More Than Three-Fourths of AstraZeneca (ChAdOx1 COV-19) COVID-19 Vaccinated Individuals Develop Post Immunization Adverse Event in Northwest Ethiopia
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Background: Ethiopia was using the ChAdOx1 COV-19 vaccine, and health professionals were targets of the first phase of the vaccination strategy. Evidence on the adverse events following immunization (AEFI) was barely available. The study aimed to assess the magnitude and associated factors of adverse events following ChAdOx1 COV-19 immunization among health professionals of the University of Gondar Specialized and Comprehensive Hospital, 2021.

Methods: An institution-based cross-sectional study was conducted among health professionals of the University of Gondar Comprehensive and specialized referral hospital. All health professionals who took the ChAdOx1 COV-19 vaccine in the 1st phase were surveyed. A total of 314 health professionals who took the ChAdOx1 COV-19 vaccine were included. The EpiData version 4.6.0.0 and Stata 16 were used for data entry and analysis, respectively. A binary logistic regression was used to identify statistically significant factors associated with AEFI. Chi-square and multicollinearity assumptions were tested. A p-value <0.2 and 0.05 were used as cut-off values of significance in the bi- and multivariable logistic regression models, respectively. An adjusted odds ratio (AOR) with 95% CI was reported for statistically significant variables.

Results: Among 314 study participants, 263 of them had at least one mild to severe AEFI of ChAdOx1 COV-19 with a prevalence of AEFI of 83.76% (95% CI: 79.23, 87.46). The commonest AEFI observed were injection site tenderness (n=198/263), fatigue (114/263), headache (n=107/263), and muscle pain (n=85/263). Females (AOR=2.75, 95% CI: 1.15, 6.58), and participants who felt the vaccine was unsafe (AOR=2.84, 95% CI: 1.03, 7.85) were having nearly three times more odds of AEFI immunization as compared to males and those who felt the vaccine was safe, respectively.

Conclusion: Adverse event following immunization has been a public health problem in Northwest Ethiopia. Being female and having a feeling that the vaccine is unsafe were statistically significantly associated with AEFI.
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Background

COVID-19 pandemic remains a global public health threat claiming more than two hundred million cases and 4.7 million deaths until September 19, 2021. 1,2 Ethiopia has reported more than three hundred thousand cases, and five thousand deaths. 1 The World Health Organization and other local health authorities have design different mitigation strategies such as vaccines, and other non-medical interventions to combat spread of the disease. Still, risk perception and behavioral responses are different among different regions. 3–16 Different types of vaccines have been distributed to different continents including Africa, but the
utilization rate has remained low as compared to different targets set by the World Health Organization and regional health institutes. Countries in Africa including Ethiopia have the lowest vaccinated population in the world. Concerns related to vaccine safety and efficacy, the difficulty of accessing the vulnerable populations, wars, and conflicts, the use of different vaccines, and other access-related constraints are the major concerns of very low vaccine rollout in Africa. Other articles also claimed that vaccine hesitancy, distributed misinformation, religious fanaticism, attitude and uptake of vaccine among health care workers, social influences, and environments wherein people looking for trusted icons response and involvement are major obstacles of COVID-19 vaccine roll out to achieve the target. According to the vaccine's safety profile within the product information, the most common side effects include mild-to-moderate symptoms of one or more of the following: headache (52.6%), fatigue (53.1%), muscle or joint pain (44%), fever (33.6%), chills (31.9%), and nausea (21.9%). Additionally, symptoms of an allergic reaction including hives, a rash, swelling, and respiratory issues may occur.

Recent evidence noted that people who have not received a COVID-19 vaccine were faced 10, and 11 times higher risk of hospitalization and death due to COVID-19 than those who have undergone vaccination, respectively. Getting vaccinated protects against severe illness and mortality from COVID-19, including the Delta variant. Monitoring COVID-19 incidence by vaccination status might provide early signals of changes in vaccine-related protection that can be confirmed through a well-controlled vaccine effectiveness (VE) study. Some of the side effects associated with the use of the COVID-19 vaccine are reported in different countries as mentioned above. However, there is still controversy and evidence gap regarding the magnitude and extent of the adverse events associated with the COVID-19 vaccine. As a result, countries are facing challenges in expanding the uptake of the vaccine among citizens. Therefore, this study aimed to assess the common post-COVID-19 vaccine (AstraZeneca) immunization adverse events among the health care workers at the university of Gondar Comprehensive Specialized Hospital.

**Methods**

**Study Design and Period**
An institution-based cross-sectional study was conducted from June 1, 2021, to August 21, 2021.

**Study Setting**
This study was undertaken at the University of Gondar Comprehensive Specialized Hospital which is found in the Central Gondar Zone of the Amhara region, Ethiopia. It serves more than 7 million people and is one of the COVID-19 diagnosis and treatment centers in the country. It is also one of the major sites of COVID-19 vaccine delivery for the targeted population, ie health professionals and medical intern doctors.

