Role of Atogepant in the Treatment of Episodic Migraines: Clinical Perspectives and Considerations
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Abstract: Advances in molecular biology and neuroscience have led to the discovery of calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP), a 37 amino-acid neuropeptide that plays a critical role in the pathogenesis of migraine. CGRP receptor antagonist, also known as gepant, is an oral medication that inhibits the CGRP-related nociceptive signaling pathway. To date, three gepants are approved by the FDA for migraine treatment. Atogepant is a 2nd-generation gepant that non-competitively antagonizes CGRP receptors inhibiting neurogenic inflammation and pain sensitization. With its long half-life and minimal cardiovascular or liver toxicity, it is the first in its class approved primarily for migraine prevention. This article will discuss the evidence, safety, and rationale of atogepant for use in clinical practice.
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Introduction

Migraine is one of the most common neurological disorders seen in medical practice, affecting nearly 16% of the US population.1 It can be a severely debilitating condition, for over 43% of patients with migraine reported moderate-to-severe disability.2 The Global Burden of Disease Survey 2019 has ranked migraine as the 2nd highest cause of years lived with disability, 1st among women between 15 and 49 years of age.3 The annual direct health care costs for patients with migraine in the United States is estimated at $22,364 per person, and a greater total indirect cost estimate of over 19 billion dollars.4 This comprehensive socioeconomic impact warrants expanded efforts to broaden the understanding of migraine pathophysiology and bolster the development of new treatments.

Migraine is a primary headache disorder typically characterized by a pulsating or throbbing head pain lasting between 4 and 72 hours. Pain during a migraine attack is commonly unilateral in location, aggravated by physical activity, and associated with photophobia, phonophobia, nausea, and/or vomiting. Migraine can also be accompanied by muscle tenderness and abnormal skin sensitivity (allodynia).5 In addition to pain, 30% of patients with migraine report having an aura, a complex presentation of reversible sensory, visual, and/or other central nervous system (CNS) symptoms.6 Visual auras are the most common type, reported to occur in 98% of cases of migraine with aura, although speech/motor and sensory symptoms can occur.7 Auras generally occur prior to the onset of headache pain but may persist afterward.8 One of the oldest accounts of migraine was described by Hippocrates, who wrote

Most of the time he saw something shining before him like a light, usually in part of the right eye; at the end of a moment, a violent pain supervened in the right temple.8

Additionally, migraine attacks can have prodromal symptoms, with the most common being fatigue, irritability, yawning/sighing, neck stiffness, and mood change.9 Common migraine triggers include stress, sleep disturbances, weather changes, menstrual cycle changes, and alcohol in other foods.10 Migraine can be classified as episodic migraine (EM) or chronic migraine (CM), with the latter being defined as having 15 or more headache days per month (8 days of which meet criteria for migraine attacks).
Migraine was initially believed to be a vascular condition, with headache pain associated with vasodilation. Although the pathophysiology of this disorder is not yet completely understood, progression in the understanding of migraine attacks has suggested vasodilation is not the primary cause of pain, but rather the result of neurogenic inflammation and other mechanisms. Neuropeptides have been demonstrated to play a major role in pain signaling and modulation in migraine. Calcitonin-gene-related peptide (CGRP), a 37 amino-acid neuropeptide, has been suggested to be implicated in the pathogenesis of migraine.

