

LETTER

# Using Tools as Designed [Letter]

MeiLan Han<sup>1</sup>, Barry Make 102, Barbara P Yawn<sup>3</sup>

Department of Internal Medicine, University of Michigan Health System, Ann Arbor, MI, USA; <sup>2</sup>Pulmonary Sciences and Critical Care Medicine, National Jewish Health, Denver, CO, USA; <sup>3</sup>Department of Family and Community Health, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA

Correspondence: Barbara P Yawn, Department of Family and Community Health, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA, Tel +1 507 261 3096, Email byawn47@gmail.com

## Dear editor

We read with interest the article by Zhou et al<sup>1</sup> comparing six COPD screening tools in a Chinese population that presented to the Department of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine of the China Medical University. We applaud this study and its recognition of the importance of assessing COPD screening tools in populations with varying cultural, ethnic, language and health backgrounds. The patients in this study clearly represent a special population of those with sufficient concern to bring them to specialty respiratory care and with high rates of prior and current smoking, low BMIs and average age of >55 years.

As noted by the authors in the description of the questionnaires, CAPTURE is not just five questions.<sup>2,3</sup> The CAPTURE tool is five questions and peak flow values for patients with question scores of 2, 3 and 4. As done in the comparative analyses using only the five questions, it is likely that the sensitivity of CAPTURE is overestimated and the specificity is underestimated when peak flows are not included in scoring. It would have been very informative to have CAPTURE "rescored" using the complete tool (questionnaire and peak flow from spirometry that was performed in the study). We recognize that it is possible that in Zhou's special population both the score and the recommended peak flow values might be different than those recommended in a US primary care population.

The CAPTURE tool was designed to identify people with clinically significant COPD with FEV1/FVC <0.7 and FEV1 <60% predicted or with history of exacerbation like events in prior 12 months—those that would most likely benefit immediately from currently available therapies.<sup>3</sup> It would be interesting to assess the performance of the CAPTURE tool in Zhou et al's population to diagnose the patient group that was the focus for the development of CAPTURE.

We agree with the authors that different screening tools for COPD will have differing sensitivity, specificity and AUC in different populations. In this study's population, it is not surprising that the LFQ performed particularly well since two of its five questions are based on age, and smoking duration. We look forward to a more complete assessment of the full CAPTURE tool in this and other populations.

### **Disclosure**

Dr MeiLan Han reports grants from NIH, grants from COPD Foundation, during the conduct of the study; personal fees for clinical trial with funds paid to institution from AstraZeneca, personal fees and unrestricted funds paid to institution to support a clinical trial from Boehringer Ingelheim, personal fees from GlaxoSmithKline, non-financial support for drug for clinical trial; on a DSMB with fees paid to institution; another clinical trial with funds paid to institution from Novartis, personal fees from Pulmonx, Teva, Verona, Merck, Mylan, clinical trial with funds paid to institution from Sanofi, personal fees from DevPro, Aerogen, Polarian, United Therapeutics, Regeneron, personal fees and stock options from Altesa BioPharma, clinical trial, funds paid to institution from Nuvaira, support for a clinical trial, funds paid to institution from Sunovion, clinical trial, funds paid to institution from Biodesix and Gala Therapeutics, personal fees from Cipla, Chiesi, GSK, stock options from Meissa Vaccines, outside the submitted work. Dr Barry Make reports grants from NHLBI, during the conduct of the study; grants and/personal fees from American Lung Association, Department of Han et al **Dove**press

Defense, Astra Zeneca, Spiration, Glaxo Smith Kline, Mt. Sinai, Web MD, Novartis, American College of Chest Physicians, Projects in Knowledge, Medscape, Boehringer Ingelheim, Mylan, Third Pole, Eastern Pulmonary Society, Wolters Kluwer Health (Up-To-Date), Optimum Patient Care Global Limited, Integritas Communications, Quintiles, University of Wisconsin, Pearl, and PRIMed, outside the submitted work. Dr Barbara P Yawn reports grants and/or personal fees from NHLBI, PCORI, GlaxoSmithKline, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, TEVA, Medscape, Talem Health, COPD Foundation, and Pulmonx, outside the submitted work. The authors report no other conflicts of interest in this communication.

#### References

- 1. Zhou J, Yu N, Li X, Wang W. Accuracy of six chronic obstructive pulmonary disease screening questionnaires in the Chinese population. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis. 2022;17:317-327. doi:10.2147/COPD.S341648
- 2. Martinez FJ, Mannino D, Leidy NK, et al. A new approach for identifying patients with undiagnosed chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2017;195(6):748-756. doi:10.1164/rccm.201603-0622OC
- 3. Yawn BP, Han M, Make BM, et al. Protocol summary of the COPD assessment in primary care to identify undiagnosed respiratory disease and exacerbation risk (CAPTURE) validation in primary care study. Chronic Obstr Pulm Dis. 2021;8(1):60-75. doi:10.15326/jcopdf.2020.0155
- 4. Yawn BP, Mapel DW, Mannino DM, et al. Development of the Lung Function Questionnaire (LFQ) to identify airflow obstruction. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis. 2010;5:1-10.

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the International Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the International Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter. Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

#### International Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

Dovepress

### Publish your work in this journal

The International Journal of COPD is an international, peer-reviewed journal of therapeutics and pharmacology focusing on concise rapid reporting of clinical studies and reviews in COPD. Special focus is given to the pathophysiological processes underlying the disease, intervention programs, patient focused education, and self management protocols. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, MedLine and CAS. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www. dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/international-journal-of-chronic-obstructive-pulmonary-disease-journal

https://doi.org/10.2147/COPD.S363711