The Potential Role of Small Nucleolar RNAs in Cancers – An Evidence Map
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Purpose: Cancer seriously endangers human health in every country of the world. New evidence shows that small nucleolar RNAs play important roles in tumorigenesis. Herein, we created this evidence map to systematically assess the impact of dysregulated snoRNAs on cancers.

Methods: We searched four databases to February 2022 using the keywords, “carcinoma”, “neoplasms”, “tumor”, “cancer”, “snoRNA”, and “small nucleolar rna”. The research data were independently screened by two reviewers. Bubble plot, mind map, heatmap were used to depict the relationship between snoRNAs and cancers.

Results: In total, 102 studies met the inclusion criteria and were analyzed in this evidence map. In this study, we found that dysregulated snoRNAs were statistically associated with the clinicopathological characteristics of cancer patients, and affected tumor cell phenotypes. Abnormally expressed snoRNAs were associated with poor survival in cancer patients. Current research confirmed that snoRNAs have good diagnostic efficiency for cancers. snoRNAs could modulate biological processes and signaling pathways of different cancer cells by altering rRNA, regulating mRNA, and recruiting protein factors.

Conclusion: Taken all together, ectopic snoRNAs may serve as new biomarkers for clinical assessment, diagnostic, prognostic prediction of cancer patients, and provide a potential therapeutic strategy for cancer treatment. This article provided a visual analysis of existing evidence on snoRNAs and cancers, which can offer useful information for different researchers interested in snoRNAs.
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Introduction

Cancer seriously endangers human health in every country of the world.1 In 2020, estimates showed that 19.3 million new cases emerged and cancer death toll had surpassed 10.0 million globally.2 Many genetic changes and non-genetic alterations are required for cancer genesis and progression, which is a dynamic and complex process. In addition to protein-coding genes, next-generation sequencing techniques give indications that noncoding RNAs (ncRNA) disorders play a crucial role in cancer-related signaling pathways. Therefore, ncRNAs have triggered broader interest in molecular mechanism research of cancers.

Small nucleolar RNAs (snoRNAs), a class of highly conserved ncRNAs of 60–300 nucleotides, have been ranked as one of the most ancient RNAs.3 snoRNAs are further divided into two major classes on account of their defined sequence motifs, consisting of C/D box snoRNAs (SNORDs) and H/ACA box snoRNAs (SNORAs). SNORDs, carrying highly conserved C box (RUGAUGA, R = A or G) and D box and less conserved C’ and D’ box, are bound by four core proteins termed 2’-O-methyltransferase fibrillarin (FBL), nucleolar protein 56 (NOP56), nucleolar protein 58(NOP58), and small nuclear ribonucleoprotein 13 (SNU13) and packaged into C/D box small nucleolar ribonucleoproteins
(snoRNPs) which direct 2’-O-methylation of target RNAs. Just like the C/D box snoRNAs, another four core proteins including nucleolar protein 10 (NOP10), NHP2 ribonucleoprotein (NHP2), GAR1 ribonucleoprotein (GAR1), and a dyskerin pseudouridine synthase 1 (DKC1) bind to the conserved H (ANANNA, N = any NT) and ACA triplet boxes of SNORAs to form H/ACA box small nucleolar ribonucleoproteins that guide pseudouridylation of ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs) and small nuclear RNAs. Besides, there are some unusual snoRNAs, namely small Cajal body-specific RNAs with both box C/D, and/or H/ACA, extremely short single-domain box C/D RNAs, snoRNA-related long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs), microRNAs (miRNAs)-like ncRNAs derived from small nucleolar RNAs, and snoRNA-derived and C (C’)/D’ (D)-box conserved PIWI interacting RNAs (piRNAs). sno-lncRNAs, sno-miRNAs, and snoRNA-derived piRNAs are functionally distinct from canonical snoRNAs.

