Clinical Efficacy of the Chinese Herbal Medicine Shumian Capsule for Insomnia: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial
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Purpose: Shumian capsule (SMC) is a patent Chinese herbal medicine that can soothe the liver and relieves depression, quiet the spirit. Here, we aimed to investigate the efficacy of SMC for treating insomnia using both scales and polysomnography (PSG).

Patients and Methods: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial was performed. Twenty-six insomnia patients randomly received SMC (n = 11) or placebo (n = 15) for four weeks. Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Inventory (PSQI), Insomnia Severity Index (ISI), 9-items Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9), 7-items Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD-7), 17-item Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAMD-17), and Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale (HAMA) were applied at the baseline and the 2nd, 4th week after treatment. Treatment Emergent Symptom Scale was used to assess adverse reactions. We used PSG to record and analyze sleep features at baseline and after four weeks.

Results: PSQI, ISI, PHQ-9, HAMD-17, and HAMA scores decreased significantly after SMC treatment. Also, the total sleep time, rapid-eye-movement (REM) sleep latency, stage 2 sleep, deep sleep, REM sleep, and sleep efficiency improved significantly after SMC treatment. In the placebo group, the only significant change was the decrease of PHQ-9 at week-2. Furthermore, both SMC and placebo reported no adverse events.

Conclusion: SMC could safely improve sleep quality with depression and anxiety remission in insomnia patients.

Keywords: SMC could safely improve sleep quality with depression and anxiety remission in insomnia patients.

Introduction

Insomnia is a widespread sleep disorder that can lead someone with sufficient sleep time hard to fall asleep, frequent awakening, waking up too early, and falling asleep again hard after waking up. According to a report of JAMA, the prevalence of insomnia disorder is nearly 10% to 20%, of which about 50% have a chronic course, which further affects their mood, social functioning, and quality of life.1 Consistent with this, a survey from the Chinese Ministry of Health also shows that the insomnia rate is as high as 10% to 20%, and the insomnia people in China have reached 1.2 million to 1.4 million person-time.3

Insomnia is a risk factor for functional impairments, other medical and mental disorders development, and healthcare costs increasing.1 Insomnia can increase the risk of depression and anxiety.4-6 Baglioni et al7 pointed out that non-depressed people with insomnia have a twofold risk of developing depression compared to people with no sleep difficulties. Also, insomnia can predict suicidality and substance use.8,9 In addition, a study found that 40% of insomnia patients have a combined mental disorder,10 while 40% of patients with depression, anxiety, and comorbidity reported insomnia;11 and the effective treatment of insomnia in patients with depression would positively affect mood.12 Except for mental disorders, insomnia is also an
independent risk factor for acute myocardial infarction, coronary heart disease, heart failure, hypertension, and diabetes. Therefore, insomnia has become a worldwide public health problem and a main induced cause of various diseases.

Cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) and pharmacotherapy are effective treatments for insomnia. CBT is strongly recommended as the first choice for the few side effects, and it is cost-effective. However, it also has inevitable limitations, including a shortage of trained therapists and a relatively low response rate. Two-thirds of insomniacs might fail to reach full remission after CBT, and 19–26% even have no response. Moreover, the efficacy of CBT highly depends on patients’ commitment and self-efficacy, suggesting that CBT is not suitable for everyone. That is, in some cases, CBT may not be a compelling enough treatment to improve insomnia nor suitable for widespread use. Instead, benzodiazepines have become the first treatment choice over the past three decades and are widely used for insomnia because of their quick onset and apparent effects. However, their use has been limited currently due to drug resistance, dependence, “hangover effect”, psychomotor impairment, cognitive impairment, withdrawal reaction, and many other adverse reactions. Thus, a new treatment with fewer side effects and a higher benefit ratio is needed for insomnia.

