Technological Advancements in External Beam Radiation Therapy (EBRT): An Indispensable Tool for Cancer Treatment
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Abstract: Recent technological advancements have increased the efficacy of radiotherapy, leading to effective management of cancer patients with enhanced patient survival and improved quality of life. Several important developments like multileaf collimator, integration of imaging techniques like positron emission tomography (PET) and computed tomography (CT), involvement of advanced dose calculation algorithms, and delivery techniques have increased tumor dose distribution and decreased normal tissue toxicity. Three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy (3D-CRT), intensity-modulated radiotherapy (IMRT), stereotactic radiotherapy, image-guided radiotherapy (IGRT), and particle therapy have facilitated the planning procedures, accurate tumor delineation, and dose estimation for effective personalized treatment. In this review, we present the technological advancements in various types of EBRT methods and discuss their clinical utility and associated limitations. We also reveal novel approaches of using biocompatible yttrium oxide scintillator-photosensitizer complex (YSM) that can generate X-ray induced cytotoxic reactive oxygen species, facilitating X-ray activated photodynamic therapy (XPDT (external beam) and/or iXPDT (internal X-ray source)) and azido-derivatives of 2-deoxy-D-glucose (2-DG) as agents for site-specific radiation-induced DNA damage.
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Introduction

Radiation therapy (RT) is the widely used therapeutic modality for cancer treatment and is used either alone or in combination with other conventional modalities such as surgery, chemotherapy, immunotherapy etc.¹,² However, RT causes collateral damage to normal tissues/organs, compromising their functioning, thereby leading to multi-organ dysfunction and death.³,⁴ Patients with advanced tumors such as glioblastoma multiforme, pancreatic, and lung cancers are very difficult to treat and have very low survival rate.⁵ Recent technological advances have enabled the effectiveness of RT by achieving a higher degree of local tumor control and enhanced patient survival.⁶-⁷ RT is mainly divided into, external beam RT (EBRT), internal beam RT (IBRT) or brachytherapy, and systemic radioisotope therapy, based on the location of the radiation source.

External Beam Radiation Therapy (EBRT)

EBRT is a broad yet effective form of cancer treatment that is used in cases where there is more advanced local disease.⁸,⁹ EBRT induces DNA damage, cell cycle arrest, cytogenetic damage, apoptosis, and senescence in cancer cells, thereby blocking the ability of cancer cells to proliferate further and undergo death.⁶ While normal cells receive some radiation damage when radiation is used to treat cancer cells, normal cells are usually able to repair themselves faster than cancer cells.¹⁰ This results in the killing of cancer cells with minimized damage to normal cells. The main types of radiation used to treat cancer are widely used photon radiation (X-rays and gamma-rays) and electron beams, which have low penetration power and are useful in treating tumors close to a body surface. Particle radiation, such as proton and neutron beams, is very effective in treating deep-seated tumors one of the newer forms of particle beam radiation, has allowed for better dose distribution in deep-
seated tumors. The relative biological effectiveness (RBE) of radiation refers to the effectiveness of its cell killing (RBE). This effectiveness is dependent upon LET, fractionation rate, total dose, and radio-sensitivity of the targeted tissues and cells. Radiation with low LET (X-rays and gamma-rays) results in relatively small amounts of energy being deposited. Alternatively, radiation with high LET (neutrons and protons) results in higher energy deposits in the targeted areas. The indirect DNA damage that results from radiation is due to ionization or excitation of the cell’s water components. Most of the cell killing in cancer and normal cells results from double-strand DNA breaks, which are irreparable. While single-strand DNA breaks exist, these are less responsible for cell deaths. The main goal of radiation therapy is to deprive cancer cells of their replication potential as well as to eventually kill the cancer cells. Once a cancer cells DNA has been damaged beyond repair, the cells stop dividing and die. There is potential to improve radiation therapy via identification of the importance of radiation-induced cell death and of the further mechanisms that are involved.

EBRT includes 3-dimensional conformal radiotherapy, intensity-modulated radiotherapy, stereotactic radiotherapy, image-guided radiotherapy, volumetric modulated arc radiotherapy, proton and heavy ion beam therapy, etc, with each individual modality having a specific advancements and adaptation for the tumor type and spatio-temporal dynamics. The technological advancements have been significantly demonstrated in the area of computer-based imaging and analysis algorithms, dose calculation methods, and delivery techniques, providing increased tumor dose distribution, better delineation, and decreased normal tissue irradiation. In the present review we discuss the various types of technological advancements in EBRT techniques used for treating different types of cancers to achieve therapeutic gain; besides discussing their associated advantages and disadvantages (Table 1).

