Tiotropium Add-On and Treatable Traits in Asthma-COPD Overlap: A Real-World Pilot Study
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Purpose: The ‘treatable traits’ strategy for patients with chronic inflammatory airway diseases, especially asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), is a focus of interest, because it implements precision and personalized medicine. Asthma-COPD overlap (ACO), a phenotype involving both asthma and COPD, is an important disease entity because patients with ACO have significantly worse outcomes, conferring greater economical and social burdens. Some guidelines for ACO recommend add-on therapy of long-acting muscarinic antagonists to inhaled corticosteroids and long-acting β2 agonists. However, this approach is based on extrapolation from patients with asthma or COPD alone. Consequently, a ‘treatable traits’ approach suitable for ACO remains obscure.

Methods: A 12-week open-label cross-over pilot study was conducted in patients with ACO to investigate the effect of tiotropium bromide (TIO) 5 µg/day add-on therapy to fluticasone propionate/formoterol fumarate (FP/FM) 500/20 µg/day compared with FP/FM 500/20 µg/day alone. A 4-week run-in period and two 4-week treatment periods were included.

Results: A total of 18 male patients with stable ACO participated in this pilot study. All patients were ex-smokers. Mean values ± standard deviation (SD) for forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1) were 1.21 ± 0.49 L after the run-in period, 1.20 ± 0.51 L after the FP/FM combination therapy period, and 1.30 ± 0.48 L after the TIO add-on therapy to FP/FM period. FEV1 values after the TIO add-on therapy FP/FM period were significantly higher than those after the run-in period (p < 0.01).

Conclusion: TIO add-on therapy to FP/FM in patients with ACO, considered difficult to treat because of the presence of both asthma and COPD, resulted in improvements in lung function parameters in this real-world pilot study, indicating the potential value of TIO add-on therapy as a “treatable traits” option for standard treatment for ACO.
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Introduction

Asthma is a common disorder with variable severity characterized by reversible airway obstruction, airway hyperresponsiveness (AHR), and chronic airway inflammation.1,2 According to worldwide surveys, approximately 350 million individuals currently suffer from asthma, and the number is expected to increase to 400 million by 2025.1,2 Asthma is generally regarded as a controllable condition. However, in approximately 5–10% of cases, it can be difficult to control, with individual risk for exacerbation, hospitalization, and death leading to a social burden, and these cases are considered to have severe asthma.3 Severe asthma can present many clinical phenotypes, and thus personalized medicine is required for these cases.4,5 Meanwhile, the Severe Asthma Program at the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute has reported several severe asthma clusters and indicated the importance of clinical severe asthma phenotypes involving adult-onset asthma with less reversible and/or chronic airway obstruction.6 Recently, the “treatable traits” approach for chronic airway obstruction, mainly asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), has been a focus of interest, because personalized and precision medicine is important for these disease entities.7 Asthma-COPD overlap (ACO) has also been a focus of interest, because this disease entity has an important phenotype involving both asthma and COPD.
and patients with ACO have worse health-related quality of life, more rapid disease progression, increased comorbidities, and greater healthcare utilization, conferring a greater socioeconomic burden than patients with asthma or COPD alone. Therefore, it is important to determine a suitable treatment approach for ACO.

Tiotropium bromide (TIO; Spiriva™; Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma GmbH & Co. KG, Ingelheim am Rhein, Germany), a long-acting muscarinic antagonist (LAMA), exerts a bronchodilator effect via a different mechanism to both inhaled corticosteroids (ICSs) and long-acting β2 agonists (LABAs). TIO also ameliorates airflow limitation in patients with asthma and/or COPD, reduces air trapping and exertional dyspnea, and improves exercise tolerance and health-related quality of life. However, little is known about the TIO add-on effect as a “treatable traits” approach for patients with ACO, as a difficult-to-treat phenotype involving both asthma and COPD, because only a few therapeutic studies have been conducted on ACO. We therefore conducted this pilot study to elucidate the add-on effect of TIO as a “treatable traits” option for patients with ACO.

