Critical appraisal of ramelteon in the treatment of insomnia
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Abstract: Ramelteon is the first member of a novel class of hypnotics and acts as a selective melatonin receptor agonist. In 2005, ramelteon was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of insomnia characterized by sleep onset problems. Its unique mechanism of action made it a promising candidate compared with the widely used hypnotics that act on the benzodiazepine receptor complex. Several studies have examined its efficacy and safety as a hypnotic agent. The primary efficacy of ramelteon was found to lie in a decrease in latency to persistent sleep, as measured by polysomnographic tests. Other sleep-related measures, such as total sleep time and number of nightly awakenings, show less pronounced improvement when treated with ramelteon. In addition, no rebound insomnia or abuse potential was observed in clinical studies. Although additional studies are necessary, current data on the acute and next-morning effects of ramelteon did not indicate cognitive or psychomotor impairment. Overall, ramelteon is safe and well tolerated, although some questions remain regarding its long-term efficacy and safety. These issues and possibilities for use in other patient groups should be addressed in future research.
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Introduction

Until recently, pharmacologic treatment of insomnia largely relied on the prescription of hypnotic drugs belonging to the class of benzodiazepine receptor agonists. These include benzodiazepines, such as lormetazepam, temazepam, and triazolam, and z-drugs, including zaleplon, zolpidem, and zopiclone. Unfortunately, benzodiazepines have a number of adverse effects, including daytime sedation, memory and psychomotor impairment, dependence, and abuse potential.1 The more recently introduced z-drugs are equally effective, but have an improved safety profile. Because z-drugs also act at benzodiazepine receptors, similar adverse effects can be observed as seen with benzodiazepine hypnotics.2,3

Ramelteon is one of the new hypnotic drugs that does not act on the gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) receptor, and is therefore believed to be an improvement relative to the traditional hypnotic drugs. Ramelteon is a selective melatonin receptor agonist, a hormone that is of importance in regulating the sleep-wake cycle.

Although ramelteon was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA)4 for use in insomnia characterized by sleep onset difficulties in 2005, the European Medicines Agency refused marketing authorization in 2008. The refusal was primarily based on a lack of consistent subjective efficacy.5 Since that time, a number of clinical studies have been published. This review updates previously published reviews,6–8 and aims to give an overview of the current knowledge on ramelteon.
Pharmacology

The endogenous agent melatonin, a hormone synthesized in the pineal gland, aids sleep by shortening the latency to sleep onset and by maintaining sleep. It is a key component in regulating circadian cycle. Besides its sleep-promoting effects, melatonin can also function as a chronobiotic, ie, it can regulate the internal clock. Melatonin exerts its sleep-promoting and chronobiotic actions by acting on the melatonin receptor-1 (MT1) and MT2 in the suprachiasmatic nucleus in the hypothalamus. The suprachiasmatic nucleus functions as an internal biologic clock regulating the sleep-wake cycle. The hypothalamus reacts to levels of light. In darkness, the hypothalamus will signal the pineal gland to produce melatonin. High levels of melatonin are associated with darkness, whilst low levels indicate light and wakefulness. When MT1 is occupied by a ligand, neuronal firing is restrained and, hence, sleep is induced. Phase shifting requires MT2 involvement.9,10 The third melatonin binding site is the enzyme quinone reductase 2, which most likely mediates the antioxidant properties of melatonin.11

Furthermore, there is evidence that melatonin facilitates sleep by promoting spindle formation. Sleep spindles are characteristic of stage 2 sleep in the sleep electroencephalogram (EEG). They are generated in the reticular nuclei of the thalamus, and are of importance in the induction and maintenance of sleep.12 Changes in plasma melatonin levels were found to coincide with changes in EEG activity in the spindle range.13 In addition, an increase in power density in the sleep spindle frequency range was observed when exogenous melatonin was administered during the daytime.14

Ramelteon is a selective MT1 and MT2 agonist. Compared with melatonin, ramelteon has a six-fold higher binding potency for the human MT1 and a three-fold higher affinity for the human MT2. Ramelteon shows no affinity for quinone reductase 2. Ramelteon also has no affinity for GABA-ergic, cholinergic, or monoamnergic receptors.15 Its selectivity for MT1 and MT2 and lack of GABA affinity are found in both animals16–19 and humans.20 Because ramelteon is a selective melatonin receptor agonist, it exerts its pharmacologic actions in a similar manner to melatonin.9 The effect of ramelteon on sleep spindles has not been studied.