### 3 Dimensional Conformal Radiotherapy (3DCRT)

3D Conformal radiotherapy is a form of EBRT that uses 3D treatment planning, multiple cross-firing with carefully shaped fixed fields is 3D conformal radiotherapy (3DCRT). 3DCRT is an improvement on 2D imaging as it uses CT imaging instead of X-ray imaging, allowing accurate tumor localization and showing the critical normal organ structures in the imaging, facilitating the optimal beam placement and shielding to be used. The widest use of 3DCRT for the treatment of brain tumors, breast cancer, gastrointestinal cancer, lung cancer and gynecologic malignancies etc. 3DCRT and intensity-modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) has been demonstrated to improve short-term response rate, reduce mouth dryness and parotid gland injury, thereby enhancing the quality of life, and promote the prognosis of patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Contrarily, patients with endometrial cancer showed higher incidence of GI-toxicities post 3DCRT treatment compared to IMRT-treated patients. Thus, there are some difficulties associated with 3DCRT related to performing correct quality procedures, positioning, imaging, contouring, dosimetry, follow-up, and dose delivery.

### Intensity Modulated Radiotherapy (IMRT)

IMRT has a unique feature of regulating the intensity of each beam in order to determine the target and surrounding organs shape through computer-based optimization algorithm assistance. Multiple beams for radiation are used in order to allow for multiple areas of varying radiation intensity. IMRT has been successfully used in head and neck, prostate, breast, lung, brain, gynecologic, and GI cancers, with minimal toxicities to the adjacent tissues/ organs compared to the target volume. Recent clinical data in whole breast irradiation, partial breast irradiation, and ultra-hypofractionated treatment of brain tumors, breast cancer, gastrointestinal cancer, lung cancer and gynecologic malignancies etc, with each individual modality having a specific advancements and adaptation for the tumor type and spatio-temporal dynamics. The technological advancements have been significantly demonstrated in the area of computer-based imaging and analysis algorithms, dose calculation methods, and delivery techniques, providing increased tumor dose distribution, better delineation, and decreased normal tissue irradiation. In the present review we discuss the various types of technological advancements in EBRT techniques used for treating different types of cancers to achieve therapeutic gain; besides discussing their associated advantages and disadvantages (Table 1).

3 Dimensional Conformal Radiotherapy (3DCRT)

3D Conformal radiotherapy is a form of EBRT that uses 3D treatment planning, multiple cross-firing with carefully shaped fixed fields is 3D conformal radiotherapy (3DCRT). 3DCRT is an improvement on 2D imaging as it uses CT imaging instead of X-ray imaging, allowing accurate tumor localization and showing the critical normal organ structures in the imaging, facilitating the optimal beam placement and shielding to be used. The widest use of 3DCRT for the treatment of brain tumors, breast cancer, gastrointestinal cancer, lung cancer and gynecologic malignancies etc. 3DCRT and intensity-modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) has been demonstrated to improve short-term response rate, reduce mouth dryness and parotid gland injury, thereby enhancing the quality of life, and promote the prognosis of patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Contrarily, patients with endometrial cancer showed higher incidence of GI-toxicities post 3DCRT treatment compared to IMRT-treated patients. Thus, there are some difficulties associated with 3DCRT related to performing correct quality procedures, positioning, imaging, contouring, dosimetry, follow-up, and dose delivery.

