The Radiological Complications of Short-Segment Pedicle Screw Fixation Combined with Transforaminal Interbody Fusion in the Treatment of Unstable Thoracolumbar Burst Fracture: A Retrospective Case Series Study in Vietnam
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Background: The radiological complications including correction loss and hardware failure of short segment posterior pedicle screw fixation in the treatment of unstable thoracolumbar burst fractures remain a main concern. Several procedures aiming to reinforce the anterior column have been introduced to solve these limitations, including transforaminal interbody fusion (TIF). The purposes of this study were to evaluate the radiological complications of short-segment pedicle screw fixation in combination with transforaminal interbody fusion in the treatment of unstable thoracolumbar burst fractures.

Methods: This retrospective case series study enrolled patients with isolated unstable thoracolumbar burst fractures, who were treated by posterior short fixation with TIF between January 2013 and January 2017. Patients were followed up for a minimum of one and half years. For evaluation of correction loss, % loss of anterior vertebral body height (%AVB), vertebral kyphotic angle (VA) and regional kyphotic angle (RA) were collected preoperatively, postoperatively and at the final follow-up. Hardware failure was assessed on radiological images at the last follow-up.

Results: There were 36 patients who met the inclusion criteria with a mean follow-up duration of 53 months. The mean correction loss of %AVB, VA and RA were 10.2%, 2.9° and 5.6°, respectively. There were 6 patients (16.7%) with hardware failure at the final follow-up.

Conclusion: Short-segment posterior pedicle screw fixation with TIF using bone chip grafts does not completely prevent hardware failure and progressive kyphosis in the treatment of unstable thoracolumbar burst fractures.
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Background
Thoracolumbar burst fractures are defined as spinal injuries that result from axial compression with rupture of the anterior and medial structures of the vertebral body, retropulsion of a bone fragment into the spinal canal and an increase in the interpedicular distance. It is still controversial about the ideal management for thoracolumbar burst fracture. Short-segment posterior pedicle screw fixation is still considered a preferred option because it can reduce blood loss, preserving segmental motion with an acceptable anatomic and functional outcome. However, loss of kyphotic corrections and a high rate of hardware failure due to lack of anterior column support are the main disadvantages of this short spinal fixation. Several procedures aiming to reinforce the anterior column have been introduced to solve the limitations of short-segment posterior pedicle screw fixation, including TIF. In Vietnam, TIF with bone chip grafts has been applied for the treatment of unstable thoracolumbar burst fracture to prevent the limitations of short posterior fixation. However, to the best of our knowledge, there...
have been no reports about the radiological complications of posterior short-pedicle screw fixation with TIF using bone chip grafts in the treatment of unstable thoracolumbar burst fractures. This study aims to evaluate the radiological complications including hardware failure and correction loss of this surgical procedure for the treatment of unstable thoracolumbar burst fracture in Vietnamese patients.

**Methods**

**Patient Selection**

From Jan 2013 to Jan 2017, 40 consecutive patients underwent posterior short-segment pedicle screw fixation and TIF. All patients had a single-level thoracolumbar burst fracture. Among the 40 patients, 2 patients with plain radiograph quality were not sufficient for analysis, and 2 patients did not undergo re-examination at the final follow-up. Finally, a total of 36 patients were enrolled in this study.

**Surgical Indications**

Patients who had one or more of the following: 1. Presence of neurological involvement caused by the fracture; 2. Computed tomography (CT) scanning of the affected level showed more than 50% spinal canal compromise; 3. ≥50% loss of anterior vertebral height; 4. A vertebral kyphosis angle >30° was used for this surgical method.

All patients were evaluated using load sharing classification (LSC) and underwent posterior short-segment pedicle screw fixation with TIF.

**Surgical Technique**

The patient was placed in the prone position on a radiolucent table. The fractured vertebral body was identified by using fluoroscopy. A midline conventional longitudinal spinal incision was routinely used to expose one level above and below the fractured vertebra. Subperiosteal dissection was carried out with an electric cutter until the facet joints on both sides were visualized. Pedicle screws were inserted into the vertebral body at 1 level below and above the affected level without insertion of the pedicle screw at the vertebral fracture. The screws of both sides were distracted axially with contoured longitudinal rods to restore the segmental height and realign the spinal columns and to indirectly perform the reduction of the retropulsed fragment. Then, exposure of one transforminal zone of the affected level was performed using Kerrison rongeurs to remove a part of the lamina and facet joint until the nerve root and thecal sac were revealed. Epidural veins and radicular veins were cauterized with bipolar forceps to avoid massive bleeding. Then, the thecal sac and nerve root were gently retracted, and the adjacent intervertebral disc was completely removed.