Pharmacokinetics

After oral administration, ramelteon is rapidly absorbed and reaches peak serum concentrations after one hour. Its bioavailability is less than 2%, due to extensive first-pass metabolism. The active M-II metabolite is formed after hydroxylation mediated through the cytochrome P-450 (CYP450) isoenzyme 1A2. M-II has about one-tenth and one-fifth the binding affinity of ramelteon for the MT1 and MT2 receptors, respectively, and is 17- to 25-fold less potent in in vitro functional assays. However, systemic exposure to M-II is 20- to 100-fold greater than the parent compound itself, with peak concentrations after approximately one hour.

Its elimination half-life is two to four hours, compared with the one- to two-hour elimination half-life of ramelteon. With the exception of a weak affinity for the serotonin (5-HT) 2B receptor, M-II has no noteworthy affinity for other receptors or enzymes.20–22 Given its availability and affinity, M-II is likely to contribute to the effect of ramelteon, but the magnitude of this effect is unknown. The influence of age and gender on kinetics and dynamics was investigated in healthy volunteers.20 Age significantly influenced the pharmacokinetics of ramelteon. In older subjects (60–79 years), the maximum serum concentration, elimination half-life, and area under the curve (AUC), ie, biologic availability, of ramelteon were increased compared with younger subjects (18–35 years). In addition, the M-II metabolite had a longer elimination half-life and higher AUC, as well as a reduction in clearance of 43%. Unlike age, gender does not influence the kinetics of ramelteon.20

Efficacy

Various studies described in the following sections have examined different dosages of ramelteon. It should be kept in mind that the recommended dose of ramelteon for the treatment of insomnia is 8 mg, taken at bedtime.

Single administration in healthy subjects

Two randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled studies examined the efficacy of a single dose of ramelteon. Transient insomnia was induced in healthy adult volunteers by introducing a novel sleep environment, ie, a sleep laboratory. In the first study,23 healthy adult volunteers (35–60 years) received a single dose of ramelteon 16 mg (n = 126), 64 mg (n = 126), or placebo (n = 123) 30 minutes before bedtime. Polysomnographic recordings showed that, compared with placebo, the 16 mg dose reduced latency to persistent sleep by 10.5 minutes and increased total sleep time by 14.1 minutes. Ramelteon 64 mg reduced latency to persistent sleep by 9.1 minutes and increased total sleep time by 11.1 minutes. In the second study,24 adult subjects (18–64 years) received a single dose of ramelteon 8 mg (n = 98), ramelteon 16 mg (n = 94), or placebo (n = 97) 1.5–2.0 hours before bedtime. A significant decrease in latency to persistent
sleep (7.5 minutes) was found after administration of 8 mg ramelteon, but no statistically significant effect was found for the 16 mg dose. Total sleep time increased by 17.1 minutes with the 8 mg dose and by 13.4 minutes with the 16 mg dose. Neither study showed an effect on sleep architecture, ie, pattern of sleep stages and number of nightly awakenings, or on subjective sleep measures.

**Chronic insomnia in adults**

An overview of double-blind clinical studies in chronic insomnia patients is provided in Table 1. All studies were randomized, double-blind, and placebo-controlled, with ramelteon administered at bedtime. Latency to persistent sleep, the primary endpoint in three studies performed in adults, was significantly reduced by ramelteon 4–32 mg. This decrease was sustained when patients were treated for up to six months (see Figure 1).

In patients treated for up to five weeks, the decrease in latency to persistent sleep varied from 11 to 19 minutes. Total sleep time increased for all dosages of ramelteon, but after one week of treatment, significant effects compared with placebo were no longer present. Overall, sleep architecture was slightly affected by ramelteon. Compared with placebo, decreases in percentage of time spent in slow wave sleep, ie, sleep stages 3 and 4, were found up to six months of treatment. This was accompanied by an increase in stage 2 sleep in one study. An increase in percentage of time spent in rapid eye movement sleep was found after three weeks of treatment with ramelteon 16 mg. The relative decrease in sleep in stages 3 and 4 may be accounted for by an increase in total sleep time, and was therefore considered to be clinically irrelevant.