### Intensity Modulated Radiotherapy (IMRT)

IMRT has a unique feature of regulating the intensity of each beam in order to determine the target and surrounding organs shape through computer-based optimization algorithm assistance. Multiple beams for radiation are used in order to allow for multiple areas of varying radiation intensity. IMRT has been successfully used in head and neck, prostate, breast, lung, brain, gynecologic, and GI cancers, with minimal toxicities to the adjacent tissues/ organs compared to the target volume. Recent clinical data in whole breast irradiation, partial breast irradiation, and ultra-hypofractionated treatment of brain tumors, breast cancer, gastrointestinal cancer, lung cancer and gynecologic malignancies etc, with each individual modality having a specific advancements and adaptation for the tumor type and spatio-temporal dynamics. The technological advancements have been significantly demonstrated in the area of computer-based imaging and analysis algorithms, dose calculation methods, and delivery techniques, providing increased tumor dose distribution, better delineation, and decreased normal tissue irradiation. In the present review we discuss the various types of technological advancements in EBRT techniques used for treating different types of cancers to achieve therapeutic gain; besides discussing their associated advantages and disadvantages (Table 1).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>External Beam Radiation Therapy (EBRT) Technology</th>
<th>Types of Cancer Treated</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>3 dimensional conformal radiotherapy (3DCRT)</td>
<td>Brain tumors, breast cancer, gastrointestinal (GI) cancer, lung cancer and gynecologic malignancies.</td>
<td>Improve short-term response rate, reduce mouth dryness and parotid gland injury, and promote the prognosis of patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma.</td>
<td>Shows higher gastrointestinal toxicities in patients with endometrial cancers. Difficult to perform correct quality procedures, positioning, imaging, contouring, dosimetry, follow-up, and dose delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Intensity modulated radiotherapy (IMRT)</td>
<td>Head and neck, prostate, breast, lung, brain, gynecologic, and GI cancers.</td>
<td>Provides high conformity and high precision.</td>
<td>IMRT is prone to geometrical errors, due to higher dose conformity indices.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Volumetric modulated arc therapy (VMAT)</td>
<td>Head and neck, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), prostate, gastrointestinal, gynecological, thoracic, central nervous system, and breast tumors</td>
<td>Provides a full 360° of beam directions with the entire dose volume delivered in a single rotation. VMAT treatment shows a lower risk of OAR irradiation and has better homogeneity compared to IMRT. Significant role in uncomfortable immobilization.</td>
<td>Increase in the low dose radiation to the surrounding tissues and organs, with a greater chance of having secondary malignancies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Image guided radiotherapy (IGRT)</td>
<td>Prostate, lung and head and neck cancers</td>
<td>Significant reduction in set-up margins resulting in reduced toxicities in sites with demonstrable, quantifiable, and correctable inter- and/ or intra-fractional motion</td>
<td>Uncertainties in target volume delineation, image quality, longer acquisition times, high intra-fractional errors, and extra-dose delivery during daily imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT)</td>
<td>Prostate, head and neck, spinal, renal, oligo metastases, and pancreatic</td>
<td>Provides high doses of radiation to the tumor and has low risk of postoperative risk and death.</td>
<td>Post treatment side-effects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Particle therapy (Proton Neutron Carbon)</td>
<td>Stage II–III NSCLC, prostate carcinoma, chordoma and hepatocellular carcinoma etc.</td>
<td>Particle radiation has a higher biological effectiveness and is very effective in radio-resistant cancers.</td>
<td>The production of particle radiation therapy is much more expensive than the production of photons, and has more logistical requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Photodynamic therapy (PDT)</td>
<td>Esophageal, non-small cell lung cancer and Barrett’s esophagus patients</td>
<td>PDT specifically accumulates into tumors and uses intense non-thermal visible light source.</td>
<td>Success of PDT is limited by uptake and localization of the photosensitizer, the method of light delivery, spatio-temporal organization and location of tumors, singlet and triplet quantum yields, and associated side-effects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) has enabled the in-homogenous dose distribution (dose painting). Automation in patient positioning and dosimetry QA procedures have enabled accurate dose delivery.

**Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy (VMAT)**
VMAT provides a full 360° of beam directions with the entire dose volume delivered in a single rotation by regulating dose-rate and multileaf collimator (MLC). The MLC requires regulation because they affect treatment time through increasing the number of beam directions and increasing the monitor units (MU). This rotational method results in differing dose distributions to different parts of the tumor. VMAT is better at sparing organ risk than is IMRT due to this differing dose distribution, which results in lower dosages to the surrounding tissue. VMAT also has a lower risk of secondary malignancy than does IMRT due to its use of fewer MU. Still, this lower risk may be counteracted by the increase in the normal tissue volume that receives low-dose wash. VMAT treatment shows a lower risk of OAR irradiation and has better homogeneity compared to IMRT, thus playing a significant role in uncomfortable immobilization, such as head and neck cancers. VMAT with simultaneous integrated boost (SIB) technique has been shown to achieve high local tumor control and increased survival and well-tolerated toxicities in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients who are not fit for standard definitive therapy. Further, VMAT has been utilized in planning and clinical outcome studies in tumors like prostate, gastrointestinal, gynecological, head and neck thoracic, central nervous system, and breast tumors. The potential risk associated with VMAT is the increase in the low dose radiation to the surrounding tissues and organs, with a greater chance of having secondary malignancies. Therefore, patient follow-up for a longer period is recommended to estimate the chances of having secondary malignancies.