**Patients and Methods**

This was a 12-week open-label cross-over pilot study to elucidate the real-world effect of TIO 5 µg/day (2.5 µg two inhalations once daily) via a Soft Mist™ inhaler (SMI) as add-on therapy to fluticasone propionate/formoterol fumarate (FP/FM; Flutiform™; Kyorin Pharmaceutical Co., Tokyo, Japan) 500/20 µg/day (125/5 µg two inhalations twice daily) via a pressurized metered-dose inhaler (pMDI). For the study, a sealed-envelope method was used to randomly allocate patients with ACO into two groups, as shown in Figure 1. The study was registered in the University Hospital Medical Information Network (UMIN) under 000039091 and was conducted between March 2019 and August 2020.

**Study Subjects**

Outpatients with ACO were enrolled in this real-world pilot study when they fulfilled the following criteria based on its originally proposed by Gibson et al known to be related to worse health-related quality of life, more rapid disease progression, increased comorbidities: episodic respiratory symptoms and increased airflow variability (asthma: AHR or bronchodilator response [BDR]) and incompletely reversible airway obstruction (COPD: post-bronchodilator forced expiratory volume in 1 s [FEV1]/forced vital capacity [FVC] <70%, and post-bronchodilator FEV1 <80% of predicted value). AHR was defined as a 20% decrease in FEV1 from baseline after inhalation of <8 mg/mL methacholine. BDR
was defined as an increase in post-bronchodilator FEV₁ of 200 mL and 12% compared with pre-bronchodilator FEV₁. All patients were permitted to take mucolytic agents and bronchodilator agents such as oral theophylline and/or leukotriene receptor antagonist, as shown in Table 1. Table 1 does not compare the treated and untreated groups, since all patients were administered TIO in this real-world pilot study. Patients were excluded if they received oral steroid therapy for at least 8 weeks prior to participation. Patients were also excluded if their symptoms were moderate to severe and unstable. A total of 18 patients with stable ACO (18 men, 0 women) with a mean age ± standard deviation (SD) of 75.0 ± 5.1 years (range, 59–82 years) participated in this real-world pilot study. In Japanese elderly, there are more men than women with smoking habit, so this pilot study only contains men. All patients were outpatients of Medical Center of Kansai Medical University and ex-smokers with a smoking history ± SD of 53.0 ± 42.1 pack-years.

Study Protocol
The medication was discontinued at 9.00 pm 2 days before starting the examinations to allow a washout period of at least 24 h before measurement of respiratory functions at 10.00 am on each test day. Each patient completed four visits, separated by 4 weeks, at the same time each day. All patients with ACO received FP/FM 500/20 µg/day for at least 4 weeks before randomization, as shown in Figure 1. Subsequently, patients were randomly allocated into two groups and underwent treatment with TIO 5 µg/day add-on to FP/FM 500/20 µg/day or FP/FM 500/20 µg/day alone. Respiratory function was measured at 0, 4, and 8 weeks after randomization and included vital capacity (VC), FVC, FEV₁, FEV₁/FVC, forced expiratory flow at 25–75% (FEF25–75%), maximum expiratory flow (MEF), maximum expiratory flow rate at 50% forced vital capacity (MEF50), and maximum expiratory flow rate at 25% forced vital capacity (MEF25). Measurements of other parameters were also conducted at each visit, including respiratory impedance by the forced oscillation technique (FOT), fractional exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO), asthma control questionnaire (ACQ) score, COPD assessment test (CAT) score, adherence status with knowledge-12 (ASK-12) score, and blood examinations such as peripheral blood eosinophils and immunoglobulin E (IgE) as described in our previous studies.9–11

Measurements
Respiratory functions assessed in the study were measured using a dry wedge spirometer (Chestac 8900™; Chest Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) to evaluate the bronchodilator effect of the treatment regimens on small airway obstruction as previously reported.17–19 For respiratory impedance, FOT was evaluated using another device (MostGraph-01™; Chest Co. Ltd.) in accordance with the recommended techniques.20,21 FeNO was measured using a commercially available device (NIOX MINO™; Aerocrine, Stockholm, Sweden) prior to any forced expiratory maneuvers to assess eosinophilic airway inflammation.22