Ninety percent of snoRNAs distribute in intronic regions of mRNA without their own promoters and the rest of snoRNAs are independently transcribed genes possessing their own promoters. The expression of snoRNAs can be regulated by genetic changes, such as genomic amplification, chromosome deletion, translocation, and mutation. In addition, Guerrieri et al. found that SNORA67 expression is upregulated by DKCI (a H/ACA box core protein) overexpression. Kim et al. reported that Prx1, a major scavenger of reactive oxygen species in cells, post-transcriptionally regulates a set of snoRNAs expression by stabilizing snoRNAs. These observations demonstrated that some genes processing snoRNAs, such as DKCI and post-transcriptional modification also can lead to ectopic expression of several snoRNAs. The primary role of snoRNAs is to direct the posttranscriptional modification of target RNAs, including ribosomal RNAs, small nuclear RNAs, transfer RNAs, and probably other cellular RNAs, which has been well described. In recent years, in addition to the above-mentioned classical role of snoRNAs, emerging studies have exposed their potential novel functions, such as regulation of mRNA splicing, suppressants of oxidative stress, regulators of systemic glucose metabolism chromatin structure, and precursors of miRNAs and piRNAs.

For many years, as the most well-established ncRNA, snoRNAs were thought to be housekeeping genes or transcriptional noise. However, with the flying progress of molecular biology, our understanding of snoRNAs grows. Up to date, there are five snoRNAs database: snoDB, SNORic, snoRNAbase, snoRNA Atlas, and snOPY, and more than 2000 snoRNAs have been annotated in humans according to the snoDB database. Furthermore, it has been reported that increasingly snoRNAs are involved in pathophysiological processes of various tumors. Currently, there is an increasingly large literature focusing on the association between snoRNAs dysregulation and cancer occurrence and development.

However, no systematic research of a very broad area covering many topics on snoRNAs in cancers has been carried out so far. Therefore, we conducted this evidence map to provide an expansive overview of extant research in regard to snoRNAs affecting oncogenesis.

**Materials and Methods**

**Literature Search**

A comprehensive search was performed in PubMed, Embase, the Web of Science, and Cochrane from database inception to February 2022 for studies published in English. In addition, in order to further determine other eligible papers, we manually searched the reference list of articles that may meet the conditions. The applied search terms include (“carcinoma” OR “neoplasms” OR “tumor” OR “cancer”) AND (“snoRNA” OR “small nucleolar rna”).

**Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria**

We included researches on the relationship between snoRNAs and malignant neoplasms, including but not limited to early diagnosis of malignant neoplasms, prognostic markers, drug resistance, and tumorigenesis mechanisms. Meta-analysis and systematic reviews that assess the association of snoRNAs with neoplasms are also included, if any. Studies that met the following criteria were excluded: 1. Non-malignant tumor research; 2. Bioinformatics studies without subsequent validation; 3. non-English studies; 4. non-snoRNA research; 5. Review.
Data Extraction

Publications identified in the comprehensive search were sent into Endnote X9 and screened on title and abstract for qualification. The research data were independently screened by two reviewers (W-FQ and Z-LG) according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Disagreements between the two investigators were settled by group discussion with a third researcher (T-JH). Microsoft Excel 2019 was utilized to set up a “literature information extraction table” for the extracted research information.

The extracted items mainly included the following: 1. basic characteristics: research title, author, country, publication period; 2. research characteristic: cancer types, snoRNA types, expression levels, prognostic indicators (eg, OS, overall survival, DFS/RFS, disease-free survival/recurrence-free survival), diagnostic indicators (eg, area under a curve, sensitivity, specificity), cell gene function research (eg, proliferation, migration, invasion, cell cycle, apoptosis, self-renewal, colony formation, epithelial-mesenchymal transition), clinicopathological characteristics, signal pathway and so on.

Data Analysis and Charting

We analyzed the basic characteristics of the literatures and presented the results as medians, percent, or other descriptive statistics as applicable. We used three bubble plots to present (a) the number of included studies by continent (X-axis: Continents, Y-axis: cancer types, bubble size: number of studies), (b) the number of included studies over a 15-year period (X-axis: year of publications, Y-axis: cancer types, bubble size: number of studies), and (c) the effect of deregulated snoRNAs on the prognosis. A stacked plot was used to analyze and compare the longitudinal trends in the proportion of articles from each continent. A heatmap was utilized to create a visual representation of the relationship between snoRNA types and gene function research. We used two mind maps to describe the relationship between aberrant expression of snoRNAs and (a) cancer cell phenotypes; (b) clinicopathological features.