**Image Guided Radiotherapy (IGRT)**
IGRT is the direct integration of on-board imaging and the recent development of the MR-Linac. The technique has increased awareness of the geometrical uncertainty of treatment due to organ motion, treatment response, and patient setup. It has been made clear that efficient processing of image information is essential for radiotherapy. Any imprecision in patient positioning and anatomic alterations can be corrected promptly during IGRT. IGRT has been widely used for prostate, lung and head and neck cancers and allows significant reduction in set-up margins resulting in reduced toxicities in sites with demonstrable, quantifiable, and correctable inter- and/ or intra-fraction motion (lung, prostate, and head and neck cancer). The pitfalls associated with IGRT include uncertainties in target volume delineation, image quality, longer acquisition times, high intra-fractional errors, and extra-dose delivery during daily imaging. Adaptive radiotherapy overcomes these limitations, where changes are made to the original radiation treatment plan during the course of hypofractionated radiotherapy based on changes in anatomical and tumor features/ biology.

**Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy (SBRT)**
SBRT is a new EBRT technique that is made possible by improved radiation accuracy and improved therapeutic ratios for many tumor sites. This technique delivers precise and very high individual doses of radiation by focusing multiple radiation beams on the target form different directions. This delivery occurs over a few treatment fractions to remove primary and oligometastatic tumors that are small, well-defined, and found at different locations in the body. The high radiation dose results in a likelihood of damage to any tissue immediately adjacent to the target. Although this likelihood exists, the small and non-eloquent amount of normal tissue makes clinically significant toxicity low. SBRT can be used in many types of tumors, including prostate, head and neck, spinal, renal, oligo metastases, and pancreatic. This technique attempts to take advantage of the potentially low alpha/beta of prostate cancer. The technique attempts to do this by delivering greater doses per fraction to the prostate. In whole-gland boost therapy, SBRT is used as a method of delivering a boost of radiation to the prostate. This is accomplished after patients with intermediate and high risk disease undergo more regional radiotherapy investigation. SBRT has also been researched as a way of focally escalating the dose delivered to the dominant intra-prostatic lesion. Furthermore, the technique has been shown to be effective in the treatment of early non-small cell lung cancer for patients that were unfit for surgery. However, several disadvantages of SBRT in NSLC patients are radiation pneumonitis, skin toxicity, odynophagia, rib fracture pain, and nerve injury.
Particle Therapy

Particle radiation like proton beams, one of the newer forms of particle beam radiation, has allowed for better dose distribution in deep-seated tumors, due to Bragg’s peak (a unique absorption profile in tissues). Bragg’s peak allows for deposition of maximum destructive energy at the site of the tumor while also minimizing the damage done to healthy tissues along the beam’s path when using proton beams. This makes proton beams special in clinical use when applied to pediatric and adult tumors near critical structures, including the spinal cord and skull base tumors. Proton irradiation has achieved a good local tumor control with minimal normal tissue toxicity in patients for stage II–III NSCLC, prostate carcinoma, chordoma and hepatocellular carcinoma. Proton beams are critical here because maximal normal tissue sparing is observed. The planning for proton beam therapy includes patient immobilization, imaging, and modeling of the planned dose distributions. Another type of beam used are neutron beams, high LET (linear energy transfer) beams that can cause more DNA damage than photons. Boron neutron capture therapy (BNCT) utilizes boron-10 target drug that accumulates preferentially into the tumors and post neutron irradiation yields lithium-7 and an alpha particle, killing cancer cells specifically and sparing the normal tissue. BNCT has been clinically used in glioblastoma multiforme, meningioma, head and neck cancers, lung cancer, breast cancers, hepatocellular carcinoma, and pediatric cancers etc. Carbon ion radio-therapy (CIRT), has very high radiobiological effect compared to proton and neutron therapy, and is limited to very few centers worldwide. CIRT has been implicated in variety of cancers such as intracranial, head and neck, gastrointestinal tract, prostate, and genitourinary cancers etc. CIRT has shown a potential benefit in combination with immunotherapy, due to high anti-tumor immunogenic response elicited by the combinatorial treatment compared to either of the treatment alone. There are limitations associated with CIRT linked to precise dose calculation, treatment proficiency, and to the treatment positions available. Particle radiation has a higher biological effectiveness and is very effective in radio-resistant cancers, including sarcomas, renal cell carcinomas, melanomas, and glioblastoma. While particle radiation may be more effective, it has innate limitations: the production of particle radiation therapy is much more expensive than the production of photons, and has more logistical requirements. Also several advancements in beam production systems (accelerators), beam transport systems including gantries and beam delivery systems are underway to overcome the above mentioned limitations, thereby accelerating the widespread use of particle therapy in developed and developing countries.