To evaluate asthma control status during the previous 4 weeks, patients were asked to complete the ACQ5, an easy five-question questionnaire that assesses asthma symptoms.23 Each question was scored from 0 to 6, giving a total score of 0–30, with high scores corresponding to high levels of symptoms and poor asthma control. To assess and measure the effects of COPD symptoms on their health status, patients were requested to complete the CAT, a simple questionnaire

### Table 1 Patient Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (Years)</th>
<th>75.0 ± 5.1, Range 59–82</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male/female)</td>
<td>18/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>22.5 ± 4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of smoking (pack-years)</td>
<td>53.0 ± 42.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment of theophylline (with/without)</td>
<td>4/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment of carbocysteine (with/without)</td>
<td>2/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment of LTRA (with/without)</td>
<td>10/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchodilator response (%)</td>
<td>24.9 ± 5.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** *Bronchodilator response means percent increase in forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1) from the baseline value inhalation of 200 mg of salbutamol sulfate. Data are presented as mean (SD). Abbreviation: LTRA, leukotriene receptor antagonist.*
that acts as a reliable and valid tool to examine the impact of COPD symptoms over time. The CAT comprised eight items scored from 0 to 5, giving a maximum total score of 40. CAT scores of 1–10, 11–20, 21–30, and 31–40 were considered to indicate mild, moderate, severe, and very severe health status impairment, respectively. To detect patient-specific barriers to medication adherence, all patients were requested to complete the ASK-12, a 12-item questionnaire consisting of three domains related to medication adherence: inconvenience and/or forgetfulness, treatment beliefs, and behavior. The response for each item was scored from 1 to 5, giving a final score range of 12–60, with higher values representing greater barriers to adherence.

**Data Analysis**

Data obtained in the study are shown as mean ± SD. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to analyze differences between the run-in period, the FP/FM combination therapy period and the TIO add-on therapy to FP/FM period for respiratory functions, FOT parameters, FeNO levels, CAT scores, ACQ scores, heart rates, and blood examinations including IgE. Values of $p < 0.05$ were considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed using StatView 4.5J software (Abacus Concepts, Berkeley, CA, USA).

**Results**

The results for the respiratory function measurements obtained by spirometry are shown in Table 2. Several parameters were significantly higher after the TIO add-on therapy to FP/FM period compared with their values after the run-in period or FP/FM combination therapy period. The changes in FVC after the run-in period and each treatment period are shown in Figure 2. The mean FVC values were 2.61 ± 0.70 L after the run-in period, 2.52 ± 0.75 L after the FP/FM combination therapy period, and 2.76 ± 0.74 L after the TIO add-on therapy to FP/FM period. The FVC values after the TIO add-on therapy to FP/FM period were significantly higher than those after the run-in period ($p < 0.05$). The changes in FEV$_1$ after the run-in period and each treatment period are shown in Figure 3. The mean FEV$_1$ values were 1.21 ± 0.49 L after the run-in period, 1.20 ± 0.51 L after the FP/FM combination therapy period, and 1.30 ± 0.48 L after the TIO add-on therapy to FP/FM period. The FEV$_1$ values after the TIO add-on therapy to FP/FM period were significantly higher than those after the run-in period ($p < 0.01$).

