Tumor Necrosis Factor-α Gene Polymorphism is Associated with Short- and Long-Term Kidney Allograft Outcomes
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Introduction: Kidney transplantation has excellent short-term results with current immunosuppression regimes, but long-term outcomes have barely improved over the past two decades. Hence, there is a need for new therapeutic options to increase long-term survival of kidney grafts. Drug development for kidney transplantation has slowly plateaued, limiting progress while making drug repurposing an attractive alternative. We, therefore, investigated the impact of tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) gene (TNF) polymorphisms on kidney graft survival after transplantation.

Methods: We performed a prospective cohort study to assess the association of TNF polymorphisms (rs1800629 G>A and rs3093662 A>G) with primary non-function and death-censored kidney allograft survival in 1271 kidney transplant pairs from the University Medical Center Groningen in The Netherlands.

Results: The G-allele of the TNF rs3093662 polymorphism in donor kidneys was associated with a higher risk of immediate graft loss (odds ratio: 2.05; 95%-CI: 1.06–3.97; P = 0.032). Furthermore, the G-allele of this TNF rs3093662 polymorphism in the donor was also associated with worse 5-year, 10-year, and 15-year death-censored kidney graft survival (P < 0.05). The cumulative incidence of graft loss was 15.9% in the reference AA-genotype group and 25.2% in the AG/GG-genotype group, respectively. In multivariable analysis, the association between the TNF rs3093662 polymorphism in the donor and 15-year death-censored kidney graft survival remained significant (hazard ratio: 1.51; 95%-CI: 1.05–2.19, P = 0.028).

Discussion: In conclusion, kidney allografts possessing a high-producing TNF polymorphism have a greater risk of immediate and late graft loss. Our study adds to a growing body of literature indicating the potential of TNF-α blockade in improving kidney transplantation outcomes.
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is low-cost, time-saving, risk-averse, and, therefore, highly efficient.\textsuperscript{5} Recycling existing anti-inflammatory drugs for kidney transplantation, thus, should be considered to improve long-term transplant outcomes.

Cytokines induce and enhance the immune response to combat invading microorganisms, but can also cause severe host injury or disease if the activation of this inflammatory response is excessive or unwanted.\textsuperscript{6} Among all cytokines, tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-\(\alpha\)) continues to be one of the most extensively studied.\textsuperscript{7} Currently, there are five therapeutic agents in clinical use that target TNF-\(\alpha\); Four monoclonal antibodies (mAb; Infliximab, Centolizumab, Adalimumab, and Golimumab) and one fusion protein (Etanercept).\textsuperscript{8} The clinical success of TNF-\(\alpha\) targeted therapies in rheumatologic disorders and inflammatory bowel diseases has helped to establish these biologicals as the mainstay treatment for autoimmune diseases.\textsuperscript{9,10} Currently, there is substantial evidence that TNF-\(\alpha\) plays an equally important role in renal inflammation and fibrosis.\textsuperscript{11} Several studies have also demonstrated the importance of TNF-\(\alpha\) in renal ischemia-reperfusion injury by using a variety of TNF-\(\alpha\) blocking strategies.\textsuperscript{12–14} On this basis, TNF-\(\alpha\) inhibitors have therefore been proposed for use in kidney transplant recipients to improve outcome.\textsuperscript{15}

Here, we used human genetics to probe whether targeting TNF-\(\alpha\) in renal transplantation will provide clinical benefit. Studies of human genetics are powerful tools in validating therapeutic targets because genetically-backed drug targets are reported to be twice as likely to lead to approved therapeutics compared to traditional drug discovery targets.\textsuperscript{16,17} Hence, we investigated the association between functional TNF-\(\alpha\) gene (TNF) polymorphisms and kidney graft survival in donor-recipient renal transplant pairs. The two common single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of the TNF-\(\alpha\) gene we studied here are the rs1800629 G>A in the promoter region at position \(\sim\)308 and rs3093662 A>G in the first intron at position \(\sim\)851 (Figure 1). The A-allele of the rs1800629 SNP A allele leads to a higher transcriptional activity and is often connected to autoimmune diseases, while the G-allele of the rs3093662 SNP is associated with higher serum levels of TNF-\(\alpha\).\textsuperscript{18,19}

