Influence of Medical Education on Medicine Use and Self-Medication Among Medical Students: A Cross-Sectional Study from Kabul
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Objective: To compare the prevalence of self-medication among first- and fifth-year medical students at Kabul University of Medical Sciences.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted with the participation of all first- and fifth-year medical students by using a short, self-administered questionnaire. The prevalence of self-medication was estimated in the entire study population and also in those who had used medicines in the preceding one week.

Results: Of the total 302 students, the prevalence of medicine use was 38%. The prevalence of self-medication in all study population was 25.16%, whereas in those who had used medicines was 64.9%. Prescription-only medicines consisted of 59.2% of self-medication. The practice of self-medication and the use of prescription-only medicines were more prevalent among students in their fifth year and among males. While the prevalence of medicine use was the same among males and females, it differed between students in the fifth and first year. Paracetamol, anti-infectives, and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) were more frequently used medicines.

Conclusion: The use of medicines, self-medication, and the use of prescription-only medicines were more prevalent among fifth-year students compared to those in the first-year. This apparently reflects the effect of medical education and training. More specific studies are required to address the issue in more detail and to facilitate interventions. The estimation of the prevalence of self-medication by using a short acceptable recall period, confined in those who had used medicines, seems to be more reasonable and accurate than by using a longer recall period in the entire study population. The prevalence of prescription-only medicines in self-medication could also be a useful indicator.
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Introduction

Self-medication (SM) is such a broad subject in the health sector that even one of its subcategories, ie, SM among medical students, has been the subject of numerous studies. However, these studies have been carried out by different methods, study populations, and recall periods, leading to disparate results.

Although SM is quite unambiguous term, it has been defined as the use of medication to treat oneself without getting any medical supervision or as the choosing and utilization of medicines to treat self-recognized diseases or symptoms by oneself.

Allocating some medicines under the category of over-the-counter (OTC) medicines implies that they are to be used in SM. OTC medicine is a medicine “that can be purchased direct from the attendant at the counter of a pharmacy, chemist’s shop, or drugstore without a physician’s prescription.” SM as an element of self-care, that has been integrated into many health care systems in the world, has some social and economic benefits, but also has several risks. If SM with OTC items is practiced appropriately, it may have economic advantages not only to patients but also to employers and healthcare systems. On the other hand, it seems that SM is associated with some disadvantages like misdiagnosis,
under- or over-dosage, shorter or longer course of treatment, microbial resistance (in case of antibiotics), drug interactions and use in a contraindicated condition. These disadvantages may be more common if Prescription-Only Medicines (POMs) are used in SM.

Divergent results about the prevalence of SM in medical students have been reported: 99%³ and 97.2% in six months,¹¹ 49.3%¹² and 57.05% in one month;¹³ and 44.8% in unspecified period.¹⁴ No definite period for the calculation of SM prevalence was found. The difference in SM prevalence reported by the above-mentioned studies is probably due to different recall periods and methods.

Most of the studies that focused on the prevalence of SM in medical students, comprised indiscriminately all students. The comparison of SM prevalence among different years has been examined by a few studies, eg, Banerjee et al¹³ showed that 79.31% of final-year students practiced more SM compared to 41.67% of first-year students and James, H.et al.¹⁴ reported that students of Year 4 practiced SM more often than students of Year 2 (73.3% versus 52.6%).

Despite the availability of several studies about the prevalence of SM, data about the prevalence of SM out of total medicines used are scarce worldwide; see, for example, the study done by Bertoldi et al¹⁵ in which they have calculated SM prevalence in those who have used medicines. The SM of POMs that has been reported to be widespread⁹ is an issue that needs attention since it is more prone to be hazardous. Not all studies made a distinction between SM of OTC and POMs. SM may comprise OTC medications and POMs. Limited studies examined the ratio of these two components of SM in medical students. Albusalih et al reported that in nearly half of SM cases either POMs alone or both OTC and POMs were used.¹² In a study in India, around two-third of SM were of non-prescription type.¹⁶

If the prevalence is being merely determined by students’ opinions about SM; or during a long recall period; it may be prone to biases.