Photodynamic Therapy (PDT)

PDT is a minimally invasive procedure, used for treating various types of cancers such as leukemia, carcinomas, and precancerous lesions. PDT utilizes photosensitizing drugs that accumulate selectively into the tumor tissue, and are activated by exposure to intense non-thermal (visible) light sources of a specific wavelength. The interaction of photosensitizers with light, elicits a photodynamic reaction mediated by highly reactive singlet oxygen species leading to macromolecular damage (DNA, RNA, lipids, and proteins) and cancer cell death. The anti-cancer efficacy of photosensitizers like hematoporphyrin is well established for the treatment of a variety of hematological malignancies and solid tumors, including its utility in fluorescence-based cancer diagnostics. The hematoporphyrin derivative, Photofrin® (porfimer sodium) is approved by the US-FDA for treatment of esophageal, non-small cell lung cancer and Barrett's esophagus patients. Photosensitizers like 1,5-aminolevulinic acid (ALA), methyl aminolevulinate (MAL), hexaminolevulinate (HAL), etc has received FDA approval for PDT applications. However, non-porphyrin photosensitizers like anthraquinonoid and curcuminoid are still under consideration for approval and clinical testing. The PDT uses laser and non-laser light sources for activation of photosensitizers, which can be directed through fiber optics to deliver light to the tumor tissue inside the body via fiber optic devices like cylindrical fibers, balloon catheters, and lens fiber optics. Photofrin is activated through fiber optics attached to a 630-nm emitting laser, Visudyne (QLT) by a 690-nm emitting laser and 5-ALA by Blue U (a non-laser light source). Light–emitting diodes (LEDs) are used to treat surface tumors like melanomas. Further, the anti-cancer efficacy of PDT is determined by several factors determine the success of PDT such as the uptake and localization of the photosensitizer, the method of light delivery, spatio-temporal organization and location of tumors, singlet and triplet quantum yields, and associated side-effects. However, the success of PDT, is limited and considerable efforts are being made to enhance yield singlets and triplets by photosensitizer and light delivery systems are underway. Recently, we characterized nano-scintillators emitting light that activates paired photosensitizers in photodynamic therapy (PDT) that improves the prowess of PDT in deep-seated tumor treatment.
Yttrium oxide nanoparticle construct doped with Europium (YOE) and coated with a silica layer entrapping methylene blue (MB) as the photosensitizer was fabricated. The nanoconstruct (YSM, for YOE, silica, MB) was decorated with polyethylene glycol (PEG) to make it biocompatible and characterized extensively for physio-chemical parameters. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) revealed a resultant average nanoparticle diameter of 24.1 ± 5.7 nm, and dynamic light scattering (DLS) a hydrodynamic diameter of 135.3 ± 17.9 nm. This nanoconstruct was able to generate singlet oxygen-reactive oxygen species upon irradiation, and induced cytotoxicity in pancreatic cancer cells (Panc02 cells) post-irradiation (8 Gy). Since 8 Gy is within the range of a clinically applicable dose used routinely in stereotactic radiotherapy regimens, our results verified the potential of YSM to be used as scintillator-photosensitizer complexes for x-ray activated photodynamic therapy. Finally, the biodistribution of YSM nanoconstructs in vivo in C57BL6 mice harboring subcutaneous Panc02 tumors showed YSM accumulation in tumors. A versatile, biocompatible Yttrium oxide scintillator-photosensitizer complex (YSM) that can generate x-ray induced cytotoxic reactive oxygen species, facilitating x-ray activated photodynamic therapy (XPDT (external beam) and/or iXPDT (internal x-ray source)). Thus, use of YSM nano-construct appears to be a potential technological advancement in PDT, thereby enhancing efficacy and overall survival in cancer patients.