**Study Population**
The study population included all health professionals (medical doctors, medical intern doctors, nurses, pharmacists, psychiatrists, midwives, and others) who took at least 1st dose of the ChAdOx1COV-19 vaccine.

**Sample Size and Sampling Technique**
We surveyed all health professionals who took the ChAdOx1COV-19 vaccine in the first round.

**Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria**
All health professionals who took at least the first dose of ChAdox1 COV-19 were included while those health professionals on monthly leave were excluded.

**Data Collection and Quality Control**
Data was collected using a semi-structured self-administered questionnaire (Supplementary Table 4). Data completeness and consistency were checked daily by one general practitioner and three specialist medical doctors. The data was entered using EpiData 4.6.0.0 software and exported to Stata 16 for further cleaning and analysis.
Variables and Measurement
The dependent variable was adverse event following immunization as subjectively reported by the health professionals, ie, if they report at least one of these symptoms following immunization: injection site tenderness, redness or swelling, systemic reaction, seizure, abscess, high-grade fever, anaphylaxis, bleeding, thrombocytopenia, fatigue, chills, joint pain, muscle pain, headache, malaise, flu-like symptom, vomiting, and/or nausea. Independent variables were age, educational status, religion, gender, specialty, comorbidity, number of doses of the vaccine, previous history of COVID-19 infection, and feeling about the vaccine.

Data Analysis
Data was analyzed using Stata 16 software. Descriptive findings were reported using proportions, frequencies, and medians. Binary logistic regression was fitted to identify statistically significant factors. Chi-square and multicollinearity assumptions were tested. A P-values less than 0.2 and 0.05 were used as a cut-off value for statistical significance for bi and multivariable logistic regression, respectively. Finally, the Adjusted Odds Ratio (AOR) with 95% CI was reported in the final model.

Results
Socio-Demographic Characteristics
Of the total 335 self-administered questionnaires, we excluded 21 questionnaires (four were incomplete, and 17 respondents’ vaccination status was missed). All the data obtained from the 314 participants were included in the final analysis. Of which 71.34% were males and the median age was 25 (inter-quartile range [IQR]: 23, 28) years. About 76.75% were Orthodox Christians and, 56.05% were interning medical doctors while others were health professionals. More than half of the participants reported that they felt the vaccine is safe (54.48%) (Supplementary Table 1).

Adverse Events Following Immunization (AEFI) ChAdOx1 COV-19 Vaccinations
The overall prevalence of AEFI was 83.76% (95% CI: 79.23, 87.46 (n=263/314)). The commonest AEFI observed were injection site tenderness (n=198/263), fatigue (n=114/263), headache (n=107/263), and muscle pain (n=85/263). There were no seizures, injection site abscesses, shock, or bleeding disorders following the immunization (Figure 1).

The majority of the AEFI was developed in the first (n=191/232, 82.33%) and second (n=31/232, 13.36%) days of immunization. The minimum and maximum days of symptom resolution were 1 and 22 days after the onset. Nearly ninety percent of the AEFI resolved within three days (Figure 2A and B).

Ninety percent (90%, n=235/261), 4.98% (n=13/261), and 4.98% (n=13/261) of all the AEFIs were reported after the first, second, and both doses of the vaccine, respectively. Out of 263 respondents who experienced AEFI 257 scaled the severity of symptoms as follows: mild (n=162/257, 63.04%), moderate (n=73/257, 28.40%), severe (20/257, 7.78%), and difficult to scale (n=2/257, 0.78%). Most of the respondents with AEFI (51.70%) took paracetamol for symptom relief. Other drugs taken were diclofenac (12.50%) and Ibuprofen (5.68%). Above a quarter (28.98%) of those respondents with AEFI reported that they have taken an unspecified drug to relieve the symptoms. Two-thirds of those respondents with AEFI (66.40%) recovered without intervention and one-third (31.60%) recovered with minor intervention. There were four admissions following adverse events, of which three recovered without sequelae, and one developed sequelae.

History of Drug, Food, or Other Reactions and COVID-19 Infection
All participants who were tested positive for COVID-19 had AEFI symptoms. Of those with current AEFI, 3.10% (8/258) had a history of past vaccine-related AEFI for meningitis A, hepatitis B, swine-flu vaccine, and tetanus vaccines. Of those 259 respondents who had previous COVID-19 test results, 21 (8.11%) had a history of positive results (Supplementary Table 2).
Binary Logistic Regression to Identify Factors Associated with AEFI Development

From the descriptive statistics participants’ sex, religion, highest educational status, number of vaccine doses taken, and feeling towards the vaccine safety satisfied the chi-squared assumption. Bivariable and multivariable binary logistic regression models were fitted in the multivariable regression we found participants’ sex and feelings towards the safety of the vaccine positively associated with the development of AEFI at a p. value of less than 0.05. Females had three times higher odds of AEFI than males had (AOR: 2.75, 95% CI: 1.15, 6.58), and those participants who felt the vaccine is not safe had approximately three times (AOR: 2.84, 95% CI: 1.03, 7.85) higher odds of AEFI when compared to those who felt the vaccine is safe (Supplementary Table 3).