Results

Literature Research

A total of 5011 studies were obtained from the four databases. Endnote X9 software was used to remove duplicates, and there were 2235 records excluded after removing duplicates. And 2433 articles were excluded after screening titles and abstracts; 2 reports were not retrieved. After further reading the literature, 56 non-malignant tumor studies were excluded, 13 articles were bioinformatics studies without subsequent validation, 7 articles were non-English studies, 20 articles were non-snoRNA research, 84 articles were reviews, and 59 articles were excluded for other reasons. Finally, a total of 102 studies (Table S1) were included, and the literature screening process is shown in Figure 1.

Characteristics of Included Studies

One hundred and two included studies were published in English from 2008 to 2022, the number of new articles per year increased steadily (Figure S1). The map included a total of 20 tumor types, the top 3 tumor types were as follows: (1) breast cancer, (2) hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), (3) non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), as shown in Figure 2. The types of tumors were clustered into four systems: genitourinary system, digestive system, respiratory system, and other systems (Figure S2).

From 2008 to 2016, the research on snoRNAs and malignant tumors was mainly concentrated in Europe and North America. In the past six years, the research center has tilted towards Asia (Figure S3). In North American countries, studies were more inclined towards NSCLC and breast cancer, and scientists in Europe were more interested in leukemias. While in Asian countries, research mainly focused on the digestive system, such as HCC, colorectal cancer, and gastric cancer (Figure S4).

Clinicopathologic Features

Twenty-three studies evaluated the relationship between dysregulation snoRNAs and clinicopathological characteristics. Eight studies included nine snoRNAs found that dysregulated snoRNAs were associated with metastasis and invasion of gastrointestinal tumors, for example: distant metastasis (5 snoRNAs), lymph node metastasis (5 snoRNAs), TNM stage...
(4 snoRNAs), venous invasion (1 snoRNA), lymphatic invasion (1 snoRNA). In HCC, abnormal expression of snoRNAs was mainly related to TNM stage (6 snoRNAs), tumor diameter (4 snoRNAs), capsular invasion (3 snoRNAs). In addition, Wu et al found that HBV infection also affected the expression of SNORD76 in HCC. In pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma, Cui et al reported that the abnormal expression of SNORA23 was mainly associated with venous invasion, intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm and mucinous cystic neoplasm. Besides, one study found that downregulated snoRNA was associated with higher TNM staging in glioblastoma, as shown in Figure 3.

**Cell Gene Function Research**

The results in this category showed the relationship between dysregulated snoRNAs and tumor cell phenotypes, as shown in Figure 4. The most commonly reported gene function research was proliferation (38 snoRNAs), followed by migration (26 snoRNAs), invasion (25 snoRNAs), cell cycle (22 snoRNAs), apoptosis (20 snoRNAs), colony formation (19 snoRNAs), epithelial-mesenchymal transition (7 snoRNAs), and self-renewal (4 snoRNAs). From this heatmap, it can be seen that deregulated snoRNA promotes tumorigenesis mainly by affecting the proliferation, migration and invasion of tumor cells.
We used a mind map to reflect the relationship between snoRNAs and cellular phenotypes in different cancers (Figure S5). The current research primarily focused on the relationship between upregulated snoRNAs and tumors. However, the connection between downregulated snoRNAs and tumors is rare, particularly in the respiratory system.

**snoRNAs Expression and Prognosis**

The association between snoRNAs dysregulation and tumor prognosis is presented in Figure 5. X-axis: prognostic indicators-deregulated snoRNAs. The Y-axis: tumor systems. Bubble’s size: the number of patients included in those studies. Twenty-seven studies examined the relationship between prognosis and ectopic snoRNA in eleven tumor types, and the number of patients in these studies ranged from 40 to 318. In terms of tumor systems, the hotspots of research were mainly in digestive tumors, particularly in HCC. Among the included studies, snoRNAs were mostly upregulated and associated with poor prognosis, the opposite was true for downregulated snoRNAs. OS is the main indicator to evaluate tumor prognosis. Twenty-five studies used overall survival to evaluate the prognosis of tumors and 15 studies used DFS/RFS.

Risk formulas were developed to predict the survival of cancer patients based on the expression of snoRNAs derived from sequencing data and public databases. These risk formulas are useful tools for the prognostic assessment of tumors. However, we observed that most of them had not been verified in clinical cases (Table S2).