A Novel Approach for Enhancing the Efficacy of EBRT

Recently, the National Cancer Institute (NCI), USA, has initiated the program encouraging development of technology in combinatorial treatment, using external radiation (X-rays or particle beam used for radiotherapy) for local drug activation or release systemically or intra-tumoral delivered therapeutics, including high-atomic number elements that emit auger electrons. This combinatorial approach would, deliver safe doses of external radiation dose to locally activate or release the therapeutic agent, and prevent adverse toxicities in the normal tissue. Such a strategy could extend the range of PDT to deep-seated tumors that are currently intractable with existing PDT. Several studies demonstrated that X-ray exposure triggers the release of singlet oxygen that disintegrates the liposome carrier and releases the gold nanoparticle and verteporfin cargo. Several other radiosensitive polymeric carriers have been reported for sustained release of chemotherapeutics. Recently, polymer poly (ε-caprolactone-b-ethylene glycol) (PCL-PEO) micellar system with Chlorin e6 (Ce6) photosensitizer and chemotherapeutic drug, showed that there is a sustained release of Doxorubicin (Dox), triggered by ionizing radiation-induced activation of Ce6 which destroys the micelle and releases DOX. Interestingly, in this study the sustained release was not observed with other chemotherapeutic drugs such as paclitaxel (PTX) and docetaxel (DTX), suggesting detailed understanding of the mechanism of radiation-induced drug release from the PCL-PEO is warranted.

Our recent work on azido-DNA-model systems showed that radiation-produced electrons can be converted to highly damaging aminyl radicals (RNH•) which do have the potential to cause strand breaks. Experimental studies in our labs (both in vitro and in vivo) have shown that 2-deoxy-D-glucose (2-DG), a glucose mimic and glycolytic inhibitor, enhances radiation-induced damage selectively in tumor cells while protecting normal cells and against infection. Thereby suggesting that 2-DG can be used as a differential radiomodifier to improve the efficacy of cancer radiotherapy. Based on these experimental results and data mining models, we show that the azido derivative of 2DG; 2-Azido-2-deoxy-D-glucose (2-AZ-2-DG) and other derivatives have unique azido chemistries. The neutral aminyl radical produced from 2-AZ-2-DG under a reductive environment undergoes facile conformational change from the stable chair form to the reactive boat form. Subsequently, this aminyl radical causes H-atom abstraction from C5 of the sugar moiety via a proton-coupled electron transfer process. Further, a promise as multivalent drug to augment multipronged cellular damage including site-specific DNA radiation damage, and also counter infections persisting in several cancer cases. Our long range goals are to harness these unique chemistries in gold based nanotools viz: IRaGAZ (Ionizing radiation + Gold nanoparticles + Azido-2-DG) for X-PDT (X-ray induced photodynamic therapy) (Scheme 1).

Conclusion

In conclusion, EBRT has technologically improved significantly, related to the in-depth planning and treatment, with main focus of each method to image and delineate accurate tumor volume, irradiate maximum target volume, sparing the surrounding normal tissue. Image-guided radiotherapy, stereotactic radiotherapy, and particle therapy which involve the combination of CT, PET have revolutionized the treatment strategies, leading to enhanced patient survival and therapeutic gain. Recently single dose ultrahigh dose rate irradiation (> 40 Gy per second) called FASH radiotherapy has
been introduced that is purported to spare the normal tissue from toxicity without compromising tumor response thereby achieving an enhanced therapeutic gain. Although the underlying biological mechanisms have not been completely elucidated, induction of hypoxia in the normal tissue and a modified immune response has been suggested to contribute to the normal tissue sparing and overall FLASH effect.\textsuperscript{55} In addition adaptive radiation therapy viz. modifying treatment plan during the course of the treatment, and radiomics that uses advanced mathematical analysis to enhance the existing data for clinicians is becoming popular in clinical practice.\textsuperscript{53,54} Involvement of advanced robotics and artificial intelligence will enhance the utilization of EBRT for effective management of refractory deep seated tumors.
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Scheme 1 IRaGAZ (Ionizing radiation + Gold nanoparticles + Azido-2-DG).

Note: A novel three stage nanotechnology- chemo-radiation combinational approach in enhancing therapeutic efficacy.