**Materials and Methods**

**Patient Selection and Study End-Point**

Patients were enrolled if they underwent single kidney transplantation between March 1993 and February 2008 at the University Medical Center Groningen (UMCG) in the Netherlands. A total of 1430 kidney transplantations were screened for analysis. Of these, 1271 donor and recipient kidney transplant pairs were included in the study as previously described.\textsuperscript{20–24} Exclusion criteria included lack of DNA, technical complications during surgery, loss of follow-up or re-transplantation. The primary endpoint of our study was primary non-function (PNF) and long-term death-censored graft survival, defined as the need for re-transplantation or dialysis. Post-transplantation, patients were followed-up and immunosuppression regimen, laboratory and clinical parameters, and time to graft loss were documented. Donor and recipient characteristics, transplantation-related parameters and clinical data were retrieved from medical records.

**Figure 1** Examined polymorphisms in the tumor necrosis factor-alpha gene. To assess the impact of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) in the tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-\(\alpha\)) gene (TNF) in kidney transplantation, we assessed the association between graft survival outcomes and the (A) TNF SNP rs1800629 G>A and the (B) TNF SNP rs3093662 A>G in the donors and recipients. The TNF SNP rs1800629 G>A is located in the promoter region while the TNF SNP rs3093662 A>G is in the first intron of the gene.
DNA Extraction and TNF Genotyping

Blood was obtained for the isolation of peripheral blood mononuclear cells or splenocytes were collected from the donors and recipients. DNA isolation of samples was performed with the Qiagen FlexiGene® DNA AGF3000 Kit on an AutoGenFlex 3000 workstation (Autogen, Holliston, MA, USA), according to the manufacturer’s instructions and stored at −80°C. Absorbance at 260 nm was analyzed with a spectrophotometer (ND-1000, NanoDrop Technologies), and DNA concentration was assessed by the NanoDrop nucleic acid application module. As an indicator of DNA purity, 260/230 and 260/280 absorbance ratios were calculated. Where samples failed to meet the recommended purity or the minimum DNA concentration for Illumina genotyping, isolation attempts were repeated. Genotyping of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) was determined using the Illumina VeraCode GoldenGate Assay kit as per the manufacturer’s instructions (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). The promoter of TNF contains several SNPs, of which the rs1800629 G>A has been most extensively studied. In addition, we chose the TNF rs3093662 A>G SNP, which has previously shown to increase systemic levels of TNF-α and influence graft function after kidney transplantation. The selected SNPs were not in linkage disequilibrium (r² < 0.10; SNP Annotation and Proxy Search, Broad institute). Genotype clustering and calling were performed using BeadStudio Software (Illumina). The overall genotype success rate was 99.5% and 6 samples with a high missing call rate were excluded from subsequent analyses.

Statistical Analysis

SPSS software version 25 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA) was used to perform statistical analyses. Data are presented as median [IQR] for non-parametric variables, mean ± standard deviation for parametric variables, and nominal variables as the total number of patients with percentage [n (%)]. Groups were assessed for differences with the Mann–Whitney U-test for not-normally divided variables or Student t-test for normally distributed variables, and χ² test for categorical variables, respectively. The Log rank test was used to determine the difference in the graft loss frequency between different genotypes. Univariable analysis was used to assess the association of genetic, recipient, donor, and transplant characteristics with graft loss. The variables identified in these analyses were next examined in a multivariable Cox regression with a stepwise forward selection. Statistical testing was 2-tailed and P < 0.05 was regarded as significant.

Results

Population Characteristics

The characteristics of all donor and recipient kidney transplant pairs are shown in Table 1. The maximum study period was 15 years, with a mean follow-up after transplantation of 6.16 years ± 4.21. During the study period, 214 grafts (17.4%) were lost with the main causes of graft loss being rejection (n = 126), surgical complications (n = 33), recurrence of primary disease (n = 16), vascular causes (n = 12), other causes (n = 16) and unknown (n = 11). By univariable analysis, recipient age, donor age, donor type (living vs cadaveric), recipient blood type (AB vs others), donor blood type (AB vs others), cold ischemia time, warm ischemia time, use of corticosteroids, use of cyclosporin, and delayed graft function (DGF) were found to be associated with graft loss (P < 0.05).