**snoRNAs Expression and Diagnosis**

The diagnostic potential of snoRNAs was assessed among eleven articles involving six types of neoplasm. In these studies, the number of snoRNAs ranged from 1 to 16. Seven studies reported the relationship between dysregulation snoRNAs and NSCLC diagnosis. These researches found that detection of snoRNAs in plasma, sputum and tumor tissue displayed high accuracy in the diagnosis of lung cancer. Three studies have found that the expression of snoRNAs in tissues and serum can be used to identify gastrointestinal tumors. Interestingly, Kitagawa group reported that SNORA74A and SNORA25 may be useful noninvasive tools for the early detection of pancreatic cancer.34
genitourinary tumors, two studies assessed the diagnostic ability of eight snoRNAs in plasma, urinary sediment, serum, and tissues for clear cell renal cell carcinoma.

**Signaling Pathways**

snoRNAs can modulate biological processes and signaling pathways of different cancer cells by altering rRNA, regulating mRNA, and recruiting protein factors, as detailed in Figure 6.

**Discussion**

Since 2008, snoRNAs in cancers have gradually garnered research interest. Due to the accumulating volume of literature, we performed this evidence map to provide an expansive overview of extant research concerning snoRNAs in cancers. This evidence map consisted of 102 studies and no systematic review and meta-analysis for snoRNAs are available until now.

Emerging studies suggest that snoRNAs deregulation could play a crucial part in promoting tumorigenesis by modulating the malignant phenotype of tumors. SNORD52 is significantly upregulated in HCC tissues and cells than paracancerous samples and normal human hepatic cells, respectively. SNORD52 knockdown represses the proliferation, invasive, migration and colony formation capability of HCCLM9 and HCCLM3 cells, and induces G2/M arrest and apoptosis. Whereas SNORD52 overexpression promotes the above-mentioned malignant phenotypes of HCC cells. Ectopic expression of snoRNAs also influences cancer initiation and progression correlating closely with stemness maintenance of cancer cells. For instance, SNORA72 has an impact on stemness maintenance of ovarian cancer.
cells and is upregulated in ovarian cancer stem cells.\textsuperscript{29} Besides, SNORA72 knockdown decreases the self-renewal and migration abilities of ovarian cancer cells. These data suggested abnormal snoRNAs might be a potential biomarker for diagnosis, prognosis, and molecularly targeted therapy.

Both bioinformatics analyses and experimental studies have shown that snoRNAs deregulation was an independent prognostic factor of various cancers, comprising solid tumors and leukemias.\textsuperscript{42–45} In experimental studies, high expression of SNORA21 and SNORA42 acts as an independent risk factor for prognosis in colorectal cancer and may serve as potential prognostic biomarkers. Furthermore, several studies developed risk assessment models based on snoRNAs expression to predict overall survival of cancers by next-generation deep sequencing or silico analysis.\textsuperscript{46–48} Gao et al
developed a risk formula identifying SNORA47, SNORA68 and SNORA78 in the training set of 77 NSCLC patients through multivariate Cox regression analysis, and it was validated in the testing set of 49 cases. A study by Zhao et al established a risk assessment model with a six-snoRNA signature from the TCGA database in clear cell renal cell carcinoma and also confirmed it in 64 clinical tissue cases and 50 serum samples achieving higher sensitivity and specificity. However, the majority of risk assessment formulae in silico analysis are not validated in clinical cases. Therefore, more investigations should be undertaken in a large population to identify the prognostic value of snoRNAs.