The undefined prevalence of SM, ie, without specifying the period, without identifying OTC and POMs, and/or without clear differentiation between general and medicine users’ group-specific prevalence, will not be a practically useful indicator.

Most of the above-mentioned issues are considered in this article, and for the first time, various aspects of medicine use (MU) and SM among medical students at Kabul University of Medical Sciences (KUMS) have been simultaneously studied.

**Objective**

To compare the prevalence of SM among first- and fifth-year medical students at KUMS.

**Method**

**Study Population**

A cross-sectional study was carried out at KUMS, the largest medical university in Afghanistan.

All first- (N = 142) and fifth-year (N = 190) students of the faculty of medicine, KUMS, who completed the questionnaires were included in the study. Students who were absent on the day of data collection, as well as students who returned incomplete questionnaires were excluded.

**Study Tool: The Questionnaires**

A short self-administered questionnaire including open-ended and close-ended items was designed in which students were asked whether they had taken any medicine within the past week. In case of affirmative, they were asked to specify who advised them to take the medicine (doctor, attendant at the pharmacy, nurse, classmate, others or themselves), and the names of medicines that they had used. Finally, they were asked to write down the names of medicines that they had used. Except for year of education and sex, the socioeconomic and demographic information was excluded, as these were not supposed to be studied. To reduce recall bias, the recall period was confined to one previous week.

The five studied variables were sex (to compare the results between sex), years of medical education (to see the impact of five-year medical education on SM and its characteristic), use of any medication (to describe the prevalence of
medicine use), names of the medicines used (to see if they were OTC or POMs and to determine the frequency of use of different classes of medicines), and the person who advised them to take (to identify SM).

The students were approached at the end of their scheduled course classes, and after a short explanation about the study, questionnaires were handed out. The questionnaire was anonymous, and it was designed to take not more than ten minutes. Both classes, first, and fifth, were approached separately, on the same day.

**Analysis**
To calculate the prevalence of MU and the general prevalence of SM, the total number of studied students was used as the denominator. Group-specific SM prevalence was also calculated in students who had used any medicine; in which the denominator was the number of students who had used any medicine during the one-week recall period. Finally, POMs use prevalence was calculated in those who practiced SM. The reported medicine names were checked with the OTC list of National Licensed Medicine List of Afghanistan. Since all students who completed the questionnaires were included in the study, only descriptive statistics were used.

**Ethical Issues**
This is a non-interventional study. The participation was not mandatory. Unanimous questionnaires were used to preserve confidentiality. The study was carried out by Pharmacology Department staff who did not teach in both classes. The researcher orally disclosed that the participation was voluntary, and this was regarded as oral consent. The questionnaire was anonymous and was designed to be filled within about ten minutes. Approval from Research Committee KUMS (Reference: 21/46-21/1/1401) was obtained.

**Results**
Of 332 students, 312 (93.9%) questionnaires were collected. Ten incomplete or illegible questionnaires were excluded and finally, 302 questionnaires (90.9%) were included in the study.

The prevalence of MU in all studied students was 38%, and general SM prevalence was 25.16% in the preceding one week. Medicine users’ group-specific prevalence of SM was 64.9%, which is higher than the general prevalence. Among those that practiced SM, 45 (59.2%) used POMs. The prevalence of medication use, SM and POMs in SM was higher in the fifth-year students compared to the first-year students (Table 1).

The prevalence of MU was found to be the same among males and females, while males practiced more SM and used more POMs than females (Table 2). Students of fifth-year practiced more SM than did the first-year students.

Among those who did not practice SM, most of the fifth-year students used medicines advised by doctors, while most of the first-year students used medicines advised by others (Table 3).

In total, 103 item medicines were used, among which Paracetamol 26.2% followed by anti-infectives 21.3% and NSAIDs 10.6% were most frequently self-medicated medicines/medicine groups in the previous week. Among anti-infectives, the most common practiced group as SM was antibacterials. Anti-infectives and Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs (NSAIDs) were more used medicines by fifth-year students compared to first-year, but combined analgesics were more used by first-year students (Table 4).