The respiratory impedances during inspiration measured by FOT are shown in Table 3. Each parameter was significantly improved after the TIO add-on therapy to FP/FM period compared with those after the run-in period and FP/FM treatment period. The R5 values during inspiration after the run-in period and each treatment period are shown in Figure 4. The mean R5 values were 3.8 ± 1.1 cmH$_2$O/L/s after the run-in period, 3.6 ± 1.2 cmH$_2$O/L/s after the FP/FM treatment period, and 3.2 (± 1.1) cmH$_2$O/L/s after the TIO add-on therapy to FP/FM period. The R5 values after the TIO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spirometry parameters</th>
<th>Run-In</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>TIO Add-On</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VC (L)</td>
<td>2.90 (0.73)</td>
<td>2.82 (0.71)</td>
<td>3.00 (0.69)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC (L)</td>
<td>2.61 (0.70)</td>
<td>2.52 (0.75)</td>
<td>2.76 (0.74)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 (L)</td>
<td>1.20 (0.49)</td>
<td>1.20 (0.51)</td>
<td>1.30 (0.48)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1/FVC (%)</td>
<td>44.6 (13.2)</td>
<td>45.2 (14.3)</td>
<td>46.9 (11.0)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEF (L/s)</td>
<td>3.52 (1.68)</td>
<td>3.52 (1.41)</td>
<td>3.84 (1.81)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEF50 (L/s)</td>
<td>0.60 (0.51)</td>
<td>0.62 (0.47)</td>
<td>0.65 (0.45)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEF25 (L/s)</td>
<td>0.18 (0.12)</td>
<td>0.20 (0.12)</td>
<td>0.21 (0.10)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEF25–75% (L/s)</td>
<td>0.45 (0.36)</td>
<td>0.46 (0.34)</td>
<td>0.48 (0.32)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Data are presented as mean (SD). $P$ values were determined by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA).

**Abbreviations:** FP/FM, fluticasone propionate/formoterol fumarate; TIO, tiotropium bromide; VC, vital capacity; FVC, forced vital capacity; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in one second; MEF, maximum expiratory flow; MEF50, maximum expiratory flow rate at 50% forced vital capacity; MEF25, maximum expiratory flow rate at 25% forced vital capacity; FEF25–75%, forced expiratory flow at 25–75%.
add-on therapy period to FP/FM were significantly lower than those after the run-in period ($p < 0.05$). The FeNO levels, CAT scores, ACQ scores, ASK-12 scores, and blood examination findings (eosinophils and IgE) did not differ significantly among the run-in period, FP/FM combination therapy period, and TIO add-on therapy to FP/FM period, as shown in Table 3. None of the patients enrolled in the study complained of cardiovascular or gastroenterological symptoms after administration of FP/FM or TIO add-on to FP/FM. Furthermore, no exacerbations or adverse events were observed in the two groups during the study period.

**Discussion**

The present results obtained by this real-world pilot study clearly showed that TIO add-on therapy to FP/FM improved lung functions and respiratory impedances in patients with ACO. These findings indicate the potential of TIO add-on therapy via SMI, to be known to generate a high fine-particle fraction, to FP/FM as a “treatable traits” option in patients with ACO, a difficult-to-treat phenotype involving both asthma and COPD.

Asthma and COPD are two common and prevalent chronic airway diseases with many risks for exacerbation, hospitalization, and death, leading to considerable social burdens. Asthma is estimated to affect 350 million people worldwide, and approximately 5–10% of patients remains hard to control. Meanwhile, COPD is estimated to affect 251 million people worldwide and represents the third leading cause of mortality worldwide. Acute exacerbations occur in approximately half of COPD patients and about 20% of patients require hospitalization.

Recently, the “treatable traits” strategy for patients with chronic inflammatory airway diseases, especially asthma and COPD, has been a focus of attention, because this clinically important approach implements precision and personalized medicine on the basis of genetic, biomarker, phenotypic, or psychosocial characteristics that distinguish a given patient from other patients with similar clinical presentations. In the last decade, ACO has also been a focus of interest, because patients with ACO exhibit more rapid disease progression, worse health-related quality of life, more frequent exacerbations, and more frequent hospitalizations.
respiratory exacerbation, decreased lung functions, increased comorbidities, and higher healthcare utilization than patients with asthma or COPD alone. Therefore, ACO is an important phenotype involving both asthma and COPD. However, current treatment approaches for patients with ACO are based on extrapolation of data derived from studies on patients with asthma or COPD alone, because only a few therapeutic studies have been conducted on ACO.  

**Figure 3** Individual data for forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁) before each treatment and after fluticasone propionate/formoterol fumarate (FP/FM) combination therapy and tiotropium bromide (TIO) add-on therapy to FP/FM in patients with asthma-chronic obstructive pulmonary disease overlap (ACO). Each panel shows the parameter changes for all patients and the mean ± standard deviation (SD). P< 0.01, determined by one-way ANOVA.