Chinese herbal medicine (CHM) originated in ancient China and has treated insomnia for thousands of years. Currently, CHM is recognized as good treatment effect, fewer side effects, and alternative medication choice. With the continuous improvement of CHM dosage forms and technical levels, many CMH patents are widely used in clinical practice. Shumian capsule (SMC) is a common CHM for treating insomnia whose effectiveness has been demonstrated by several randomized controlled clinical trials (RCT). However, all these studies included only scales to assess insomnia and treatment effects. None of the RCT in the SMC used polysomnography (PSG) to assess subjects’ sleeping features, though PSG is considered the gold standard for detecting sleep-related disorders and evaluation. Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate the clinical efficacy of SMC for treating insomnia using both scales and PSG to measure sleep improvement.

**Methods**

**Trial Design**

This clinical study was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial, and the double-blind treatment lasted for four weeks and was conducted between June 2019 and May 2021 in the Affiliated ZhongDa Hospital of Southeast University, Nanjing, China. The trial used the two-group parallel design. Patients who met the inclusion and exclusion criteria were planned to randomly assign 1:1 to either the SMC group or placebo group to receive the corresponding treatment for a total of four weeks. The patients would receive SMC or placebo capsules immediately according to the randomization schedule as soon as they were recruited. Unfortunately, however, we had to stop the study early because of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), and only 26 insomnia patients were recruited at the end, among whom were 11 patients in the SMC group and 15 patients in the placebo group. Patients’ subjective sleep and mood changes were assessed using the scales at baseline and 2- and 4-week after treatment. Meanwhile, objective sleep was measured using the PSG test at baseline and 4-weeks after treatment. According to the Helsinki Declaration, all the participants were given a detailed description of the study and then written informed consent was obtained. The Ethical Committee of the Affiliated ZhongDa Hospital of Southeast University approved the protocol of this study (Approved number: 2019ZDSYLL054-P01), and the study was registered in the Chinese Clinical Trial Registry (Registration number: ChiCTR1900024117).

**Subjects**

Subjects were from insomniacs who came to ZhongDa hospital because of insomnia or were recruited through recruitment advertisements, and they also met the following inclusion criteria: (1) aged between 18–65 years old; (2) the diagnosis of insomnia according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-5); (3) Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Inventory (PSQI) ≥ 8 and 17-item Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D-17) < 17 and Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale (HAMA) < 14; (4) had no other serious systemic complications; (5) have not taken any medication that may affect sleep before the trial for two months; (6) must sign the written informed consent before the trial. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) secondary insomnia (caused by or co-morbid with moderate depression or anxiety or acute stressful events et al) and other sleep disorder such as sleep apnea syndrome; (2) pregnant or lactating women; (3) a history of alcohol or drug dependence or
Intervention
According to a random number table, all enrolled subjects received either SMC or identical placebo capsules randomly for four weeks treatment and were required back to the hospital every two weeks for evaluation. All patients were instructed to take three capsules respective after supper and one hour before going to bed. The 4-week dose of SMC or placebo capsules were distributed to patients in two separate rounds, one on the day of enrollment and one at the end of two weeks’ treatment, by other personnel not participating in the patients’ enrollment and evaluation. SMC and shumian simulation capsules that are provided by Guizhou Dalong Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd.; batch numbers are 20190307 and 20190308, respectively. Shumian simulation capsule contains the same color but nonpharmacological brown powder as SMC. In addition, any drugs (including herb medicine), health care products, foods or drinks that may cause hypnotic or insomnia effects were not allowed to use during the treatment.

Assessment of the Severity of Insomnia, Depression, and Anxiety
The PSQI, the Insomnia Severity Index (ISI), the 9-items Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9), and the 7-items Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD-7) were self-rating scales, and the first two scales were employed to assess the patients’ severity of insomnia while the last two were applied to respectively evaluate the severity of depression and anxiety. While the HAMD-17 and Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale (HAMA) were used for researchers to assess the patients’ severity of depression and anxiety, respectively, the Treatment Emergent Symptom Scale (TESS) was used to assess treatment safety. All these scales were administered at baseline and 2- and 4-weeks after beginning treatment.