If the patient had neurologic deficits and/or the stenotic degree of the spinal canal was more than 50% of its normal value, the retropulsed fragment of the fractured vertebral body was hammered anteriorly back into the vertebral body by using an “L” shape dissector.

After that, the local bone graft was packed into the intervertebral space. If local bone was not enough, additional allografts or autografts from iliac bone were used. The decompression procedure was performed with a recheck of all the neural elements involved. A drain was placed, and then the wound was closed in a standard fashion.

**Evaluation of Radiological Complications**

All patients underwent plain radiography preoperatively, postoperatively and at the final follow-up. Evaluation of the plain radiograph included measurements of % AVB and VA and RA. The RA of the fractured segment was measured as the angle between the superior endplate of the upper adjacent vertebra and the inferior endplate of the lower adjacent vertebrae by the Cobb method (Figure 1A). VA was measured as the angle between a line drawn parallel to the superior endplate and a line drawn parallel to the inferior endplate of the fracture vertebra (Figure 1A). The anterior body height of the injured and the non-injured adjacent vertebrae above and below were measured on the lateral X-ray film, and % AVB was calculated as the anterior height of the fractured vertebra divided by the mean of the anterior height of the adjacent two vertebrae using the formula % AVB = 100−2b/(a + c)100, which was adopted.
The anterior vertebral body height of the proximal vertebra is marked as a; the anterior vertebral body height of the fractured vertebra is marked as b; and c is the anterior vertebral body height of the distal vertebra (Figure 1B).

Fusion was defined based on the criteria of Bridwell that was identified by using CT scans taken at the final follow-up. Hardware failure was defined as a correction loss of more than 10° of the RA values at the final follow-up compared to the immediate postoperative period and/or pedicle screw(s) and/or rod(s) breakage.

**Statistical Analyses**

SPSS 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analysis. The paired *t*-test was used to analyze differences between preoperative, postoperative, and final follow-up radiographic data within each group. The Mann-Whitney test was used to analyze numerical data between the 2 groups. The level of statistical significance was set at *p* < 0.05.

**Results**

**Demographic Data**

A final total of 36 patients were enrolled in this study. The age range was 18 to 59 years old (mean 47 years old), with 20 males and 16 females. The mean follow-up duration was 53 months (range, 17–73 months). The affected levels were T12, L1 and L2 in 4 patients, 22 patients, and 10 patients, respectively. More than two-thirds of the participants had a history of falling from height (28 cases), and the other main sources of trauma were traffic accidents (4 cases), sport accidents (3 cases), and direct trauma (01 case). All the cases were type A3 according to the Magerl/AO classification system. The mean LSC was 6.8 (range, 5–9) (Table 1).

**Radiological Outcomes**

Table 2 shows the mean %AVB, VA, RA, and the loss of kyphotic correction. All of the parameters were significantly improved after surgery. Nevertheless, they were decreased considerably with statistical significance at the final observation when compared with that postoperatively. The mean correction losses of %AVB, VA, and RA were 10.2%, 2.9°, and 5.6° at the last follow-up, respectively.
The incidence of hardware failure was 16.7% (6/36). There were no patients who demonstrated screw loosening or loss of RA >10° (Table 3).

According to Bridwell’s fusion grade using CT, six cases of hardware failure were classified as fusion grade I, 3 cases; grade II, 2 cases; and grade III, 01 case (Table 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hardware Failure</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>Total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>30 (83.3)</td>
<td>83.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screw breakage below fracture level</td>
<td>2 (5.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screw breakage above fracture level</td>
<td>3 (8.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rod pull-out of above screw head</td>
<td>1 (2.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of regional kyphosis angle &gt;10°</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screw loosening</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The incidence of hardware failure was 16.7% (6/36). There were no patients who demonstrated screw loosening or loss of RA >10° (Table 3).