Table 3 FOT Parameters, FeNO, CAT, ACT and Blood Examination Parameters After Each Treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Run-In</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>TIO Add-on</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FOT parameters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R5 (cmH₂O/L/s)</td>
<td>3.8 (1.1)</td>
<td>3.6 (1.2)</td>
<td>3.2 (1.1)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R20 (cmH₂O/L/s)</td>
<td>2.9 (0.7)</td>
<td>2.7 (0.9)</td>
<td>2.5 (0.8)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X5 (cmH₂O/L/s)</td>
<td>−1.7 (1.0)</td>
<td>−1.7 (1.2)</td>
<td>−1.3 (0.9)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fres (Hz)</td>
<td>16.9 (5.9)</td>
<td>16.8 (6.4)</td>
<td>15.1 (5.5)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALX (cmH₂O/L/s X Hz)</td>
<td>13.5 (11.0)</td>
<td>13.8 (12.6)</td>
<td>9.9 (9.3)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeNO (ppb)</td>
<td>18.2 (8.4)</td>
<td>17.3 (7.6)</td>
<td>18.2 (8.3)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD Assessment Test score</td>
<td>15.4 (11.6)</td>
<td>17.6 (10.3)</td>
<td>15.8 (11.4)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma Control Questionnaire score</td>
<td>6.0 (5.2)</td>
<td>6.4 (5.9)</td>
<td>7.5 (5.6)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adherence Starts with Knowledge–12 score</td>
<td>25.1 (6.9)</td>
<td>22.2 (5.5)</td>
<td>22.1 (5.4)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum total Ig E (IU/mL)</td>
<td>899.9 (913.6)</td>
<td>876.9 (1057.8)</td>
<td>804.9 (961.3)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral eosinophil count (×10⁶/mL)</td>
<td>297.5 (208.6)</td>
<td>304.0 (251.3)</td>
<td>281.7 (176.9)</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes**: Data are presented as mean (SD). P values were determined by one-way ANOVA.

**Abbreviations**: FOT, forced oscillation technique; R5 and R20, respiratory system resistance at 5 Hz and 20 Hz; X5, respiratory system reactance at 5 Hz; Fres, resonant frequency; ALX, area of low reactance; FeNO, the fraction of exhaled nitric oxide; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; Ig, immunoglobulin.
The present findings indicate the importance of the “treatable traits” strategy in patients with ACO. We previously examined the effect of LAMA via dry powder inhalation (DPI) added to ICS/LABA via DPI in patients with ACO, but did not examine other devices. DPI devices are convenient for drug delivery, but their efficacy depends on the speed of inhalation, the inhalation volume, and the inspiratory effect. Since ACO is characterized by low lung function, more suitable methods are required for different inhalers with distinct drug administration effects as a “treatable traits” option for patients with ACO. Therefore, we conducted the present pilot study and clearly showed a beneficial effect of TIO add-on therapy via SMI to FP/FM via pMDI in patients with ACO, indicating the clinical efficacy of TIO add-on therapy to FP/FM as a “treatable traits” option for this phenotype.

TIO, one of the LAMAs, exerts a bronchodilator effect via a different mechanism both ICSs and LABAs. The primary pharmacologic target for bronchoconstriction is muscarinic receptor type 3 (M3). TIO is the most potent M3 antagonist that selectively binds to this muscarinic receptor with the slowest dissociation suitable for a once-daily administration schedule in clinical practice. Although the pharmacological mechanisms remain unclear, LAMAs reduce airway allergic inflammation and inhibit airway remodeling induced by allergens, resulting in improvement of airway obstruction in patients with bronchial asthma. Therefore, TIO is considered to improve lung function, quality of life, and exercise endurance and to reduce exacerbation in patients with asthma and COPD. Recently, Iwanaga et al reported that an aerosol of TIO generated by SMI had a high fine-particle fraction delivered at a slow velocity, compared with that generated by DPI. They also clarified that TIO via SMI had maximized lung deposition in the whole lung and peripheral airways with minimized oropharyngeal deposition, even at low inhalation flows. We therefore consider that TIO via SMI is a suitable potent bronchodilator agent in patients with ACO characterized by low lung functions.