**Table 1** Prevalence of Medicine Use and Self-Medication

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1st Year N = 142 (%)</th>
<th>5th Year N = 190 (%)</th>
<th>Total N = 302 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MU</td>
<td>44(25.1)</td>
<td>73(37.4)</td>
<td>117(38.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM General</td>
<td>24(16.9)</td>
<td>52(27.3)</td>
<td>76(25.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM in MU</td>
<td>24(54.5)</td>
<td>52(71.2)</td>
<td>76(64.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POMs in SM</td>
<td>9(37.5)</td>
<td>36(69.2)</td>
<td>45(59.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** MU, medication use; SM, self-medication; POMs, prescription only medicines.
Discussion

Because of differences in how SM prevalence is calculated (all studied students vs those that used medicines) and dissimilarities of recall periods, it seems to be difficult to compare the findings of this study with others. The general SM

Table 2 MU, SM and POMs in SM According to Gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male N = 204 (%)</th>
<th>Female N = 98 (%)</th>
<th>Total N = 302 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MU</td>
<td>79(38.7)</td>
<td>38(38.7)</td>
<td>117(38.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM General</td>
<td>54(26.4)</td>
<td>22(22.4)</td>
<td>76(25.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM in MU</td>
<td>54(68.3)</td>
<td>22(57.8)</td>
<td>76(64.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POMs in SM</td>
<td>39(72.2)</td>
<td>6(27.2)</td>
<td>45(59.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: MU, medication use; SM, self-medication; POMs, prescription-only medicines.

Table 3 The Person Who Advised Students to Take the Medicine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>First-Year N = 44(%)</th>
<th>Fifth-Year N = 73(%)</th>
<th>Total N = 117(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Myself</td>
<td>24(54.5)</td>
<td>52(71.2)</td>
<td>76(64.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctor</td>
<td>11(25)</td>
<td>19(26)</td>
<td>30(25.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attendant at the pharmacy</td>
<td>5(11.4)</td>
<td>1(1.4)</td>
<td>6(5.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classmate</td>
<td>2(4.5)</td>
<td>1(1.4)</td>
<td>3(2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>2(4.5)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2(1.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 Self-Medicated Medicines/Medicine Groups According to Year of Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1st Year N = 35(%)</th>
<th>5th Year N = 68(%)</th>
<th>Total N = 103(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paracetamol</td>
<td>14(40)</td>
<td>13(19.1)</td>
<td>27(26.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Infectives</td>
<td>6(17.4)</td>
<td>16(23.5)</td>
<td>22(21.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSAIDs</td>
<td>1(2.8)</td>
<td>10(14.7)</td>
<td>11(10.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined analgesics</td>
<td>8(22.8)</td>
<td>1(1.4)</td>
<td>9(8.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antihistamines</td>
<td>1(2.8)</td>
<td>6(8.8)</td>
<td>7(6.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin/minerals</td>
<td>3(8.5)</td>
<td>3(4.4)</td>
<td>6(5.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPI</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3(4.4)</td>
<td>3(2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedative/antidepressants</td>
<td>1(2.8)</td>
<td>2(2.9)</td>
<td>3(2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antacids</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3(4.4)</td>
<td>3(2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-blockers</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3(4.4)</td>
<td>3(2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticosteroids</td>
<td>1(2.8)</td>
<td>2(2.9)</td>
<td>3(2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herbas</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3(4.4)</td>
<td>3(2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sildenafil</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3(4.4)</td>
<td>3(2.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: N, shows the number of item medicines; PPI, proton pump Inhibitors; NSAIDs, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.
prevalence found in this study (25.16%) is lower compared to that reported by others that varied from 44.8%\textsuperscript{14} to 99%\textsuperscript{3,14} but the prevalence of SM in medicine users' specific group was found to be 64.9%.