**CGRP and Migraine Pathophysiology**

CGRP provides many functions throughout our body, including vascular, digestive, sensory, vestibular, hematopoietic, immunomodulatory, and nociceptive processes. CGRP exists as two isomers: α-CGRP and β-CGRP. α-CGRP is largely expressed within primary sensory neurons within the trigeminal system and dorsal root ganglia, and is an isomer primarily involved in the pathogenesis of migraine. While not permeable across the blood–brain barrier, it acts on nearby receptive cells and is able to diffuse further from the release site via volume transmission. The CGRP receptor consists of a G-protein-coupled receptor (GPCR), calcitonin receptor-like receptor (CLR), and an accessory protein known as receptor activity modifying protein 1 (RAMP1). When activated, adenylyl cyclase catalyzes the synthesis of cAMP, in addition to other subsequent intracellular messengers, generating a range of functions depending on the associated cell type. CGRP receptors are found in large concentrations within the thalamus, pineal gland, colliculi, cerebral cortex, cerebellum, striatum, trigeminal ganglion, and trigeminal nucleus caudalis. While CGRP receptors are also found within the smooth muscle layer of cerebral blood vessels, there is no evidence of venous CGRP receptors. The neuropeptide can also be found in ganglionic satellite glial cells, astrocytes, immune cells, perivascular mast cells, and hepatocytes, but not central glial cells. CGRP does not have a known reuptake system and is degraded by mast cell tryptase, neutral endopeptidase, and matrix metalloproteinase II.

Since CGRP is a potent cerebral vasodilator, CGRP is thought to have a role in migraine pathophysiology. It was later discovered that the CGRP serum level increased in the jugular venous outflow following acute migraine attacks, where the level reached maximum in the first hour. CGRP levels were found to be normalized following treatment of migraine with triptans, topiramate, and onabotulinumtoxin A. Furthermore, infusion of CGRP triggered migraine-like attacks in the majority of patients with migraine, but not in healthy control participants. It is worth noting that CGRP is more than just a vasodilator. CGRP is thought to have several mechanisms contributing to the pathophysiology of migraine, and prominent processes include modulating nociceptive transmission and facilitating neurogenic inflammation. Trigeminovascular neuronal activation triggers a sterile inflammatory response, which results in the release of substance P, neurokinin A, and CGRP. CGRP has been found to be the most abundant neuropeptide within the trigeminal system. It is found primarily in the C and Aδ sensory fibers, and is thought to cause cerebral vasodilation and dysfunctional activation of the trigeminal nociceptive system. Neuroinflammation is also generated by CGRP-induced mast cell granulation and IL-1 release from satellite glial cells. Through these various functions, CGRP contributes to the development and maintenance of a sensitized hyperresponsive state.

Disrupting this sensitization process by inhibiting CGRP has become a clinically relevant target in the management of migraine. To date, there are two treatment classes of drugs that directly inhibit the function of CGRP: CGRP-targeted monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) and small-molecule CGRP antagonists (gepants). Four CGRP-targeted mAbs have obtained approval from the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the preventive treatment of migraine (Table 1), namely erenumab (Aimovig®, Amgen, Thousand Oaks, CA), galcanezumab (Emgality®, Eli Lilly, Indianapolis, IN), fremanezumab (Ajovy®, Teva, Petah Tikva, Israel), and eptinezumab (Vynept®, Lundbeck, Deerfield, IL). In addition, there are 3 gepants (Table 1) approved by the FDA for abortive or preventive treatment of migraine, namely, ubrogepant (Ubrovy™, Allergan, Dublin, Ireland), rimegepant (Nurtec®, Biohaven, New Haven, CT), and atogepant (Qulepta™, Abbvie, North Chicago, IL), with atogepant being the latest released. This article will discuss the efficacy, tolerability, and clinical rationale for atogepant for the preventive treatment of migraine.

**Gepants**

Gepants were initially developed in the 1990s for the treatment of migraine. Their mechanism of action consists of binding to CGRP receptors and reversing CGRP-induced neurogenic inflammation and vasodilation (Figure 1).
Gepants bind to a hydrophobic gap between CLR and RAMP1.\textsuperscript{32} Gepants also target cAMP production and cAMP response element-binding protein (CREB) phosphorylation, and inhibit trigeminovascular nociceptive activation.\textsuperscript{33} Triptans were initially thought to relieve migraine pain through vasoconstriction via 5-HT\textsubscript{1B} and 5-HT\textsubscript{1D} receptors.\textsuperscript{A} Sizeable number of CGRP neurons found within the trigeminal ganglion express 5-HT\textsubscript{1B}, 5-HT\textsubscript{1D}, and 5-HT\textsubscript{1B} and 5-HT\textsubscript{1F} receptors. Therefore, 5-HT agonists (triptans and lasmiditan) can possibly share a similar mechanism of action with gepants, by inhibition of the release of CGRP (as well as other neurotransmitters) from primary trigeminal neurons.