According to Bridwell’s fusion grade using CT, six cases of hardware failure were classified as fusion grade I, 3 cases; grade II, 2 cases; and grade III, 01 case (Table 4).
Discussion

Traditional posterior surgery for the treatment of thoracolumbar burst fractures is a relatively straightforward surgical technique but can only provide indirect reduction of the fractured vertebral body, and the means of supporting the anterior column are limited.\textsuperscript{14,15} Several studies have reported that the loss of reduction caused by insufficient anterior column support with or without hardware failure was not uncommon.\textsuperscript{16,17} The posterior spinal fixation approach can be divided into long-segment fixation (fixation more than two upper and lower vertebral fractures) and short-segment fixation (involving one level above and one below the fractured level).

It has been proven that long segment fixation is strong enough to stabilize the fractured spine. However, the fused spine becomes less flexible and more susceptible to low back pain. Meanwhile, short-segment posterior fixation is thought to stabilize the fractured vertebra efficiently while saving motion segments compared to long segment fixation. However, previous studies reported earlier implant failure and correction loss as the most important disadvantages of this method.\textsuperscript{3,18} McLain et al reported 52.5% implant failure in their study on short-segment fixation in the treatment of thoracolumbar burst fractures.\textsuperscript{3} Thus, several techniques with anterior column intervention have been suggested as alternative methods to overcome this limitation. The kyphotic deformity was corrected to a considerable extent with posterior fixation and indirect reduction. With the help of the intact anterior longitudinal ligament and annulus of the intervertebral disc, it is easy to correct the kyphotic deformity of the fracture spine by posterior pedicle screw devices. How to address a large amount of bone defects inside the fractured vertebral body is a main problem. The large bone defect created inside the fractured vertebra after height restoration of the injured vertebral body has been speculated to be the most essential cause of that correction loss. Moreover, the restoration of the intervertebral disc height may also contribute to the loss of kyphotic correction.\textsuperscript{19} In addition, a usual laminectomy for the management of thoracolumbar burst fractures might lead to further spinal instability.\textsuperscript{20} It was hypothesized that the TIF might solve those problems by minimizing the correction loss. The TIF technique removes a part of the articular process on one side, and almost all spinal structures can be preserved. By impacting bone grafts into the intervertebral space and even into the vertebral body through the fractured endplate after disc excision, it is possible to reconstruct the anterior and middle columns of the fracture spine and stabilize the instability of the fractured vertebral body. Therefore, it may provide additional stability with short pedicle screw fixation. Wang et al recorded favorable outcomes without significant correction loss and hardware failure when short-segment pedicle screw fixation was combined with TIF using granulated bone grafts and cages for the treatment of thoracolumbar unstable burst fractures.\textsuperscript{5} However, the efficacy of TIF using bone chip grafts has not been reported.

Here, we report the radiological outcomes of short posterior fixation with TIF using bone chip grafts in the treatment of thoracolumbar unstable burst fracture, AO classification type A3, with a mean follow-up of 53 months. The correction of kyphotic deformity, which was evaluated by %AVB, VA and RA, was gradually lost, and the average correction loss was 10.2%, 2.9°, and 5.6°, respectively. Hardware failure occurred in 6 patients (16.7%) during the follow-up period, of which 3 cases of screw breakage above the fracture level (Figure 2A), 2 cases of screw breakage below the fracture level (Figure 2B), and one case with rod pull-out of the screw head (Figure 2C). These outcomes showed that TIF with bone chip grafts did not completely prevent the correction loss and hardware failure of short segment pedicle screw fixation for the treatment of thoracolumbar burst fractures. However, 4 out of 6 cases with hardware failures had good interbody fusion with Bridwell grade I or II (Figure 3), and none of these cases required revision surgery. To prevent hardware failure and correction loss of short-segment fixation in the treatment of thoracolumbar unstable burst fractures, several additional procedures have been introduced, but no ideal techniques have been proven.\textsuperscript{5} Vu et al reported the radiological

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fusion Grade</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>Numbers of Cases of Implant Failure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade I</td>
<td>23 (63.9)</td>
<td>3 cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade II</td>
<td>10 (27.8)</td>
<td>2 cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade III</td>
<td>3 (8.3)</td>
<td>1 case</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade IV</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
outcomes of short posterior fixation and fusion for 31 patients with thoracolumbar burst fracture. They recorded 29% implant failure, while the mean correction losses of RA and VA were 2.3° and 7°, respectively. Liao et al reported the outcomes of 27 patients with thoracolumbar burst fracture who were treated with a six pedicle screw construct (group 1), and twenty-nine patients underwent a four screw construct and fractured vertebral augmentation by injectable calcium sulfate/phosphate cement (group 2). The authors found that the rate of hardware failure and the mean correction loss of AVB and RA were 11.1%, 8.9° and 4.2° in group 1 and 27.6%, 13.3° and 6.3° in group 2, respectively.