Polysomnography (PSG)
All the insomnia patients underwent overnight PSG (580-G2CGSS, Bio-Logic, USA), and the recordings were analyzed according to the American Academy of Sleep Medicine (AASM) criteria. The main PSG monitoring measurements included the sleep latency, the sleep efficiency, the sleep maintenance efficiency, the rapid-eye-movement (REM) sleep latency, the total sleep time, and the time of each sleep stage (stage 1 and 2 sleep, deep sleep and REM sleep). The PSG monitoring was performed twice at baseline and four weeks after treatment for each subject, and every monitoring time must be no less than eight hours.

Statistical Analysis
All data were analyzed by SPSS 22.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). Data were examined for normality using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. As applicable, the numerical variables were described as mean ± standard error (M ± SE) or median (interquartile range). Independent-samples t-test and Mann–Whitney U-test were used to compare the normally distributed and nonnormally distributed data between the SMC and placebo groups. At the same time, the paired-sample t-test was applied to compare the numerical data within the group. Categorical variables were expressed as numbers and were compared by chi-square ($\chi^2$) between the two groups. Differences were considered statistically significant at a two-tailed $P < 0.05$.

Results
Baseline Clinical Data of Subjects
A total of 26 insomnia patients were finally included in the study, among whom 11 patients were assigned to the SMC group and 15 patients to the placebo group; the detailed flow was described in Figure 1. One patient in the SMC group and two patients in the placebo group dropped out for lacking efficacy after two weeks of treatment, and the dropout rates were not significantly different between the two groups (9.09% vs 13.33%, $P = 0.369$). There were respective another two (both were due to self-withdrawal) and two (one for self-withdrawal, the other for protocol violation) patients in the SMC and placebo groups who dropped out after four weeks of treatment, and the difference of the dropout rate was also not significant (27.27% vs 26.67%, $P = 0.973$). Ultimately, eight patients in the SMC group and 11 in the placebo groups...
completed all three-time assessments and two PSG monitoring sessions, among whom the efficacy analysis was performed.

The baseline demographic and clinical data of all enrolled subjects are shown in Table 1. There were no significant differences between the two groups in terms of sex, age, education years, marital status, age of onset, insomnia duration, family history of insomnia or other mental disorders, scores of various scales including PSQI, ISI, PHQ-9, GAD-7, HAMD-17 and HAMA, and the PSG-related indicators including the sleep latency, sleep efficiency, REM sleep latency, total sleep time, and time of stage 1 and 2 sleep, deep sleep, and REM sleep ($P > 0.05$). However, the sleep maintenance efficiency of patients in the placebo group was found significantly better than that of patients in the SMC group ($P = 0.002$).

Comparison of Efficacy and Safety

Subjective Sleep Measurements

As presented in Figure 2 and Table 2, the PSQI scores in the SMC group were significantly decreased after 2- and 4-weeks treatment ($P < 0.001$ and $P = 0.040$, respectively), while the ISI scores were only significantly decreased after 2-weeks treatment ($P = 0.002$). However, within the placebo group, whether the scores of PSQI and ISI after 2 weeks or 4 weeks treatment, there were both no statistical differences compared with the scores at baseline ($P > 0.05$).

Objective Sleep (PSG) Measurements

After treatment for 4-weeks, none of the PSG indicators was significantly changed in the placebo group (Figure 3 and Table 3; all $P > 0.05$). As expected, however, several PSG indicators in the SMC group improved significantly. Specifically, the total sleep time, stage 2 sleep time, deep sleep time, REM sleep time, and sleep efficiency were significantly increased ($P < 0.05$), while REM sleep latency was significantly shortened ($P = 0.021$), as shown in Figure 3 and Table 3.