FP, administered in this study, is a potent ICS with anti-inflammatory activity under conditions of oxidative stress, implying its efficacy in patients with COPD who tend to exhibit high levels of oxidative stress. FM is a LABA with a rapid onset of action and a sustained dose-dependent bronchodilator effect. FP/FM combination therapy via pMDI...
was reported to be an efficacious treatment option compared with other ICS/LABA combination therapies via DPI even in patients with moderate to severe asthma.\textsuperscript{34,35} Iwanaga et al also investigated and reported higher drug deposition in the lungs and peripheral airways after FP/FM via pMDI compared with other ICS/LABA combination therapies via DPI.\textsuperscript{29} Since most DPI devices require a high inspiratory flow to overcome the device resistance and achieve more effective drug deposition, FP/FM via pMDI is considered suitable for patients with low lung functions.\textsuperscript{7–11}

In this study, we clearly showed that TIO add-on therapy via SMI to FP/FM combination therapy via pMDI can ameliorate airflow limitations in patients with ACO. Therefore, we consider that TIO add-on therapy via SMI to FP/FM combination therapy via pMDI is a suitable “treatable traits” strategy for patients with ACO, a difficult-to-treat phenotype involving both asthma and COPD.\textsuperscript{7–11}

The present study had several limitations. First, although we demonstrated statistically significant improvements in respiratory functions, these results alone cannot elucidate the etiology of the clinically beneficial effects. A potent bronchodilator effect may be the main factor for the results in this clinical study because the FeNO levels did not change after the TIO add-on therapy to FP/FM period. We therefore consider that an examination of airway mediators may help to clarify the underlying mechanism, because several studies showed anti-inflammatory effects of LAMAs.\textsuperscript{13,31,32,36} Further studies are required to investigate the airway mediators and clarify the mechanisms of the bronchodilator effects of TIO add-on therapy to FP/FM. Second, a specific and formal definition of ACO remains to be established.\textsuperscript{7–11} Although several definitions have been proposed based on specific inflammatory patterns,\textsuperscript{37,38} these definitions are not specific for asthma and/or COPD alone. For example, eosinophilic airway inflammation has been identified in only 48% of patients with asthma and 34% of those with COPD.\textsuperscript{8} We conducted the present study based on physiological lung function criteria described in previous reports.\textsuperscript{8–11} Several different studies in patients with ACO indicates the validity of the physiological lung function criteria.\textsuperscript{8,39,40} Further studies are required to reach a consensus on better diagnostic criteria for ACO. Third, this study was conducted as a pilot study. Therefore, the sample size is too small to make comparisons within each group and not between groups as would be expected in a clinical trial and only contains men because smoking habit is for men in Japanese elderly. Succeeding larger randomized study is required. Furthermore, this study used ACQ-5, not ACQ-6, to evaluate patient symptoms. We are afraid that this is the one reason could not indicate the difference among each group despite the improvement of respiratory functions. We also think that succeeding study have to conduct ACQ-6 to evaluate patient symptoms. Measurement of the frequency of the usage of SABA may be the help for indicating the improvement of symptoms after TIO administration. Finally, the pulmonary physiology outcome measures confirmed the bronchodilator effects of TIO add-on therapy to FP/FM, but the CAT scores and ACQ scores did not show benefits with respect to healthcare outcomes despite the improvement in each respiratory function. The number of enrolled patients in the present study may have been insufficient to detect differences in symptom scores. A larger study may therefore be required to clarify the benefits of TIO add-on therapy to FP/FM in patients with ACO with respect to healthcare outcomes.

Conclusions
In conclusion, 4-week treatment of TIO add-on therapy via SMI to FP/FM in patients with ACO as a real-world pilot study resulted in a significant improvement from baseline in lung function, including FOT, compared with FP/FM combination therapy alone, and with a comparable safety profile. The present findings support the potential for TIO add-on therapy via SMI, to be known to generate a high fine-particle fraction, to FP/FM to FP/FM as a therapeutic option for ACO. Further, larger studies are required to define the precise etiology of the clinical efficacy of this combination therapy.
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