**Table 1** CGRP-targeted mAbs and Gepants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Route</th>
<th>T\textsubscript{1/2}</th>
<th>T\textsubscript{max}</th>
<th>Dose</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Erenumab (AMG334)</td>
<td>Receptor</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>28 days</td>
<td>6 days</td>
<td>70mg 140mg</td>
<td>QM QM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fremanezumab (TEV48125)</td>
<td>Ligand</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>32 days</td>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>225mg 675mg</td>
<td>QM QLT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galcanezumab (LY2951742)</td>
<td>Ligand</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>27 days</td>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>120mg</td>
<td>QM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eptinezumab (ALD403)</td>
<td>Ligand</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>27 days</td>
<td>1–3 hours</td>
<td>100mg 300mg</td>
<td>QLT QLT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ubrogepant (MK-1602)</td>
<td>Receptor</td>
<td>PO</td>
<td>5–7 hours</td>
<td>1.5 hours</td>
<td>50mg 100mg</td>
<td>PRN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rimegepant (BMS-927711)</td>
<td>Receptor</td>
<td>PO</td>
<td>11 hours</td>
<td>1.5 hours</td>
<td>75mg</td>
<td>PRN QOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atogepant (MK-8031)</td>
<td>Receptor</td>
<td>PO</td>
<td>11 hours</td>
<td>2 hours</td>
<td>10mg 30mg 60mg</td>
<td>QD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** All except ubrogepant and rimegepant were preventive medications.

**Abbreviations:** T\textsubscript{1/2}, plasma concentration half-life; T\textsubscript{max}, time to maximal plasma concentration; IV, intravenous; SC, subcutaneous; QLT, quarterly; QM, monthly; PO: QoD, every other day; QD, daily; PRN, as needed.

Gepants bind to a hydrophobic gap between CLR and RAMP1.\textsuperscript{32} Gepants also target cAMP production and cAMP response element-binding protein (CREB) phosphorylation, and inhibit trigeminovascular nociceptive activation.\textsuperscript{33} Triptans were initially thought to relieve migraine pain through vasoconstriction via 5-HT\textsubscript{1B} and 5-HT\textsubscript{1D} receptors.\textsuperscript{A} Sizeable number of CGRP neurons found within the trigeminal ganglion express 5-HT\textsubscript{1B}, 5-HT\textsubscript{1D}, and 5-HT\textsubscript{1B} and 5-HT\textsubscript{1F} receptors. Therefore, 5-HT agonists (triptans and lasmiditan) can possibly share a similar mechanism of action with gepants, by inhibition of the release of CGRP (as well as other neurotransmitters) from primary trigeminal neurons.

**Figure 1** Proposed mechanism of actions of CGRP antagonism.
Importantly, gepant inhibits CGRP-induced vasodilation without causing vasoconstriction, rendering gepant a unique alternative for patients contraindicated to triptan. Antagonism of CGRP-receptors on mast cells, satellite glial cells, and astrocytes prevent CGRP-induced neuroinflammation. Gepants also bind to Amylin receptors; their significance in migraine treatment remains unknown.

The first generation of gepants were olcegepant (Boehringer Ingelheim GmbH, Germany), telcagepant (Merck, Kenilworth, NJ), and MK-3207 (Merck, Kenilworth, NJ). These treatments were reported to be more effective than placebo and were comparable in efficacy to triptans. However, further investigation of these gepants was discontinued due to concerns for hepatotoxicity when dosed regularly.