Studies of ramelteon have revealed inconsistent effects on subjective sleep assessments. One study showed consistent

**Table 1** Objective and subjective data on sleep-related measures in double-blind, placebo-controlled studies in chronic insomnia patients treated with ramelteon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Dose (mg)</th>
<th>Treatment duration</th>
<th>Time of test</th>
<th>LPS (min)</th>
<th>TST (min)</th>
<th>WASO (min)</th>
<th>SE (%)</th>
<th>sSL (min)</th>
<th>sTST (min)</th>
<th>sWASO (min)</th>
<th>NAW</th>
<th>SQ</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adults, n = 335; 159 ramelteon, 176 placebo; mean age 46.2; (18–79 years)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>w1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+15.4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults, n = 405; divided over 3 treatments; mean age approx 39 years</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>35 nights</td>
<td>w1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>+19</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>+4</td>
<td>–17.3</td>
<td>+24.2</td>
<td>–13.8</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults, n = 103; age 18–64 years</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 nights</td>
<td>w3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>+20.2</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Older adults, n = 829 divided over 3 groups</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>35 nights</td>
<td>w5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>+18.3</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Older adults, n = 100; (37 men, 63 women; 65–83 years)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2 nights</td>
<td>w5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>+17.9</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** LPS, latency to persistent sleep; TST, total sleep time; WASO, wake time after sleep onset; Eff, sleep efficiency (TST/total time in bed × 100); sSL, subjective sleep latency; sTST, subjective total sleep time; sWASO, subjective wake time after sleep onset; NAW, number of awakenings; SQ, sleep quality; w, week; NS, no significant change compared with placebo; m, month; +, significant increase; –, significant decrease.
decreases in subjective sleep latency and increases in total sleep time, but this was not the case in the other studies. Patients did not rate their sleeping quality as improved in any of the studies.

In an open-label study, 965 adult and 248 elderly insomniacs were administered ramelteon 8 mg and 16 mg, respectively, for 48 weeks. During the study, self-reports in both groups revealed significant reductions in subjective sleep latency and increases in total sleep time. The self-reports were consistent with clinicians’ reporting of reduced insomnia and therapeutic efficacy of ramelteon at months 6 and 12.

Finally, a pooled analysis of four studies in subjects with chronic insomnia showed that when using ramelteon 8 mg for two nights, latency to persistent sleep decreased by 13 minutes when compared with placebo.

**Chronic insomnia in the elderly**

Two studies were performed in elderly insomnia patients. An overview of the results is provided in Table 1. The first study examined subjective reports of sleep using sleep diaries. The study started with a single-blind placebo runin week, followed by five weeks of double-blind ramelteon 4 mg or 8 mg administration, and ended with a single-blind placebo runout period. Compared with placebo, sleep latency was decreased up to five weeks after treatment onset, except for the 4 mg dose after three weeks. Subjective total sleep time
was increased for up to three weeks of treatment. Results showed reductions in sleep latency ranging from 4.4 minutes (4 mg after three weeks; \( P = 0.142 \)) to 12.9 minutes (8 mg after five weeks; \( P < 0.001 \)).

Subgroup analysis revealed that patients with higher baseline sleep latency (>67.1 minutes) had greater benefit from ramelteon. In these patients, reductions in subjective sleep latency compared with placebo ranged from 13.9 minutes (week 1, 4 mg) to 23.3 minutes (week 5, 8 mg). Additional analysis confirmed these findings. No rebound insomnia or withdrawal effects were found during the placebo runout week.

In a second randomized, double-blind study in elderly insomniacs, ramelteon 4 mg and 8 mg produced a significant decrease in latency to persistent sleep, a lower percentage of time spent in sleep stages 3 and 4, and a higher percentage of time spent in stage 1 sleep. Ramelteon 8 mg also increased time spent in stage 2 sleep.

**Generalized anxiety disorder**

An open-label study was conducted in adults (\( n = 27 \), mean age 41 years) with generalized anxiety disorder and comorbid insomnia. Generalized anxiety disorder patients were partially responsive to a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor or serotonin norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor. For a period of 10 weeks, patients were also treated with ramelteon 8 mg. Sleep latency and total sleep time significantly improved when comparing data with baseline and poststudy visits (−42.85 minutes and +2.5 hours, respectively). No effect on subjective wake time after sleep onset was observed. In addition, reductions were observed in insomnia symptoms, daytime sleepiness, and anxiety symptoms. These results should be confirmed by double-blind, placebo-controlled studies.