Discussion

This study aimed to measure the magnitude and associated factors of adverse events following ChAdOx1 immunization among health professionals working at the University of Gondar. We found that more than three-fourths of the study participants had mild to severe adverse events following immunization. This finding is comparable with other studies conducted in Nepal, and Korea\textsuperscript{26} where 79.8%, and 81% of health professionals had an adverse event following immunizations, respectively, but higher than studies on Indian health professionals where 57% of the health professionals had adverse events.\textsuperscript{27, 28} It is also higher than findings reported from Saudi Arabia where two-thirds of the study participants reported at least one AEFI.\textsuperscript{29} Similarly, it was higher than the findings of the studies conducted in the United Kingdom where 58.7% of the study population who took the 1st dose of the ChAdOx1 vaccine developed local symptoms,\textsuperscript{30} and the one conducted in Togo.\textsuperscript{31} This might be justified by the variations in study population, for the Saudi Arabia, and United Kingdom studies were on the general public that may not report mild symptoms while ours was on
health professionals that can report every simple adverse event. While the communities/general public may not report mild symptoms.

On the other side, the magnitude of AEFI was lower than web-based study findings from Korea where more than 90% of the health professionals reported at least one AEFI. This might be due to variations of outcome ascertainment.

Figure 2 (A) Number of days the study participants developed AEFI and (B) number of days the AEFI symptom takes to resolve.
techniques. Accordingly, the Korean study was a mobile-based daily report from the participants while we collected the data from the respondents a week after they took the vaccine, which may lead to missing mild symptoms. This might have caused underestimation of the AEFI in our study compared to daily reports of the symptoms in the Saudi Arabian study. It was also lower than the other study’s findings in Saudi Arabia, where more than 95% of the participants had at least one AEFI symptom.\textsuperscript{33}

The majority of the adverse events were reported within the first 48 hours. This is comparable with reports findings of a study on Indian health professionals where more than three-fourths of the adverse events were reported within the first 48 hrs.\textsuperscript{27}

The commonly reported adverse events were injection site tenderness, and fatigue as compared to others. This is supported by another study conducted in Korea where the pain, injection site tenderness, and fatigue were the top adverse events of health professionals experienced.\textsuperscript{32} It is also supported by findings of other studies on health professionals from Togo,\textsuperscript{31} and Nepal.\textsuperscript{34} Compared to other brands of COVID-19 vaccines, ChAdOx1 had a lower AEFI than Moderna (94%) but higher AEFI than Pfizer vaccine (53%). Nevertheless, higher number of patients who took ChAdOx1 developed series AEFI compared to patients who took Moderna and Pfizer vaccine.\textsuperscript{35} Another study finding indicate that among all immunized individuals more than half (58.1%) of the AEFI, and nearly half (49.5%) of severe adverse events were reported following ChAdOx1 vaccination compared to Moderna and Pfizer vaccines.\textsuperscript{36}

Those who felt the vaccine was unsafe had nearly three times higher odds of AEFI as compared to those who felt the vaccine is safe. Unfortunately, we failed to find comparable pieces of evidence that incorporate the participants’ feelings about vaccine safety. Still, one study reported the association between vaccine perception and adverse events by stating those participants who think the vaccines are useless and with side effects have more chances of developing adverse events.\textsuperscript{37} Another study reported that students who had fear of side effects reported seven times higher AEFI as compared to those who did not fear the vaccine.\textsuperscript{38} This might be justified by those individuals who feel the vaccine is unsafe might be very suspicious and report and remember every mild symptom after vaccination.

Female health professionals had more than double the odds of AEFI as compared to male health professionals. This is in line with study findings reported from India where females had two times more odds of AEFI as compared with males.\textsuperscript{28} Similarly, our finding was comparable with findings from the Vietnamese study where female participants reported more AEFI.\textsuperscript{39} Another study’s finding from Israel also supported this evidence by stating that females had nearly double risks of developing adverse events following 1st and 2nd dose of Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine as compared to males.\textsuperscript{40} This may give clues for health professionals to give more attention to female vaccinees, and researchers to conduct further investigation on gender differences in terms of adverse events.

**Strength and Limitation of the Study**

We are confident that our study was strong enough to conclude but subjective measurements based on participants’ reports were used for outcome ascertainment that may bias some specific adverse events.

**Conclusion**

More than three-fourths of the health professionals taking the ChAdOx1 COVID-19 vaccine developed minor to severe adverse events following immunization. Of these, nearly one-third of symptomatic participants recovered intervention such as antipain but nearly a tenth of them had severe symptoms. Being female and having a feeling that the vaccine is unsafe were statistically significantly associated with AEFI.
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