The second generation of gepant includes ubrogepant, rimegepant, and atogepant. Ubrogepant was the first gepant to receive FDA approval for the abortive treatment of migraine. The ACHIEVE (I & II) Phase III studies assessed ubrogepant 50 mg and 100 mg against placebo. Ubrogepant was found to be more efficacious than placebo in providing freedom of pain after 2 hours and resolution of most bothersome symptom (MBS; photophobia, phonophobia, or nausea). Patients receiving ubrogepant also reported improved patient satisfaction and functional disability compared to placebo. The most common adverse effects (AEs) reported were nausea and somnolence. Pooled analysis revealed no clinical presentations of hepatotoxicity.

Rimegepant, an oral disintegrating tablet, was also investigated for the acute treatment of migraine. Two phase III randomized controlled trials (RCTs) assessed rimegepant 75 mg against placebo, concluding the drug to be more effective in achieving freedom of pain after 2 hours and resolution of MBS. A phase II/III RCT assessed the efficacy of rimegepant for migraine prophylaxis. Rimegepant 75 mg taken every other day, was found to be more effective in reducing migraine days than placebo (4.3 vs 3.5 days, 95% CI −1.46 to −0.20; p = 0.0099). Common AEs of rimegepant include nausea, dizziness, and urinary tract infections (UTIs). Rimegepant, when dosed every other day, was established to have no statistically significant difference in liver function test abnormalities compared to placebo. On May 27th, 2021, rimegepant became the first gepant to obtain FDA approval for the preventive treatment of migraine. A Phase IV RCT comparing galcanezumab and rimegepant (NCT05127486) is currently in progress.

Atogepant

Atogepant is a 2nd generation oral CGRP receptor antagonist with a time to peak concentration of ~2 hours and a half-life of ~11 hours. It is a potent CGRP antagonist that non-competitively binds to the CGRP receptor. Atogepant is a substrate of CYP3A4 and P-gp but does not significantly induce or inhibit many CYPs. Unlike other gepants, atogepant was developed specifically as a preventive treatment due to its long half-life. In a pharmacokinetic study, overall systemic exposures to atogepant were 15% to 38% higher in participants with hepatic impairment compared with those with normal hepatic function. Over 28 days of treatment (170 mg supratherapeutic dose), no participant receiving atogepant had an ALT elevation above 1.5 × upper limit of normal (ULN). A Phase I clinical study reported atogepant can be tolerated in single doses as high as 300 mg. No cardiovascular AEs, such as prolonged QT/QTc, were observed. It remains uncertain whether chronic CGRP antagonism leads to receptor upregulation or tolerance.

Goadsby et al conducted a phase IIb/III RCT assessing atogepant 10 mg once daily, 30 mg once daily, 60 mg once daily, 30 mg twice daily, and 60 mg twice daily against placebo (Table 2). A total of 834 patients with EM underwent a 12-week double-blind period, followed by a 4-week safety follow-up period. The primary outcome measure was the change in monthly migraine days (MMDs) from baseline. Secondary outcome measures were change in monthly headache days (MHDs) from baseline, change in mean acute medication use days from baseline, and the number of proportions of participants who had at least a 50% reduction in MMDs.

Atogepant was reported to decrease MMDs by −4.0 (p = 0.024) for 10 mg daily, −3.8 (p = 0.039) for 30 mg once daily, −3.6 (p = 0.039) for 60 mg once daily, −4.2 (p = 0.0034) for 30 mg twice daily, and −4.1 (p = 0.0031) for 60 mg twice daily, compared to −2.9 in the placebo group. As for secondary outcomes, atogepant was reported to decrease MHDs by −4.3 (p = 0.024) for 10 mg daily, −4.2 (p = 0.039) for 30 mg once daily, −3.9 (p = 0.039) for 60 mg once daily, −4.2 (p = 0.013) for 30 mg twice daily, and −4.3 (p = 0.0083) for 60 mg twice daily, compared to −2.9 in the placebo group. 50% responder rates were 53% (p = 0.11) for 10 mg daily, 53% (p = 0.11) for 30 mg once daily, 52% (p = 0.15) for 60 mg once daily, 58% (p=0.034) for 30 mg twice daily, and 62% (p = 0.0097) for 60 mg twice daily, compared to
Ailani et al. conducted a phase III RCT assessing atogepant 10 mg daily, 30 mg daily, and 60 mg daily compared to placebo. The primary outcome measures were changes from baseline in MMDs over 12 weeks. Secondary outcome measures included the proportion of participants reporting 50% responder rate, change in monthly headache days (MHDs) from baseline, change in mean acute medication use days from baseline, and improvement in quality of life. In total, 910 patients with EM were recruited, with 873 included in the final analysis.