The observed genotypic frequencies of the TNF SNP rs1800629 G>A were significantly different between recipients (n = 1266; GG, 58.3%; GA, 34.3%; AA, 7.0%) and donors (n = 1269; GG, 68.1%; GA, 29.0%; AA, 2.7%) (P < 0.001). More specifically, the A-allele of the TNF rs1800629 SNP was more prevalent in kidney transplant recipients. The frequency of the TNF rs1800629-A variant was also significantly higher in both donors and recipients than those reported by the 1000 genomes project (P < 0.001). The observed genotypic frequencies of the TNF rs3093662 A>G was not significantly different between recipients (n = 1270; AA, 89.3%; GA, 10.6%) and donors (n = 1267; AA, 88.4%; AG, 10.9%; GG, 0.4%) (P = 0.08). Yet, the frequency of the TNF rs3093662-G variant described in the 1000 genomes project was significantly higher than those in the donors (P = 0.003) and recipients (P < 0.001). Due to the low frequency in donors of the TNF rs3093662 polymorphism GG-genotype, heterozygotes (AG) and homozygotes (GG)-genotypes were combined to one group (AG/GG). Lastly, the distribution of both polymorphisms was in Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium.
Table 1 Baseline Characteristics of the Donors and Recipients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recipient</th>
<th>All Patients (n = 1271)</th>
<th>Functioning Graft (n = 1056)</th>
<th>Graft Loss (n = 215)</th>
<th>P-value*</th>
<th>HR</th>
<th>P-value#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recipient</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TNF rs3093662 SNP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA, n (%)</td>
<td>1135 (89.4)</td>
<td>940 (89.1)</td>
<td>195 (90.7)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG, n (%)</td>
<td>135 (10.6)</td>
<td>115 (10.9)</td>
<td>20 (9.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TNF rs1800629 SNP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG, n (%)</td>
<td>741 (58.5)</td>
<td>619 (58.8)</td>
<td>122 (57.3)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA, n (%)</td>
<td>436 (34.4)</td>
<td>358 (34.0)</td>
<td>78 (36.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA, n (%)</td>
<td>89 (7.0)</td>
<td>76 (7.2)</td>
<td>13 (6.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Female sex, n (%)</strong></td>
<td>532 (41.9)</td>
<td>449 (42.5)</td>
<td>83 (38.6)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age, years</strong></td>
<td>47.9 ± 13.5</td>
<td>48.5 ± 13.4</td>
<td>45.0 ± 13.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dialysis vintage, weeks</strong></td>
<td>172 [91–263]</td>
<td>174 [87–261]</td>
<td>168 [109–270]</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Blood group donor</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type O, n (%)</td>
<td>567 (44.6)</td>
<td>474 (44.9)</td>
<td>93 (43.3)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type A, n (%)</td>
<td>536 (42.2)</td>
<td>448 (42.4)</td>
<td>88 (40.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type B, n (%)</td>
<td>113 (8.9)</td>
<td>98 (9.3)</td>
<td>15 (7.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type AB, n (%)</td>
<td>55 (4.3)</td>
<td>36 (3.4)</td>
<td>19 (8.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary kidney disease</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glomerulonephritis, n (%)</td>
<td>340 (26.8)</td>
<td>271 (25.7)</td>
<td>69 (32.1)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polycystic disease, n (%)</td>
<td>208 (16.4)</td>
<td>187 (17.7)</td>
<td>21 (9.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular disease, n (%)</td>
<td>145 (11.4)</td>
<td>122 (11.6)</td>
<td>23 (10.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyelonephritis, n (%)</td>
<td>148 (11.6)</td>
<td>120 (11.4)</td>
<td>28 (13.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes, n (%)</td>
<td>51 (4.0)</td>
<td>44 (4.2)</td>
<td>7 (3.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic, n (%)</td>
<td>168 (13.2)</td>
<td>134 (12.7)</td>
<td>34 (15.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other, n (%)</td>
<td>211 (16.6)</td>
<td>178 (16.9)</td>
<td>33 (15.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Immunosuppression</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-CD3 Moab, n (%)</td>
<td>19 (1.5)</td>
<td>14 (1.3)</td>
<td>5 (2.3)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATG, n (%)</td>
<td>103 (8.1)</td>
<td>79 (7.5)</td>
<td>24 (11.2)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azathioprine, n (%)</td>
<td>72 (5.7)</td>
<td>53 (5.0)</td>
<td>19 (8.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticosteroids, n (%)</td>
<td>1201 (94.5)</td>
<td>1002 (94.9)</td>
<td>199 (92.6)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclosporin, n (%)</td>
<td>1085 (85.4)</td>
<td>911 (86.3)</td>
<td>174 (80.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin-2 RA, n (%)</td>
<td>199 (15.7)</td>
<td>163 (15.4)</td>
<td>36 (16.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycophenolic acid, n (%)</td>
<td>907 (71.4)</td>
<td>775 (73.4)</td>
<td>132 (61.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sirolimus, n (%)</td>
<td>38 (3.0)</td>
<td>33 (3.1)</td>
<td>5 (2.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tacrolimus, n (%)</td>
<td>97 (7.6)</td>
<td>77 (7.3)</td>
<td>20 (9.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
A Genetic Variant in TNF is a Risk Factor for Early Graft Loss After Kidney Transplantation