**Use of ramelteon as a chronobiotic**

Limited data are available on the efficacy of ramelteon as a chronobiologic, ie, its capability to act on the circadian clock. In rats, ramelteon enhanced adaptation to a new sleep-wake schedule. In humans, the chronobiotic effects of ramelteon were assessed in 75 healthy volunteers aged 18–45 years. Generalized anxiety disorder patients were partially responsive to a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor or serotonin norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor. For a period of 10 weeks, patients were also treated with ramelteon 8 mg. Sleep latency and total sleep time significantly improved when comparing data with baseline and poststudy visits (−42.85 minutes and +2.5 hours, respectively). No effect on subjective wake time after sleep onset was observed. In addition, reductions were observed in insomnia symptoms, daytime sleepiness, and anxiety symptoms. These results should be confirmed by double-blind, placebo-controlled studies.

For the highest dose. This is in agreement with a subsequent study in which jet lag was examined. Ramelteon dosages of 1, 4, or 8 mg were administered to individuals who had flown eastward across five time zones, leading to a five-hour phase advance. Ramelteon was administered five minutes before the local bedtime. Ramelteon 1 mg significantly decreased mean latency to persistent sleep after two to four nights of intake, but no effect was seen for the higher dosages. A possible explanation may be that remaining levels led to a phase shift in the opposite (undesired) direction. There was no effect on any of the other objective or subjective sleep measures. In contrast with the previous study, there were no differences in dim light melatonin offset. Therefore, it is possible that the observed effects may not be due to shifts of the circadian phase. Only the 4 mg group showed improvements in subjective daytime functioning, alertness, and ability to concentrate.

**Safety and tolerability**

**Withdrawal effects and rebound insomnia**

Several double-blind studies with a single-blind placebo runout week were conducted to detect possible withdrawal effects or rebound insomnia. Rebound insomnia was defined as a mean latency to persistent sleep during placebo runout that was equal to or worse than sleep latency at baseline. A range of symptoms commonly experienced during benzodiazepine withdrawal were explored using the Tyrer Benzodiazepine Withdrawal Symptom Questionnaire. No withdrawal symptoms or rebound insomnia were detected after treatment with ramelteon 8 mg for six months in adult insomniacs. Furthermore, no effects were found in a population of elderly patients when discontinuing after five weeks of treatment with ramelteon 4 mg or 8 mg. Improvements relative to baseline were found on nights 1, 2, and 6 of the placebo runout week, as compared with placebo. Similarly, an absence of rebound insomnia was demonstrated by Zammit et al after administering ramelteon for five weeks in adults. On the first night after discontinuation of ramelteon, a significant greater reduction in latency to persistent sleep was found in the ramelteon 8 mg group. There was no evidence of withdrawal effects.

**Adverse events**

According to the summary of product characteristics, based on 2861 patients with chronic insomnia, the most commonly observed adverse effects of ramelteon 8 mg (versus placebo)
were somnolence (3% versus 2%), fatigue (3% versus 2%),
dizziness (4% versus 3%), nausea (3% versus 2%), and
exacerbated insomnia (3% versus 2%).

Endocrine function
Effects on endocrine function in adults with chronic insomnia
(aged 18–45 years) were examined during treatment with
ramelteon 16 mg or placebo for six months. Patients had
no significant endocrine pathology. No significant differences
were found in thyroid function or adrenal function, or for
most reproductive hormones. Women treated with ramelteon
had higher prolactin levels, but this was not considered clini-
cally relevant because no effects on the reproductive cycle
were observed.

Endocrine function was also assessed in an open-label
study in adult (n = 965; ramelteon 16 mg) and elderly (n = 248;
ramelteon 8 mg) insomnia patients. Ramelteon was admin-
istered for 48 weeks. Some changes in thyroid function were
detected. With the exception of thyroxine, thyroid function
returned to normal by the last visit, which was three days after
withdrawal. Free testosterone was elevated in younger men in
the initial months of the study, and was decreased throughout
the study in older men. Morning cortisol levels were decreased
at nearly all visits. In female participants, duration of menses
was increased by one day on average.

Safety in patients with breathing
disorders
Treating patients with sleep-related breathing disorders and
comorbid insomnia can be challenging. Although clinical
evidence is not straightforward, some benzodiazepines
may have a potential for respiratory depression in certain
patients. Therefore, it is of importance to assess the
safety and efficacy of hypnotics in these patient populations.
Ramelteon was examined in patients with sleep apnea and
chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD).