Practicing SM is unknown among those who did not use any medication; consequently, general SM prevalence estimation based on the entire population study would be dubious. The normal range of SM prevalence cannot be determined but could be evaluated by considering other factors like the literacy and health knowledge of the study population.

SM prevalence may increase by increasing the recall period, since the lifetime prevalence of SM, is most probably near 100% in the adult population. Furthermore, if recall period is increased, recall bias would be more probable. The differences in recall period are apparent in other studies.\textsuperscript{11–14} In this study, the recall period was limited to one week.

The prevalence of medication use was found to be the same in males and females, which is similar to findings from Karachi in which they did not find difference between the self-medication practices of males and females.\textsuperscript{17} But it contradicts other findings showing more females used medicines than males,\textsuperscript{18–20} and also contradicts findings of studies that reported more females practicing SM.\textsuperscript{3,13,21}

The use of POMs in SM has special importance since disadvantages may be more common if POMs are used in SM. Among those that practiced SM, 45 (59.2%) used POMs. Although there are ample studies about single specific POMs SM, like SM of antibiotics,\textsuperscript{22,23} the prevalence of SM of all POMs vs OTC has rarely been studied, except a few studies like that of Shehnaz et al\textsuperscript{24} and Kanwal et al,\textsuperscript{2} who reported that OTC was the most commonly used medicines in those who practiced SM. The use of POMs in SM was more prevalent in the fifth-year compared to first-year, which may be because of more drug information gathered during five years of medical training. Males used more POMs in SM than females. The use of POMs in SM has to be studied in more detail in the future.

The study reveals that final-year students more frequently practiced SM compared to first-year students, this finding is in accordance with other studies that showed an increase in SM according to years of medical study.\textsuperscript{14,16,21,25} But it contradicts finding from Karachi in which they did not find difference between the self-medication practices and the year of study.\textsuperscript{17}

In total, 103 item medicines were self-medicated by 76 students. Paracetamol 26.2% (N = 27) followed by anti-infective 21.3% (N = 22) and NSAIDs 10.6% (N = 11) were the most frequently self-medicated medicines/medicine groups. Anti-infectives were used more than that found by Albusalih et al,\textsuperscript{12} ie,16.59% but less than that reported by others.\textsuperscript{1,13,21,22,24,25} Paracetamol/NSAIDs were used less than that reported in other studies, ie, NSAID 74.3%,\textsuperscript{3} antipyretic analgesic 80.6%\textsuperscript{16} and 79.6%,\textsuperscript{25} Vitamins were used less than that found by Albusalih, ie, 30.5%.\textsuperscript{12} Fifth-year students used more anti-infectives and NSAIDs compared to first-year, but first-year students used more combined analgesics.

Strength of the study: The prevalence of SM is estimated not only in the entire study population but also in those who used medicines. The analysis was based on the name of drugs that the respondents had used, not merely on their opinions and answers about the practice of SM. The prevalence of POMs in SM was also calculated. The questionnaires were filled by both classes on the same day. The response rate (93.9%) was quite high that even after the exclusion of ten incomplete or illegible questionnaires, 90.9% of questionnaires were included in the study. It was a cross-sectional study that included all first- and fifth-year medical students at KUMS, who completed the questionnaires. And finally, a short recall period that would reduce recall bias is among the distinctiveness of this study.

Weakness: It cannot be understood from the study whether medications were rationally used. This study cannot be generalized neither to the general population nor to other fields’ students. The dosage forms were not reported by participants, which may be due to the lack of specific question in this regard in the questionnaire.

**Conclusion**

The use of medicines, self-medication and the use of prescription-only medicines were more prevalent among fifth-year students compared to those in the first-year. This apparently reflects the effect of medical education and training. More specific studies are required, to address the issue in more detail and to facilitate interventions. The estimation of the prevalence of self-medication by using a short acceptable recall period, confined in those who had used medicines, seems
to be more reasonable and accurate than by using a longer recall period in the entire study population. The prevalence of prescription-only medicines in self-medication could also be a useful indicator.
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