Numerous studies have shown that many snoRNAs might be novel diagnostic biomarkers for malignant tumors. Aberrant expression of SNORD16, SNORD33, and SNORD76 could be detected in tissues of colon cancer, colorectal cancer and HCC, respectively, and discriminate between normal and neoplastic tissues. As part of them are stable and measurable in body fluids, some snoRNAs could help in the early diagnosis and the classification of subtypes of several tumors. SNORD63 and SNORD96A are stable in plasma and urinary sediment, and also potentially play as noninvasive early biomarkers for clear cell renal cell carcinoma. Compared with normal people, downregulated tumor-educated platelets SNORD55 is significantly related to TNM stage I/II patients with lung adenocarcinoma and lung squamous cell carcinoma, and increased plasma SNORD83A was related to tumor size. Tumor-educated platelets SNORD55 and plasma SNORD83A can distinguish NSCLC patients, even early NSCLC from controls with sufficient specificity and sensitivity. Teittinen et al observed that significant differences in snoRNAs expression can be used to classify various subgroups of leukemia, containing acute myeloid leukemia, acute lymphoblastic leukemias, and Burkitt’s lymphoma/leukemia. Besides, combining multimodal biomarkers, such as multiple snoRNAs or combination snoRNAs and other biomarkers, can improve the diagnostic efficiency of cancers. The combination of SNORD66, SNORD78, and miRNAs in sputum is cooperative for early detection of NSCLC with high sensitivity and specificity. The combination of CEA with plasma SNORD83A and serum SNORD1C offers better predictive value for the diagnosis of early-stage NSCLC and CRC respectively compared with snoRNA or CEA alone. Additionally, coexpression of SNORD66 and SNORD77 can improve the entire sensitivity and specificity for identifying lung cancer.

Although current studies confirmed the diagnostic ability of snoRNAs for cancer, the majority of authors do not mention the true negatives, false negatives, true positives and false positives used in their articles. Therefore, it is not
It is possible to assess the diagnostic value of snoRNAs through meta-analysis and obtain a higher level of evidence to support them.

Recently, a study in China with 712 HCC patients and 801 cancer-free controls reported a significant association of SNORD105 rs2305789 AA genotypes with a high risk of HCC. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that confirms SNP within snoRNAs affecting its expression level and function in cancer. Considerable evidence has shown that non-coding RNA single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) are related to the risk of tumors. However, Gail reported that a model with several SNPs is less accurate in projecting individualized breast cancer risk than the National Cancer Institute’s Breast Cancer Risk Assessment Tool (BCRAT), a traditional method. In addition, how snoRNA SNPs result in tumorigenesis and whether snoRNA SNPs are a cause or consequence of cancer are not properly defined. Therefore, larger and further studies of snoRNA SNPs from different ethnic populations are needed to achieve high discriminatory accuracy and gain as much information as possible about the role of snoRNA SNPs in tumors.

snoRNAs can modulate biological processes and signaling pathways of various cancer cells by modifying rRNA, regulating mRNA, and recruiting protein factors. For example, as oncogenes, SNORD12C, SNORD78, SNORD42A, and SNORA67 direct rRNA methylation and pseudouridylation to promote tumorigenesis in several tumors. In addition, SNORA23 suppresses tumorigenesis by impairing 2'-O-ribose methylation of 28S rRNA.
Mechanistic studies revealed that snoRNAs affect the development of tumors by regulating various pathways. For instance, SNORD50A/B deletion is frequent and correlated with poor prognosis in multiple human malignancies by computational prediction.\textsuperscript{65} Furthermore, they revealed that SNORD50A/B directly binds K-Ras protein and suppresses the Ras-ERK1/2-MAPK pathway. Dong et al demonstrated that the deletion of U50 (SNORD50A) is common in breast cancer and prostate cancer.\textsuperscript{11–13} SNORD50A/B is found to be a tumor suppressor gene in these investigations. SNORD105b advances gastric cancer through activating the ALDOA/C-myc pathway.\textsuperscript{66} snoU2_19 plays as an oncogene in HCC and affects the development of HCC via the regulation of the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway by inhibiting β-catenin translocation.\textsuperscript{67} ACA11 deteriorates the prognosis of HCC by activation of the PI3K/AKT pathway to induce epithelial-mesenchymal transition.\textsuperscript{68} SNORD89\textsuperscript{41} and SNORA72\textsuperscript{29} promote cell stemness and ovarian carcinogenesis by modulating the Notch1-c-Myc pathway. In HCC, SNORD113-1 significantly inhibits HCC cell growth by decreasing the phosphorylation of SMAD2/3 and ERK1/2 in TGF-β pathways and MAPK/ERK.\textsuperscript{69} SNORA71A promotes the progression of NSCLC by upregulating the phosphorylation of MEK and ERK1/2 in the MAPK/ERK signal pathway. Furthermore, SNORA71A acts as a promoter of metastasis chiefly by regulating EMT and downregulated SNORA71A reduces cell proliferation in NSCLC cell lines.\textsuperscript{45} SNORD44 overexpression is associated with activating the caspase-dependent apoptosis pathway which facilitates the apoptosis of glioma cells.\textsuperscript{70} SNORA42 facilitates esophageal squamous carcinoma cell growth and metastasis by protecting DHX9 from being ubiquitinated and enhancing phosphorylation of p65 through the NF-κB pathway.\textsuperscript{71} SNORA42 functions as an oncogene in NSCLC and knockdown of SNORA42 is associated with inhibited tumorigenicity by initiating p53-dependent apoptosis.\textsuperscript{12} In esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, SNORD12B results in accelerated growth by activating the AKT-mTOR-4EBP1 signaling through nucleus partitioning of protein phosphatase 1 catalytic subunit alpha.\textsuperscript{72} Whether as oncogenes or tumor suppressor genes, these studies point to snoRNAs playing vital role in modulating tumor cellular processes and molecular mechanisms.