The mean difference from baseline in MMDs after the treatment period was −3.7 for 10-mg atogepant, −3.9 for 30-mg atogepant, −3.9 for 60-mg atogepant, and −2.4 with placebo (p < 0.001 for all dosages compared to placebo). Of the total patients receiving atogepant, the proportion of patients reporting ≥75% reduction of MMD was 18%, compared to 3% among patients receiving placebo.

In an exploratory efficacy analysis, treatment benefit can be observed during the first week with the mean difference (1–4 weeks) being −3.1 for 10-mg atogepant, −3.4 for 30-mg atogepant, −3.9 for 60-mg atogepant, and −1.6 with placebo (p < 0.001 for all dosages compared to placebo). On the first day of treatment, 25.2% of placebo-treated participants reported a migraine in comparison with 10.8–14.1% of participants treated with various doses of atogepant for all atogepant groups (p ≤ 0.0071). Improvement in quality of life was assessed by participants’ responses to Activity Impairment in Migraine-Diaries (AIM-D) and Migraine-Specific Quality-of-Life Questionnaires (MSQ). Statistically significant improvement was reported among all treatment groups.

### Table 2 Summary of Atogepant RCTs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year, First Author, NCT#, N</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Inclusion</th>
<th>Doses(^b)</th>
<th>MMD Reduction</th>
<th>50% Responder Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2020, Goadsby(^46) NCT02848326 N= 834</td>
<td>II/III</td>
<td>EM &amp; ≤2 prior unsuccessful preventives</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>−2.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10mg</td>
<td>−4.0 (p=0.024)</td>
<td>53% (p=0.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30mg</td>
<td>−3.8 (p=0.039)</td>
<td>53% (p=0.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60mg</td>
<td>−3.6 (p=0.039)</td>
<td>52% (p=0.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30mg BID</td>
<td>−4.2 (p=0.0034)</td>
<td>58% (p=0.034)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60mg BID</td>
<td>−4.1 (p=0.0031)</td>
<td>62% (p=0.097)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021, Ailani(^47) (ADVANCE) NCT03777059 N=910</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>EM &amp; ≤4 prior unsuccessful preventives</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>−2.5</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10mg</td>
<td>−3.7 (&lt;p&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>55.6% (&lt;p&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30mg</td>
<td>−3.9 (&lt;p&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>58.7% (&lt;p&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60mg</td>
<td>−4.2 (&lt;p&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>60.8% (&lt;p&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022,(^55) (PROGRESS) NCT03855137 N=755/760(^a)</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>CM &amp; ≤4 prior unsuccessful preventives</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>5.05/5.09</td>
<td>26.0/26.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30mg BID</td>
<td>6.88/6.75 (&lt;p&lt;0.0001/p=0.0001)</td>
<td>41.0/40.1% (p≤0.0009/p≤0.0024)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60mg</td>
<td>7.46/7.33 (&lt;p&lt;0.0009/p=0.00024)</td>
<td>42.7/42.1% (p≤0.0009/p≤0.0024)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: \(^a\)US-focused/EU-focused population. \(^b\)Doses are daily unless noted otherwise. p values are results compared against placebo.

Abbreviations: EM, episodic migraine; CM, chronic migraine; MMD, mean monthly migraine day; CGRP, calcitonin gene-related peptide; RCT, randomized controlled trial; BID, twice daily.
compared to placebo, expect atogepant 10 mg, which did not show a significant difference in sections of the AIM-D (p = 0.09).