In double-blind, placebo-controlled studies of patients
with mild to moderate COPD and moderate to severe
COPD, no effects of ramelteon 16 mg and 8 mg were found
on arterial oxygen saturation (SaO2) during sleep, or on the
apnea-hypopnea index (AHI, ie, the number of apneas and
hypopneas per hour). Total sleep time and sleep efficiency
increased in both studies. Similarly, in patients with mild to
moderate obstructive sleep apnea, ramelteon 16 mg had
no effect on AHI or SaO2 compared with placebo, except
for a significant increase in SaO2 compared with placebo
during rapid eye movement sleep. No effects on objective
or subjective sleep measures were found. Overall, ramelteon
did not worsen sleep-disordered breathing, and is unlikely
to exacerbate sleep apnea in patients with mild to moderate
sleep apnea. However, the effects of prolonged intake have
not been studied.

Patients with hepatic or renal impairment
In patients with mild hepatic impairment, exposure to
ramelteon 16 mg per day over a period of seven days was
increased by almost four-fold. In patients with moderate
hepatic impairment, exposure was increased by more than
10-fold. No studies have been performed in patients with
severe hepatic impairment. Therefore, ramelteon should be
used with caution in patients with mild to moderate hepatic
impairment, and is not recommended for patients with severe
impairment. For patients with mild, moderate, or severe
renal impairment or patients with chronic hemodialysis, no
effects of ramelteon 16 mg on systemic exposure to ramelteon
or M-II were observed. As a result, no adjustment of dosage
is required in these patients.

Abuse potential
Concerns exist regarding the possible abuse of hypnotics.
Clinical trials and animal studies have demonstrated no
indications for abuse of ramelteon. In subjects with a history
of drug use, Johnson et al examined the abuse potential for
ramelteon, comparing the drug with placebo and triazolam.
Drug strength and effect were examined using the Drug Effect
Questionnaire and the Addiction Research Centre Inventory,
respectively. In addition, monetary value was assessed with
the Drug versus Money Choice procedure. Triazolam treat-
ment led to significant differences compared with placebo
in drug strength, abuse potential, and sedative drug effects.
No indication of abuse potential was found for ramelteon.

Griffiths and Johnson undertook a comparison of 19 of the
most commonly used drugs in the treatment of insomnia.
They compared relative abuse potential by assessing the
interaction of the likelihood of abuse (ie, relative reinforce-
ing effects) and toxicity (ie, probability that adverse effects
harm the individual or society). Hypnotics that lacked affin-
ity for the GABA receptor, ie, diphenhydramine, trazodone,
and ramelteon, showed less likelihood of abuse. Ramelteon
was the only drug in this group that scored very low on both
measures.

Drug interactions
Drug-drug interactions are mainly expected with drugs that
influence or are influenced by CYP1A2. Because CYP2C
and CYP3A4 are involved in ramelteon metabolism, drugs
that inhibit these isoenzymes should be used with caution. Fluvoxamine was found to raise serum ramelteon levels by 70-fold, and should not be combined with ramelteon due to a risk of adverse effects. Rifampin, a strong CYP enzyme inducer, decreases ramelteon efficacy by lowering exposure to ramelteon and M-II. Ketoconazole increases the availability of ramelteon and M-II. The same was found for fluconazole. These drugs may increase the risk of adverse events when combined with ramelteon.4,22

### Behavioral effects

#### Acute effects

Three studies have examined the effects of ramelteon administered in the morning to assess possible dose-response effects. Pharmacodynamic effects were assessed in two studies. Age and gender effects of a single dose of ramelteon 16 mg versus placebo were studied in 48 participants aged 18–79 years in a double-blind, crossover study,20 in which no effects on cognitive performance assessed by the digit symbol substitution test (DSST) or word recall were found. Self-rated sedation was significantly higher compared with placebo in young, elderly, male, and female subjects. Observer-rated sedation was increased in male and elderly subjects. Of importance is the lack of an association between serum AUC values for ramelteon, M-II, or both, and AUC values for self-rated sedation, observer-rated sedation, or the DSST. This suggests that the pharmacodynamic effects of ramelteon do not depend on systemic exposure to ramelteon and/or its metabolite. Similar results were obtained by Karim et al,21 who examined healthy adults aged 35–65 years assigned to receive ramelteon 4, 8, 16, 32, or 64 mg (n = 8 per group) or placebo (n = 20) in the morning. Again, no effect was found on the DSST, with small effects on alertness found in the 64 mg group, but this was unrelated to peak plasma concentration.