We first studied whether genetic variants in TNF are associated with PNF to assess the impact on early graft loss. For the TNF rs3093662 A>G polymorphism, the proportion of grafts with PNF significantly differed based on the donor genotype (4.3% PNF in the AA-genotype group vs 8.4% PNF in the AG/GG-group, $P = 0.029$), but not for recipient genotype ($P = 0.31$) (Table 2). In univariable logistic regression, donors carrying the G-allele of the TNF rs3093662 A>G
polymorphism had a significantly higher risk of PNF (OR = 2.05 compared to A-allele; 95%-CI: 1.06–3.97; \( P = 0.032 \)).

Next, we performed a multivariable logistic regression analysis with a stepwise forward selection procedure using all characteristics that were significantly associated with PNF in univariable logistic regression (Table 3). In the final model, the TNF rs3093662 A>G polymorphism in the donor, donor age, donor type (living vs cadaveric), recipient sex, recipient blood type (AB vs others), and warm ischemia time were included. After adjustment, the G-allele of rs3093662 in the donor was significantly associated with graft loss with an odds ratio of 2.16 (95% CI: 1.07–4.37, \( P = 0.032 \)). For the other TNF polymorphism, namely rs1800629 G>A, the proportion of grafts with PNF did not significantly differ based on the recipient genotype (\( P = 0.40 \)), or donor genotype (\( P = 0.35 \)). In conclusion, our data show that the minor allele of the TNF rs3093662 A>G SNP in the donor associates with a higher risk of immediate graft loss after kidney transplantation.

### A Genetic Variant in TNF is a Risk Factor for Late Graft Loss After Kidney Transplantation

Next, we studied whether the genetic variants in TNF are associated with kidney allograft survival to evaluate the relationship between these polymorphisms and late graft loss. No association was found between 15-year death-censored kidney graft survival and the TNF rs1800629 G>A polymorphism in the donor (Supplementary Figure 1, \( P = 0.64 \)) or the recipient (Supplementary Figure 1, \( P = 0.66 \)). Conversely, Kaplan–Meier survival analysis once again revealed that the G-allele of the TNF rs3093662 polymorphism in the donor was significantly associated with worse 5-year, 10-year, and 15-year death-censored kidney graft survival (Figure 2A–C). After 15 years of follow-up, the cumulative incidence of graft loss was 15.9% in the reference AA-genotype group and 25.2% in the AG/GG-genotype group, respectively. The association of the G-allele with late graft loss was maintained when PNF cases were excluded (Figure 2D, \( P = 0.047 \)), thereby demonstrating that the association between the TNF rs3093662 polymorphism and long-term graft survival is independent of its association with early graft loss. We next performed a subgroup analysis for the donor type, since deceased organ donors have elevated levels of circulating pro-inflammatory cytokines. Kaplan-Meier curves demonstrated that the association remained significant between the TNF rs3093662 polymorphism and long-term graft survival in kidney allografts from living donors (Figure 3A, \( P = 0.027 \)) as well as from deceased donors (Figure 3B, \( P = 0.023 \)).