Goadsby et al. reported AE rates ranging from 58% to 66% in the treatment groups, with atogepant 10 mg daily having the highest rate. Ailani et al reported AE rates ranging 52.9%–53.7%. The most common AEs were similar among both studies, reporting constipation, nausea, and upper respiratory tract infections (URTIs) as the most common events. There were several cases of participants having LFTs >3 times the ULN, in both studies; however, no cases met the criteria for Hy’s law. An open-label trial (NCT03700320) assessed the safety and tolerability of atogepant 60 mg daily over the span 52 weeks. Of the participants receiving atogepant, 67% reported AEs, of which the investigators determined 18% were related to atogepant.49 The most commonly reported AEs were URTIs (10.3%), constipation (7.2%), nausea (6.3%), and urinary tract infection (5.2%). Thirteen participants (2.4%) were found to have LFTs >3 times the ULN, however none of these cases met criteria for Hy’s law.

Clinical Rationale

As discussed above, atogepant, in addition to other CGRP inhibiting treatments, has demonstrated clinical efficacy in the prevention of EM. The American Academy of Neurology (AAN) has not yet issued guidelines on when to implement gepants. The American Headache Society (AHS), in a recent consensus statement, recommend trialing a gepant for abortive therapy for patients with an inadequate response to 2 or more triptans.50 However, there is no recommendation on implementing a gepant for migraine prophylaxis in treatment naïve or refractory subjects. The AHS and European Headache Federation (EHF) recommend trialing a CGRP-targeted mAb when patients have failed at least two standard-of-care preventive treatments.51,52 While there are no recommendations on prophylactic gepant use, most payers in the US require treatment failure in at least two classes of migraine prevention before authorizing atogepant.

Currently, atogepant has only been assessed in patients meeting criteria for EM. Participants with CM or medication overuse headaches (MOH) were excluded. Since CGRP mAbs are effective for MOH and CM, this prompts the question of whether an atogepant can also achieve success in these subgroups. It is worth noticing that frequent gepant use does not lead to MOH.53 Increased expression of CGRP in the trigeminocervical complex was observed in animal models with triptan/lasmiditan-induced MOH.54 This change was not observed in mice treated with olcegepant. Another animal model assessed MOH by observing rats with repeated treatments of sumatriptan and ubrogepant. Rats receiving repeated sumatriptan developed cutaneous allodynia and latent sensitization, while rats' repeated treatments of ubrogepant did not.55 A phase III PROGRESS trial (Table 2) assessed atogepant 30 mg and 60 mg for the preventive treatment of CM. Early results showed that in the United States-focused 755 patients with evaluable headache diary (modified intention-to-treat population), there were 5.05, 6.88 and 7.46 reductions in MMD on placebo, 30 mg twice daily, 60 mg daily, respectively (all p < 0.01). Similarly, in the European Union-focused population, there were 5.09, 6.75, and 7.33 reductions on MMD (all p < 0.01).55 In addition, with galcanezumab being an effective treatment for episodic cluster headache, gepant might also be a potential option.56

CGRP is implicated in having protective effects in several cardiovascular diseases; CGRP is released in the event of ischemia and produces a protective effect against reperfusion injury.57,58 When given in an animal model with induced cerebral ischemic events, gepants caused larger infarcts and more severe neurological deficits.59 Animal models also demonstrated that CGRP reduces blood pressure in pathologic states and is released in a compensatory fashion in response to heart failure.60–64 Phase II and III RCTs of the CGRP-targeted mAbs did not report any cardiovascular safety concerns.65 However, post-marketing surveillance of erenumab revealed an association with hypertension.66 Ubrogepant has not demonstrated a difference in AEs or cardiac AE in patients with moderate-high cardiovascular risk factors.67 There is concern for inhibiting CGRP in patients with small vessel diseases, such as Raynaud’s phenomenon (RP) cerebral autosomal dominant arteriopathy with subcortical infarcts and leukoencephalopathy (CADASIL).68 Further clinical studies and gathering of real-world data are warranted.

