disease characterized by an increase in body fat stores. It can increase plasma triglyceride levels, reduce HDL-C levels, increase systemic inflammation, and increase prevalence of type 2 DM.11,12 Adipose tissue is also recognized as a rich source of proinflammatory mediators.13 Moreover, obesity can produce

Table 2 Myocardial Injury Markers According to BMI Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI (kg/m²)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;23.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 985</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK (U/L)</td>
<td>288.0 (111.0, 915.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCKMB (ng/mL)</td>
<td>17.1 (3.9, 102.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTNI (ng/mL)</td>
<td>6.0 (0.9, 29.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pNT-proBNP (pg/mL)</td>
<td>2162.5 (706.7, 197.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.0–24.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 964</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK (U/L)</td>
<td>362.0 (134.0, 1260.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCKMB (ng/mL)</td>
<td>24.5 (4.7, 131.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTNI (ng/mL)</td>
<td>8.2 (1.1, 40.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pNT-proBNP (pg/mL)</td>
<td>1549.0 (520.5, 4331.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.0–29.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 1898</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK (U/L)</td>
<td>365.0 (132.0, 1083.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCKMB (ng/mL)</td>
<td>24.5 (4.4, 121.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTNI (ng/mL)</td>
<td>7.7 (1.1, 34.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pNT-proBNP (pg/mL)</td>
<td>1116.5 (409.8, 3099.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥30.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 435</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK (U/L)</td>
<td>349.0 (144.0, 1065.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCKMB (ng/mL)</td>
<td>26.8 (4.7, 117.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTNI (ng/mL)</td>
<td>7.3 (1.2, 32.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pNT-proBNP (pg/mL)</td>
<td>880.0 (296.5, 2415.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BMI, body Mass Index; CK, creatine kinase; pCKMB, peak value of creatine kinase isoenzyme-MB; pTNI, peak value of troponin I; pNT-proBNP, peak value of N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide.

Figure 1 NOAF risk in different BMI categories.
Abbreviations: NOAF, new-onset atrial fibrillation; BMI, body Mass Index.
These were all harmful to health and promoted the myocardial subunits, which can induce prolongation of QT interval. Furthermore, cholesterol depletion also increased obesity had consistent with previous studies, in our study we also found the patients with BMI >30 kg/m². Emre et al. also found that obesity was associated with better coronary characteristics in the elderly patients (age ≥65 years). A meta-analysis demonstrated that obesity increased the risk of NOAF development. The results support the phenomenon of “the obesity paradox”, but it was inconsistent with previous studies.

According to AF, previous studies determined that obesity was an important, potential risk factor, and the association appeared to be mediated by left atrial dilatation. A meta-analysis demonstrated that obesity increased the risk of developing AF in the general population, and the risk escalated in parallel with increased BMI. Therefore, obesity had long been considered as a risk factor for AF development. However, in some cases, “Obesity paradox” was existed. “Obesity paradox” in coronary heart disease was first introduced in 2002. The current studies showed “obesity paradox” in the overall population, overweight or obese patients had a lower incidence of main adverse cardiac events (MACEs) compared with the normal-weight group, and the risk of stroke was also lowered in obese patients. CB Jong et al. also found the relationship between BMI and mortality rate was U, class I obesity represented the nadir and normal weight was the peak in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and acute coronary syndrome. Previous studies paid more attention to the relationship between mortality and MACEs and obesity, and the presence of the obesity paradox with NOAF in this very high-risk population such as AMI remains unclear. In our study cohort, we found that the lowest BMI conferred a more than 2-fold increased risk of NOAF during median 42.0 months follow-up period. Obesity is not a risk factor for NOAF development. The results support the phenomenon of “the obesity paradox”, but it was inconsistent with previous studies.

Possible mechanisms for the inverse relationship were not mentioned in the literature. There are many explanations for the obesity paradox in AMI population. One is that most obese patients are younger, which usually implies less severe coronary artery disease, larger coronary vessel diameter and a higher rate of guideline-based medication use. Emre et al. also found that obesity was associated with better coronary characteristics in the elderly patients (age ≥65 years) treated for ST-elevation myocardial infarction. In our study, we similarly found that the obese patients had a lower percent of left main lesion after coronary angiograph, which was consistent with previous studies. In addition, higher BMI was associated with increased liver lipase activity which may accelerate the breakdown of lipids.