Next, the donor–recipient pairs were divided into four groups based on the presence or absence of both TNF polymorphisms in the donor. Kaplan–Meier survival analyses revealed a significant difference in graft survival among the four groups (Figure 4; \( P = 0.040 \)). Moreover, the minor allele of the TNF rs3093662 polymorphism in the donor seemed to have a bigger impact on graft survival than the minor allele of the TNF rs1800629 polymorphism. Patients receiving a renal graft carrying both minor alleles of TNF rs1800629 and rs3093662 polymorphisms appeared to have the worst outcome. However, this combined genotype was only identified in 34 donor–recipient pairs. The TNF rs3093662 polymorphism in the recipient was not associated with graft survival rates (Supplementary Figure 1, \( P = 0.52 \)).

We additionally examined whether the G-allele of the TNF rs3093662 polymorphism in the donor was independently associated with long-term graft survival. In univariable analysis, the G-allele of the TNF rs3093662 polymorphism in the donor was associated with a hazard ratio of 1.67 (95%-CI: 1.16–2.38; \( P = 0.005 \)) for graft loss after 15 years of follow-

### Notes

- Differences in the occurrence of primary non-function (PNF) based on donor and recipient tumor necrosis factor-alpha genotypes. Bold \( P \)-values indicate \( P \)-values that are statistically significant (\( P \)-value \(< 0.05 \)). \(^a\) \( P \)-value for the Pearson Chi-square test for differences in genotype frequency. \(^b\) \( P \)-value for univariate logistic regression analysis for differences in the incidence of primary non-function.

### Abbreviations

- 95-CI%, 95% confidence interval
- SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism
- TNF, recipient tumor necrosis factor-alpha gene
up. Subsequently, we performed a multivariable analysis to adjust for potential confounders using a stepwise forward selection procedure with all clinical variables that were significantly associated with kidney graft survival in univariable analysis (Table 4). In the final model, the TNF rs3093662 SNP in the donor, recipient and donor age, recipient blood type (AB vs others), and DGF were included. After adjustment, the G-allele of the TNF rs3093662 polymorphism in the donor remained significantly associated with graft loss after 15-years with a hazard ratio of 1.51 (95% CI: 1.05–2.19, \(P = 0.028\)). In conclusion, our results demonstrate that the minor allele of the TNF rs3093662 (A>G) variant in the donor associates with a higher risk of late graft loss after kidney transplantation.

### Discussion

The primary finding of our study is that a donor genetic variant in TNF associates with an increased risk for graft loss after kidney transplantation. This TNF polymorphism in the donor was independently associated with both a higher risk for immediate as well as late graft loss. Extending these findings, the relationship between the TNF rs3093662 polymorphism and long-term kidney allograft survival remained significant in a subgroup analysis for different types of kidney transplants. In contrast, long-term graft survival was not impacted by the TNF rs3093662 polymorphism in the recipient, nor by the TNF rs1800629 polymorphism. In conclusion, our data provide genetic evidence that targeting TNF-\(\alpha\) in renal transplantation could be favorable for long-term graft survival in kidney transplantation and encourages the study of TNF-\(\alpha\) inhibitors in kidney transplantation in randomized controlled trials.