Due to being targeted both by siRNA and antisense oligonucleotide (ASO), dysregulated snoRNAs could be a potential target for cancer therapy. The siRNA-mediated SNORD16 knockdown resulted in reduced cell growth, proliferation, migration, and invasion of colon cancer cells by inducing cell apoptosis.\textsuperscript{36} In HCC xenograft-transplanted nude mouse tumor models, targeting SNORD52 with ASO resulted in a marked reduction in overall tumor growth and mass.\textsuperscript{37} However, snoRNAs are also involved in many normal physiological functions like ribosome formation, alternative splicing, and regulators of systemic glucose metabolism chromatin structure.\textsuperscript{22} In addition, aberrant expression of snoRNAs not only promote tumorigenesis but also connect to numerous normal physiological function like ribosome formation, and non-tumor benign diseases\textsuperscript{72} such as Prader Willi syndrome,\textsuperscript{74,75} tetralogy of Fallot,\textsuperscript{76} and LPS-mediated liver injury,\textsuperscript{21} etc. Therefore, it will be necessary to find tumor-specific snoRNAs for molecularly targeted therapy.

Deregulated snoRNAs have been implicated to induce treatment resistance in tumors. SNORD3A, SNORA13, SNORA28 involve in doxorubicin resistance in human doxorubicin resistant osteosarcoma cells through modulating multiple genes promoting proliferation, ribosome biogenesis, DNA damaging sensing, and DNA repair.\textsuperscript{77} Abnormal expression of snoRNAs contributing to tamoxifen resistance is identified by deep sequencing.\textsuperscript{78} Cancer stem cells are the main cause of chemotherapeutic resistance. Evidence showed that snoRNAs deregulation has an impact on stemness maintenance of various cancers.\textsuperscript{29,38–41} Thus, combined chemotherapy and snoRNAs targeted by siRNA and ASO regimens might be promising strategies for chemotherapy resistance. We observed the paucity of other therapy resistance like radio-resistance, targeted therapy resistance, and immunotherapy resistance. Therefore, there should be more investigations to explore how ectopic expression of snoRNAs contributes to recurrence, radio-resistance, targeted therapy resistance, and immunotherapy resistance, and the correlation between snoRNAs deregulation and tumor-infiltrating immune cells.

Besides, retrospective analyses of high-quality sequencing data, which were derived from common databases, were carried out to delineate the tumorigenesis or tumor suppressor property and molecular mechanisms of snoRNAs in cancers.\textsuperscript{79–81} These existing silico analyses require further verification in vivo or in vitro experiments. Notably, snoRNAs perform similar functions with other ncRNAs, such as miRNAs and IncRNAs. However, very little research is concerning their crosstalk and regulatory patterns in tumors. Simultaneously, we look forward that novel snoRNA mechanisms will be identified in future investigations.
Conclusion
Since 2008, the number of articles on snoRNAs and oncogenesis has gradually increased. snoRNAs can function as oncogenes or antioncogenes, and can be upregulated or downregulated in tumors. However, most snoRNAs are upregulated because of their low expression under normal conditions. snoRNAs are closely associated with clinicopathological features of cancer patients and the malignant phenotype of cancer cells. snoRNAs can affect tumor development by modifying the cancer-related signaling pathways directly or indirectly. They also can play as potential diagnosis or prognosis biomarkers and may serve as potential new therapeutic targets in cancers. This article provided a visual analysis of existing evidence on snoRNAs and cancers, which can offer useful information for different researchers interested in snoRNAs.
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