Haemodynamic changes and cardiac morphology alterations, left atrial enlargement is a common feature in patients who are obese, and left ventricular systolic function is usually normal. These were all harmful to health and promoted the development of chronic disease. In clinical practice, the body fatness is estimated by BMI, and BMI can reflect the degree of obesity. Consistent with previous studies, in our study we also found the patients with BMI >30 kg/m² had higher TC, triglyceride, LDL-C levels, lower HDL-C levels and a larger LA and LVEDD than those with lower BMI. Moreover, the obese patients had higher inflammatory response due to the higher WBC and hsCRP, which reacted to the degree of inflammatory response.

Table 3 Cox Proportional Hazard Regression Analysis of NOAF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI (kg/m²)</th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Model 3</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR (95% CI)</td>
<td>P value</td>
<td>HR (95% CI)</td>
<td>P value</td>
<td>HR (95% CI)</td>
<td>P value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥30.0</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.848</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.544</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.525</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.0–29.9</td>
<td>1.077 (0.506–2.291)</td>
<td>0.848</td>
<td>1.267 (0.591–2.717)</td>
<td>0.544</td>
<td>1.281 (0.597–2.750)</td>
<td>0.525</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.0–24.9</td>
<td>1.612 (0.747–3.477)</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>2.266 (1.042–4.924)</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>2.253 (1.031–4.920)</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;23.0</td>
<td>1.636 (0.762–3.515)</td>
<td>0.207</td>
<td>2.776 (1.264–6.097)</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>2.884 (1.302–6.392)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous (per SD)</td>
<td>0.946 (0.900–0.995)</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.906 (0.860–0.954)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.899 (0.851–0.949)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Model 1 adjusted for sex and age. Model 2 adjusted for sex, age, LA, Killip (II–IV) and CTO. Model 3 adjusted for sex, age, LA, Killip (II–IV) and CTO, eGFR, triglyceride, pNT-proBNP and pTNI.

Abbreviations: NOAF, new-onset atrial fibrillation; BMI, body mass index; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; CTO, chronic total occlusion; pTNI, peak value of troponin I; pNT-proBNP, peak value of N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; LA, left atrium.
Figure 2 Continue.
Factors, different sample size, or the varying wide study populations in different regions may be reasons too. Lastly, BMI itself has been questioned as the optimal measurement to use for assessing obesity. The measurement does not differentiate between fat, muscle, and skeletal weight. Thus, BMI may not be a good indicator to elevate the risk of NOAF. Evaluating visceral adiposity, such as pericardial fat or abdominal fat, may be more significant than BMI in

Figure 2. Effects of BMI on NOAF by prespecified subgroups. Prespecified subgroups of interest in this analysis are age (A), sex (B), diabetes mellitus (C), STEMI (D), hypertension (E), and LVEF (F). Adjusted for sex, age, LA, Killip (II–IV) and CTO, eGFR, triglyceride, pNT-proBNP and pTNI.

Abbreviations: NOAF, new-onset atrial fibrillation; BMI, body Mass Index; STEMI, ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction; NSTEMI, non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LA, left atrium; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; CTO, chronic total occlusion; pTNI, peak value of troponin I; pNT-proBNP, peak value of N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide.
controlling the risk of NOAF. Pericardial fat volume, which correlated with left atrial enlargement, was associated with increased risk of AF. It serves as a pro-inflammatory source and disrupts the myocardial architecture, causing conduction slowing. The accumulation of intra-abdominal fat is a marker for higher metabolic and cardiovascular disease risk, and it can be assessed by waist circumference.

Figure 3 Kaplan–Meier curve for all-cause mortality (A) and cardiac mortality (B).
Abbreviations: NOAF, new-onset atrial fibrillation; BMI, body Mass Index.
In fact, BMI is an easily regulated factor; therefore, controlling BMI levels in patients with AMI may obtain greater clinical benefits in decreasing NOAF risk. The association between BMI and AF should be reevaluated in AMI disease.

**Study Limitations**
First, this was a single-center study with a limited sample size. Second, although many potential interfering covariables were adjusted by our models, we cannot rule out residual confounding such as thyroid hormone levels or medication. The manner and frequency of the evaluation for the diagnosis of AF may lead to an underestimation of the incidence of NOAF. Third, we did not analyze the patients with BMI<18.5 kg/m$^2$ separately in the cohort; therefore, we did not determine the effect of these populations on the risk of NOAF; these populations may influence our results.

**Conclusion**
In this AMI cohort study, the present finding of an inverse association between BMI and risk of NOAF supports the “obesity paradox” but was inconsistent with precious literature. Decreasing BMI was associated with an increased risk of NOAF. According to all-cause mortality and cardiac mortality, lower BMI may increase mortality.
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