To our knowledge, our study is the first to show that the TNF rs3093662 polymorphism in the donor impacts the risk of both early and late graft loss after kidney transplantation. To summarize, we demonstrated that the G-allele in the donor nearly doubled the risk of immediate graft loss, while the relative risk of late graft loss increased by 58%. In vivo evidence regarding the functional consequences of the TNF rs3093662 polymorphism was recently presented, establishing the association of the G-allele with higher serum levels of TNF-\(\alpha\) in both healthy and diseased individuals.\(^{18}\) Additionally, Israni et al also found an association between the TNF polymorphism rs3093662 G-allele in the donor and DGF after kidney transplantation.\(^{26}\) Although we could not confirm this association (data not shown), the results of Israni et al are in line with our findings that this high-producing variant is detrimental in kidney allografts. Furthermore, in accordance with our study, the G-allele of the TNF rs3093662 polymorphism has previously been associated with increased risks of rheumatoid arthritis, adverse events in cancer patients as well as with the susceptibility to and severity of pneumonia.\(^{18,28,29}\) Altogether, these studies suggest a pro-inflammatory effect of this high-producing TNF polymorphism, thereby increasing the risk for a wide spectrum of immune-mediated diseases.

The clinical use of TNF-\(\alpha\) targeted therapeutics has revolutionized the management of inflammatory disorders and autoimmune diseases. In preclinical transplantation studies, TNF-\(\alpha\) blockade showed significant protection against renal ischemia-reperfusion injury.\(^{12-14}\) The underlying protective mechanisms behind targeting TNF-\(\alpha\) include: (i) Modulating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables Not in the Equation</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Variables in the Equation</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Hazard Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cold ischemia time (In hours)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>rs3093662-G in the donor (G versus A)</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>2.16 (1.07–4.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donor age (In years)</td>
<td></td>
<td>rs3093662-G in the donor (G versus A)</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>1.03 (1.00–1.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donor type (Decased versus living)</td>
<td></td>
<td>rs3093662-G in the donor (G versus A)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>9.53 (2.29–39.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recipient sex (Male versus female)</td>
<td></td>
<td>rs3093662-G in the donor (G versus A)</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.51 (0.28–0.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recipient blood type (AB versus other)</td>
<td></td>
<td>rs3093662-G in the donor (G versus A)</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warm ischemia time (In minutes)</td>
<td></td>
<td>rs3093662-G in the donor (G versus A)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>1.03 (1.01–1.05)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Multivariable logistic regression was performed for primary non-function (PNF) with a stepwise forward selection. All variables with a \(P\)-value < 0.05 in the univariate analysis for PNF were included. Data are presented as odds ratio with 95%-confidence interval (95%-CI) and \(P\)-value. In the final model, the TNF rs3093662 A>G polymorphism in the donor, donor type, recipient sex, recipient blood type, and warm ischemia time were included, whereas cold ischemia time was not.
immune cell functions, (ii) Inhibiting inflammatory mediators, (iii) Protecting kidney cells from apoptosis, and (iv) Stimulating tissue regeneration. Application of TNF-α antagonists in kidney transplantation would be especially interesting given the extensive clinical experience with this biological. Recently, a meta-analysis found no significant increase in the infection rate among transplantation patients already on TNF-α inhibitors due to inflammatory bowel disease. However, an observational study, comparing seven renal transplant recipients who resumed their TNF-α inhibitors after transplantation to seven recipients who did not, found that malignancies occurred more frequently. Etanercept, a fusion protein TNF-α inhibitor, has already been tested in kidney transplantation during hypothermic machine perfusion. However, no differences were seen in renal transplant outcomes between the groups. Finally, a Phase 2, double-blind, multicenter, randomized controlled trial of 300 deceased donor kidney transplant recipients has just been completed (NCT02495077). In this trial, a mAb targeting TNF-α (Infliximab) was given to recipients prior to transplantation, in addition to current immunosuppressive regimens, with kidney function at 2 years post-transplantation as the primary outcome. The results of this trial are anxiously awaited by the transplant community and will help define the clinical role of TNF-α inhibitors in kidney transplantation.

The present study found that a TNF genetic variant (rs3093662 A>G) in the donor, but not in the recipient, associated with the risk of graft loss after kidney transplantation. Our findings indicate that it is not circulating TNF-α from the
recipient, but rather the release of TNF-α by the allograft that contributes to kidney transplant failure. These results provide important considerations for anti-TNF-α therapies in kidney transplantation. TNF-α inhibitors that are currently used in clinical practice are either antibodies or soluble TNF-α receptors with distinct pharmacodynamic profiles and side-effects related to systemic exposure. However, if the donor kidney is the site of action, small interfering RNA (siRNA) or antisense oligonucleotides could be a more favorable approach due to better drug penetration into the donor kidney.