---

Figure 1 Flow diagram of the progress through the phases of a parallel randomized trial of two groups (that is, enrolment, intervention allocation, follow-up, and data analysis).
Table 1 Baseline Clinical Data of Study Subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>SMC Group</th>
<th>Placebo Group</th>
<th>t/Z/x²</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (Female/Male)</td>
<td>6/5</td>
<td>12/3</td>
<td>3.346</td>
<td>0.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>51.64 ± 3.71</td>
<td>44.53 ± 3.53</td>
<td>1.364</td>
<td>0.185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education years</td>
<td>12.27 ± 1.38</td>
<td>15.33 ± 1.07</td>
<td>-1.782</td>
<td>0.087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>22.89 ± 0.90</td>
<td>23.86 ± 1.86</td>
<td>-0.419</td>
<td>0.679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of onset</td>
<td>42.73 ± 3.69</td>
<td>38.73 ± 3.25</td>
<td>0.809</td>
<td>0.426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status (Single/ Married)</td>
<td>1/10</td>
<td>4/11</td>
<td>1.262</td>
<td>0.261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insomnia duration (month)</td>
<td>107.25 ± 34.24</td>
<td>72.27 ± 22.74</td>
<td>0.886</td>
<td>0.385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of insomnia or other mental disorders (yes/no)</td>
<td>2/9</td>
<td>6/9</td>
<td>1.418</td>
<td>0.234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSQI_0W (score)</td>
<td>15.73 ± 1.71</td>
<td>15.07 ± 1.12</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>0.739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISI_0W (score)</td>
<td>8.55 ± 1.32</td>
<td>8.60 ± 1.40</td>
<td>-0.027</td>
<td>0.978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHQ-9_0W (score)</td>
<td>5.18 ± 1.67</td>
<td>5.73 ± 1.54</td>
<td>-0.240</td>
<td>0.812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAD-7_0W (score)</td>
<td>8.64 ± 0.66</td>
<td>7.80 ± 1.17</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAMD-17_0W (score)</td>
<td>7.45 ± 0.53</td>
<td>7.13 ± 1.11</td>
<td>0.261</td>
<td>0.797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAMA_0W (score)</td>
<td>361.64 ± 15.27</td>
<td>351.93 ± 21.77</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>0.738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total sleep time_0W (min)</td>
<td>27.70 (27.70)</td>
<td>33.90 (85.10)</td>
<td>-0.289</td>
<td>0.772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep latency_0W (min)</td>
<td>204.50 (135.63)</td>
<td>79.00 ± 49.00</td>
<td>-1.115</td>
<td>0.265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 1 sleep_0W (min)</td>
<td>33.75 ± 23.75</td>
<td>33.00 (40.00)</td>
<td>-0.495</td>
<td>0.620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 2 sleep_0W (min)</td>
<td>211.18 ± 14.10</td>
<td>235.37 ± 19.52</td>
<td>-0.935</td>
<td>0.359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep sleep_0W (min)</td>
<td>28.45 ± 6.88</td>
<td>30.83 ± 6.13</td>
<td>-0.257</td>
<td>0.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REM sleep_0W (min)</td>
<td>60.25 (20.25)</td>
<td>34.5 (43.50)</td>
<td>-1.899</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep efficiency_0W (%)^a</td>
<td>74.40 (16.93)</td>
<td>84.90 (19.90)</td>
<td>-1.239</td>
<td>0.215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep maintenance efficiency_0W (%)^a</td>
<td>75.22 ± 3.38</td>
<td>88.41 ± 2.19</td>
<td>-3.422</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The normally distributed data were expressed as mean ± standard error and the nonnormally distributed data were expressed as median (interquartile range); *chi-square test; 2independent-samples t-test; 3Mann–Whitney U-test.

Abbreviations: SMC, Shumian capsule; PSQI, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Inventory; ISI, Insomnia Severity Index; PHQ-9, 9-items Patient Health Questionnaire; GAD-7, 7-items Generalized Anxiety Disorder; HAM-D-17, 17-item Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; HAMA, Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale; REM, rapid-eye-movement.

Depression and Anxiety Measurements

Figure 4 and Table 2 displayed the changes of various scales related to the mood of patients in the SMC group and the placebo group. The scores of PHQ-9, HAMD-17, and HAMA in the SMC group were significantly decreased both after

---

**Figure 2** The scores of (A) PSQI and (B) ISI in the shumian group and the placebo group pre- and post-treatment.

**Abbreviations:** PSQI, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Inventory; ISI, Insomnia Severity Index.
2- and 4-week of treatment \((P < 0.05)\). However, in the placebo group, only PHQ-9 scores declined at the end of week-2 compared with the scores at baseline \((P = 0.046)\). The scores of GAD-7 in both the SMC and the placebo groups were not changed significantly after 2 weeks and 4 weeks treatment (all \(P > 0.05)\).