Johnson et al examined ramelteon 16, 80, and 160 mg, placebo, and temazepam 0.25–0.75 mg in 14 healthy adults aged 19–50 years with histories of recreational drug abuse.44 Ramelteon was administered in the morning, and measurements were performed 0.5 hours before intake and at hourly intervals for 1–12 hours after intake and 24 hours after intake, except for word learning (presented two hours and measured six hours after administration). Temazepam significantly impaired postural balance compared with placebo and ramelteon. Furthermore, in contrast with temazepam, ramelteon did not impair performance on the DSST, circular lights test, or word recall and recognition. Furthermore, another study that assessed immediate and delayed memory recall in a word learning test in the middle of the night (two hours after dosing) found no effects of ramelteon, unlike zolpidem, which impaired immediate memory recall.46

#### Postural stability

Hypnotics have the potential to impair postural balance, which may, in turn, lead to falls and hip fractures. This is of special importance in the elderly. In addition, balance impairments are likely to occur around the time of peak plasma concentration.47 This does not seem to be the case for ramelteon. When the effect of ramelteon on balance, ie, the ability to stand upright on one foot with eyes closed, was assessed 1–12 hours after morning intake and after 24 hours, no effects were observed for doses up to 160 mg. In the same study, triazolam did show significant impairment.44 A study that utilized a more advanced technique to measure balance impairment confirmed this finding. In a single-dose crossover design, Zammit et al46 examined the influence of ramelteon 8 mg, zolpidem 10 mg, and placebo on a number of parameters of postural control in elderly adults with chronic insomnia (n = 33, age ≥ 65 years). Two hours after bedtime, treated subjects were woken to test balance and mobility. Compared with placebo, zolpidem showed impairment in both the balance and mobility test, while no effect was found for ramelteon.

#### Next-morning residual effects

Hypnotics that act on the benzodiazepine receptor are known to produce residual next-morning sedation that may affect cognitive and psychomotor performance. Impairment of memory and psychomotor functioning and drowsiness can impair daily activities, such as driving a car.48 Studies assessing next-morning residual effects have measured DSST performance, memory, and subjective effects on mood using visual analog scales (VAS).

No study has found an effect of ramelteon on DSST performance.23,25–27,31 Furthermore, no effects were found on memory functioning assessed by immediate or delayed word recall,25,31 except for two studies. In the first, ramelteon was administered for five weeks.26 After weeks 1, 3, and 5, subjects had to remember a list of words and were tested on immediate recall in the evening. Delayed recall was tested the next morning. Relative to placebo, immediate word recall was decreased after three weeks of treatment (7.5 versus 8.2 words), and delayed word recall was impaired after one week of treatment (3.6 versus 4.2 words). No effects were found at other time points. The second study examined the phase-shifting properties of ramelteon, and showed impairments in
immediate word recall after four nights of intake of ramelteon 1, 4, and 8 mg.35

Reports of subjective mood, feelings, and alertness varied between studies. Alertness and ability to concentrate were not affected by ramelteon,25,27 or even improved,26 except for dosages of 64 mg, which led to small impairments after a single intake.23 One study found effects on individual items in VAS scores for drowsiness, being slowed down, and sleepiness after one week of intake of ramelteon 8 mg, and slowed thinking and fatigue after six months of treatment.23 An increase in the VAS for mood was also found after a single dose of ramelteon 16 mg on the items of drowsiness, sleepiness, tiredness, and sluggishness.24

This was confirmed by another study in which effects on fatigue, irritability, and sluggishness were reported at several time points (week 1–3).26 In contrast, no effects were found after two nights of ramelteon 4–32 mg,27 whereas a study in the elderly showed improvements in irritability and calmness.31