Figure 3 Kaplan–Meier curves for 15-year death-censored graft survival after kidney transplantation according to the TNF rs3093662 polymorphism in living and deceased kidney donors. A subgroup analysis for donor type was performed to look at the cumulative 15-year death-censored kidney graft survival according to the presence of the rs3093662 A>G polymorphism in the tumor necrosis factor-alpha gene (TNF) in (A) living kidney donors and (B) deceased kidney donors. The Log rank test was used to compare the graft loss incidence between the different group.

Figure 4 Kaplan–Meier curves for 15-year death-censored graft survival after kidney transplantation according to the TNF according to the TNF haplotypes in the donor. Cumulative 15-year death-censored kidney graft survival according to the presence of the rs1800629 G>A polymorphism as well as the rs3093662 A>G polymorphism in the tumor necrosis factor-alpha gene (TNF) of the donor. Pairs were divided into four groups according to the absence of both (black line), the presence of only the A-allele of the rs1800629 polymorphism (blue line), the presence of only the G-allele of the rs3093662 polymorphism (red line), or the presence of both minor alleles (purple line). Log rank test was used to compare the incidence of graft loss between the groups.
reaction after transplantation, and therefore, donor pre-treatment could be a promising strategy to increase graft function and survival.\textsuperscript{34,35} Conversely, donor pre-treatment is not possible until it is known whether other donor organs (ie, the liver, heart, and lungs) also benefit from targeting TNF-\textalpha.

The current study has several limitations that warrant consideration. First, a causality of the observed association cannot be established by this observational study as further work must be done to confirm our results. Second, we only investigated the association of two TNF polymorphisms with outcome and did not look at TNF haplotypes. Third, because of the lack of plasma samples, we could not examine the differences in TNF-\textalpha plasma levels between the TNF genotypes. However, important strengths of our study include the prospective design, the large sample size, the long follow-up time, and the clinically meaningful endpoint (ie, graft loss).

In conclusion, we found that kidney allografts possessing a TNF polymorphism, that has been associated with higher TNF-\textalpha levels, have a greater risk of immediate and late graft loss after kidney transplantation. Our study adds to the growing body of literature showing that TNF-\textalpha blockade in kidney transplantation has the potential to improve transplant outcomes. Following up on this work with clinical trials using TNF-\textalpha inhibitors is necessary to determine the ideal setting, including the timing of intervention, in which these biologicals might be effective.
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\begin{table}
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Variables Not in the Equation} & \textbf{P-value} & \textbf{Variables} & \textbf{P-value} & \textbf{Hazard Ratio} \\
\hline
Donor blood type (AB versus other) & 0.93 & Donor type (living versus deceased) & 0.11 & \textbf{rs3093662-G in the donor (A versus G)} & 0.028 & 1.51 (1.05–2.19) \\
\hline
Cold ischemia time (in hours) & 0.11 & Recipient age (in years) & <0.001 & 0.98 (0.97–0.99) \\
\hline
Warm ischemia time (in minutes) & 0.08 & Recipient blood type (AB versus other) & 0.001 & \\
\hline
Corticosteroids & 0.08 & Delayed graft function (yes versus no) & <0.001 & 4.00 (3.03–5.30) \\
\hline
Cyclosporin & 0.27 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Competitive Analysis of the Associations of Characteristics with Late Graft Loss After Kidney Transplantation}
\end{table}

Notes: Multivariable cox regression was performed for 15-year death-censored kidney graft survival with a stepwise forward selection. All variables with a \textit{P}-value < 0.05 in the univariate analysis for late graft loss were included. Data are presented as hazard ratio with 95\% confidence interval (CI) and \textit{P}-value. In the final model, the TNF rs1800629 G>A polymorphism in the donor, recipient and donor age, recipient blood type and delayed graft function (DGF) were included, whereas donor blood type, donor type, cold ischemia time, warm ischemia time, and use of cyclosporin and corticosteroids were not.
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