Gepants are not assessed in pregnant women, or if their metabolites are found in breastmilk. CGRP has reported to play a role in vascular adaption during pregnancy and in utero-placental functions.69 Both CGRP-targeting mAbs and gepants are not recommended for patients who are pregnant or who are planning to become pregnant,70 With its shorter half-life, gepant can be washed out quicker than CGRP mAbs in case of urgent need.
Utilizing gepants in combination with other migraine treatments is a growing and expanding debate. Atogepant is metabolized in the liver by P-gp and CYP3A4.\textsuperscript{71} Coadministration of atogepant with potent CYP3A4 inducers and inhibitors can result in significant increase and decrease in atogepant concentration, respectively.\textsuperscript{72} Dosage adjustments of atogepant are recommended when concurrently taken with potent CYP3A4 inhibitors (eg, itraconazole, ketoconazole, clarithromycin) or potent or moderate CYP3A4 inducers (eg, carbamazepine, phenytoin, rifampin, St. John’s wort).\textsuperscript{73} Atogepant was found to have no drug-drug interactions with NSAIDS, acetaminophen, triptans, and oral contraceptives.\textsuperscript{74,75} A phase I study assessing ubrogepant with erenumab or galcanezumab reported no safety concerns or changes in the pharmacokinetics of ubrogepant.\textsuperscript{76}

An open-label study (NCT03266588) assessing the acute treatment of rimegepant reported 13 patients who were using a CGRP-targeted mAb concurrently (erenumab n = 7, galcanezumab n = 2, and fremanezumab n = 4). Of this subgroup, 3 patients reported AEs that were considered potentially treatment-related; however, there were no reported serious AEs or treatment discontinuation.\textsuperscript{77} Another open-label, longitudinal treatment study also reported no increase in AEs when gepants were combined with CGRP mAbs.\textsuperscript{78} The mechanism of synergism between gepant and CGRP-targeted mAb is not certain. It is speculated that gepants, due to their smaller physical size, higher inherent membrane permeability, and differential receptor kinetics than mAbs, may produce a complementary functional inhibition of CGRP signaling.\textsuperscript{77} Moreover, the interaction between atogepant and ubrogepant is unknown; the clinical benefit of dual gepant therapy (for both acute and preventive treatment) is to be determined.

Concurrent use of CGRP-targeted mAbs and onabotulinumtoxinA has been studied and shown efficacy in reducing MHDs without an increase in AEs.\textsuperscript{79} While there have yet to be any published findings of concurrent gepant and onabotulinumtoxinA efficacy, a study assessing atogepant and onabotulinumtoxinA has been conducted on rats. Rats were given scalp injections of onabotulinumtoxinA, followed by an infusion of atogepant 7 days later. Activity of central trigeminovascular neurons in the dorsal horn were monitored, for response to peripheral stimulation and CSD. A decrease in cortical spreading of depression-induced activation was reported.\textsuperscript{80} OnabotulinumtoxinA/atogepant pretreatment prevented CSD-induced activation and sensitization in both high-threshold (80% to 10%, 80% to 0%, respectively) and wide-dynamic range neurons (70% to 0%, 60% to 5%).\textsuperscript{80} More clinical study is still needed.

**Conclusion**

CGRP has been established to play a significant role in the pathophysiology of migraine. CGRP-targeted mAbs and gepants are two drug classes developed to inhibit the function of CGRP. Atogepant is a second-generation gepant that recently obtained FDA approval to prevent EM. Phase II and III RCTs have demonstrated atogepant as an effective preventive migraine agent. Although studies have shown long-term tolerability, real-world data is warranted. CGRP-targeted mAbs have demonstrated success in migraine prevention in sub-populations, such as CM and MOH, and improved efficacy when used concurrently with onabotulinumtoxinA. Animal models have suggested that atogepants will be successful in the subgroups. However, more clinical studies are warranted.
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