**Discussion**

Ramelteon has a number of benefits over the benzodiazepine hypnotics and z-drugs. Ramelteon is well tolerated, has a low probability of abuse, and no next-day residual effects have been demonstrated. However, there are also a number of limitations. First, efficacy data obtained by polysomnography primarily show an effect on sleep onset latency. A moderate decrease in latency to persistent sleep was demonstrated, both in models of transient insomnia in healthy volunteers and in chronic insomnia patients. When compared with placebo, this reduction varied from 7 to 19 minutes and averaged around 13 minutes. This effect was found to last up to six months after initiation of treatment, and is comparable with that of other hypnotics. Findings from a meta-analysis49 showed an average of 10 minutes (95% CI: −16.6, −3.4) reduction in sleep onset latency for benzodiazepine hypnotics, and 12.8 minutes for nonbenzodiazepines (95% CI: −16.9, −8.8). Other polysomnographic measures showed limited effects, with increases in total sleep time found in all studies, but the effect was relatively small, ie, 9–22 minutes, and lasted for up to only one week after treatment onset. Second, patient reports on sleep measures showed some inconsistency, in that subjective sleep latency and total sleep time were improved in some but not all studies, whereas sleep quality was not affected in any of the studies. Because insomnia is defined as a subjective report of sleep disturbance,50 a hypnotic should ideally improve subjective sleep measures. Subjective sleep latency was only decreased in some studies and was sustained when treatment was given for five weeks, whereas subjective reports on total sleep time varied. Other subjective effects were lacking or inconsistent. A few studies have demonstrated significant decreases in subjective sleep latency, ranging from 11.4 to 18.5 minutes in adults, and from 7.2 to 12.9 minutes in elderly subjects. According to the aforementioned meta-analysis, averages for benzodiazepine hypnotics are 19.6 minutes (95% CI: −23.9, −15.3) and 17.0 minutes (95% CI: −22.3, −2.2) for nonbenzodiazepine hypnotics.49 Although an active control has been used in a number of clinical studies, no direct comparisons have been made between the efficacy of ramelteon and benzodiazepine receptor agonists.

Likewise, the effects of ramelteon have not been compared with those of melatonin or its agonists. The efficacy of melatonin is inconclusive, and a recent meta-analysis failed to show a significant effect on sleep onset latency.51 However, another meta-analysis52 showed that melatonin, in varying dosages, led to an average decrease in objective sleep onset latency of four minutes, as well as to increases in sleep efficiency and total sleep duration. However, the clinical relevance of this decrease is unclear.

Safety data show that, overall, ramelteon is well tolerated and has little or no effect on next-day performance. Memory and psychomotor tests show no noteworthy impairment, whereas there have been only a few reports on mood. However, most studies only applied two methods to test memory and psychomotor functioning, ie, the world learning test and the DSST. One study also used the circular lights test and showed no effect. More complex behavioral skills may show impairments and should therefore be studied. Balance was not influenced by ramelteon, which suggests it is a safe alternative, especially for elderly patients. Although balance impairment on the morning after intake is unlikely, this has not been assessed thus far. Studies show that ramelteon is safe in patients with sleep-related breathing difficulties and in those with renal failure, but this agent should be used with caution in patients with mild to moderate hepatic impairment. No major endocrine effects have been observed, but the findings of one open-label study suggest that further studies related to hormonal functioning in females and on age-related effects are necessary. In addition, there is some evidence that the use of melatonergic agonists may be problematic in patients with autoimmune diseases, parkinsonism, and irritable bowel syndrome Type II.53 An important benefit of ramelteon over other types of hypnotics is that it is the only hypnotic drug that has been found to have virtually no abuse potential. In addition, no rebound insomnia has been observed.
In line with its indication for use, ramelteon may be preferred in patients with sleep onset difficulties. However, this is unlikely for sleep maintenance problems. In addition, some evidence for application in patients with anxiety warrants further investigation in this and other types of mental disorders with comorbid insomnia. Furthermore, preliminary evidence suggests that ramelteon may be effective in the treatment of circadian rhythm disorders. Future studies in patient populations, such as individuals with shift work sleep disorder or delayed sleep phase disorder, should provide more insight into its use for these indications and provide information on long-term safety and efficacy. Overall, in patients with insomnia and in patients with circadian rhythm disturbances, long-term treatment may occur and should therefore be carefully evaluated. Moreover, to clarify the chronobiologic actions of ramelteon, a phase-response curve for ramelteon should be determined, as has been established for melatonin.

In conclusion, currently available knowledge on ramelteon shows that it is both safe and well tolerated. Its usefulness seems primarily limited to patients with sleep onset difficulties. The applicability of ramelteon in other disorders has yet to be established.
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