The role of posterior/posterolateral fusion in minimizing the late complications of short-segment fixation in the treatment of thoracolumbar burst fractures has been unclear, and several authors have suggested that posterior/posterolateral fusion is not necessary when treating thoracolumbar burst fractures with posterior pedicle screw fixation. Furthermore, we also wanted to accurately evaluate the outcomes of TIF with bone chip grafts in the prevention of poor radiological outcomes of short posterior instrumentation, so posterior/posterolateral fusion was not added in these case series. However, we suppose that posterior/posterolateral fusion in combination with TIF may provide better prevention
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**Figure 2** Implant failure: (A) breakage of pedicle screws above the fracture level; (B) breakage of pedicle screws below the fracture level; (C) rod pull out of the pedicle screw head.

![Figure 3](image2.png)

**Figure 3** Good bone union in the patient with hardware failure: (A) preoperative X-ray; (B) postoperative X-ray; (C) final follow-up X-ray with hardware failure; (D) sagittal CT at last follow-up with good bone union.
of the disadvantages of short posterior fixation. Nevertheless, based on this study, we think that removal of implants after achievement of solid interbody fusion might be better than supplementation of posterior/posterolateral fusion in terms of reducing the hardware failure of short-segment posterior instrumentation, particularly in relation to implant failure of the distal unfused spine level, because it also simultaneously restores the motion of the unfused spine by the removal of instrumentation. However, further studies are needed to confirm these issues. There have been reports that posterior short-segment pedicle screw fixation combined with intermediate screw fixation at the fractured vertebrae can help improve biomechanical stability and achieve better reduction, cause less correction loss, and cause fewer hardware failures. However, Liao et al reported 3 cases of implant failure after using a six-screw construct in the treatment of 27 patients with thoracolumbar unstable burst fracture. Thus, we suppose that this technique combined with TIF using bone chip grafts may obtain better outcomes. However, further research is needed on this issue.

Regarding the surgical treatments of thoracolumbar burst fracture, temporary fixation or minimally invasive surgery may be a good choice. Temporary posterior instrumentation without fusion may be considered one of the useful alternative treatments for thoracolumbar unstable burst fracture in place of traditional posterior pedicle screw fixation and fusion. However, it was reported that this procedure was an effective treatment in patients with thoracolumbar unstable burst fracture who had a McCormack load-sharing score of less than 7 points. Percutaneous fixation alone without grafting or with thoracoscopically assisted corpectomy is sufficient for treating thoracolumbar burst fractures with satisfactory results. Minimally invasive techniques provide more advantages than traditional open approaches in the treatment of thoracolumbar burst fractures. However, these techniques also have drawbacks, such as increasing the radiation exposure to the patient and the surgeon because of fluoroscopy and a long learning curve. In addition, our technique may be changed to minimally invasive surgery by applying percutaneous pedicle screw fixation.

A limitation of this study was that patients who were involved in the research were all classified as having AO type A3 thoracolumbar burst fractures. This is a robust result of the study, on the one hand, by the AO type A3 classified as one of the most common types of fractures. On the other hand, there was a lack of comparisons of hardware failure and progressive kyphosis between the two LSC groups because of the small sample size. In addition, this study did not present an ideal method for the prevention of late complications of short-segment pedicle screw fixation in the treatment of thoracolumbar burst fractures. Nevertheless, this is the first report of these complications of short posterior fixation with TIF using bone chip grafts for the treatment of unstable thoracolumbar burst fractures in Vietnam.

**Conclusions**

TIF with bone chip grafts has not completely solved the disadvantages of short-segment pedicle screw fixation in the treatment of thoracolumbar unstable burst fractures. Correction loss after surgical management following this technique in long-term follow-up still occurred, and the incidence of hardware failure was 16.7%.
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