### Table 2 The Scores of Various Scales of Pre- and Post-Treatment in the Shumian Capsule Group and the Placebo Capsule Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Shumian Capsule Group (n=8)</th>
<th>Placebo Capsule Group (n=11)</th>
<th>(P_1)</th>
<th>(P_2)</th>
<th>(P_3)</th>
<th>(P_4)</th>
<th>(P_5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-Treatment</td>
<td>Week-2</td>
<td>Week-4</td>
<td>Pre-Treatment</td>
<td>Week-2</td>
<td>Week-4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSQI</td>
<td>19.63±5.37</td>
<td>14.63±5.21</td>
<td>15.38±5.66</td>
<td>20.18±5.67</td>
<td>18.53±6.92</td>
<td>16.18±9.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISI</td>
<td>14.63±6.39</td>
<td>10.38±5.88</td>
<td>11.13±5.11</td>
<td>15.18±4.38</td>
<td>13.09±4.87</td>
<td>11.45±5.85</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHQ-9</td>
<td>8.13 ± 4.58</td>
<td>5.63 ±3.93</td>
<td>5.38 ± 3.70</td>
<td>8.18 ± 4.26</td>
<td>6.91 ±3.94</td>
<td>6.18 ± 4.17</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAD-7</td>
<td>2.00 (11.00)</td>
<td>0.50 (6.75)</td>
<td>1.50 (4.25)</td>
<td>4.00 (9.00)</td>
<td>5.00 (4.00)</td>
<td>2.00 (6.00)</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAM-D-17</td>
<td>8.88 ± 2.42</td>
<td>6.25 ±3.62</td>
<td>6.43 ± 2.67</td>
<td>8.00 ± 3.90</td>
<td>6.91 ±3.67</td>
<td>5.82 ± 4.49</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAMA</td>
<td>7.50 (3.25)</td>
<td>6.00 (4.00)</td>
<td>4.00 (3.50)</td>
<td>6.00 (6.00)</td>
<td>5.00 (3.00)</td>
<td>4.00 (6.00)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The normally distributed data were expressed as mean ± standard error and the nonnormally distributed data were expressed as median (interquartile range). \(P_1\), comparison of various scales between pre-treatment and week-2 in the Shumian capsule group; \(P_2\), comparison of various scales between pre-treatment and week-4 in the Shumian capsule group; \(P_3\), comparison of various scales between pre-treatment and week-2 in the placebo group; \(P_4\), comparison of various scales between pre-treatment and week-4 in the placebo group; \(P_5\), comparison of various scales between the Shumian capsule group and the placebo group before treatment.

Abbreviations: PSQI, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Inventory; ISI, Insomnia Severity Index; PHQ-9, 9-items Patient Health Questionnaire; GAD-7, 7-items Generalized Anxiety Disorder; HAMD-17, 17-item Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; HAMA, Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale.

Figure 3 The PSG indicators in the shumian group and the placebo group pre- and post-treatment. The PSG indicators are respective (A) Total sleep time. (B) Sleep latency. (C) REM sleep latency. (D) Stage 1 sleep time. (E) Stage 2 sleep time. (F) Deep sleep time. (G) REM sleep time. (H) Sleep efficiency. (I) Sleep maintenance efficiency. Abbreviations: PSG, polysomnography; REM, rapid-eye-movement.
Adverse Events

No adverse events were reported during the study period in either the SMC or placebo groups. In addition, among seven patients who dropped out of the study, none of them were due to adverse events.

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial using PSG to explore the efficacy of SMC in adult insomnia. In the present study, SMC exhibited better treatment efficacy than placebo after four weeks, and it significantly improved sleep structure. Notably, SMC also improved patients’ mood compared with baseline.

The observed treatment effects on insomnia might connect to the herbal formula of SMC. It is formulated from Semen Ziziphi Spinosae, Radix Bupleuri, Radix Paeoniae Alba, Flos Albiziae, Cortex Albiziae, Bombyx Batryticatus, Periostracum Cicadae, and Medulla Junci. The whole formula was believed to soothe the liver, relieve depression, and quiet the heart. The recent study reported that SMC might improve insomnia by increasing γ-aminobutyric acid levels, decreasing glutamate levels in the brain, and up-regulating the hippocampal 5-hydroxytryptamine 1A receptors protein expression. Among all the compounds, Semen Ziziphi Spinosae and Radix Bupleuri were the main ingredients to improve sleep. Importantly, the “Guideline for the evaluation and treatment of insomnia in Chinese adults (2017)” pointed out that the essential goals of insomnia treatment should improve sleep quality and structure and avoid adverse effects. The PSG showed that the total sleep, REM sleep latency, stage 2 sleep, deep sleep, REM sleep, and sleep efficiency were significantly improved after 4-weeks of treatment in the SMC group. In addition, we did not record any adverse events. In summary, as CHM, SMC might be a safe choice in addition to current benzodiazepines, which might lead to tolerance and addiction, changes in sleep structure, and declines in sleep quality.

Besides sleep improvement, the present study found significantly decreased PHQ-9, HAMD-17, and HAMA in the SMC group compared with the placebo group after treatment. It indicated that SMC could improve insomnia patients’ depressive and anxious moods. The result of a recent study that focused on sleep and mood disorders caused by the COVID-19 also supported our findings, and this study reported that SMC significantly improved depression and anxiety of patients with COVID-19 during the recovery phase. Also, the findings were consistent with a variety of previous clinical studies. The mechanism of mood symptoms improvements might be related to the Semen Ziziphi Spinosae, Radix Bupleuri, and Bombyx Batryticatus. These compounds were rich in tryptophan, which was the precursor of serotonin. It might indicate that SMC improved serotonin deficiency and balanced the levels of neurotransmitters, then restored patients’ autonomous sleep with better quality, and improved depression and anxiety simultaneously.

The strengths of this study were: (1) We used the scales to assess patients’ subjective feelings and applied PSG to evaluate patients’ actual sleep changes pre-and post-treatment, which was helpful for a more comprehensive evaluation of the efficacy of SMC. (2) SMC or placebo monotherapy was administered randomly with a double-blind method, which
could help avoid the effects of sleep-affecting medicine and the subjectivity of the informed evaluators. (3) Only patients with insomnia were enrolled in the present study, which could help ensure more accurate evaluations of efficacy for SMC within a single diagnosis.

However, the strict inclusion criteria and trial requirements resulted in a relatively small sample size. Also, due to the small sample size, we were unable to explore gender differences on the indicators of PSG between male and female insomnia patients, although most researchers state that females are more prone to insomnia than males. In addition, there is a lack of follow-up after withdrawal, making it impossible to know whether the effects of SMC can continue after withdrawal. In the future, repeating the study in a larger sample, dividing patients into different gender groups, and adding a follow-up after drug withdrawal will be very useful for confirming the preliminary findings and whether gender plays an important role in insomnia.

**Figure 4** The scores of (A) PHQ-9, (B) GAD-7, (C) HAMD-17, and (D) HAMA in the shumian group and the placebo group pre- and post-treatment.

**Abbreviations:** PHQ-9, 9-items Patient Health Questionnaire; GAD-7, 7-items Generalized Anxiety Disorder; HAMD-17, 17-item Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; HAMA, Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale.
Conclusion
In conclusion, SMC could improve insomnia after four weeks treatment, which was reflected in the subjective scales and the objective sleep indicators, especially in increasing the total sleep and deep sleep time and sleep efficiency. Furthermore, depression and anxiety were also significantly improved after treatment with SMC. During the four-week study period, the patients were well-tolerated in both SMC and placebo groups. Briefly, the present study demonstrated that SMC could improve subjective and objective sleep and the accompanying depression and anxiety symptoms of insomnia patients with low side effects. Future studies should focus on further evaluating the efficacy and safety of SMC in improving insomnia and its concomitant symptoms such as depression and anxiety in a large sample of insomnia patients.
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